

# Scan Report

December 5, 2023

## Summary

This document reports on the results of an automatic security scan. All dates are displayed using the timezone “Coordinated Universal Time”, which is abbreviated “UTC”. The task was “Scan3”. The scan started at Tue Dec 5 09:43:15 2023 UTC and ended at Tue Dec 5 10:12:13 2023 UTC. The report first summarises the results found. Then, for each host, the report describes every issue found. Please consider the advice given in each description, in order to rectify the issue.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Result Overview</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Host Authentications . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Results per Host</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	172.16.2.86 . . . . .	2
2.1.1	Medium 143/tcp . . . . .	3
2.1.2	Medium 25/tcp . . . . .	4
2.1.3	Medium 80/tcp . . . . .	7
2.1.4	Medium 110/tcp . . . . .	9
2.1.5	Low general/tcp . . . . .	10
2.1.6	Low general/icmp . . . . .	11
2.2	172.16.2.83 . . . . .	12
2.2.1	Low general/tcp . . . . .	12
2.2.2	Low general/icmp . . . . .	13
2.3	172.16.2.84 . . . . .	14
2.3.1	Low general/icmp . . . . .	14
2.3.2	Low general/tcp . . . . .	15
2.4	172.16.2.98 . . . . .	16
2.4.1	Low general/icmp . . . . .	17
2.4.2	Low general/tcp . . . . .	17
2.5	172.16.2.65 . . . . .	18
2.5.1	Low general/icmp . . . . .	19

2.5.2	Low general/tcp	19
2.6	172.16.2.97	21
2.6.1	Low general/tcp	21
2.6.2	Low general/icmp	22
2.7	172.16.2.85	23
2.7.1	Low general/icmp	23

## 1 Result Overview

Host	High	Medium	Low	Log	False Positive
<a href="#">172.16.2.86</a>	0	4	2	0	0
<a href="#">172.16.2.83</a>	0	0	2	0	0
<a href="#">172.16.2.84</a>	0	0	2	0	0
<a href="#">172.16.2.98</a>	0	0	2	0	0
<a href="#">172.16.2.65</a>	0	0	2	0	0
<a href="#">172.16.2.97</a>	0	0	2	0	0
<a href="#">172.16.2.85</a>	0	0	1	0	0
Total: 7	0	4	13	0	0

Vendor security updates are not trusted.

Overrides are off. Even when a result has an override, this report uses the actual threat of the result.

Information on overrides is included in the report.

Notes are included in the report.

This report might not show details of all issues that were found.

Issues with the threat level “Log” are not shown.

Issues with the threat level “Debug” are not shown.

Issues with the threat level “False Positive” are not shown.

Only results with a minimum QoD of 70 are shown.

This report contains all 17 results selected by the filtering described above. Before filtering there were 223 results.

### 1.1 Host Authentications

Host	Protocol	Result	Port/User
172.16.2.86	SSH	Failure	Protocol SSH, Port 22, User gmarty : Login failure
172.16.2.83	SSH	Failure	Protocol SSH, Port 22, User gmarty : Login failure
172.16.2.84	SSH	Failure	Protocol SSH, Port 22, User gmarty : Login failure
172.16.2.98	SSH	Failure	Protocol SSH, Port 22, User gmarty : Login failure
172.16.2.65	SSH	Failure	Protocol SSH, Port 22, User gmarty : Login failure
172.16.2.97	SSH	Failure	Protocol SSH, Port 22, User gmarty : Login failure
172.16.2.85	SSH	Failure	Protocol SSH, Port 22, User gmarty : Login failure

## 2 Results per Host

### 2.1 172.16.2.86

Host scan start Tue Dec 5 09:43:48 2023 UTC

Host scan end Tue Dec 5 10:12:11 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
143/tcp	Medium
25/tcp	Medium
80/tcp	Medium
110/tcp	Medium
general/tcp	Low
general/icmp	Low

### 2.1.1 Medium 143/tcp

Medium (CVSS: 5.0) NVT: SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)
<b>Summary</b> The remote SSL/TLS service is prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> The following indicates that the remote SSL/TLS service is affected: Protocol Version   Successful re-done SSL/TLS handshakes (Renegotiation) over an ↪ existing / already established SSL/TLS connection ----- ↪----- TLSv1.2   10
<b>Impact</b> The flaw might make it easier for remote attackers to cause a DoS (CPU consumption) by performing many renegotiations within a single connection.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> VendorFix Users should contact their vendors for specific patch information. A general solution is to remove/disable renegotiation capabilities altogether from/in the affected SSL/TLS service.
<b>Affected Software/OS</b> Every SSL/TLS service which does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The flaw exists because the remote SSL/TLS service does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation within the SSL and TLS protocols. Note: The referenced CVEs are affecting OpenSSL and Mozilla Network Security Services (NSS) but both are in a DISPUTED state with the following rationale: > It can also be argued that it is the responsibility of server deployments, not a security library, to prevent or limit renegotiation when it is inappropriate within a specific environment. Both CVEs are still kept in this VT as a reference to the origin of this flaw.
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

**Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if the remote service allows to re-do the same SSL/TLS handshake (Renegotiation) over an existing / already established SSL/TLS connection.

Details: SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117761

Version used: 2021-11-15T10:28:20Z

**References**

cve: CVE-2011-1473

cve: CVE-2011-5094

url: <https://orchilles.com/ssl-renegotiation-dos/>

url: [https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/tls/wdg46VE\\_jkYBbgJ5yE4P9nQ-8IU/](https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/tls/wdg46VE_jkYBbgJ5yE4P9nQ-8IU/)

url: <https://vincent.bernat.ch/en/blog/2011-ssl-dos-mitigation>

url: <https://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2011/07/08/2>

url: <https://vincent.bernat.ch/en/blog/2011-ssl-dos-mitigation>

cert-bund: CB-K17/0980

cert-bund: CB-K17/0979

cert-bund: CB-K14/0772

cert-bund: CB-K13/0915

cert-bund: CB-K13/0462

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1013

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1012

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0809

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1928

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1112

[\[ return to 172.16.2.86 \]](#)

**2.1.2 Medium 25/tcp**

Medium (CVSS: 4.3)

NVT: SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection

**Summary**

It was possible to detect the usage of the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocol on this system.

**Vulnerability Detection Result**

In addition to TLSv1.2+ the service is also providing the deprecated TLSv1.0 and ↪ TLSv1.1 protocols and supports one or more ciphers. Those supported ciphers c ↪an be found in the 'SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1 ↪.25623.1.0.802067) VT.

**Impact**

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
<p>An attacker might be able to use the known cryptographic flaws to eavesdrop the connection between clients and the service to get access to sensitive data transferred within the secured connection.</p> <p>Furthermore newly uncovered vulnerabilities in this protocols won't receive security updates anymore.</p>
<p><b>Solution:</b></p> <p><b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation</p> <p>It is recommended to disable the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols in favor of the TLSv1.2+ protocols. Please see the references for more information.</p>
<p><b>Affected Software/OS</b></p> <p>All services providing an encrypted communication using the TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b></p> <p>The TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols contain known cryptographic flaws like:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CVE-2011-3389: Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS (BEAST)</li> <li>- CVE-2015-0204: Factoring Attack on RSA-EXPORT Keys Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption (FREAK)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b></p> <p>Check the used TLS protocols of the services provided by this system.</p> <p>Details: SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection</p> <p>OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274</p> <p>Version used: 2021-07-19T08:11:48Z</p>
<p><b>References</b></p> <p>cve: CVE-2011-3389</p> <p>cve: CVE-2015-0204</p> <p>url: <a href="https://ssl-config.mozilla.org/">https://ssl-config.mozilla.org/</a></p> <p>url: <a href="https://bettercrypto.org/">https://bettercrypto.org/</a></p> <p>url: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8996/">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8996/</a></p> <p>url: <a href="https://vnhacker.blogspot.com/2011/09/beast.html">https://vnhacker.blogspot.com/2011/09/beast.html</a></p> <p>url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20201108095603/https://censys.io/blog/freak">https://web.archive.org/web/20201108095603/https://censys.io/blog/freak</a></p> <p>url: <a href="https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/algorithms-key-size-and-parameters">https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/algorithms-key-size-and-parameters</a></p> <p>↔-report-2014</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K18/0799</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K16/1289</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K16/1096</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/1751</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/1266</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/0850</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/0764</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/0720</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/0548</p> <p>cert-bund: CB-K15/0526</p>
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

cert-bund: CB-K15/0509  
cert-bund: CB-K15/0493  
cert-bund: CB-K15/0384  
cert-bund: CB-K15/0365  
cert-bund: CB-K15/0364  
cert-bund: CB-K15/0302  
cert-bund: CB-K15/0192  
cert-bund: CB-K15/0079  
cert-bund: CB-K15/0016  
cert-bund: CB-K14/1342  
cert-bund: CB-K14/0231  
cert-bund: CB-K13/0845  
cert-bund: CB-K13/0796  
cert-bund: CB-K13/0790  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-0177  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2020-0111  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2019-0068  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2018-1441  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2018-1408  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1372  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-1164  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2016-0388  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1853  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-1332  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0884  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0800  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0758  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0567  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0544  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0530  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0396  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0375  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0374  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0305  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0199  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0079  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2015-0021  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-1414  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1847  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1792  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1979  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1829  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1530  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1380  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1377  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1292  
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1214

...continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

```
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1213
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1180
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1156
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1155
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1039
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0956
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0908
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0868
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0867
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0848
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0838
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0776
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0722
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0638
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0627
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0451
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0418
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0354
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0234
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0221
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0177
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0170
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0146
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0142
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0126
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0123
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0095
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0051
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0047
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-0021
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1953
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1946
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1844
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1826
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1774
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1743
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1738
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1706
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1628
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1627
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1619
dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2011-1482
```

[\[ return to 172.16.2.86 \]](#)

### 2.1.3 Medium 80/tcp



<p>Medium (CVSS: 4.8)</p> <p>NVT: Cleartext Transmission of Sensitive Information via HTTP</p>
<p><b>Summary</b></p> <p>The host / application transmits sensitive information (username, passwords) in cleartext via HTTP.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b></p> <p>The following input fields were identified (URL:input name):</p> <p>http://172.16.2.86/:pass_user</p> <p>http://172.16.2.86/S0Go.woa/:3.1.1.3.3.1.4.4.1.3.1.1.3.3.5</p> <p>http://172.16.2.86/S0Go.woa/S0Go/:3.1.1.3.3.1.4.4.1.3.1.1.3.3.5</p> <p>http://172.16.2.86/S0Go/:3.1.1.3.3.1.4.4.1.3.1.1.3.3.5</p> <p>http://172.16.2.86/S0Go/S0Go/:3.1.1.3.3.1.4.4.1.3.1.1.3.3.5</p> <p>http://172.16.2.86/S0Go/so/:3.1.1.3.3.1.4.4.1.3.1.1.3.3.5</p>
<p><b>Impact</b></p> <p>An attacker could use this situation to compromise or eavesdrop on the HTTP communication between the client and the server using a man-in-the-middle attack to get access to sensitive data like usernames or passwords.</p>
<p><b>Solution:</b></p> <p><b>Solution type:</b> Workaround</p> <p>Enforce the transmission of sensitive data via an encrypted SSL/TLS connection. Additionally make sure the host / application is redirecting all users to the secured SSL/TLS connection before allowing to input sensitive data into the mentioned functions.</p>
<p><b>Affected Software/OS</b></p> <p>Hosts / applications which doesn't enforce the transmission of sensitive data via an encrypted SSL/TLS connection.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b></p> <p>Evaluate previous collected information and check if the host / application is not enforcing the transmission of sensitive data via an encrypted SSL/TLS connection.</p> <p>The script is currently checking the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- HTTP Basic Authentication (Basic Auth)</li> <li>- HTTP Forms (e.g. Login) with input field of type 'password'</li> </ul> <p>Details: Cleartext Transmission of Sensitive Information via HTTP</p> <p>OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108440</p> <p>Version used: 2020-08-24T15:18:35Z</p>
<p><b>References</b></p> <p>url: <a href="https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Top_10_2013-A2-Broken_Authentication_and_Session_Management">https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Top_10_2013-A2-Broken_Authentication_and_Session_Management</a></p> <p>url: <a href="https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Top_10_2013-A6-Sensitive_Data_Exposure">https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Top_10_2013-A6-Sensitive_Data_Exposure</a></p> <p>url: <a href="https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/319.html">https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/319.html</a></p>

[\[ return to 172.16.2.86 \]](#)

#### 2.1.4 Medium 110/tcp

<p>Medium (CVSS: 5.0)  NVT: SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)</p>
<p><b>Summary</b>  The remote SSL/TLS service is prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>  The following indicates that the remote SSL/TLS service is affected:  Protocol Version   Successful re-done SSL/TLS handshakes (Renegotiation) over an  ↔ existing / already established SSL/TLS connection  -----  ↔-----  TLSv1.2   10</p>
<p><b>Impact</b>  The flaw might make it easier for remote attackers to cause a DoS (CPU consumption) by performing many renegotiations within a single connection.</p>
<p><b>Solution:</b>  <b>Solution type:</b> VendorFix  Users should contact their vendors for specific patch information.  A general solution is to remove/disable renegotiation capabilities altogether from/in the affected SSL/TLS service.</p>
<p><b>Affected Software/OS</b>  Every SSL/TLS service which does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b>  The flaw exists because the remote SSL/TLS service does not properly restrict client-initiated renegotiation within the SSL and TLS protocols.  Note: The referenced CVEs are affecting OpenSSL and Mozilla Network Security Services (NSS) but both are in a DISPUTED state with the following rationale:  &gt; It can also be argued that it is the responsibility of server deployments, not a security library, to prevent or limit renegotiation when it is inappropriate within a specific environment.  Both CVEs are still kept in this VT as a reference to the origin of this flaw.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>  Checks if the remote service allows to re-do the same SSL/TLS handshake (Renegotiation) over an existing / already established SSL/TLS connection.  Details: SSL/TLS: Renegotiation DoS Vulnerability (CVE-2011-1473, CVE-2011-5094)  OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117761  Version used: 2021-11-15T10:28:20Z</p>
<p>... continues on next page ...</p>

...continued from previous page ...

**References**

cve: CVE-2011-1473  
 cve: CVE-2011-5094  
 url: https://orchilles.com/ssl-renegotiation-dos/  
 url: https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/tls/wdg46VE\_jkYBbgJ5yE4P9nQ-8IU/  
 url: https://vincent.bernat.ch/en/blog/2011-ssl-dos-mitigation  
 url: https://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2011/07/08/2  
 url: https://vincent.bernat.ch/en/blog/2011-ssl-dos-mitigation  
 cert-bund: CB-K17/0980  
 cert-bund: CB-K17/0979  
 cert-bund: CB-K14/0772  
 cert-bund: CB-K13/0915  
 cert-bund: CB-K13/0462  
 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1013  
 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2017-1012  
 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0809  
 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2013-1928  
 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2012-1112

[\[ return to 172.16.2.86 \]](#)**2.1.5 Low general/tcp**

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: TCP timestamps

**Summary**

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

**Vulnerability Detection Result**

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.

The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:

Packet 1: 1589144891

Packet 2: 1589145971

**Impact**

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

**Solution:**

**Solution type:** Mitigation

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime.

To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled'  
Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled.

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.
<b>Affected Software/OS</b> TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP timestamps OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z
<b>References</b> url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt</a> url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt</a> url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a>

[ [return to 172.16.2.86](#) ]

### 2.1.6 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b> The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> Vulnerability was detected according to the Vulnerability Detection Method.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

**Vulnerability Insight**

The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp. This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.

**Vulnerability Detection Method**

Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190

Version used: 2022-11-18T10:11:40Z

**References**

cve: CVE-1999-0524

url: <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt>

cert-bund: CB-K15/1514

cert-bund: CB-K14/0632

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[\[ return to 172.16.2.86 \]](#)

**2.2 172.16.2.83**

Host scan start Tue Dec 5 09:43:48 2023 UTC

Host scan end Tue Dec 5 09:48:41 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low

**2.2.1 Low general/tcp**

Low (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: TCP timestamps

**Summary**

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

**Vulnerability Detection Result**

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.

The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:

Packet 1: 4245444181

Packet 2: 4245445244

... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
<b>Impact</b> A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.
<b>Affected Software/OS</b> TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP timestamps OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z
<b>References</b> url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt</a> url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt</a> url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a>

[ [return to 172.16.2.83](#) ]

### 2.2.2 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b> The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> ... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
Vulnerability was detected according to the Vulnerability Detection Method.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp. This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2022-11-18T10:11:40Z
<b>References</b> cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt</a> cert-bund: CB-K15/1514 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[ [return to 172.16.2.83](#) ]

## 2.3 172.16.2.84

Host scan start Tue Dec 5 09:43:48 2023 UTC  
 Host scan end Tue Dec 5 09:49:27 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low

### 2.3.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)
NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
<b>Summary</b> The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> Vulnerability was detected according to the Vulnerability Detection Method.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp. This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2022-11-18T10:11:40Z
<b>References</b> cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt</a> cert-bund: CB-K15/1514 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[ [return to 172.16.2.84](#) ]

### 2.3.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP timestamps
<b>Summary</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 1435525284 ...continues on next page ...



...continued from previous page...	
Packet 2: 1435526368	
<b>Impact</b> A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.	
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.	
<b>Affected Software/OS</b> TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.	
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.	
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP timestamps OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z	
<b>References</b> url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt</a> url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt</a> url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a>	

[\[ return to 172.16.2.84 \]](#)

## 2.4 172.16.2.98

Host scan start Tue Dec 5 09:44:43 2023 UTC  
Host scan end Tue Dec 5 09:49:09 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low

## 2.4.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
<b>Summary</b> The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> Vulnerability was detected according to the Vulnerability Detection Method.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp. This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2022-11-18T10:11:40Z
<b>References</b> cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt</a> cert-bund: CB-K15/1514 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[\[ return to 172.16.2.98 \]](#)

## 2.4.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP timestamps
<b>Summary</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime. ... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page...
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 467719000 Packet 2: 4034473165
<b>Impact</b> A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.
<b>Affected Software/OS</b> TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP timestamps OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z
<b>References</b> url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt</a> url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt</a> url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a>

[ [return to 172.16.2.98](#) ]

## 2.5 172.16.2.65

Host scan start Tue Dec 5 09:43:48 2023 UTC  
Host scan end Tue Dec 5 09:51:43 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low

### 2.5.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1) NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure
<p><b>Summary</b> The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> Vulnerability was detected according to the Vulnerability Detection Method.</p>
<p><b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation Various mitigations are possible: - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp. This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190 Version used: 2022-11-18T10:11:40Z</p>
<p><b>References</b> cve: CVE-1999-0524 url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt</a> cert-bund: CB-K15/1514 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658</p>

[\[ return to 172.16.2.65 \]](#)

### 2.5.2 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP timestamps
<b>Summary</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 3957629764 Packet 2: 2389787753
<b>Impact</b> A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.
<b>Affected Software/OS</b> TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported. Details: TCP timestamps OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091 Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z
<b>References</b> url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt</a> url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt</a> url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a>

[ [return to 172.16.2.65](#) ]

## 2.6 172.16.2.97

Host scan start Tue Dec 5 09:43:48 2023 UTC  
 Host scan end Tue Dec 5 09:56:49 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">general/tcp</a>	Low
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low

### 2.6.1 Low general/tcp

Low (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP timestamps
<b>Summary</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b> It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 59460143 Packet 2: 1451176143
<b>Impact</b> A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.
<b>Solution:</b> <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.
<b>Affected Software/OS</b> TCP implementations that implement RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Insight</b> The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.
<b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b> ... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...
<p>Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.</p> <p>Details: TCP timestamps  OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091  Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z</p>
<p><b>References</b>  url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt</a>  url: <a href="http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt">http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt</a>  url: <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152">https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152</a></p>

[\[ return to 172.16.2.97 \]](#)

### 2.6.2 Low general/icmp

<p>Low (CVSS: 2.1)  NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure</p>
<p><b>Summary</b>  The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Result</b>  Vulnerability was detected according to the Vulnerability Detection Method.</p>
<p><b>Solution:</b>  <b>Solution type:</b> Mitigation  Various mitigations are possible:  - Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely  - Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Insight</b>  The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp. This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability Detection Method</b>  Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure  OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190  Version used: 2022-11-18T10:11:40Z</p>
<p><b>References</b>  cve: CVE-1999-0524</p>
... continues on next page ...

...continued from previous page ...

url: <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt>  
 cert-bund: CB-K15/1514  
 cert-bund: CB-K14/0632  
 dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[ [return to 172.16.2.97](#) ]

## 2.7 172.16.2.85

Host scan start Tue Dec 5 09:43:48 2023 UTC  
 Host scan end Tue Dec 5 09:45:25 2023 UTC

Service (Port)	Threat Level
<a href="#">general/icmp</a>	Low

### 2.7.1 Low general/icmp

Low (CVSS: 2.1)

NVT: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

#### Summary

The remote host responded to an ICMP timestamp request.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Vulnerability was detected according to the Vulnerability Detection Method.

#### Solution:

**Solution type:** Mitigation

Various mitigations are possible:

- Disable the support for ICMP timestamp on the remote host completely
- Protect the remote host by a firewall, and block ICMP packets passing through the firewall in either direction (either completely or only for untrusted networks)

#### Vulnerability Insight

The Timestamp Reply is an ICMP message which replies to a Timestamp message. It consists of the originating timestamp sent by the sender of the Timestamp as well as a receive timestamp and a transmit timestamp. This information could theoretically be used to exploit weak time-based random number generators in other services.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Details: ICMP Timestamp Reply Information Disclosure

OID:1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103190

Version used: 2022-11-18T10:11:40Z

... continues on next page ...



...continued from previous page ...

**References**

cve: CVE-1999-0524

url: <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc0792.txt>

cert-bund: CB-K15/1514

cert-bund: CB-K14/0632

dfn-cert: DFN-CERT-2014-0658

[\[ return to 172.16.2.85 \]](#)

---

This file was automatically generated.