# s318944 Gabriele Quaranta - Computational Intelligence Activity Log

# **Set Covering**

#### **Path Search Studies**

The files are in the set-covering-path-search folder. I tried to implement all the techniques learned.

For basic path search the results are in the set\_covering\_path\_search\_stats.ipynb file where I tried to implement it with different type of queues to evaluate and learn the difference between them. The main code is the following:

```
def solve(queuetype, steps, sets):
    Solve the Set Covering problem using different queuing strategies.
         queuetype (str): The type of queue to use. Supported values are 'priority', 'simple', or 'lifo'.
         steps (list): A list to store the number of iterations required to solve the problem. sets (tuple): A collection of subsets for the Set Covering problem.
    None: The function updates the 'steps' list with the number of iterations needed. """
    # Initialize the queue based on 'queuetype'
if queuetype == "priority":
         frontier = PriorityQueue()
    elif queuetype == "simple":
    frontier = SimpleQueue()
elif queuetype == "lifo":
         frontier = LifoQueue()
    # Create the initial state representing an empty set of selected subsets
    initial_state = State(set(), set(range(NUMBER_SET)))
    frontier.put(initial_state)
    counter = 0
    while not frontier.empty():
         counter += 1
         # Get the current state from the queue
        current state = frontier.get()
         \ensuremath{\text{\#}} Check if the current state is a solution
         if goal check(current state, sets):
             steps.append(counter)
             return
         # Generate successor states by taking actions (adding subsets)
         for action in current state.not taken:
             new state = State (
                  current state.taken ^ {action}, current state.not taken ^ {action}
             frontier.put(new state)
    # Update the steps list
    steps.append(counter)
    counter = 0
```

I the plotted the result for three queuing strategies evaluating the average number of steps for 1000 runs on a problem with 10 subsets and 5 elements:

- · Priority Queue
- Simple Queue
- LIFO Queue

With the Following results: Alt text

## A\* Search

In the same folder in the files  $sc_ps_Astar.ipynb$  and  $sc_ps_Astar_stats$  I implemented A\* search for the set covering problem. The learing of the topic is in the first file while different tests for the algorithm on different problem sizes are in the second. The main code is the following:

```
def cost(state):
    """The cost function calculates the cost of reaching a particular state"""
    return len(state.taken)

def heuristic(state, sets):
    """Calculate the number of uncovered elements in U"""
    uncovered = np.logical_not(
        reduce(np.logical_or, [sets[i] for I in state.taken], np.zeros(PROBLEM_SIZE)))
    remaining_elements = np.sum(uncovered)
    return remaining_elements
```

```
def astar(sets, steps):
    # Initialize the priority queue with the initial state
    initial state = State(
        taken=[],
         cost=0
        heuristic=heuristic(State(taken=[], cost=0, heuristic=0), sets),
    open_set.put((initial_state.cost + initial_state.heuristic, initial_state))
    \ensuremath{\text{\#}} Initialize the closed set as an empty set
    closed_set = set()
    checked_states = 0
    while not open set.empty():
        # Get the state with the lowest f score from the priority queue
        _, current_state = open_set.get() checked states += 1
        \ensuremath{\sharp} If the current state is a goal state, return the solution
        if goal check(current state, sets):
             steps.append(checked_states)
             return current_state.taken
        \ensuremath{\text{\#}} Add the current state to the closed set
        closed set.add(tuple(current state.taken))
        # Generate successor states by adding one more subset
for subset in range(NUMBER_SET):
    if subset not in current_state.taken:
                 # Create a new state by adding the subset
                 new taken = current state.taken + [subset]
                 new cost = cost(State(new taken, 0, 0))
                 new_heuristic = heuristic(State(new_taken, 0, 0), sets)
                 new state = State (new taken, new cost, new heuristic)
                 \# If the open set is empty and no solution is found, return None
And the results:
Problem Size: 5
Number of sets: 10
Solvable runs: 88/100
Average number of checked states: 3.45
Problem Size: 20
Number of sets: 80
Solvable runs: 100/100
Average number of checked states: 4.26
Problem Size: 100
Number of sets: 1000
Solvable runs: 100/100
Average number of checked states: 5.99
```

### **Single State Methods**

In the folder set-covering-ss I implemented two single state methond to solve the same hill clambing problem as before, Hill Climbing and Simulated annealing.

The problem definition is the same as for path search, the code I develod is the following:

#### Helper functins and Heuristics

```
return c / o if o else c
  # successor function
  def successor(state):
         \label{eq:state_state} State(state.taken + [i], state.cost + 1, h1(state.taken + [i])) for I in range(NUMBER_SET)
          if I not in state.taken
Hill Climbing
def hill climbing(init state):
     current = init_state
     pb = tqdm()
     while True:
         neighbors = successor(current)
         next = max(neighbors, key=lambda state: state.heuristic)
         pb.update(1)
              goal check(current)
              or next.heuristic < current.heuristic
              or len(current.taken) == NUMBER_SET
         ):
              return current
         current = next
With the following results:
PROBLEM_SIZE = 500  # dimension of the finite set U NUMBER_SET = 1000  # number of subsets in the collection S Found : State(taken=[177, 810, 477, 442, 615, 142, 95, 185, 0], cost=9, heuristic=500) Is sol : True
Overlap: 439
Simulated Annealing
def simulated annealing(init state):
    TEMP = 20
     current = init state
    pb = tqdm()
while True:
         pb.update(1)
          if goal check(current) or len(current.taken) == NUMBER SET:
              return current
         neighbors = successor(current)
         next = neighbors[np.random.randint(len(neighbors))]
         deltaE = next.heuristic - current.heuristic
          if deltaE > 0:
              current = next
With the following results:
PROBLEM SIZE = 500 # dimension of the finite set U
```

```
PROBLEM_SIZE = 500  # dimension of the finite set U  
NUMBER_SET = 1000  # number of subsets in the collection S  
Found : State(taken=[223, 53, 303, 384, 867, 167, 88, 753, 239, 944, 764, 192, 435, 128, 570, 78], cost=16, heuristic=500  
Is sol : True  
Overlap : 488
```

## **Evolutionary Algorithms**

In the folder evolutionary-algorithms I tried to learn the basics of evolutionary algorithms by solving different problems, after writing a short theory note Es.md.

The list of problems was given by chatGPT asking for an increament in difficulty each time and is the following:

- One Max
- KnapSack
- TSP
- Multy-Objective KnapSack

In all of them I tried to implement and learn the basics of the algorithms while trying different heuristics for the population as well as different types of ES

# LABS

## Lab1 - Set Covering A\*

The folder lab1-set-covering-a-star contains the implementation of  $A^*$  for the set covering problem.

The problem definition is the same as for path search, here I tried to implement various heuristic for the algorithm and compare them. The A\* algorithm is a standard implementation using a heap queue for the frontier and a set for the closed set.

#### The diffent heuristics I tried are the following:

```
def TRIVIAL heuristic(state, sets):
def MRSC_heuristic(state, sets):
    Minimum Remaining Set Coverage
    This heuristic estimates the cost based on how many elements in "U" are still uncovered and divides it by the number of subsets not taken. This heuristic assumes that the subsets have an equal chance of covering remaining uncovered \frac{1}{2}
    h(state) = (number of uncovered elements in U) / (number of subsets not taken)
    uncovered = reduce(
         np.logical or, [sets[i] for I in state.taken], np.zeros(len(sets[0]))
    not taken subsets = NUMBER SET - len(state.taken)
    return -np.sum(uncovered) / not taken subsets
def MSC heuristic(state, sets):
    Maximum Subset Coverage
    This heuristic estimates the cost by assuming that each additional subset chosen will cover as many uncovered elements as possible. It divides the number of uncovered elements in "U" by the number of subsets already taken.
    h (state) = (number of uncovered elements in U) / (number of subsets already taken)
    uncovered = reduce(
         np.logical or, [sets[i] for I in state.taken], np.zeros(len(sets[0]))
    return (-np.sum(uncovered) / len(state.taken)) if len(state.taken) > 0 else 0
def MRSC MSC heuristic(state, sets):
    return (MRSC_heuristic(state, sets) + MSC_heuristic(state, sets)) / 2
def ASC_heuristic(state, sets):
    Average Subset Coverage
    This heuristic estimates the cost based on the average size of the remaining
    subsets and assumes that each chosen subset will, on average, cover this many
    h(state) = (number of uncovered elements in U) / (average size of remaining subsets)
    uncovered = reduce(
         np.logical_or, [sets[i] for I in state.taken], np.zeros(len(sets[0]))
    remaining_sets = [sets[i] for I in range(NUMBER_SET) if I not in state.taken]
    average size = np.sum([np.sum(s) for s in remaining sets]) / len(remaining sets)
    return -np.sum(uncovered) / average_size
def RANDOM_heuristic(state, sets):
    !! not admissible but funny !!
    return random()
def DENSITY_heuristic(state, sets):
    Density Heuristic
    This heuristic estimates the cost based on the density of uncovered elements in
    U. It assumes that the subsets have an equal chance of covering remaining
    uncovered elements.
    h(state) = (density of uncovered elements in U) * (number of subsets)
    uncovered = reduce(
         np.logical_or, [sets[i] for I in state.taken], np.zeros(len(sets[0]))
    \ensuremath{\text{\#}} Calculate the density of uncovered elements in \ensuremath{\text{U}}
    uncovered density = np.sum(uncovered) / len(uncovered)
```

```
\# Estimate the remaining cost based on the uncovered density return -uncovered density * NUMBER SET
```

For a problem size of 20 and a number of sets of 40 the results are the following:

```
TRIVIAL heuristic 476it [00:00, 2138.69it/s]3613it [00:01, 2099.28it/s] Solution: [1, 14, 37]
 Solution cost: 3
 Solution check: True
MRSC_heuristic
1602it [00:01, 1069.61it/s]
 Solution: [1, 14, 37]
 Solution cost: 3
 Solution check: True
MSC_heuristic
328it [00:00, 1024.68it/s]
 Solution: [1, 14, 37]
 Solution cost: 3
 Solution check: True
MRSC MSC heuristic
902it [00:01, 797.65it/s]
Solution: [1, 14, 37]
 Solution cost: 3
 Solution check: True
ASC_heuristic
18it [00:00, 117.11it/s]
Solution: [14, 1, 37]
Solution cost: 3
 Solution check: True
DENSITY_heuristic
5it [00:00, 706.61it/s]
Solution: [12, 14, 1, 0]
Solution cost: 4
 Solution check: True
RANDOM_heuristic
5169it [00:02, 2530.52it/s]
Solution: [14, 33, 37]
Solution cost: 3
 Solution check: True
```

While almost all get to the optimal solution or very close to one there is a big difference in the amount of iterations needed to get there:

- The by far best heuristic is the ASC heuristic with only 18 iterations before finding the best solution.
- The DENSITY heuristic finds a solution in just 5 iterations but it is not the optimal one.

#### LAB2 - Nim ES

The task involves creating agents to play Nim, a subtraction game where the goal is to avoid taking the last object. The game can have an arbitrary number of rows and a limit on the number of objects that can be removed in a turn.

The code of the lab provided already some functions to choose a move using different strategies (pure\_random,gabriele,optimal) as well as a function adaptive to use in the agent.

The way I decided to implement the agent is the following:

1. The adaptive function uses and updates the parameter love\_small to choose the lowest row with the lowest number of objects.

```
def adaptivel(state: Nim) -> Nimply:
    """A strategy that can adapt it is parameters"""
    genome = {"love_small": 0.5} # set initial value for love_small

if state.rows[0] <= 3: # if lowest row has 3 or less objects
        genome["love_small"] = 0.9 # increase love_small

elif state.rows[0] >= 7: # if lowest row has 7 or more objects
        genome["love_small"] = 0.1 # decrease love_small

row = min(
        range(len(state.rows)), key=lambda r: state.rows[r])
) # select row with lowest number of objects

num_objects = int(
        genome["love_small"] * state.rows[row]
) # select number of objects to be removed from row

return Nimply(
        row, num_objects
) # return Nimply object for that row with updated number of objects
```

- 2. The agent is evolved using a ES algorithm with strategy (1/3, 1):
  - Parent Selection (μ): The top 1/3 of the population is selected as parents for the subsequent generation.
  - Reproduction ( $\rho$ ): It generates one offspring (either by mutation or recombination) per selected parent. This corresponds to the "1" in the  $(\mu/\rho, \lambda)$  notation.
  - Population Update: The algorithm creates a new population by either mutating a randomly selected parent with a certain probability or generating an offspring through reproduction (mating) between randomly chosen parents.

- 3. The agent is definined in the following way:
  - The longest Nim Game is If every player takes exactly one match each turn, so we have a maximum amount of moves an agent can
  - There are 4 strategies to choose a move (the ones above) at each turn.
  - So we can initialize a population where each agent's genome is a list of strategies (to use consecutively when playing) chosen at random with a weight for each strategy (lower for the optimal one so we do not get just a optimal agent).

4. The population is evolved using either mutation or crossover for the new offsprings for 200 generations, with a starting population size of 20 and a mutation rate of 0.01, using a fitness function that evaluates the agent against a expert agent for 15 games.

```
# plays against expert by exectuing in order the moves of the agent and the expert agent
    # fitness is number of matches won by agent with max 10 matches results = [nim_match(agent) for _ in range(FITNESS_MATCHES)]
    return sum([res[0] for res in results])...
def mutate(agent):
    # swap two move strategies
    if random.randint(0, 1):
        swap index1, swap index2 = random.sample(range(MAX NUMBER MOVES), 2)
        agent[swap_index1], agent[swap_index2] = (
            agent[swap_index2],
            agent[swap_index1],
    # change one move strategy to another strategy
    else:
        agent[random.randint(0, MAX_NUMBER_MOVES - 1)] = random.choice(STRATEGIES)
    return agent
def reproduce (agent1, agent2):
    # crossover
    \# random split of the two agents and then concatenate them
    agent1_index = random.randint(0, MAX_NUMBER_MOVES - 1)
    return agent1[:agent1_index] + agent2[agent1_index:]
for I in range (POPULATION SIZE):
     if random.random() < MUTATION RATE:
         new_population.append(mutate(random.choice(selected_parents)))
         agent1 = random.choice(selected parents)
         agent2 = random.choice(selected parents)
         new population.append(reproduce(agent1, agent2))
```

5. The agent is the evaluated against an agent that chooses always the optimal move for 1000 games, with the following results:

```
!FINAL BOSS!
1000 matches VS EXPERT AGENT
Evolved Agent -> 469 won!
Random Agent -> 154 won! # for reference
```

We can see that the best agent found has comparable performance to the expert agent (circa 50% win rate) and is much better than a random agent while not always choosing the optimal move.

## LAB9 - Genetic One Sum

The task involves Write a local-search algorithm (eg. an EA) able to solve the Problem instances 1, 2, 5, and 10 on a 1000-loci genomes, using a minimum number of fitness calls. Seems simple but the fitness function is tricky in that it penalizes following not optimal strategies.

After playing with code for a while using various strategies I noticed that the solutions using the fitness function were saturating to a sort of pattern with length equal to problem istance. For example with problem istance 5 the solutions was plateuing to something like 1000010000100001... while with istance 2 to 10101010101....

This gave me the idea to allow the algorithm to split the genome in parts with a size that is dependant on the problem istance. This way the algorithm can find the optimal solution for each part and then combine them to get the final solution.

While the fitness function evaluates the whole passed at once the structure of the problem allows for this approach to work. The code is short so it is fully pasted here:

```
def mutate(ind, fitness):
    """mutate one random gene and return mutated part if fitness is better"""
    f1 = fitness(ind)
    if f1 == 1.0:
        return ind, f1

mutated = ind.copy()
    I = random.randrange(len(ind))
    mutated[i] = 1 - mutated[i]
    f2 = fitness(mutated)
```

```
# mmmmm eugenics
    if f2 > f1:
         return mutated, f2
    return ind, fl
def split_progenitor(progenitor, genome_length, problem_instance):
    """split progenitors in parts of length problem instance" divisible = genome_length % problem_instance == 0
         genome length if divisible else genome length - (genome length % problem instance)
    ) # for non-divisible genome_length by problem_instance
    for I in range(0, end, problem_instance):
        parts.append(progenitor[i : I + problem_instance])
    if not divisible:
        parts.append(progenitor[end:])
    return parts
def run(problem_instance, genome_length):
     """run the \overline{a}lgorithm:
    1. create progenitor
    2. split progenitor in parts

    mutate parts until fitness is 1.0
    join parts in individual

        return number of fitness calls and if individual is correct
    fitness = lab9 lib.make problem(problem instance)
    progenitor = random.choices([0, 1], k=genome length)
    parts = split progenitor(progenitor, genome length, problem instance)
    evolved_parts = []
pbar = tqdm(total=len(parts))
    for part in parts:
         fit = 0
         while fit < 1.0:
             part, fit = mutate(part, fitness)
         evolved_parts.append(g for g in part)
         pbar.update(1)
    individual = [gene for part in evolved_parts for gene in part]
return fitness.calls, sum(individual) == genome_length # sum for check it is not used to evaluate fitness
```

#### With the following results:

Compared to just trying to evolve the whole genome at once the number of fitness calls is much lower as well as the algorithm is able to find a solution for all istances.

## Lab 9 No Splitting

I also tried to implement a solution without the above considerations on the structure of the problem by using hill-climbing to evolve the whole genome at once.

The only minor difference is that I used an *acceptance\_ratio* for the fitness of the neighbors to avoid getting stuck in local maxima and promote exploration, thius ratio is set to 1 for istacne 1 and 0.9 for the 2,5,10 istances allowing them to accept slightly worse solutions (this is similar to simulated annealing).

Knowing it would tend to saturate from the previous results I also added a *saturation* counter to stop the algorithm if it does not find a better solution after 100 iterations.

```
def generate_neighbor(solution):
    neighbor = solution.copy()
    index_to_flip = random.randint(0, len(neighbor) - 1)
    neighbor[index_to_flip] = 1 - neighbor[index_to_flip]
    return neighbor

def hill_climbing(initial_solution, problem, fitness_func, max_iterations):
    current_solution = initial_solution
    current_fitness = fitness_func(current_solution)
```

```
if problem == 1:
    acceptance_ratio = 1.0
else:
    acceptance ratio = 0.9
saturation = 0
     in range(max_iterations):
    neighbor = generate neighbor(current solution)
    neighbor_fitness = fitness_func(neighbor)
    if neighbor fitness >= acceptance ratio * current fitness:
        current_solution = neighbor
current_fitness = neighbor_fitness
        saturation = 0
        saturation += 1
    if current_fitness >= 1.0:
        break
    if saturation > 100 and problem != 1:
        print(" Saturation at iteration", _)
return current_solution, current_fitness
```

#### With the following results:

```
Problem Instance: 1
Final Fitness: 1.0
Fitness Calls: 5926

Problem Instance: 2
Saturation at iteration 175
Final Fitness: 0.504
Fitness Calls: 177

Problem Instance: 5
Saturation at iteration 1399
Final Fitness: 0.545
Fitness Calls: 1401

Problem Instance: 10
Saturation at iteration 4280
Final Fitness: 0.53
Fitness Calls: 4282
```

## LAB10 - Tic-Tac-Toe Agent

The task involves creating an agent to play Tic-Tac-Toe using a reinforcement algorithm. A random player and the implementation of the game was already provided. The algorithm I decided to use is Q-Learning, a model-free reinforcement learning algorithm that learns to estimate the value of an action in a particular state.

I decided to train 3 different Q-Learing agents, one against random player, one against a minimax player and one against another Q agent.

The minimax agent using classic minimax with a heuristic function that evaluates if the game is won at end of exploration but the score is diminisched with the number of turns taken. Since it is not the focus of the lab the code is in the file but not here.

The Q-Learning agent is implemented using a dictionary for the Q table and a function to update it at each turn, while using epsilon-greedy to choose a move. The code is the following:

```
class QLearningAgent:
     def __init__(self, epsilon=0.1, alpha=U.5, gamma-U.5).
self.epsilon = epsilon # Exploration-exploitation trade-off
           self.alpha = alpha  # Learning rate
self.gamma = gamma  # Discount factor
           # O-table: state-action values
           self.q table = {}
     \label{eq:condition} \begin{array}{lll} \mbox{def save}\_q\_table(self, \ \mbox{filename}="q\_table.pickle"): \\ \mbox{with open(filename, "wb") as f:} \end{array}
                pickle.dump(self.q table, f)
     def load q table(self, filename="q table.pickle"):
           with open(filename, "rb") as f:
self.q_table = pickle.load(f)
     def get_q_value(self, state, action):
           return self.q_table.get((str(state.board), str(action)), 0.05)
     def choose_move(self, state):
    available_moves = state.get_available_moves()
           if random.uniform(0, 1) < self.epsilon:
                # Exploration:
                return random.choice(available moves)
                # Exploitation:
                q_values = [
                      (action, self.get_q_value(state, action)) for action in available_moves
```

```
best actions = [
                  action
                  for action, q_value in q_values
if q_value == max([q_value for _, q_value in q_values])
             return random.choice(best actions)
    def update_q_value(self, state, action, next_state, reward):
    # Q-value update using the Q-learning formula
         self.q_table[(str(state.board), str(action))] = (
                - self.alpha
         ) * self.get_q_value(state, action) + self.alpha * (
              + self.gamma
              * max(
                       self.get_q_value(next_state, next_action)
for next_action in next_state.get_available_moves()
                  ]
             )
{\tt def play\_game (agent, opponent, environment, printing=False):}
    environment.reset()
    while not environment.is_game_over():
         current_state = environment
         # Agent Move
         action = agent.choose move(current state)
         environment.make move(action)
         if printing:
    print("Agent's turn")
              environment.print board()
         # Check if the game is over
         if environment.is_winner(1):
             reward = 1
         elif environment.is winner(-1):
             reward = -1
         if not environment.is game over():
             agent.update q value(current state, action, environment, reward)
             if printing:
                  print("Agent wins" if reward == 1 else "Tie" if reward == 0 else "Opponent wins")
             break
         # Opponent Move
         opponent_action = opponent.choose_move(environment)
         environment.make_move(opponent_action)
         if printing:
             print("Opponent's turn")
              environment.print board()
         # Check if the game is over
         reward = 0
         if environment.is_winner(1):
             reward = 1
         elif environment.is_winner(-1):
             reward = -1
         if not environment.is_game_over():
             agent.update_q_value(current_state, action, environment, reward)
         else:
                  print("Agent wins" if reward == 1 else "Tie" if reward == 0 else "Opponent wins")
             break
# TRAINING
num_episodes = 100 000
train=False
# train=True # uncomment/comment to train/not train
if train:
    agent = QLearningAgent()
    opponent=RandomPlayer()
    for episode in tqdm(range(num_episodes)):
    environment = TicTacToe()
    play_game (agent, opponent, environment)
agent.save_q_table ("q_table_random.pkl")
    # MINIMAX
    agent = QLearningAgent()
    opponent=MinimaxPlayer(-1)
    for episode in tqdm(range(num_episodes)):
    environment = TicTacToe()
    play_game(agent,opponent, environment)
agent.save_q_table("q_table_minimax.pkl")
    # SELF
    agent = OLearningAgent()
    opponent=QLearningAgent()
    for episode in tqdm(range(num_episodes)):
         environment = TicTacToe()
play_game(agent,opponent, environment)
    agent.save_q_table("q_table_self.pkl")
```

After training the tree agents for 100\_000 episodes I tested them against each other for 100 games each with the following results:

```
RANDOM TRAINED AGENT VS RANDOM
15 ties
33 losses
MINIMAX TRAINED AGENT VS MINIMAX
10 wins
 87 losses
SELF TRAINED AGENT VS SELF
 65 wins
 11 ties
 24 losses
RANDOM TRAINED AGENT VS MINIMAX
0 ties
92 losses
RANDOM TRAINED AGENT VS SELF
 55 wins
 16 ties
 29 losses
MINIMAX TRAINED AGENT VS RANDOM
 60 wins
 11 ties
 29 losses
MINIMAX TRAINED AGENT VS SELF
 57 wins
16 ties
27 losses
SELF TRAINED AGENT VS RANDOM
54 wins
11 ties
 35 losses
SELF TRAINED AGENT VS MINIMAX
 11 wins
 5 ties
 84 losses
```

As expected the minimax agent is the strongest, followed by the Q-Learning agents and finally the random agent.

# PEER REVIEWS

\*\*Positive Aspects\*\*

\*\*Negative Aspects\*\*
Code Repetition:

Modularity and Readability:

# LAB2 - Nim Peer Reviews - 24/11/2023

## https://github.com/FedeBucce/Computational\_intelligence/

- Use of well-defined functions and classes improves code organization.

- The Individual class encapsulates relevant information

```
Evolutionary Strategy Implementation:
- The implementation of the (1,λ) Evolutionary Strategy is clear and follows a standard structure.

Overall the lab seems well implemented **

**Negative Aspects**

Evaluation:
- The agent using adaptive strategies is always player 0, giving it and advantage. Starting player could be random for a mo

https://github.com/AllegraRoberto/Computational-Intelligence/

**Positive Aspects**

Documentation:
- Very clear and extensive README allows for clear understanding of the implementation

Modularity and Readability:
- Well-defined functions and comments improves organization and readability

Evaluation:
- Extensive evaluation of the best candidates and comparisons of the results between generations allow for a good analysis

Overall the lab seems well implemented **
```

- Some code within the simulation function is repeated often, could be clearer with some refactoring.

Evaluation:

The agent using adaptive strategies is always player 0, giving it and advantage. Starting player could be random for a mo

#### LAB9 - Peer Reviews - 07/12/2023

## https://github.com/DonatoLanzillotti/Computational\_Intelligence23

\*\*Overall Structure and Organization\*\*

The code is well structured and organized using classes for different components of the evolutionary algorithm. This makes

\*\*EA Implementation\*\*

The EA algorithm has a standard structure with some good improvement like the check of saturation and the addiction of the

The increase in mutation probability seems to me a bit steep:

```
if cnt % 25 == 0 and cnt > 0:
               self.mutation prob = self.mutation prob * 1.25
```

Given the starting point of .35 and 1.25 multiplayer the probability surpasses 1 after just 5 updates, but this was maybe b

\*\*Final Comments\*\*

Overall the code and the results look good to  $\mathsf{me}\,!\, \boldsymbol{\ominus}$ 

#### https://github.com/ahmadrezafrh/Computational-Intelligence

\*\*Organization and Structure\*\*

No readme. The code it iself is clear but some comments would help a first glance understanding of what each component does

\*\*Algorithm Implementation\*\*

The algorithm implements correctly a normal EA with some nice additions:

- Switching between mutation and crossover mode if the algo reaches a "patience" limit is a good idea and seems to provide Early termination based on the amount of the above switches to mutation

The actual algorithm could be implement as a function to avoid cells repetition.

\*\*Final Comments\*\*

Aside some minor organization considerations the code and results look good to me.

#### LAB10 - Peer Reviews -

#### https://github.com/turymac/computational-intelligence

First of all congratulations on your impressive results!

Given the perfect performance there is not much to say aside some minor considerations:

- the readme could be a bit more extensive on how the code works
- defining a game and player class might make the actual code a bit more readable (but it is already pretty nicely commente

Again this is really good work and well done!

#### https://github.com/Kinepo/CI-Polito

First of all congratulations on the good result, getting your agent to win around 90% of the games!

However the are some organizations issues you should consider:

- structuring the repo with folders and readmes will make it a lot easier to navigate and understand your work
- on the same note adding comments or taking advantage of markdown in the nb will greatly improve the ability to understand
- no need to have the whole training run print  $:\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}}$

The code itself and the result show a good understanding of DL so there is not much to add, well done and good luck! 😂

# **OUIXO**

### 1. Introduction

The goal of this project is to implement a agent for the game of Quixo.

I tried different approaches, from minimax to a Q-Learning player, ending with a player using Deep Q-Learning with a replay buffer.

Most of the approaches I tried are in the  ${\tt quixo}$  folder aside the very catastrophic ones .

## 2. The Players

#### 2.1 Random Player

Was already implemented in the lab, was used to test the other players.

#### 2.2 Heuristic Player Player

First attempt at implementing an agent based on some simple heuristic after reading the rules of the game and looking at some gameplay online.

This was really bad and it is not committed to the repo.

#### Main ideas:

- At each turn try all the possible moves (this was achieved by by using a copy and paste version of the \_\_move, \_\_take, \_\_slide as well as a function to find all the possible moves for a certain player)
- After each try evaluate the board with a simple heuristic function :

```
def heuristic(self, board, player):
          def count_lines(board, player):
               # Count the number of lines with 4 pieces of the player ie 4 tiles with value =self.index
               count = 0
               for I in range(5):
                    if np.sum(board[i:] == player) >= 4:
                         count += 1
                    if np.sum(board[:, i] == player) >= 4:
                         count += 1
                    if np.sum(np.diag(board, i) == player) >= 4:
                         count += 1
                    if np.sum(np.diag(board[:, ::-1], i) == player) >= 4:
                         count += 1
               return count
          def count_corners(board, player):
    # Count the number of corners controlled by the player
    corners = [(0, 0), (0, 4), (4, 0), (4, 4)]
               count = sum(1 for corner in corners if board[corner] == player)
               return count
          def center_control(board, player):
    # Check if the player controls the center of the board
               center = (2, 2)
               return 1 if board[center] == player else 0
          def edge control(board, player):
               # Count the number of edges controlled by the player
               edges = [(0, 2), (2, 0), (2, 4), (4, 2)]

count = sum(1 \text{ for edge in edges if board[edge]} == player)
          # Calculate the score based on different features
          weights = self.weights
          player = self.index
          score = (
               weights["num_lines"] * count_lines(board, player)
+ weights["num_corners"] * count_corners(board, player)
+ weights["center_control"] * center_control(board, player)
               + weights["edge_control"] * edge_control(board, player)
          return score
```

**Issues:** I dont think the game of Quixo is suited for a heuristic approach (or rather I just couldn't define a good one): the number of possible moves is high and with the board changing drastically at each turn I found it hard to define a good heuristic.

The result were indistinguishable from just choosing a random action.

#### Takeaways:

• Using a copy and paste version of the \_move, \_take, \_slide and most imporantly a function to find all the possible moves for a certain player is a was a good idea and I brought them over to other approaches with refinements.

## 2.3 Q-Learning Player

After the failure of the heuristic approach I decided to try a reinforcement learning approach after looking at some inspiration online. First time trying to implement a RL algorithm so I was a bit lost at the beginning. Went over various iterations and rewrites befire getting an understanding of what I was doing adn getting a working player.

#### Main ideas:

- Implementing the Q table as a dictionary with the state as key and the value as the Q value for each action.
- Before the state and actions are added to the Q table they are transformed to strings (immutable) to be used as key, making sure to transform the board in a way that is agnostic to the player index (so that the same board is always represented in the same way).

```
board str = "".join([str(x) for row in board for x in row])
    if self.player_index == 1:
    board_str = board_str.replace("0", "1").replace("1", "0")
    return board str
def move_to_key(self, action: tuple[tuple[int, int], Move]) -> str:
    transform the move into unique string by concat all the values
    example: # ((0, 0), Move.TOP) -> '000'
    row = action[0][0]
    col = action[0][1]
    move value = action[1].value
    return str(row) + str(col) + str(move_value)
def movekey_to_move(self, movekey: str) -> tuple[tuple[int, int], Move]:
    transform the movekey string into move
    example: '000' -> ((0, 0), Move.TOP)
    row = int(movekev[0])
    col = int(movekey[1])
    move_value = int(movekey[2])
    return ((row, col), Move(move value))
def add_new_board(self, game) -> None:
    add new board and all possible actions to the q table
    board_key = self.board_to_key(game._board)
    all action keys = [
        self.move_to_key(action)
        for action in get_all_possible_actions(game, self.player_index)
    self.q_table[board_key] = {}
for action_key in all_action_keys:
    self.q_table[board_key][action_key] = 0
def get_max_q_value_move(self, game: "Game") -> float:
    return move with \max q value for the current board
    board key = self.board to key(game. board)
    max_q_value = max(self.q_table[board_key].values())
    max q value moves = [
        self.movekey_to_move(movekey)
        for movekey, q_value in self.q_table[board_key].items() if q_value == max_q_value
    return random.choice(max_q_value_moves)
```

• Epson greedy approach is used to choose the action to take

```
def make_move(self, game: "Game") -> tuple[tuple[int, int], Move]:
    """
    exploration vs exploitation
    chance to make a random move or the move with max q value
    """
    current_board_key = self.board_to_key(game._board)
    if current_board_key not in self.q_table:
        self.add_new_board(game)

action = None
    if random.random() < self.random_action_probability:
        action = get_random_possible_action(game, self.player_index)
    else:
        action = self.get_max_q_value_move(game)

action_key = self.move_to_key(action)

if action_key not in self.q_table[current_board_key]:
    raise Exception("action key not in q table but it shuld be")

self.last_game_actions.append((current_board_key, action_key))
    return (action[0][1], action[0][0]), action[1]</pre>
```

• The Q values are updated at the end of each game based of the win or lose.

```
def update_q_table(self, haswon: bool) -> None:
    """
    update q table after the game is finished
    """
    if haswon:
        for board_key, action_key in self.last_game_actions:
            self.q_table[board_key][action_key] += 1
    else:
        for board_key, action_key in self.last_game_actions:
            self.q_table[board_key][action_key] -= 1
```

```
self.last game actions = []
```

#### **Issues:**

While I can see it working with enough compute the main issue is the size of the Q table: the number of possible states is huge and the Q table grows exponentially with the number of games played.

After training for 100\_000 games the Q table was already 400MB and the player while improving was still not much better than a random player.

Q table is not committed for size reasons.

#### Takeaways:

- Most important takeaway is making the board agnostic to the player index which I didn't do before as well as converting the board adn actions to a more compact representation (will be useful later when finally implementing DQL).
- The Q table was a good idea but the size of it is a problem.
- I created an utils.py file to store all the functions like getting all the possible actions and trying a move.

## 2.4 Minimax Player

Tried my hand at a minimax player using alpha beta pruning. Like with the heuristic player I found it hard to define a good heuristic for the game of Ouixo.

#### Main ideas:

- · Standard Minimax with alpha beta pruning
- Using the same functions as before to get all the possible moves and try them while evaluating with a "new" heuristic function

```
def mid game heuristic(self, board):
    boardeval = 0
    # max number in a row col or diag for each player
    mp, mo = 0, 0
    for I in range(5):
         mp = max(mp, np.count_nonzero(board[i] == self.player_index))
        mo = max(mo, np.count_nonzero(board[i] == 1 - self.player_index))
mp = max(mp, np.count_nonzero(board[:, i] == self.player_index))
         mo = max(mo, np.count_nonzero(board[:, i] == 1 - self.player_index))
    mp = max(mp, np.count_nonzero(np.diag(board) == self.player_index))
    mo = max(mo, np.count_nonzero(np.diag(board) == 1 - self.player_index))
    boardeval += 5**mp - 5**mo
    # piece count
    cp = np.count_nonzero(board.flatten() == self.player_index)
    op = np.count_nonzero(board.flatten() == 1 - self.player_index)
boardeval += 2**cp - 2**op
    # # Core count
      for I in range(1, 4):
           for j in range (1, 4):
               boardeval += (
                    if board[i][j] == self.player_index
                    else -1
                    if board[i][j] == 1 - self.player_index
                    else 0
    # Edge count
    edge_positions = (
    [(0, i) for I in range(1, 4)]
         + [(4, i) for I in range(1, 4)]
+ [(i, 0) for I in range(1, 4)]
         + [(i, 4) for I in range(1, 4)]
    for x, y in edge_positions:
    boardeval += (
             if board[x][y] == self.player_index
             if board[x][y] == 1 - self.player_index
             else 0
    # # Corner count
      corner_positions = [(0, 0), (0, 4), (4, 0), (4, 4)]
              y in corner_positions:
           boardeval += (
               if board[x][y] == self.player index
               else -1
               if board[x][y] == 1 - self.player index
               else 0
```

return boardeval

**Issues:** While the algorithm works I still had issues trying to find a combination things to consider and the weights for them in the heuristic function that would make the player play well.

Again it was winning only slightly more than a random player.

Takeaways: Doing some research online I found some informations:

- Quixo is a solved game ()[] but with exponential complexity ()[]. I couldn't however follow the implementation of the algorithm in the paper.
- The structure of the game can leading to repeating patterns and this can hinder performance of a minimax algorithm. I dont doubt hoever that my implementation of it and heuristic function were not good enough to reach this limitations. However after getting these informations I decided to move to Deep Q-Learning.

## 2.5 Deep Q-Learning Player

Final player implemented, it took some time to understand how to implement it and I had to rewrite it many times bifore getting an understanding of what I was doing.

The main struggle I faced was trying to understand how to read and evalualte the output of the network after giving it the current state as well as how to evaluate the loss and update the network.

The complete dql player code is also copied all at once at the end of the log.

#### Main ideas:

- Experience and Replay Buffer: at each step the player saves the current state, action, reward and next state in a replay buffer. This is used to train the network at the end of each game. The main ideas behind the Replay Buffer are the following:
  - The network is trained at the end of each game with a batch of random samples from the replay buffer. This is done to avoid the network overfitting on only the last moves of the game.
  - It helps mitigate instabilities and allow the network to learn from previous experiences.

```
Experience = namedtuple("Experience", ("state", "action", "next_state", "reward"))
class ReplayBuffer:
    def __init__(self, max_size: int = 100_000) -> None:
        self.buffer = deque(maxlen=max_size)

    def add(self, experience: tuple) -> None:
        self.buffer.append(experience)

    def sample(self, batch_size: int) -> list:
        return random.sample(self.buffer, batch_size)

    def __len__(self) -> int:
        return len(self.buffer)
```

 Conversion of the board to a neutral representation agnostic to the player index as well as converting the actions to a more compact representation.

```
def board_to_neutral_flatten_board(board: list[list[int]], p_index=int) -> list[int]:
    """Converts a board to a neutral flatten board where current player is 1, opponent is -1 and empty is 0"""
    opponent = 1 - p_index
    return [
        1 if x == p_index else -1 if x == opponent else 0 for row in board for x in row
]

def reward_to_neutral_reward(reward: int, p_index: int) -> int:
    """Converts a reward to a neutral reward where current player is 1, opponent is -1 else 0"""
    if reward == p_index:
    return 1
    elif reward == 1 - p_index:
    return -1
    else:
    return 0.5
```

- The model is a pytorch neural network with the following structure.
  - The input will be the flattened board converted to a neutral representation
  - The output will be a 4\*5\*5 tensor. So it will be viewed as a 4 5\*5 matrices. Each matrix corresponds to one of the move directions (TOP, BOTTOM, LEFT, RIGHT) and each cell of the matrix will contain the Q value for that move in that position.

```
class DQN(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, input_dim: int, output_dim: int) -> None:
        super().__init__()
        self.fcl = nn.Linear(input_dim, 128)
        self.fc2 = nn.Linear(128, 128)
        self.fc3 = nn.Linear(128, output_dim)

def forward(self, x: torch.Tensor) -> torch.Tensor:
        x = torch.relu(self.fc1(x))
        x = torch.relu(self.fc2(x))
```

• The models output a Q value for every cell and for every move but not all of them are possible (either illegal or the board configuration does not allow it). To account for this the output tensor is first converted to the (4,5,5) view described above before being masked with a mask created from all the possible actions at the current turn. Then the indices of the action with the highest Q value are taken and converted to a valid move format. The whole process is done with the following functions inside the player class:

```
def get model output(self, game) -> torch.Tensor:
      ""Returns the model output for the current game board"""
    state = board_to neutral_flatten_board(game.get_board(), self.p_index)
return self.model(torch.tensor(state, dtype=torch.float32))
def model_output_view(self, game) -> torch.Tensor:
    """Returns the model output for the current game board reshaped to 4 5x5 matrices one matrix per slide direction""
     return self.get_model_output(game).view(4, 5, 5)
def mask model output(self, game: "Game") -> torch.Tensor:
     """Mask the model output to only possible actions"
    model_output = self.get_model_output(game)
    model output = model_output.view(4, 5, 5)
    possible_actions = all_possible_actions_to_list_triplets(game, self.p_index)
possible_actions_to_model_output_mask = torch.zeros(4, 5, 5)
    for action in possible_actions:
         possible_actions_to_model_output_mask[action[2], action[0], action[1]] = 1
    return model_output * possible_actions_to_model_output_mask
def get_max_q_action(self, game: "Game") -> tuple[int, int, int]:
    """Returns indexes of the action with the highest q value"" # masked 4 * [5 * 5] matrix
    masked_model_output = self.mask_model_output(game)
     # Find the index of the maximum value across all matrices
     flat index = torch.argmax(masked model output.view(-1))
    # Calculate matrix, row, and column indices directly
matrix_index = flat_index // (
        masked_model_output.shape[1] * masked_model_output.shape[2]
         flat_index % (masked_model_output.shape[1] * masked_model_output.shape[2])
    ) // masked_model_output.shape[2]
    col index =
         flat_index % (masked_model_output.shape[1] * masked_model_output.shape[2])
    ) % masked_model_output.shape[2]
    return (row_index.item(), col_index.item(), matrix_index.item())
def action to move (
    self, action: tuple[int, int, int]
) -> tuple[tuple[int, int], Move]:
    """Converts an action triplet to a move"""
    return ((action[0], action[1]), Move(action[2]))
```

· At each turn the move is chosen with an epsilon greedy approach and the experience is added to a current game buffer.

```
def add experience(
    self,
game: "Game"
     action: tuple[int, int, int],
) -> None:
"""Adds an experience to the memory"""

The state of the memory flatten board
    state = board_to_neutral_flatten_board(game.get_board(), self.p_index)
next_state = board_to_neutral_flatten_board(
          utils.try_move(self.action_to_move(action), game.get_board(), self.p_index),
         self.p_index,
     reward = reward_to_neutral_reward(
         utils.evaluate_winner(game.get_board()), self.p_index
     experience = Experience(state, action, next_state, reward)
    self.one game memory.append(experience)
def make_move(self, game: "Game") -> tuple[tuple[int, int], Move]:
    """Makes a move eps greedy"""
     if random.random() < 0.1:
         action = utils.get_random_possible_action(game, self.p_index)
         action = self.get_max_q_action(game)
         self.add_experience(game, action)
action = self.action_to_move(action)
     action = (action[0][1], action[0][0]), action[1] # GOD WHY
     return action
```

• For the training loop the theplayer plays a number of games against a random player and at the end of each game the current game buffer is added to the replay buffer. If the replay buffer has enough memories (to avoid overfitting the first matches) it is sampled and the network is updated on the samples using MSE loss and Adam optimizer.:

```
def train(self, num games=1 000):
    """Trains the model"""
    print("Training...")
    buffer = ReplayBuffer()
    for g in tqdm(range(num_games)):
        game = Game()
        p1 = DQLPlayer(0)
        p2 = RandomPlayer()
        res = game.play(p1, p2)
        neutral_result = reward_to_neutral_reward(res, 0)
```

```
for m in pl.get memories():
           m.state, m.action, m.next state, m.reward - neutral result
       buffer.push(e)
   batch size = 64
    if len(buffer) < batch size:
       continue
   experiences = buffer.sample(batch size)
    states = torch.tensor([e.state for e in experiences], dtype=torch.float32)
    actions = torch.tensor([e.action for e in experiences], dtype=torch.int64)
    next states = torch.tensor(
        [e.next state for e in experiences], dtype=torch.float32
    rewards = torch.tensor([e.reward for e in experiences], dtype=torch.float32)
    current_q_values = self.model(states).gather(1, actions).squeeze(-1)
   next q values = self.model(next states).max(1)[0].detach()
    target_q_values = rewards + 0.99 * next_q_values
    target_q_values = target_q_values.unsqueeze(1)
    loss = self.loss(current_q_values, target_q_values)
    self.optimizer.zero_grad()
    loss.backward()
    self.optimizer.step()
self.save()
```

Issues: Many Deep Q Learnig implementations use a target network to avoid the network overfitting on the last moves of the game while this does not

Takeaways: The network is able to beat a random player more than 80% of the times on average both as first and second player.

```
# DONPlayer as P1 - Win rate over 1000 games: 0.838
# DONPlayer as P2 - Win rate over 1000 games: 0.797
# DONPlayer as P1 - Win rate over 1000 games: 0.836
# DONPlayer as P2 - Win rate over 1000 games: 0.804
# DONPlayer as P1 - Win rate over 1000 games: 0.852
# DONPlayer as P2 - Win rate over 1000 games: 0.806
```

These results are obtained after trainging the player for 1000 games with the replay buffer and the value of epsilon for the greedy choice is 0.1.

Given the decisely worse performance of the other players I implemented I consider this a good result and don't think it is necessary to implement evaluation against them as well as implementing a target network.

This seems the best approach given both the results and the structure of the game it iself.

# Final DQL Player

```
import utils
import torch.nn as nn
import torch.optim as optim
import numpy as np
import random
from game import Game, Move, Player
from collections import namedtuple
from tqdm import tqdm
from collections import deque
class RandomPlayer(Player):
    def __init__(self) -> None:
    super().__init__()
    def make_move(self, game: "Game") -> tuple[tuple[int, int], Move]:
        from pos = (random.randint(0, 4), random.randint(0, 4))
move = random.choice([Move.TOP, Move.BOTTOM, Move.LEFT, Move.RIGHT])
        return from_pos, move
Experience = namedtuple("Experience", ("state", "action", "next_state", "reward"))
class ReplayBuffer:
    def push(self, experience: Experience) -> None:
        self.buffer.append(experience)
    def sample(self, batch_size: int) -> list[Experience]:
        return random.sample(self.buffer, batch size)
        __len__(self) -> int:
return len(self.buffer)
```

```
def board_to_neutral_flatten_board(board: list[list[int]], p_index=int) -> list[int]:
       ""Converts a board to a neutral flatten board where current player is 1, opponent is -1 and empty is 0"""
    opponent = 1 - p index
        1 if x == p index else -1 if x == opponent else 0 for row in board for x in row
def all_possible_actions_to_list_triplets(
game: "Game", p index
) -> list[tuple[int, int, int]]:
   """Converts a list of possible actions for the current to a list of tuple of int"""
    actions = utils.get_all_possible_actions(game.get_board(), p_index)
    return [(action[0][0], action[0][1], action[1].value) for action in actions]
def reward_to_neutral_reward(reward: int, p_index: int) -> int:
    """Converts a reward to a neutral reward where current player is 1, opponent is -1 else 0"""
    if reward == p_index:
         return 1
    elif reward == 1 - p_index:
         return -1
    else.
        return 0.5
class DON(nn.Module):
    def init (self, input dim: int, output dim: int) -> None:
         super().__init__()
self.fcl = nn.Linear(input_dim, 128)
         self.fc2 = nn.Linear(128, \overline{128})
         self.fc3 = nn.Linear(128, output_dim)
    def forward(self, x: torch.Tensor) -> torch.Tensor:
         x = torch.relu(self.fc1(x))
x = torch.relu(self.fc2(x))
         return self.fc3(x)
class DQLPlayer(Player):
    Deep Q Learning Player.
    Parameters:
     p index: The player index.
    - preload: Whether to preload the model from a file. (default: False)
    Choose the action using epsilon greedy.
    The model is a DQN with 25 input neurons and 4 * 5 * 5 output neurons.
    The input neurons are the 25 board positions, 1 if the position is the
    current player, -1 if the position is the opponent, 0 otherwise.
    The output neurons are the 4 possible actions for each of the 5x5 board
    The model is trained using a replay buffer.
   def __init__(self, p_index, p.
    super().__init__()
    self.p_index = p_index
    self.model = DQN(25, 4 * 5 * 5)
# 25 * 4actions, needs a mask
# should return 4 5x5 matrices each matrix is q values for each move
         self.one_game_memory = []
         self.optimizer = optim.Adam(self.model.parameters(), 1r=0.001)
         self.loss = nn.MSELoss()
    def save(self, path: str = "quixo/dqlmodel.pt") -> None:
            "Saves the model to a file"
         torch.save(self.model.state_dict(), path)
    def get_memories(self) -> list[Experience]:
          """Returns the memories of the last game"""
         return self.one_game_memory
    def get_model_output(self, game) -> torch.Tensor:
          ""Returns the model output for the current game board"""
         state = board_to neutral_flatten_board(game.get_board(), self.p_index)
return self.model(torch.tensor(state, dtype=torch.float32))
    def model output view(self, game) -> torch. Tensor:
          ""Returns the model output for the current game board reshaped to 4 5x5 matrices one matrix per slide direction"""
         return self.get_model_output(game).view(4, 5, 5)
    def mask_model_output(self, game: "Game") -> torch.Tensor:
         """Mask the model output to only possible actions""
         model_output = self.get_model_output(game)
model_output = model_output.view(4, 5, 5)
```

```
possible actions = all possible actions to list triplets(game, self.p index)
    possible_actions_to_model_output_mask = torch.zeros(4, 5, 5)
    for action in possible actions:
         possible_actions_to_model_output_mask[action[2], action[0], action[1]] = 1
    return model output * possible actions to model output mask
# masked 4 * [5 * 5] matrix
    masked_model_output = self.mask_model_output(game)
     # Find the index of the maximum value across all matrices
    flat_index = torch.argmax(masked_model_output.view(-1))
     # Calculate matrix, row, and column indices directly
    matrix_index = flat_index // (
    masked_model_output.shape[1] * masked_model_output.shape[2]
    row_index = (
         flat_index % (masked_model_output.shape[1] * masked_model_output.shape[2])
      // masked_model_output.shape[2]
    col_index = (
    flat_index % (masked_model_output.shape[1] * masked_model_output.shape[2])
    ) % masked_model_output.shape[2]
    return (row index.item(), col index.item(), matrix index.item())
def action_to_move(
    self, action: tuple[int, int, int]
) -> tuple[tuple[int, int], Move]:
"""Converts an action triplet to a move"""
    return ((action[0], action[1]), Move(action[2]))
def add_experience(
    self,
game: "Game",
    action: tuple[int, int, int],
) -> None:
"""Adds an experience to the memory"""
    state = board_to_neutral_flatten_board(game.get_board(), self.p_index)
    next state = board to neutral flatten board(
         utils.try move(self.action to move(action), game.get board(), self.p index),
         self.p_index,
    reward = reward to neutral reward(
         utils.evaluate winner(game.get board()), self.p index
    experience = Experience(state, action, next state, reward)
    self.one game memory.append(experience)
def make_move(self, game: "Game") -> tuple[tuple[int, int], Move]:
    """Makes a move eps greedy"""
    if random.random() < 0.1:</pre>
         action = utils.get_random_possible_action(game, self.p_index)
         action = self.get_max_q_action(game)
self.add_experience(game, action)
         action = self.action_to_move(action)
    action = (action[0][1], action[0][0]), action[1]
     return action
def train(self, num_games=1_000):
    """Trains the model"""
    print("Training...")
     buffer = ReplayBuffer()
     for g in tqdm(range(num_games)):
         game = Game()
         p1 = DQLPlayer(0)
p2 = RandomPlayer()
        res = game.play(pl, p2)
neutral_result = reward_to_neutral_reward(res, 0)
         for m in pl.get memories():
             e = Experience(
                  m.state, m.action, m.next_state, m.reward - neutral_result
             buffer.push(e)
         batch size = 64
         if len(buffer) < batch_size:
             continue
         experiences = buffer.sample(batch_size)
         states = torch.tensor([e.state for e in experiences], dtype=torch.float32)
actions = torch.tensor([e.action for e in experiences], dtype=torch.int64)
         next_states = torch.tensor(
             [e.next_state for e in experiences], dtype=torch.float32
         rewards = torch.tensor([e.reward for e in experiences], dtype=torch.float32)
         current_q_values = self.model(states).gather(1, actions).squeeze(-1)
```