Determinism in Finite Automata.

Course: Formal Languages & Finite Automata

Author: Moraru Gabriel

Theory:

In the case of a **DFA**, there's one transition for every input from every state, thus indicating a single path. On the other hand, an **NFA** allows for multiple transitions and ϵ -transitions, and both will recognize the same languages. Because DFAs do not allow for these multiple transitions, the method used to convert an NFA to a DFA is known as subset construction

- 1. The sets of NFA states are treated as a single DFA state.
- 2. Transitions are defined with respect to all possible moves available from the NFA.
- 3. DFA final states are those which include an NFA final state.

Chomsky Hierarchy

- 1. Type 3 Regular Languages (Finite Automata, simple patterns)
- 2. Type 2 Context-Free (Pushdown Automata, programming languages)
- 3. Type 1 Context-Sensitive (more complex grammars)
- 4. Type 0 Recursively Enumerable (Turing Machines, most powerful)

Objectives:

- 1. Understand what an automaton is and what it can be used for.
- 2. Continuing the work in the same repository and the same project, the following need to be added: a. Provide a function in your grammar type/class that could classify the grammar based on Chomsky hierarchy.
- b. For this you can use the variant from the previous lab.
 - 3. According to your variant number (by universal convention it is register ID), get the finite automaton definition and do the following tasks:
- a. Implement conversion of a finite automaton to a regular grammar.
- b. Determine whether your FA is deterministic or non-deterministic.
- c. Implement some functionality that would convert an NDFA to a DFA.
- d. Represent the finite automaton graphically (Optional, and can be considered as a **bonus point**):
 - You can use external libraries, tools or APIs to generate the figures/diagrams.

 Your program needs to gather and send the data about the automaton and the lib/tool/API return the visual representation.

Implementation description:

This initializes the grammar by defining **non-terminals** (VN), **terminals** (VT), **production rules** (P), and the **start symbol**(S). The production rules specify how non-terminals can be replaced by sequences of terminals and/or other non-terminals.

```
def __init__(self):
self.VN = {"S", "A", "B", "C"} # Non-terminals
self.VT = {"a", "b"} # Terminals
self.P = {
    "S": ["aA"],
    "A": ["bS", "aB"],
    "B": ["bC"],
    "C": ["aA", "b"]
}
self.start_symbol = "S"
```

This function generates a **random string** following the grammar rules. It starts with the start_symbol and iteratively replaces non-terminals using random production rules until only terminals remain or the length limit (max_length) is reached. If non-terminals still exist in the string, it recursively regenerates to ensure a valid output.

```
def generate_string(self, max_length=10):
"""Generate a random valid string based on the grammar rules."""
word = self.start_symbol
while any(symbol in self.VN for symbol in word) and len(word) < max_length:
    for i, symbol in enumerate(word):
        if symbol in self.VN:
            replacement = random.choice(self.P[symbol]) # Choose a random rule
                  word = word[:i] + replacement + word[i+1:] # Replace non-terminal
                  break # Apply one rule per iteration
return word if all(s not in self.VN for s in word) else self.generate_string(max_length)</pre>
```

This function generates a specified number (count) of unique valid strings using generate_string(). It ensures uniqueness by storing generated strings in a set and continues generating until the desired number is reached, returning the results as a list.

```
def generate_strings(self, count=5):
"""Generate multiple unique valid strings from the grammar."""
unique_strings = set()
while len(unique_strings) < count:
    new_string = self.generate_string()
    unique_strings.add(new_string)
return list(unique_strings)</pre>
```

This function constructs a **Finite Automaton** (**FA**) from the given grammar. It initializes states, terminals, and transitions, identifying **final states** (where productions contain only terminals). It also builds a transition table mapping non-terminals to their possible next states based on grammar rules.

This function checks whether an input string is **accepted** by the FA. It starts from the **initial state** and follows valid transitions symbol by symbol. If at least one final state is reached at the end, the string is accepted; otherwise, it is rejected.

Conclusion:

This program defines a **formal grammar** and a corresponding **finite automaton (FA)** to generate and validate strings based on specific rules. The **Grammar** class creates random valid strings using production rules, ensuring they consist only of terminals. The **FiniteAutomaton** class constructs a state machine from the grammar and checks whether a given string is valid according to its transitions. Together, these components demonstrate the relationship between **context-free grammars** and **finite automata**, illustrating how a structured set of rules can define and recognize a language.

References:

- 1. Else Course FAF.LFA21.1
- 2. Finite Automata https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/finite-automata-algorithm-for-pattern-searching/

 $\underline{https://stackoverflow.com/questions/35272592/how-are-finite-automata-implemented-in-code}$