

Quantum Algorithms for Portfolio Optimisation in Finance

G. Balarezo^{1,*}

¹*School of Physical Sciences and Nanotechnology,
Yachay Tech University,
Urcuquí, Ecuador*

Quantum computing has the promise to address computational problems that are considered intractable with our classical computational models. Nevertheless, due to the current limitations of the available quantum hardware, we are still years away from achieving a universal fault-tolerant quantum computer. In the interim, the development of quantum algorithms for specific problems and their implementation on near-term quantum devices is a promising approach. In this work, we review the most promising quantum algorithms for financial applications, focusing on Portfolio Optimisation and Option Pricing. We describe the ideas behind important quantum algorithms such as Variational Quantum Algorithms (VQAs) and Quantum Amplitude Estimation (QAE) and their theoretical performance. We provide an overview of the hardware available for their implementation and discuss the results obtained by some study cases, comparing them with their classical counterparts. Finally, we discuss the future prospects of these algorithms in the financial industry. **Keywords:** Quantum algorithms, Quantum approximate optimization algorithm, Quantum amplitude estimation, Portfolio optimisation, Quantum computing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum computing has become a rapidly growing field of research in recent years, sparking interest from both academic and industrial sectors, including the financial industry in particular [1]. The potential of quantum computers to address computational problems that are considered intractable with our classical models of computation [2] has driven a considerable amount of research and innovation in the development of Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum computers (NISQ) and quantum algorithms capable of leveraging this computational power [3].

These near-term devices, with limited qubit capabilities and high error rates, have shown promising results. Implemented along with classical computers and adequate quantum algorithms, they can offer significant speedups for some important but classically inefficiently solvable problems in areas such as Optimisation [4], Stochastic Modelling [5], and Machine Learning [6]. These advancements are particularly relevant to the financial sector [7], where they could yield substantial speedups in tasks such as portfolio optimisation and option pricing [1]. These tasks have a high computational cost that coupled with growing complexity of the financial markets, the need for real-time analysis of large amounts of data and fast decision-making [8], makes quantum computing an attractive option for financial institutions.

In the Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) proposed by Markowitz [9], the goal of portfolio optimisation is to find the best combination of assets that maximises the return for a given level of risk and some constraints. This is a highly constrained quadratic optimisation problem,

known to be NP-Hard, which can be formulated in several ways depending on the conditions of the investor [10]. Machine Learning based models (ML) such as the one proposed by Mazumdar et al. [11] are widely used for minimising risk contributors and maximising asset diversification.

In particular, quantum approaches to portfolio optimisation are gaining significant attention [12]. In 2019 Kerenidis, Prakash and Szilágyi proposed a quantum algorithm for the constrained portfolio optimisation problem. Based on an interior point method, this algorithm reduces the optimisation problem to a second order cone program (SOCP). The authors suggest that their algorithm could achieve a $\mathcal{O}(n)$ speedup over its classical counterpart.

Other approaches such as the variational quantum algorithms (VQAs) [13–16] work by reformulating the portfolio optimisation problem as a quadratic unconstrained binary optimisation (QUBO) problem. Glover et al. [17] covers some methods to reformulate any optimisation problem as a QUBO problem, and Date et al. [18] proposed an algorithm that allows the efficient embedding of a QUBO problem in a quantum annealer. Using these concepts, Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE) [3, 15, 19–21] and Quantum Approximate Optimisation Algorithm (QAOA) [22, 23] have been proposed for portfolio optimisation [24]. Quantum annealers such as those developed by D-Wave Systems [25] are been used for practical implementations of the aforementioned algorithms [26–28]. Nevertheless, it remains unclear whether these quantum algorithms provide any effective speedup over classical methods for these tasks.

Option pricing is another important task in finance where quantum algorithms have been applied. The implementation of the Quantum Amplitude Estimation (QAE) algorithm [29–32] has been proposed for the calculation of the expected value of the option price. This algorithm is

* e-mail: juan.balarezo@yachaytech.edu.ec

TABLE I. Criteria for the selection of the papers reviewed in this work.

Metodology	Application	Algorithm	Hardware
Optimisation	Portfolio optimisation	Approximate Optimisation (SOCP, VQE, QAOA) [11], [21], [27], [28]	Gate-based quantum computer Quantum Annealer
Monte Carlo	Option pricing	Search and Count (QAE, QPA, QPE) [29],[30], [31], [32], [33]	Gate-based quantum computer

expected to provide a quadratic speedup over the classical Monte Carlo methods used for this task.

The aim of this work is to provide a review of the quantum algorithms for specific financial applications, focusing on Portfolio Optimisation and Option Pricing. Table I provides an overview of the topics covered in this work, as well as the relevant literature reviewed.

In Sec. II we introduce necessary concepts in finance and quantum algorithms. Then, in Sec. III and Sec. IV we present a review of relevant literature on quantum algorithms proposed for the aforementioned financial applications. We also introduce the classical methods used for these tasks and compare them with their quantum counterparts.

In Sec. V we provide an overview of the hardware implementations of these algorithms. Finally, Sec. VI concludes this work and discusses the future prospects of quantum algorithms in the financial industry.

It is worth mentioning that important surveys have been published on the topic of quantum computing in finance in recent years. Among these, we highlight the works of Orús et al. [34], Egger et al. [7], Albareti et al. [35], Herman et al. [10], and Herman et al. [36], which have been instrumental in the development of this work. We refer the interested readers to these works for a more comprehensive overview of the field.

II. BACKGROUND

A. Some basics concepts

1. Assets and Portfolio Optimisation

In finance, an asset is a financial instrument that can be owned by an individual, a corporation, or a country with the expectation that it will provide a future benefit [37]. Financial assets can include stocks, bonds, commodities, derivatives, etc.

On the other hand, a portfolio is a collection of individual investment that can include a wide range of asset classes. Some key components of a portfolio include diversification, risk and return, and asset allocation. The goal of a portfolio is to diversify investments to achieve

some specific objective such as maximising returns, minimising risk, or a balance between them [38]. In order to achieve this, portfolio optimisation is used to find the best allocation of assets that fulfils the investor's objectives.

In the original work by Markowitz [9], a mean-variance portfolio optimisation (MVPO) is proposed, which is widely used in the financial industry to this day, and whose formulation is presented in this section.

The input for the MVPO is data about the historical prices and returns of certain financial assets. An asset range ($1 \leq i \leq N$) is considered, and a time range ($0 \leq t \leq T$).

Then, the first information we extract from the data is a list P of current prices P_i of the considered assets.

$$P_i = p_i(T) \quad (1)$$

In addition, the historical returns of the assets between time $t - 1$ and t are calculated as:

$$r_i(t) = \frac{p_i(t) - p_i(t-1)}{p_i(t-1)} \quad (2)$$

But for inference purposes, we must consider the expected return of the assets. So, given the historical returns, we can calculate the expected return of the assets as:

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T r_i(t) \quad (3)$$

The variance of the returns of each asset and the covariance between returns of different assets over the historical period are given by:

$$\sigma_i^2 = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (r_i(t) - \mu_i)^2 \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (r_i(t) - \mu_i)(r_j(t) - \mu_j) \quad (5)$$

A set of investments x_i (given as a fraction of the total budget or number of asset units) allocated for each

i th considered asset, is then defined. Therefore, an optimal method for asset allocation aims to maximise the portfolio return $\mu^T x$ while minimising risk, defined as the portfolio variance $x^T \Sigma x$, where μ is the vector of expected returns for each asset i computed by Eq. 3, Σ is the covariance matrix calculate by Eq. 4 and Eq. 5, and x is the vector of asset allocations measured as fractions of the total budget. Therefore, the problem of finding the optimal portfolio is reduced to find the x vector that maximises the following objective function:

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \mu^T x - qx^T \Sigma x \quad (6)$$

where q is a parameter that determines the trade-off between risk and return. In a realistic scenario, budget constraints and non-negative x_i values (only buying) must be considered. Therefore, in the general case, the problem is formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_x \mathcal{L}(x) : \max_x (\mu^T x - qx^T \Sigma x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N x_i = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In section III we will review how this problem can be mapped into a QUBO problem and solved using quantum algorithms.

2. Option Pricing

An option is a financial instrument that gives the holder the opportunity but not the obligation to buy or sell the **underlying asset** at an agreed-upon price (**strike price**) at a specified time in the future (**expiration date**) [38]. There are many kind of options, but the most common are **call** and **put options** (buy and sell options, respectively). Furthermore, we must differentiate between European and American options. The former can only be exercised at the expiration date, while the latter can be exercised at any time before the expiration date [32].

The profit diagram for a call option is shown in Fig. 1, where the **premium** is the price paid for contract initially. Then, the intrinsic value of the option at the expiration date is calculated as the difference between the stock price S and the strike price E . This function of the underlying asset is called the **payoff function** and is given by:

$$\text{Payoff} = \max(S - E, 0) \quad (8)$$

The goal of option pricing is to estimate the option payoff at the expiration date and then discount that value to determine the **fair value**, which indicates the amount of money an investor should pay to enter the option contract today, given the current market conditions. The challenging part is to compute the expected value of the option payoff, which is usually done using Monte Carlo methods. In section IV we will review how this problem can be solved using quantum algorithms.




FIG. 1. Profit diagram for a call option. Adapted from [38].

3. Variational Quantum Algorithms

Variational Quantum Algorithms (VQAs) are hybrid quantum-classical algorithms that leverage some quantum mechanical principles to solve optimisation problems. The main goal of a VQA is to find an approximate solution for optimisation and combinatorial problems [14]. Having stated the optimisation problem, we must map its cost function $C(\theta)$ to a Hamiltonian operator, whose ground state energy encodes the optimal solution.




FIG. 2. Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE) algorithm.

One of this algorithms is the Variational Quantum Eigensolver




FIG. 3. Quantum Approximate Optimisation Algorithm (QAOA) algorithm.

4. Quantum Amplitude Estimation

III. PORTFOLIO OPTIMISATION

A. Classical Portfolio Optimisation

B. Quantum Portfolio Optimisation

IV. OPTION PRICING

A. Classical Option Pricing

B. Quantum Option Pricing

V. HARDWARE IMPLEMENTATIONS

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

VII. REFERENCES

- [1] V. Hassija, V. Chamola, V. Saxena, V. Chanana, P. Parashari, S. Mumtaz, and M. Guizani, *IET Quantum Communication* **1**, 42 (2020).
- [2] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge university press, 2010).
- [3] H.-L. Huang, X.-Y. Xu, C. Guo, G. Tian, S.-J. Wei, X. Sun, W.-S. Bao, and G.-L. Long, *Science China Physics, Mechanics & Astronomy* **66**, 250302 (2023), [arxiv:2211.08737 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [4] B. C. B. Symons, D. Galvin, E. Sahin, V. Alexandrov, and S. Mensa, *A Practitioner's Guide to Quantum Algorithms for Optimisation Problems* (2023), [arxiv:2305.07323 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [5] S. Herbert, *Quantum* **6**, 823 (2022).
- [6] A. Zegundry, Z. Jarir, and M. Quafafou, *Entropy* **25**, 287 (2023).
- [7] D. J. Egger, C. Gambella, J. Marecek, S. McFaddin, M. Mevissen, R. Raymond, A. Simonetto, S. Woerner, and E. Yndurain, *IEEE Transactions on Quantum Engineering* **1**, 1 (2020).
- [8] J. C. Hull, *Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives*, 10th ed. (Pearson, 2017).
- [9] H. Markowitz, *The Journal of Finance* **7**, 77 (1952), 2975974.
- [10] D. Herman, C. Googin, X. Liu, A. Galda, I. Safro, Y. Sun, M. Pistoia, and Y. Alexeev, *A Survey of Quantum Computing for Finance* (2022), [arxiv:2201.02773 \[quant-ph, q-fin\]](#).
- [11] K. Mazumdar, D. Zhang, and Y. Guo, *Journal of Banking and Financial Technology* **4**, 1 (2020).
- [12] M. Quarterly, A game plan for quantum computing | McKinsey, <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/a-game-plan-for-quantum-computing> (2020).
- [13] X. Yuan, S. Endo, Q. Zhao, Y. Li, and S. C. Benjamin, *Quantum* **3**, 191 (2019).
- [14] M. Cerezo, A. Arrasmith, R. Babbush, S. C. Benjamin, S. Endo, K. Fujii, J. R. McClean, K. Mitarai, X. Yuan,

- L. Cincio, and P. J. Coles, *Nature Reviews Physics* **3**, 625 (2021), [arxiv:2012.09265 \[quant-ph, stat\]](#).
- [15] J. R. McClean, J. Romero, R. Babbush, and A. Aspuru-Guzik, *New Journal of Physics* **18**, 023023 (2016).
- [16] D. Amaro, C. Modica, M. Rosenkranz, M. Fiorentini, M. Benedetti, and M. Lubasch, *Quantum Science and Technology* **7**, 015021 (2022).
- [17] F. Glover, G. Kochenberger, and Y. Du, A Tutorial on Formulating and Using QUBO Models (2019), [arxiv:1811.11538 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [18] P. Date, R. Patton, C. Schuman, and T. Potok, *Quantum Information Processing* **18**, 117 (2019).
- [19] A. Peruzzo, J. McClean, P. Shadbolt, M.-H. Yung, X.-Q. Zhou, P. J. Love, A. Aspuru-Guzik, and J. L. O'Brien, *Nature Communications* **5**, 4213 (2014).
- [20] P. K. Barkoutsos, G. Nannicini, A. Robert, I. Tavernelli, and S. Woerner, *Quantum* **4**, 256 (2020).
- [21] G. Buonaiuto, F. Gargiulo, G. De Pietro, M. Esposito, and M. Pota, *Scientific Reports* **13**, 19434 (2023).
- [22] E. Farhi, J. Goldstone, and S. Gutmann, *A Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm* (2014), [arxiv:1411.4028 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [23] K. Blekos, D. Brand, A. Ceschini, C.-H. Chou, R.-H. Li, K. Pandya, and A. Summer, *Physics Reports* **1068**, 1 (2024), [arxiv:2306.09198 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [24] D. J. Egger, C. Gambella, J. Marecek, S. McFaddin, M. Mevissen, R. Raymond, A. Simonetto, S. Woerner, and E. Yndurain, *IEEE Transactions on Quantum Engineering* **1**, 1 (2020).
- [25] A. D. King, J. Raymond, T. Lanting, R. Harris, A. Zucca, F. Altomare, A. J. Berkley, K. Boothby, S. Ejtemaee, C. Enderud, E. Hoskinson, S. Huang, E. Ladizinsky, A. J. R. MacDonald, G. Marsden, R. Molavi, T. Oh, G. Poulin-Lamarre, M. Reis, C. Rich, Y. Sato, N. Tsai, M. Volkmann, J. D. Whittaker, J. Yao, A. W. Sandvik, and M. H. Amin, *Nature* **617**, 61 (2023).
- [26] S. Yarkoni, E. Raponi, T. Bäck, and S. Schmitt, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **85**, 104001 (2022), [arxiv:2112.07491 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [27] F. Phillipson and H. S. Bhatia, Portfolio Optimisation Using the D-Wave Quantum Annealer (2020), [arxiv:2012.01121 \[quant-ph, q-fin\]](#).
- [28] D. Venturelli and A. Kondratyev, *Quantum Machine Intelligence* **1**, 17 (2019).
- [29] S. Woerner and D. J. Egger, *npj Quantum Information* **5**, 1 (2019).
- [30] K. Nakaji, *Quantum Information and Computation* **20**, 1109 (2020), [arxiv:2003.02417 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [31] N. Stamatopoulos, D. J. Egger, Y. Sun, C. Zoufal, R. Iten, N. Shen, and S. Woerner, *Quantum* **4**, 291 (2020), [arxiv:1905.02666 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [32] P. Rebentrost, B. Gupt, and T. R. Bromley, *Physical Review A* **98**, 022321 (2018).
- [33] P. Rebentrost, A. Luongo, S. Bosch, and S. Lloyd, *Quantum computational finance: Martingale asset pricing for incomplete markets* (2022), [arxiv:2209.08867 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [34] R. Orús, S. Mugel, and E. Lizaso, *Reviews in Physics* **4**, 100028 (2019).
- [35] F. D. Albareti, T. Ankenbrand, D. Bieri, E. Hänggi, D. Lötscher, S. Stettler, and M. Schöngens, *A Structured Survey of Quantum Computing for the Financial Industry* (2022), [arxiv:2204.10026 \[q-fin\]](#).
- [36] D. Herman, C. Googin, X. Liu, Y. Sun, A. Galda, I. Safro, M. Pistoia, and Y. Alexeev, *Nature Reviews Physics* **5**, 450 (2023).
- [37] What Is an Asset? Definition, Types, and Examples, <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/a/asset.asp> (2023).
- [38] P. Wilmott, *Paul Wilmott Introduces Quantitative Finance* (John Wiley & Sons, 2007).