

1. True or false? Why? Consider congestion control in TCP. When the timer expires at the sender, the value of ssthresh is set to one-half of its previous value.

False. In congestion window, slow start threshold is set to  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the previous value

2. In our rdt protocols, why did we need to introduce sequence numbers and timers?

In case of reliable data transfer, sequence number plays a big role. It communicates to the receiver whether a packet contains new data or old data. On the other hand, timer provides the receiver a mechanism to execute a timeout if a packet never arrives. It handles any loss in channel. If a packet doesn't arrive within timer duration then it is assumed that packet is lost. The packet is then transmitted again.

3. Suppose Host A sends two TCP segments back to back to Host B over a TCP connection. The first segment has sequence number 90; the second has sequence number 110.
  - a. How much data is in the first segment?  
 $110 - 90 = 20$  (bytes)
  - b. Suppose that the first segment is lost, but the second segment arrives at B. What will be the acknowledgment number in the acknowledgment that Host B sends to Host A?  
Acknowledgment number will be 90
4. Suppose the five measured SampleRTT values are 106 ms, 120 ms, 140 ms, 90 ms, and 115 ms.
  - a. Compute the EstimatedRTT after each of these SampleRTT values is obtained, using a value of  $\alpha = 0.125$  and assuming that the value of EstimatedRTT was 100 ms just before the first of these five samples were obtained.

SampleRTT = 106

$$\begin{aligned}\text{EstimatedRTT} &= \alpha * \text{sampleRTT} + (1-\alpha) * \text{EstimatedRTT} \\ &= .125 * 106 + (1-.125) * 100 \\ &= 100.75\text{ms}\end{aligned}$$

SampleRTT = 120

$$\begin{aligned}\text{EstimatedRTT} &= \alpha * \text{sampleRTT} + (1-\alpha) * \text{EstimatedRTT} \\ &= .125 * 120 + (1-.125) * 100.75 \\ &= 103.2\text{ms}\end{aligned}$$

SampleRTT = 140

$$\begin{aligned}\text{EstimatedRTT} &= \alpha * \text{sampleRTT} + (1-\alpha) * \text{EstimatedRTT} \\ &= .125 * 140 + (1-.125) * 103.2 \\ &= 107.8\text{ms}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SampleRTT} = 90$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{EstimatedRTT} &= \alpha * \text{sampleRTT} + (1-\alpha) * \text{EstimatedRTT} \\ &= .125 * 90 + (1-.125) * 107.8 \\ &= 105.5\text{ms}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SampleRTT} = 115$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{EstimatedRTT} &= \alpha * \text{sampleRTT} + (1-\alpha) * \text{EstimatedRTT} \\ &= .125 * 115 + (1-.125) * 105.5 \\ &= 106.7\text{ms}\end{aligned}$$

- b. Compute also the DevRTT after each sample is obtained, assuming a value of  $\beta = 0.25$  and assuming the value of DevRTT was 5 ms just before the first of these five samples was obtained.

$$\text{sampleRTT} = 106$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{DevRTT} &= \beta * |\text{SampleRTT} - \text{EstimatedRTT}| + (1-\beta) * \text{DevRTT} \\ &= .25 * |106-100.75| + (1-.25) * 5 \\ &= 5.0625\text{ms}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{sampleRTT} = 120$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{DevRTT} &= \beta * |\text{SampleRTT} - \text{EstimatedRTT}| + (1-\beta) * \text{DevRTT} \\ &= .25 * |120-103.2| + (1-.25) * 5.06 \\ &= 8\text{ms}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{sampleRTT} = 140$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{DevRTT} &= \beta * |\text{SampleRTT} - \text{EstimatedRTT}| + (1-\beta) * \text{DevRTT} \\ &= .25 * |140-107.8| + (1-.25) * 8 \\ &= 14.06\text{ms}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{sampleRTT} = 90$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{DevRTT} &= \beta * |\text{SampleRTT} - \text{EstimatedRTT}| + (1-\beta) * \text{DevRTT} \\ &= .25 * |90-105.5| + (1-.25) * 14.06 \\ &= 14.4\text{ms}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{sampleRTT} = 115$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{DevRTT} &= \beta * |\text{SampleRTT} - \text{EstimatedRTT}| + (1-\beta) * \text{DevRTT} \\ &= .25 * |115-106.7| + (1-.25) * 14.4 \\ &= 12.9\text{ms}\end{aligned}$$

- c. Compute the TCP TimeoutInterval after each of these samples is obtained

$$\text{sampleRTT} = 106$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{TimeoutInterval} &= \text{EstimatedRTT} + 4 * \text{DevRTT} \\ &= 100.8 + 4 * 5.063 \\ &= 121.05\end{aligned}$$

sampleRTT = 120

$$\begin{aligned}\text{TimeoutInterval} &= \text{EstimatedRTT} + 4 * \text{DevRTT} \\ &= 103.2 + 4*8 \\ &= 135.2\end{aligned}$$

sampleRTT = 140

$$\begin{aligned}\text{TimeoutInterval} &= \text{EstimatedRTT} + 4 * \text{DevRTT} \\ &= 107.8 + 4*14.06 \\ &= 164.04\end{aligned}$$

sampleRTT = 90

$$\begin{aligned}\text{TimeoutInterval} &= \text{EstimatedRTT} + 4 * \text{DevRTT} \\ &= 105.6 + 4*14.4 \\ &= 163.2\end{aligned}$$

sampleRTT = 115

$$\begin{aligned}\text{TimeoutInterval} &= \text{EstimatedRTT} + 4 * \text{DevRTT} \\ &= 106.7 + 4*12.9 \\ &= 158.3\end{aligned}$$

5. Draw the FSM for the receiver side of protocol rdt3.0.



6. Consider transferring an enormous file of L bytes from Host A to Host B. Assume an MSS of 536 bytes

- a. What is the maximum value of L such that TCP sequence numbers are not exhausted? Recall that the TCP sequence number field has 4 bytes.

Max L =  $2^{32}$ , it is the possible sequence number

- b. MSS = 536bytes

Segment data =  $2^{32}/536 = 8012999$

Number of bytes from 155mbps =  $8012999/666 = 528857934$

data transmitted =  $2^{32} + 528857934 = 4.8*10^9$

Time for transmit =  $(4.8*10^9)*8/155*10^6$   
= 249s

7. we saw that TCP waits until it has received three duplicate ACKs before performing a fast retransmit. Why do you think the TCP designers chose not to perform a fast retransmit after receiving the first duplicate ACK for a segment?

In some cases, the IP layer sends a packet in the wrong order. When it happens, a duplicate ACK is generated. If retransmission is performed after the first duplicate ACK then the sender will generate many redundant packets in the network.