Assignment 4 Econometrics I

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Gabriel Merlo

```
# install packages (if missing)
list_packages <- c("dplyr", "glmnet", "tidyr")
new_packages <- list_packages[!(list_packages %in% installed.packages()[,"Package"])]
if(length(new_packages)) install.packages(new_packages)

# Load packages
sapply(list_packages, require, character.only = TRUE)</pre>
```

Exercise 1

(a) Read the data and estimate the ATE using the standard difference of sample means and a linear regression using as controls X.

```
# Load data
penn <- as.data.frame(read.table("penn_jae.dat", header = TRUE))</pre>
# Keep control group, and treatment group 4
penn4 <- penn %>% filter(tg == 0 | tg == 4)
# Recode treatment variable
penn4$tg <- recode(penn4$tg, `4` = 1L)
# Control variables
x <- c("female", "black", "othrace", "dep", "q2", "q3", "q4", "q5", "q6", "agelt35",
    "agegt54", "durable", "lusd", "husd")
# Log transformation of dependent variable
penn4$1_inuidur1 <- log(penn4$inuidur1)</pre>
# ATE from difference of sample means
diff_mean <- penn4 %>% group_by(tg) %>% summarize(mean = mean(l_inuidur1)) %>% spread(tg,
   mean) %>% summarize(diff = `1` - `0`)
diff_mean
## # A tibble: 1 x 1
       diff
##
##
       <dbl>
## 1 -0.0855
# ATE from linear regression with controls
ate <- lm(as.formula(paste("l_inuidur1 ~ tg +", paste(x, collapse = "+"))), data = penn4)
summary(ate)
```

```
##
## Call:
  lm(formula = as.formula(paste("l_inuidur1 ~ tg +", paste(x, collapse = "+"))),
       data = penn4)
##
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                10 Median
                                 30
                                        Max
##
  -2.6195 -0.9966 0.3133
                            1.0400
                                     2.0883
##
##
  Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                     13.701 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept)
                2.178441
                           0.159001
## tg
               -0.071659
                           0.035460
                                     -2.021 0.043349 *
## female
                0.125810
                           0.034780
                                       3.617 0.000301 ***
## black
               -0.293971
                           0.052967
                                     -5.550 3.00e-08 ***
               -0.470387
                           0.198281
                                      -2.372 0.017713 *
## othrace
                0.045993
                           0.022535
                                       2.041 0.041308 *
## dep
                0.073251
                           0.156807
                                       0.467 0.640420
## q2
               -0.039092
                           0.156454
                                     -0.250 0.802704
## q3
## q4
               -0.055596
                           0.156534
                                     -0.355 0.722478
## q5
               -0.144996
                           0.155854
                                     -0.930 0.352243
                0.003035
## q6
                           0.166438
                                      0.018 0.985453
               -0.162642
                           0.036960
                                     -4.401 1.10e-05 ***
## agelt35
## agegt54
                0.227801
                           0.058892
                                       3.868 0.000111 ***
## durable
                0.126551
                           0.048142
                                       2.629 0.008597 **
## lusd
               -0.175602
                           0.040972
                                     -4.286 1.85e-05 ***
               -0.105557
                           0.044893
                                     -2.351 0.018746 *
## husd
##
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1.199 on 5083 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.02912,
                                     Adjusted R-squared: 0.02625
## F-statistic: 10.16 on 15 and 5083 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
# Checking balance of covariates
penn4 %>% group_by(tg) %>% select(x) %>% summarise_all(funs(mean(.)))
## # A tibble: 2 x 15
##
        tg female black othrace
                                   dep
                                          q2
                                                q3
                                                      q4
                                                            q5
                                                                    q6 agelt35
##
           <dbl> <dbl>
                          <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <
                                                                 <dbl>
           0.405 0.121 0.00566 0.438 0.205 0.237 0.220 0.256 0.0698
                                                                         0.535
            0.402 0.123 0.0103 0.442 0.202 0.233 0.237 0.264 0.0499
## # ... with 4 more variables: agegt54 <dbl>, durable <dbl>, lusd <dbl>,
      husd <dbl>
```

The difference in the mean of log of duration of unemployment between treated and control groups is -0.09. This implies that those that receive the treatment spend less time being unemployed than those who don't get the treatment.

Controlling by observable characteristics of the individuals, the log of duration of unemployment is 0.07 smaller for the individuals that receive the treatment. Once we control by our vector of observables \mathbf{x} , the effect of the treatment is 0.01 smaller than when comparing using the difference of means (without controls).

Ideally, randomization should balance the distribution of covariates among treated and untreated. One way to check if this is true is by calculating the sample mean difference in covariates between treatment and control

groups. The balance is in general quite good but some characteristics are still not very well balanced (we could test if the differences are significant). This can explain the difference in the ATE by the two previous methods.

(b) One way to evaluate if the randomization is successful is to test the significance of θ_0 in a Probit specification of the propensity score $p(x) = \Phi(x'\theta_0)$. Run such a test and interpret the results. Discuss the type of test, critical value, etc.

```
penn4 ps <- glm(
  as.formula(paste("tg ~", paste(x, collapse = " + "))),
  family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = penn4)
summary(penn4_ps)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = as.formula(paste("tg ~", paste(x, collapse = " + "))),
      family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = penn4)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
                 1Q
                      Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
      Min
## -1.2447 -0.9291 -0.8823
                               1.4296
                                        1.7155
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -0.36877
                           0.16948 -2.176
                                             0.0296 *
               -0.01550
                           0.03753 -0.413
## female
                                             0.6797
## black
                0.02176
                           0.05709
                                     0.381
                                             0.7031
## othrace
               0.38716
                           0.20762
                                     1.865
                                             0.0622 .
## dep
               0.01345
                           0.02430
                                     0.553
                                             0.5801
               -0.12192
                           0.16760
                                    -0.727
## q2
                                             0.4670
## q3
               -0.12428
                           0.16721
                                    -0.743
                                             0.4573
                                    -0.399
## q4
               -0.06672
                           0.16724
                                             0.6900
## q5
               -0.09220
                           0.16653
                                    -0.554
                                             0.5798
## q6
               -0.31679
                           0.17919
                                    -1.768
                                             0.0771 .
## agelt35
               0.09104
                           0.03999
                                     2.277
                                             0.0228 *
## agegt54
                0.06200
                           0.06364
                                     0.974
                                             0.3299
                                    -0.848
## durable
               -0.04423
                           0.05217
                                             0.3965
## lusd
                0.08107
                           0.04402
                                     1.842
                                             0.0655
## husd
               -0.01044
                           0.04864 -0.215
                                             0.8300
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 6552.2 on 5098 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 6529.4 on 5084 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 6559.4
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

(c) Estimate the ATE by DML based on Lasso.

```
# Defining Double Debiased Machine Learning function (DML)
b_DML <- function(Y,X,D) {
   DML1 <- cv.glmnet(X, Y, alpha = 1)
   yhat <- predict(DML1, X)
   res1 <- Y - yhat
   DML2 <- cv.glmnet(X, D, alpha = 1)
   Dhat <- predict(DML2, X)
   res2 <- D - Dhat
   DML <- lm(res1 ~ 0 + res2)
   b_DML <- unname(coef(DML))
   return(b_DML)
}

# Calculating ATE using DML
ate_dml <- b_DML(penn4$1_inuidur1, as.matrix(penn4[, x]), penn4$tg)
ate_dml</pre>
```

[1] -0.07953877

The ATE obtained using DML technique with lasso is -0.08.