

# Distributed Algorithms 2022/2023

## (lab exercise)

### Leader election

**Task 1** — Implement a simulator that allows you to test the leader election algorithm presented during the lecture for known number of nodes  $n$  and for a known upper bound  $u$  on the number of nodes  $n$ . You can use any programming language.

**Task 2** — Let the random variable  $L$  denote the number of slots from the start of the algorithm until the leader is elected. Use the simulator from the previous task to draw the empirical distribution (histogram) of the random variable  $L$  for both considered scenarios. For a scenario with a known constraint  $u$  consider three cases:  $n = 2$ ,  $n = u/2$ ,  $n = u$ . Justify the results. (10p)

**Task 3** — For a scenario with a known number of  $n$  nodes, use the simulator to estimate  $\mathbb{E}[L]$  and  $\mathbb{Var}[L]$ . Verify that the results are consistent with the theoretical results. (10p)

**Task 4** — Consider a scenario with a known constraint  $u$ . Let  $S_{L,n}$  be the event that in one round of the algorithm of length  $L = \lceil \log_2 u \rceil$  a leader was elected if there are  $n$  nodes in the system. Suggest a suitable experiment and use a simulator to confirm the correctness of the theorem from the lecture that  $Pr[S_{L,n}] \geq \lambda \approx 0.579$ . (10p)

## Analysis of data streams: counting problem

### MinCount

**Task 5** — Implement the  $\text{MinCount}(k, h, \mathcal{M})$  algorithm and test it:

- Consider the multisets  $\mathcal{M}_n = (S_n, m)$  such that  $|S_n| = n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, 10^4$  and all sets  $S_n$  are disjoint. Does changing the  $m$  function affect the value of the  $\hat{n}$  estimation obtained in the algorithm?
- For  $k = 2, 3, 10, 100, 400$  and multisets from point a) draw a graph with  $n$  on the horizontal axis and  $\hat{n}/n$  on the vertical axis.
- Experimentally adjust the value of  $k$  so that there is 95% probability that  $|\frac{\hat{n}}{n} - 1| < 10\%$ .

(10p)

**Task 6** — Test the  $\text{MinCount}(k, h, \mathcal{M})$  algorithm for different hash functions  $h : S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^B$  and different values of parameter  $B$ . Try to find or propose a hash function  $h$  for which the accuracy of the algorithm is significantly worse than predicated by the analysis. Explain what causes this loss of accuracy. What else can matter besides the value of the  $B$  parameter?

(10p)

**Task 7** — Your task is to compare the theoretical concentration results for the  $\hat{n}$  estimator used in the  $\text{MinCount}(k, h, \mathcal{M})$  algorithm obtained by **a)** Chebyshev's inequality and **b)** Chernoff's inequality, with simulation results.

Namely, for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, 10^4$ ,  $k = 400$  and  $\alpha = 5\%, 1\%, 0.5\%$  plot values of  $\hat{n}/n$  obtained in simulation and values  $1 - \delta$  i  $1 + \delta$  such that

$$\Pr \left[ 1 - \delta < \frac{\hat{n}}{n} < 1 + \delta \right] > 1 - \alpha. \quad (10p)$$

### HyperLogLog

**Task 8** — Implement [HyperLogLog](#) with corrections and test it for different values of the parameter  $m$  (number of registers) and different hash functions - create plots analogous to those from Task 5. Compare the estimation accuracy of the MinCount and HyperLogLog algorithms when both have the same amount of memory available (you can assume that HyperLogLog needs 5 bits per register and MinCount needs 32 bits per stored hash).