Homework #7

Answer the following questions in a single R script called hw07.R. Answers must be given by R commands. You cannot simply look at the data set and answer the question via direct inspection. Use comments (#) to indicate which portion of your code answers which question. Be sure that you obtain the correct solutions to each question when you execute your script one line at a time from top to bottom.

Each question will be graded according to the following criteria:

- 0%: No attempts is made to answer the question.
- 25%: An attempt is made that, although unsuccessful, revealed some understanding of what the question was asking.
- 50%: Solution is incorrect, but with some modifications, could be corrected.
- 75%: Solution is incorrect, but easily resolved with minor modifications **OR** solution is correct, but obtained via convoluted reasoning or by avoiding standard approaches.
- 100%: Solution is correct and uses standard approaches.
- #1) A random variable X has a gamma distribution if it has a probability density function:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)\theta^k} x^{k-1} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}}$$

where k and θ are parameters such that k > 0 and $\theta > 0$. We denote this by writing $X \sim \Gamma(k, \theta)$. We call k the **shape** and θ the **scale**.

- (a) Create a single graphic with plots of the density functions with the following parameters and colors:
 - (i) $k = 1, \, \theta = 1, \, \text{blue}$
 - (ii) $k = 2, \theta = 1, \text{ red}$
 - (iii) $k = 3, \theta = 1$, green
 - (iv) $k=1, \theta=2$, orange
 - (v) k = 2, $\theta = 2$, purple
 - (vi) k = 3, $\theta = 2$, black

(Hint: In dgamma, k is given by shape and θ is given by scale.)

(b) The following code snippet takes 10,000 samples of size n = 25 from $X \sim \Gamma(2,1)$.

```
1 n <- 25
2 tbl <- tibble(index=1:10000) %>% rowwise() %>%
3 mutate(X = list(rgamma(n, shape=2, scale=1)))
```

For each sample, compute:

$$\hat{\theta} = \overline{x \ln(x)} - \overline{x} \cdot \overline{\ln(x)}$$

where

$$\overline{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i,$$

$$\overline{\ln(x)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum \ln(x_i),$$

$$\overline{x \ln(x)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \cdot \ln(x_i).$$

In R, ln(x) is given by log(x).

- (c) Plot a histogram for the values of $\hat{\theta}$. Then compute the mean of $\hat{\theta}$. Do you think $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator? Be sure to justify your answer. Type your answer within a comment in your R script.
- (d) For each sample, compute

$$\hat{k} = \frac{\overline{x}}{\hat{\theta}}.$$

Plot a histogram for the values of \hat{k} . Then compute the mean of \hat{k} . Do you think \hat{k} is an unbiased estimator? Be sure to justify your answer. Type your answer within a comment in your R script.

(e) For each sample, compute

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \hat{\theta}$$

$$\tilde{k} = \hat{k} - \frac{1}{n} \left(3\hat{k} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{\hat{k}}{1+\hat{k}} - \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{\hat{k}}{(1+\hat{k})^2} \right).$$

- (f) Plot a histogram for the values of $\hat{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\theta}$. Make sure the histograms have different colors and set alpha=0.5 for both. Then compute the mean of $\tilde{\theta}$. Do you think $\tilde{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator? Be sure to justify your answer. Type your answer within a comment in your R script.
- (g) Plot a histogram for the values of \hat{k} and \tilde{k} . Make sure the histograms have different colors and set alpha=0.5 for both. Then compute the mean of \tilde{k} . Do you think \tilde{k} is an unbiased estimator? Be sure to justify your answer. Type your answer within a comment in your R script.

#2) Let X count the number of students who drop by my office on Friday, 3-4pm. We take n=40 samples of X.

- (a) Suppose $\mu = E(X) = 4$ and $\sigma^2 = Var(X) = 2$. Find $P(3.9 < \overline{X} < 4.1)$.
- (b) Generate 10,000 samples of size n = 40 from $X \sim \mathcal{N}(4,2)$. Compute the sample mean for each sample. Find the percentage of samples that were between 3.9 and 4.1.
- (c) Find a two-sided confidence interval with $1 \alpha = 0.99$ for μ .
- (d) Generate 10,000 samples of size n=40 from $X \sim \mathcal{N}(4,2)$. Compute the sample mean for each sample. Find the percentage of samples that fall within the confidence interval you found in part (c).
- (e) Find a lower one-sided confidence interval with $1 \alpha = 0.95$ for μ .

- (f) Find an upper one-sided confidence interval with $1 \alpha = 0.95$ for μ .
- #3) Suppose $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. In n = 20 samples, we compute a sample variance of $s^2 = 5$. Find a $1 \alpha = 0.99$ two-sided confidence interval for σ^2 .
- #4) For this exercise we will look at survey data from Pew Research on American's understanding and sentiments toward religion in February in 2019. The archive W44_Feb19.zip contains a data file ATP W44.sav along with various documents. This data file can be loaded into R via the following code snippet:

```
# install.packages("haven")
library(haven)
df <- read_sav('ATP W44.sav')</pre>
```

One of the questions from this survey asks respondents whether they have taken a course in world religions. Let X be a Bernoulli random variable where X=1 if the respondent did take a course and X=0 if the respondent did not. For a Bernoulli random variable, $\mu=\mathrm{E}(X)$ is identical to the probability of success θ . Since our sample size n for this survey is quite large, we can assume $\overline{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$.

- (a) Compute the sample mean \overline{x} and sample variance s^2 from the survey data with the assumption that $x_i = 1$ if the respondent did take a course in world religions and $x_i = 0$ if the respondent did not take a course in world religions (or failed to answer the question).
- (b) Since X is a Bernoulli random variable, we know $Var(X) \le 0.5$ regardless of the probability of success θ (I showed this in class). Presuming this bound, compute a 99% confidence interval for μ the proportion of American's that have taken a course in world religions.
- (c) Look at the reported percentages given to this question in ATP W44 topline.pdf. What might explain the disparity between our result and the one given in the report? Type your answer as a comment in your script. (Hint: Look through ATP W44 methodology.pdf.)