



**NIRMALA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY
AND MANAGEMENT**

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

LITERATURE REVIEW

ILLEGAL GARBAGE DUMP DETECTION-REPORTING AND WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Submitted By:

ATHUL BABU K (NIE22CS019)

GABRIEL M S (NIE22CS023)

JAYDEV JOSH Y (NIE22CS028)

Project Guide: Mrs. Sisira K I, CSE Dept

Signature of Project Guide -

1. Deep Learning based Garbage Detection for Smart City Applications (Lakshmi et al., 2022)

1.1. Introduction

This paper, presented at the ICICCS 2022 conference, addresses a core component of smart city management: automated street cleanliness monitoring. The authors propose a system to detect the presence of scattered garbage in street images, aiming to alert municipal authorities for timely cleanup, thereby optimizing resource allocation and manpower. Their work is directly relevant to the surveillance aspect of our project, focusing on the fundamental task of identifying garbage in visual data.

1.2. Methodology

The methodology is centered on the **YOLOv3 (You Only Look Once, version 3)** object detection algorithm. YOLOv3 is a single-stage, real-time detector known for its speed and efficiency. The authors used a Darknet-53 backbone pre-trained on ImageNet, extended for their specific detection task. The model was trained to predict bounding boxes around garbage objects. Key experimental details include:

- **Dataset:** A very small custom dataset of 100 images (50 for training, 50 for testing), sourced from the internet and real street photos.
- **Training/Testing Split:** 50/50 split.
- **Threshold:** An object confidence threshold of 0.5 was used for detection.

1.3. Results/Findings

- The model successfully identified the presence of garbage in all test images that contained it.
- It correctly rejected images with no garbage, yielding no false positives in the provided examples.
- A critical finding was the model's inability to detect *all* garbage instances within a single image; it missed some objects, indicating a limitation in recall.

- The authors qualitatively observed that **image size** significantly impacted detection performance, with larger images (e.g., 1280x720) yielding better results than smaller ones (e.g., 620x414).

1.4. Strengths

- **Practical Application:** Addresses a real-world, high-impact problem in urban management.
- **Algorithm Choice:** The use of YOLOv3 is appropriate for its real-time capabilities, which are essential for video feed analysis in our project.
- **Identification of a Key Parameter:** The insight into image size impact is valuable for pre-processing steps in our system design.

1.5. Limitations

- **Extremely Small Dataset:** A dataset of only 50 training images is insufficient to train a robust deep learning model, likely leading to poor generalization and the observed low recall.
- **Lack of Quantitative Metrics:** The paper does not report standard performance metrics like precision, recall, F1-score, or mAP (mean Average Precision), making it impossible to objectively evaluate the model's accuracy beyond qualitative observations.
- **Simplified Scope:** Only detects the presence/absence of "garbage" as a single class, without classification into types (e.g., plastic, organic) or identifying dumping actions.

1.6. Conclusion

This paper demonstrates a proof-of-concept for using YOLOv3 in garbage detection. Its primary value for our project is as a cautionary tale highlighting the **critical importance of a large, well-annotated dataset** and the need for **robust quantitative evaluation**. We must ensure our project uses a significantly larger dataset and rigorously measures performance to avoid these pitfalls.

2. Optical Character Recognition Techniques: A Review (Srivastava et al., 2022)

2.1. Introduction

This conference paper presents a review of Optical Character Recognition (OCR) techniques, with a particular focus on the challenges associated with Indian scripts like Devanagari (Hindi), Gujarati, and Gurmukhi. The paper outlines the standard OCR pipeline and surveys various methodologies and their reported accuracies across different languages. This is relevant to our project's objective of **violation identification through license plate recognition (LPR)**, which is a specialized application of OCR. Understanding the general challenges and techniques in OCR is crucial for implementing the LPR module effectively.

2.2. Methodology

The review is structured around the classic OCR pipeline:

1. **Image Acquisition:** Scanning documents to create datasets.
2. **Pre-processing:** Noise removal, binarization, skew correction, etc.
3. **Segmentation:** Separating text into lines, words, and individual characters.
4. **Feature Extraction:** Using techniques like HOG, Gabor filters, and structural features.
5. **Classification:** Employing classifiers such as k-NN, SVM, and Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs).
6. **Recognition:** The final output of the character.

The paper then provides a literature review summarizing works that apply this pipeline to various languages, reporting the methodologies and accuracies achieved.

2.3. Results/Findings

The paper compiles a table of recognition accuracies (a subset is shown below) which reveals:

- **High Accuracy for Constrained Tasks:** Very high accuracy (>95%) is achievable for printed numerals and characters (e.g., Devanagiri numerals: 99.04%) and segmented characters.
- **Language-Dependent Performance:** Performance varies significantly by language due to script complexity. For example, Gujarati scripts achieved lower accuracy (86.33%) compared to Thai (98.93%).
- **Classifier Performance:** SVM and k-NN are widely used and effective. Deep learning methods (CNN, RNN) are also being applied successfully.
- **Key Challenges for Indian Scripts:** The paper identifies specific challenges for Hindi/Devanagari: the presence of a headline (*Shirokekha*), conjunct characters (*conjuncts*), and vowel signs (*matras*), which make segmentation and recognition difficult.

Language/Script	Methodology	Accuracy (%)
Thai	SVM	98.93
Gurumukhi	SVM & k-NN	97.94
Chinese Handwritten	Two-Stage CNN	97.38
South Indian Scripts	Fourier Transform + PCA	95.1
English Letters	Three-Layer ANN	95
Printed Hindi	Fuzzy k-NN	98
Gujarati	Weighted k-NN	86.33
Multilingual Scene Text	Co-HOG & ConvCo-HOG	81.7

2.4. Strengths

- **Clear Structure:** The paper provides a very clear, step-by-step explanation of the OCR pipeline, making it accessible.
- **Focus on Indian Languages:** It addresses a relevant gap by focusing on scripts that are under-researched compared to English.
- **Comparative Table:** The summary table is a useful quick reference for the performance of different methods on different scripts.

2.5. Limitations

- **Surface-Level Review:** The review covers many works but does not delve deep into the technical nuances or limitations of each method.
- **Lack of Critical Analysis:** It reports accuracies from other papers without a unified experimental framework, making direct comparisons difficult (accuracy can depend heavily on the specific dataset used).
- **Scope:** The conference paper format limits the depth of coverage compared to a more comprehensive journal survey.

2.6. Conclusion

This review reinforces that OCR is a mature but still challenging field, especially for complex scripts and in unconstrained environments like scene text. For our project's license plate recognition module, the key takeaways are:

1. **Pre-processing is Critical:** Steps like skew correction and binarization will be vital for images captured from moving vehicles or under poor lighting conditions.
2. **Model Choice:** A robust classifier like SVM or a CNN-based approach would be appropriate. The high accuracy reported for various methods is encouraging.
3. **Real-World Challenge:** The lower accuracies for scene text (81.7%) highlight that reading license plates in real-world CCTV footage (varying angles, lighting, dirt) will be more challenging than reading clean, segmented characters in a dataset. This module will require careful design and testing. The paper successfully outlines the landscape of OCR and highlights the specific techniques and considerations we must employ to implement the violator identification component of our system.

3. A Survey of Convolutional Neural Networks: Analysis, Applications, and Prospects (Li et al., 2021)

3.1. Introduction

This comprehensive survey paper, published in IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, provides a holistic and up-to-date overview of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). It moves beyond application-specific reviews to analyze CNN architectures, components, and future directions from a general perspective. The survey is highly relevant as it covers the fundamental technology (CNN) that is the backbone of our proposed garbage dump detection system, offering insights into model selection, optimization, and advanced variants that could enhance our project's performance and efficiency.

3.2. Methodology

The paper employs a structured survey methodology:

- **Historical Context:** Traces the evolution from early neural networks to modern deep CNNs.
- **Architectural Analysis:** Reviews core CNN components (convolution, pooling) and advanced variants (Deformable, Group, Steerable, Graph Convolutions).
- **Model Overview:** Summarizes key CNN architectures from LeNet-5 to modern efficient models like MobileNetV3 and GhostNet, focusing on their innovative aspects.
- **Experimental Analysis:** Conducts extensive experiments to compare activation functions (Sigmoid, ReLU, Leaky ReLU, ELU, Swish, Mish), optimizers (SGD, Adam, RMSprop, etc.), and provides rules of thumb for hyperparameter selection.
- **Dimensional Application Review:** Covers applications of 1D (time-series, signals), 2D (image classification, object detection, segmentation), and 3D CNNs (action recognition, volumetric data).
- **Prospective Analysis:** Discusses future trends including model compression, security (adversarial attacks), Neural Architecture Search (NAS), and hardware implementation (FPGA vs. GPU).

3.3. Results/Findings

- **Activation Functions:** Experimental results on datasets like MNIST, CIFAR-10/100 show that ELU and Leaky ReLU often achieve the best accuracy, though ReLU/Leaky ReLU offer a better balance of stability and training speed. Sigmoid is slow to converge.
- **Optimizers:** Adam and its variants (Adamax, Nadam) generally perform well, but the best optimizer is problem-dependent. The learning rate is identified as the most critical hyperparameter.
- **Architectural Advances:** Innovations like Deformable Convolutions (for geometric transformations), Group Convolutions (for efficiency), and Dilated Convolutions (for larger receptive fields) are highlighted as key to state-of-the-art performance in various tasks.
- **Efficiency:** The survey emphasizes the importance of lightweight models (e.g., MobileNet, ShuffleNet) and techniques like model compression for real-time, embedded applications—a key concern for our CCTV-based system.

3.4. Strengths

- **Comprehensive and General:** Provides a bird's-eye view of the entire CNN landscape, not limited to a single application domain.
- **Practical Guidance:** The experimental analysis and "rules of thumb" offer invaluable, empirically-derived advice for practitioners on selecting components and tuning models.
- **State-of-the-Art Coverage:** Includes very recent advancements (as of 2021) that are not covered in older surveys, such as advanced convolutions and efficient architectures.
- **Future-Oriented:** The discussion on prospects (NAS, security, hardware) provides a roadmap for future research and development.

3.5. Limitations

- **Theoretical Depth:** As a survey, it necessarily sacrifices deep mathematical detail for breadth. Readers must refer to the original papers for in-depth theoretical understanding.

- **Rapidly Evolving Field:** The field of deep learning evolves extremely quickly. While very recent for a journal paper, some newest architectures (e.g., Vision Transformers) are not covered, though this is acknowledged as a future direction.

3.6. Conclusion

This survey is an essential resource for anyone working with CNNs. For our project, it directly informs several critical decisions:

1. **Model Architecture:** We should consider using a modern, efficient backbone like MobileNetV3 or a model with Deformable Convolutions to handle the variable shapes of garbage and dumping actions.
2. **Component Selection:** Leaky ReLU is a strong candidate for the activation function. Adam is a robust default choice for the optimizer, but its performance should be validated on our specific dataset.
3. **Optimization Goal:** The emphasis on model compression and efficiency reinforces our project's need for a system that can potentially run on edge devices (like embedded systems in CCTV cameras) for real-time performance. It provides the foundational knowledge and practical guidance needed to build a robust, efficient, and accurate deep learning system for illegal dump detection.

4. The Concept of Waste and Waste Management (E. Amasuomo and J. Baird)

4.1. Introduction

This literature review examines the 2016 paper by Amasuomo and Baird, which addresses a fundamental yet complex question in environmental management: "What exactly constitutes waste?" The paper posits that while extensive research exists on waste handling and its impacts, the core definition of waste itself remains subjective and context-dependent. The authors argue that this subjectivity has significant implications for regulation and management strategies. The primary aim of their study is to synthesize existing literature to explore the historical context, definitions, classifications, and management approaches to waste, with a specific focus on solid waste. This review will analyze the methodology, findings, strengths, and limitations of their work to assess its contribution to the field.

4.2. Methodology

Amasuomo and Baird employed a **desktop research approach**, a form of secondary data analysis common in comprehensive literature reviews. Their methodology involved systematically gathering and synthesizing information from a wide range of pre-existing, peer-reviewed sources to answer their research questions. The specific sources consulted included:

- Academic journal articles
- Books from experts in the field
- Government reports (e.g., from DEFRA, SEPA, Eurostat)
- Reports from environmental organizations (e.g., European Environment Agency)
- Unpublished papers and organizational webpages

The authors justified this method by stating it is appropriate when a substantial body of work already exists on a topic and the research goal is to integrate these findings to answer specific questions, rather than to generate new primary data.

4.3. Results/Findings

The paper's findings are comprehensive and can be summarized into four key areas:

- **The Subjective Nature of Waste:** The central finding is that the concept of waste is not absolute but is instead **highly subjective**. A material is considered waste only when its owner labels it as such; one person's waste can be another's resource (e.g., discarded glass can be a raw material for recycling). Despite this subjectivity, the authors stress the necessity of a clear, legal definition to form the basis of effective regulation and environmental protection.
- **Historical Context and Evolution:** The paper traces the evolution of waste from a minor nuisance in pre-history, easily absorbed by the environment, to a major public health crisis during the industrial revolution and urbanization. This historical perspective shows that the challenges faced by developing nations today mirror those already overcome by developed countries.
- **Classification of Waste:** The authors present a detailed taxonomy of waste based on:

- **Physical State:** Solid, liquid, gaseous (the paper focuses solely on solid waste).
- **Source:** Municipal, industrial, agricultural, commercial, construction & demolition.
- **Environmental Impact:** Hazardous vs. non-hazardous.

They provide a particularly detailed analysis of **Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)**, highlighting its diverse and variable composition, and its significance as the waste stream most visible to the public.

- **Waste Management Principles:** The paper concludes that waste management is a complex, multi-disciplinary process involving collection, transportation, processing, and disposal. It emphasizes that the goal is to safeguard public health and the environment. The authors note a hierarchy of preferences, where recycling and recovery are favored over final disposal in landfills, which is often the ultimate endpoint for treated residues.

4.4. Strengths

The paper has several notable strengths:

- **Clarity of Purpose:** It successfully identifies and addresses a clear gap in the literature—the ambiguity surrounding the basic definition of waste.
- **Comprehensive Synthesis:** It draws from a very wide and authoritative range of sources (over 50 references), providing a robust foundation for its arguments.
- **Global Perspective:** By incorporating data from the EU, UK, Hong Kong, Australia, Southeast Asia, and others, it avoids a narrow, regional focus and presents a more global view of the issue.
- **Detailed Categorization:** The breakdown of solid waste into specific streams (MSW, construction, commercial, etc.) with supporting data from various countries is particularly valuable for understanding the scale and composition of the problem.
- **Practical Relevance:** The discussion underscores the critical link between defining waste and creating effective policy, making it relevant for policymakers and regulators.

4.5. Limitations

Despite its strengths, the paper has some limitations inherent to its methodology and scope:

- **Lack of Primary Data:** As a desktop study, it does not contribute new empirical data. Its findings are entirely dependent on the accuracy and bias of the sources it reviews.
- **Dated Sources:** Many of the cited sources are from the 1990s and early 2000s. While foundational, this means the review may not capture the latest technological advancements, policy changes, or waste stream characteristics (e.g., the dramatic rise in e-waste and plastic pollution post-2010).
- **Exclusion of Key Waste Types:** The authors explicitly exclude liquid and hazardous wastes from detailed discussion, which limits the comprehensiveness of their "concept of waste" analysis, as these are major and complex waste categories.
- **Superficial Treatment of Management Solutions:** While the management hierarchy is mentioned, the paper does not deeply explore or compare modern treatment technologies (e.g., advanced thermal treatment, anaerobic digestion, circular economy models) beyond a very general level.
- **Repetitive Structure:** Some sections, particularly the definitions of MSW and solid waste, are repetitive, citing multiple authors who essentially say the same thing.

4.6. Conclusion

Amasuomo and Baird's paper provides a **valuable and well-structured synthesis** of historical and contemporary perspectives on the definition and classification of waste. Its major contribution is successfully arguing for and demonstrating the **subjective nature of waste** while simultaneously highlighting the practical necessity for clear definitions for regulatory purposes. The detailed categorization of solid waste streams, supported by international data, is a key strength that makes it a useful introductory resource.

However, the review is limited by its reliance on secondary data and somewhat dated sources, and its exclusion of liquid and hazardous wastes. It serves better as a **foundational overview** for students and researchers new to the field rather than as a source of cutting-edge insights into modern waste management technologies and policies. Future research could build on this work by incorporating more recent data, exploring the evolving definitions within a

circular economy framework, and including the complex categories of waste that were excluded here.

5. A Short Survey on Data Clustering Algorithms (Wong)

5.1. Introduction

This paper provides a comprehensive overview of data clustering algorithms, which are unsupervised learning methods used to group similar data points together. While not directly about garbage detection, its relevance to our project lies in the proposed "hotspot" identification feature. Clustering algorithms can analyze the geographical coordinates of detected illegal dumping events to identify areas with high frequency (clusters), enabling targeted enforcement.

5.2. Methodology

The paper is a survey, so its methodology involves reviewing and categorizing a wide range of clustering paradigms. It covers:

- **Partitioning Methods:** e.g., K-means, K-means++.
- **Hierarchical Methods:** e.g., Single-linkage, Chameleon.
- **Density-based Methods:** e.g., DBSCAN (highly relevant for irregularly shaped hotspots).
- **Grid-based, Model-based, and Advanced Methods:** Including algorithms for data streams and sequences (like HMMs).
- It also reviews **clustering validation metrics** (Rand Index, Purity, NMI) and **evaluation procedures**.

5.3. Results/Findings

The survey finds that no single clustering algorithm is best for all scenarios. Performance is highly **data-dependent**.

- **K-means++** is highlighted for its improvements over K-means and its computational speed.

- **Spectral Clustering** performs well on complex, non-globular cluster shapes but is computationally expensive.
- **Density-based algorithms (DBSCAN)** are robust for discovering arbitrarily shaped clusters and isolating noise (which could be random, one-off dumping events).

5.4. Strengths

- **Comprehensive Coverage:** Offers an excellent primer on the vast landscape of clustering algorithms.
- **Practical Guidance:** Provides insights into the strengths and weaknesses of each paradigm, directly informing our algorithm selection for hotspot analysis.
- **Benchmarking Framework:** Introduces the necessary metrics and procedures to evaluate the performance of our clustering module.

5.5. Limitations

- **Theoretical Focus:** As a survey, it does not provide an applied case study or implementation details for a specific domain like geospatial clustering.
- **Dated References:** The paper is from 2015 and may not cover the very latest advancements in clustering (e.g., deep clustering algorithms), though the core paradigms remain valid.

5.6. Conclusion

This survey is an invaluable resource for the "hotspot identification" component of our project. It suggests that for spatial point data (GPS coordinates of dumping events), **density-based algorithms like DBSCAN** are likely the most suitable choice as they can automatically determine the number of clusters and effectively identify dense spatial regions without being biased towards globular shapes. It provides the theoretical foundation for this part of our system.

References

- [1] K. Lakshmi, I. K., N. Sheik Abdullah, and K. R. Monica Bharathi, "Deep Learning based Garbage Detection for Smart City Applications," in 2022 6th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS), Madurai, India, 2022, pp. 1464-1468,
- [2] S. Srivastava, A. Verma, and S. Sharma, "Optical Character Recognition Techniques: A Review," in 2022 IEEE International Students' Conference on Electrical, Electronics and Computer Science (SCEECS), Bhopal, India, 2022
- [3] Z. Li, F. Liu, W. Yang, S. Peng, and J. Zhou, "A Survey of Convolutional Neural Networks: Analysis, Applications, and Prospects," IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst., vol. 33
- [4] E. Amasuomo and J. Baird, "The Concept of Waste and Waste Management," J. Manag. Sustain., vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 88–96, 2016.
- [5] K. Wong, "A Short Survey on Data Clustering Algorithms," Article, Nov. 2015

