Customer Segmentation Analysis

1. Project Introduction

Physical and online store accumulate tons of customers data with recent years of the prevalent location-based service, from order receipts to online order records. The online-to-offline platform utilizes the e-commerce data to provide merchants with customized marketing and advertising services, including customer transaction analysis and marketing recommendations. Merchants can optimize their operations, reduce marketing cost and improve conversion rate.

Customer Segmentation Charts using Power BI: click (https://app.powerbi.com/view? click (https://app.powerbi.com/view?

2. Data collection

This report would carry out a detailed analysis on customer's online ordering data of the period from 2015-06-26 06:00:00 to 2016-10-31 23:00:00 from Alibaba transaction data(Alibaba raw data (https://app.powerbi.com/view?

r=eyJrljoiNmRiMGVIMjMtODcwZi00NjZjLTg1NTgtY2E2YjQ1YjAyYTBmliwidCl6ImU5N2Q5OTExLTY1OTEtNGNjM

- 6967,4100 rows of historical transaction data of customer's orders
- 1958,3949 customers who had order behavior
- 2000 shops information including location and product categorys

3. Abstract

This report analyses customer segmentation by looking into the customer's online ordering data along with the shopping category, similar lifestyles, or even similar demographic profiles, provide value-adding and cost-saving analysis for different types of:

- Customer marketinging targets a specific customer group with RFM segmentation cluster.
- Customer marketinging targets a specific product category against the specific customers preferences group.
- Customer marketinging targets a specific product group against the specific time and customer group.
- Customer marketinging targets the top consumption level's city against the customer's orders.

The results were given after analysising the dataset from different features, including:

- Customer's profile like consumption level, location.
- Customer's order habits, like order frequency, order recency. All other feature will support as many as
 possible audience.

The process include data gathering, cleaning, transforming, modeling, analysing and visualising. Although it is a simple project, it is covering all pharses of data analysis.

4.Report Assumption

- The report assumes that the transaction data for this report can represente the typical behaviors of the entire customers on Alibaba sufficiently.
- The report can be used as an effective insight to help merchants to identify customers segmentation and place marketing.
- The report takes the target customers as the key factor for making a marketing and advertising strategy. The other influencing factors that affect the effectiveness of advertising, such as delivery channels, conversion paths, and ads cost are not included at this stage.
- · Marketing and ads teams are target audience for this report.

5. Problem Statement

The heart of e-commerce is finding the best suitable customers, products and marketplace, supporting the stakeholder on categorising the customers and marketing focus. For the channel and marketing teams, it would be a tough identification of the most likely buyers of a company's product or service, and how much premium worth to be put into the target customers groups. Here, the report presents findings by properly reformulating the problem.

6.Analysis Tools

- · SQL:data cleaning, query, transformation and analysis.
- Power BI: data visualisation, ad-hoc reporting, and simple transformation.
- Python Pandas: data ingestion and simple transformation.
- · Python: data loading and sampling.
- Docker: analysis environment deployment.
- · Jupyter: data analysis and reporting.

7. Main challenge

- Cleaned and uploaded large dataset from sqlite3 to postgres
- · Sampled the small typical dataset to analysis

7.1 Splited the large dataset into chunks and uploaded to postgres

```
In [9]:
```

```
%load ext sql
```

```
%sql postgresql://postgres:password@this postgres/postgres
In [2]:
from sqlalchemy import create engine
import sqlite3
import pandas as pd
import csv
from pandasql import sqldf
from datetime import datetime
In [20]:
sq= sqlite3.connect('userbehavior.sqlite3')
pg= create_engine('postgresql://postgres:password@this_postgres')
In [5]:
%%sql
show database
 * postgresql://postgres:***@this postgres/postgres
(psycopg2.errors.UndefinedObject) unrecognized configuration parameter
"database"
[SQL: show database]
(Background on this error at: https://sqlalche.me/e/14/f405) (https://
sqlalche.me/e/14/f405))
In [ ]:
sql="Select *, 'buy' as btype from userpay"
for df in pd.read sql(sql,sq,chunksize=200000):
    df.to_sql('user_bh_pay',pg,if_exists='append')
    print('loaded more 200000 rows')
loaded more 200000 rows
loaded more 200000 rows
```

7.2 Data overview and data cleaning

Overview

loaded more 200000 rows

In [10]:

```
In [3]:
%%sql
select count(1) total_order
     , count(distinct user id) total user
     , count(distinct shop_id) cnt_product_category from user_bh_p
 * postgresql://postgres:***@this postgres/postgres
1 rows affected.
Out[3]:
total_order total_user cnt_product_category
  69674110 19583949
                                2000
Data cleaning:
   - Relationships check: ship id check(foreign key)
   - Not-null check
   - Accepted values: shopid(1-2000),perpay(1-20)

    Relationship check: 0 of result is correct for the relationship

In [11]:
%%sql
select count(distinct shop id) as out of foreign from user bh p where shop id not in
 * postgresgl://postgres:***@this postgres/postgres
1 rows affected.
Out[11]:
 out of foreign
          0
 · Not-null check: The count results of the three fields are the same
In [15]:
%%sql
select count(1),count(pay_time),count(shop_id) from user_bh_p
 * postgresql://postgres:***@this_postgres/postgres
1 rows affected.
```

```
count count_1 count_2
69674110 69674110

• Accepted Values Check: 0 of result is correct for the accepted value
```

Out[15]:

```
In [18]:
%%sql
select count(distinct shopid) as cnt_out_of_shopid from shop_info where shopid not h
 * postgresql://postgres:***@this_postgres/postgres
1 rows affected.
Out[18]:
cnt_out_of_shopid
             0
In [19]:
%%sql
select count(distinct perpay) as cnt_out_of_perpay from shop_info where perpay not h
 * postgresql://postgres:***@this_postgres/postgres
1 rows affected.
Out[19]:
cnt_out_of_perpay
             0
```

7.3 Understanding user sample groups from the large data set

Extracted top 10 customers with the most orders as sample

```
In [39]:
```

```
#sq=sqlite3.connect('userbehavior.sqlite3')
#top_10=pd.read_sql('select user_id, count(1) as cnt_total_order from userpay group
#top_10.to_csv('top10_user.csv') #find top10 user_id by cnt

top10_user = pd.read_csv('top10_user.csv',index_col=0)
top10_user
```

Out[39]:

	user_id	cnt_total_order
0	20476580	299
1	2716941	297
2	16549240	296
3	19677677	295
4	6712547	295
5	5972671	295
6	21649568	294
7	21586973	294
8	17739226	294
9	3450024	294

Transfromed the sample data by dimension(category,order time,etc...)

In [31]:

```
# sq=sqlite3.connect('userbehavior.sqlite3')
# top_10_behavior=pd.read_sql(
# 'select * from userpay where user_id in(20476580,2716941,16549240,19677677,671
# top_10_behavior.to_csv('top10_userbehavior.csv')
top10_userbehavior = pd.read_csv('top10_userbehavior.csv',index_col=0)
top10_userbehavior.head()
```

Out[31]:

	user_id	shop_id	pay_time
0	17739226	1302	2016-07-11 10:00:00
1	17739226	1302	2016-06-11 16:00:00
2	17739226	1302	2016-06-09 16:00:00
3	17739226	1302	2016-05-22 22:00:00
4	17739226	1302	2016-08-20 12:00:00

Loaded the sample user data feature to be ready for analysis

In [37]:

```
data=pd.read csv('top10 userbehavior.csv',index col=0)
def run sql(sql:str) -> pd.DataFrame:
    df=sqldf(sql)
    return df
user_feature=run_sql('''
   --begin-sql
   select
   user id
     ,COUNT(1) as count_order
     ,COUNT(distinct shop_id) as count_item
     ,DATE(min(pay_time)) as first_ordertime
     ,Date(max(pay time)) as last ordertime
     ,CAST(julianday(date(max(pay_time)))-julianday(date(min(pay_time))) as INT) as
from data
group by 1
order by 6 desc
--end-sql
''')
user feature
```

Out[37]:

	user_id	count_order	count_item	first_ordertime	last_ordertime	days_on_platform
0	6712547	295	2	2015-06-29	2016-10-30	489
1	21586973	294	1	2015-11-19	2016-10-31	347
2	16549240	296	1	2015-11-18	2016-10-29	346
3	2716941	297	2	2015-11-18	2016-10-28	345
4	3450024	294	2	2015-12-04	2016-10-28	329
5	5972671	295	3	2015-11-18	2016-10-02	319
6	17739226	294	1	2015-11-29	2016-10-04	310
7	21649568	294	1	2015-11-17	2016-07-14	240
8	19677677	295	1	2015-11-17	2016-07-13	239
9	20476580	299	3	2016-03-06	2016-09-01	179


8. "Hero Customers" Analysis

8.1. Key Finding

This part of the report will discuss how to use RFM and other analysis for the stakeholders on segmenting the customers based on: when their last purchase was, how often they've purchased in the past, and how much they've spent overall, especially the frequency(F) and monetary(M) value here in the report affect a customer's lifetime value, and recency(R) affects retention.


"Hero Customers" category by RFM segmetation cluster

- The metrics R could not have a obvious effect on RFM analysis, only F and M are about to considered as the determining metrics. The details of Recency analysis could refer to the part '8.2 Other analysis' for "Hero Customers" of this report.
- According to customer's values in the two dimensions of order frequency and order monetary, the customers are divided into four types: VIP3,VIP2,VIP1,VIP0
- The group of customers in quadrant VIP3 which both has frequency and monetary over average value, is more likely to convert the user's click action into actual purchase behavior.
- Totol customers of VIP3 is 1964775, which is 13% of the total customers.
- Total orders of VIP3 is 37751089, which is 54.18% of the total orders.
- The VIP3 group of customer is the most possible "Hero Customer".




"Hero customers" of the most amount in the top 6 city

• The scatter chart screens the top 6 citys with the most amount of orders, they are: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Guangzhou, Beijing, Nanjing, Wuhan.




"Hero customers" of the most consumption levels in the 6 "hero city"

- The bar chart screens out the top 6 cities who has the most consumption level, they are shanghai, Hangzhou, Guangzhou, Beijing, Nanjing, and Wuhan.
- Total customers for the 6 citys is 10802783, which is 54.88% of the total customers.
- Total orders for the 6 citys is 37751089, which is 54.18% of the total orders.




- For the six cities with top consumption level, dividing the segment of customers into high, medium and low of consumption level in each city.
- For the top 6 cities, customer segmetation should be considered according to 18(6*3) groups of customers due to the considerable number of customers with the various consumption levels.



Count of customers by categorys and hour

The bar chart screened out the customers who ordered products in the most popular category during a day:


- The peak time for category of delicacy appears at 18:00.
- The peak time for Supermarket&Convenience store appears at 19:00~20:00.
- Adjusting marketing cost and executing periodically relevant campaigns that would boost sales during these peak times.



Count of customers by products during the peak hour

The bar chart screened out the most customers who ordered the most popular products during the peak hour:

- The most popular food at 12PM is Western-style fastfood(39.93%), then chinese fast food(14.46%).
- Adjusting marketing cost and executing periodically relevant campaigns that would boost sales during these peak times.



8.2. Other analysis for "Hero Customers"

Date transformation

• Data transformation of datetime: partitioning pay_time dimension into fine granularities dimension

```
In [ ]:
```

```
%% sql

CREATE TABLE user_bh_p AS
WITH ub as(
    select *,to_timestamp(pay_time,'YYYY-MM-DD HH24:MI:SS') as datetime
    from user_bh_pay
)
select *
    ,date_part('year',datetime) as year
    ,date_part('quarter',datetime) as quarter
    ,date_part('month',datetime) as month
    ,date_part('week',datetime) as week
    ,date_part('day',datetime) as day
    ,date_part('hour',datetime) as hour
from ub
```

· Data transformation of consumption level: Low, Medium, and High

In []:

Screening the customers who have the most recent behavior of purchase

Collected 1257,6771 of the orders record as below in the latest four months to calculate the R feature, the
customers who had not appeared in the last four months of the records would be considered with churn
instead of R.

```
In [ ]:
```

```
%%sql
with diff as (
    select
        user id,
        max(datetime) as last event,
        now()::date-max(datetime)::date as day diff
    from user bh p
    where datetime>= timestamp'2016-06-22'
    group by user_id
    order by day diff desc
), window_recency_top as(
    select user_id
    ,day diff
    ,row number() over (PARTITION by 1) rn
    from diff
select count(1) from window_recency_top
```

```
* postgresql://postgres:***@this_postgres/postgres
1 rows affected.
Out[25]:
    count
12576771
```

Then screened 265000 customers having the latest orders as R feature

- The analysis here used the algorithm to distribute and cluster customers equally into 6 groups based on R value.
- Since this historical data came from a certain period in the past, the difference between customers in R
 value was very small, so Recency was not considered as one of the dimensions of RFM feature as
 mentioned at first.
- The top 65000 customers had the most but the very similar order behavior of recency as the other customers, and the presentage of this customer segmentation is 0.09%.

```
%%sql
with diff as (
    select
        user id,
        max(datetime) as last event,
        now()::date-max(datetime)::date as day diff
    from user bh p
    where datetime>= timestamp'2016-06-22'
    group by user id
    order by day diff desc
), window_recency_top as(
    select user_id
    ,last event
    ,day diff
    ,row number() over (PARTITION by 1 order by day diff) rn
    from diff
--select count(1) from window_recency_top--12576771
--select * from window recency top where rn in (1,2580000,4580000,6580000,8580000,10
select * from window_recency_top where rn in (1,400000,800000,1200000,1600000,200000)
--select * from window_recency_top where rn in (1,65000,130000,195000,265000,330000,
--select * from window recency top where rn in (1,10000,20000,30000,40000,50000,6000
```

* postgresql://postgres:***@this_postgres/postgres 7 rows affected.

Out[6]:

rn	day_diff	last_event	user_id
1	1805	2016-10-31 13:00:00+00:00	20073784
400000	1806	2016-10-30 18:00:00+00:00	8014266
800000	1808	2016-10-28 13:00:00+00:00	18610116
1200000	1810	2016-10-26 13:00:00+00:00	2069082
1600000	1812	2016-10-24 14:00:00+00:00	19380458
2000000	1814	2016-10-22 13:00:00+00:00	9297834
2580000	1818	2016-10-18 19:00:00+00:00	13862664

Screening top customers who have the most times of purchase behavior as Frequency feature

- Collected 848,1514 of the orders record whose time span between first order and last order as below are over two weeks, then calculated the F feature.
- The analysis here used the algorithm to distribute and cluster customers equally among 6 groups based on the F feature.
- The top 28000 customers had the most order frequency, and the presentage of this customer segmentation is 0.14%.

In [15]:

```
%%sql
with grouped as (
    select user id
    , count(btype) as count buy f
    , min(datetime) as first_event
    , max(datetime) as last event
    , max(datetime)::date-min(datetime)::date as day_span
from user bh p
group by user id
order by count buy f desc
), window top freq as (
    select user_id
    ,count buy f
    ,day span
    ,(day_span/count_buy_f) as avg_day_span_per_order
    ,row number() over (PARTITION by 1 order by count buy f desc) rn
    from grouped
    where day_span>=14
)
--select count(1) from window top freq--8481514
--select * from window_top_freq where rn in (1,1413600,2827200,4240800,5654400,70680
--select * from window top freq where rn in (1,235600,471200,706800,942400,1178000,1
--select * from window_top_freq where rn in (1,40000,80000,120000,160000,200000,2356
select * from window_top_freq where rn in (1,7000,14000,21000,28000,35000,40000)
```

* postgresql://postgres:***@this_postgres/postgres 7 rows affected.

Out[15]:

rn	avg_day_span_per_order	day_span	count_buy_f	user_id
1	0	179	299	20476580
7000	3	468	122	1552426
14000	3	328	97	2955350
21000	3	288	83	3127201
28000	4	335	75	15728560
35000	5	382	69	12046524
40000	6	395	65	14763293

Screening top numbers of customers who have the highest level of consumption as Monetary feature

• Collected 2626,5463 of the orders record to calculate the M feature.

In [9]:

26265463

```
* postgresql://postgres:***@this_postgres/postgres
1 rows affected.
Out[9]:
    count
```

- The analysis here used the algorithm to distribute and cluster customers equally among 6 groups based on the M feature.
- The top 20000 had the most order amount, and the presentage of this customer segmentation is 0.41%.

In [27]:

```
%%sql
with grouped as (
    select u.user id as uid
        ,s.perpay as amount
        ,count(1) as cnt order
        ,s.perpay*count(1) as total amount
    from user bh p u
    inner join shop_info s on u.shop_id=s.shopid
    group by 1,2
    order by total amount desc
), windowed top m as(
    select uid
    ,total_amount
    ,cnt order
    ,row number() over (PARTITION by 1 order by total amount desc) rn
    from grouped
--select count(1) from windowed top m--26265463
--select * from windowed_top_m where rn in (1,4000000,8000000,120000000,180000000,2200
--select * from windowed top m where rn in (1,650000,1300000,1950000,26000000,3250000
--select * from windowed_top_m where rn in (1,110000,220000,330000,440000,550000,650
select * from windowed top m where rn in (1,20000,40000,60000,80000,110000)
```

* postgresql://postgres:***@this_postgres/postgres 6 rows affected.

Out[27]:

rn	cnt_order	total_amount	uid
1	293	5860	9785313
20000	54	972	10342456
40000	43	731	17709950
60000	204	612	746829
80000	67	536	9995691
110000	27	459	11797334

The customers orders distribution by consumption level, product categorys, locations

Creat a integral table named 'master_table' with all basic feature prepared to analysis

```
In [30]:
```

```
%%sql
with master_table as(
        SELECT u.*
            ,s.city_name
            ,s.perpay
            ,s.cate 1
            ,s.cate 2
            ,s.cate_3
            ,s.buy_class
      from user bh p u
      inner join shop_info s on u.shop_id=s.shopid
select
        cate_1 as --cate_class_1
        ,cate 2 as --cate class 2
        ,cate_3 as --cate_class_3
        ,count(1) as totaL cate orders
        ,sum(case when buy_class='low' then 1 else 0 end) as cnt_paylevel_low
        ,sum(case when buy class='medium' then 1 else 0 end) as cnt paylevel medium
        ,sum(case when buy_class='high' then 1 else 0 end) as cnt_paylevel_high
from master table
group by 1,2,3
order by 4 desc
limit 5
```

* postgresql://postgres:***@this_postgres/postgres 5 rows affected.

Out[30]:

cate_1	cate_2	cate_3	total_cate_orders	cnt_paylevel_low	cnt_paylevel_medium	cnt
delicacies	fast food	western- style fast food	20236931	815963	8804966	
supermarket convenience store	supermarket	None	18933693	608534	1137455	
supermarket convenience store	convenience store	None	5803642	5620461	170444	
delicacies	fast food	chinese fast food	5625374	3429633	1547813	
delicacies	casual food	fresh fruit	2966309	1311001	946192	

Total orders, total customers and consumption level distributions by citys:

In [7]:

```
%%sql
with master_table AS(
        SELECT u.*
            ,s.city_name
            ,s.perpay
            ,s.cate_1
            ,s.cate_2
            ,s.cate_3
            ,s.buy_class
      from user bh p u
      inner join shop_info s on u.shop_id=s.shopid
select city_name
        ,perpay
        ,cate 1
        ,cate 2
        ,cate_3
        ,count(distinct user_id) total_users
        ,count(1) total_orders
        ,count(1)*perpay as total_amount
        from master table
        group by city_name, perpay,cate_1,cate_2,cate_3
        order by total_amount desc, total_users desc, total_orders desc
        limit 5
```

* postgresql://postgres:***@this_postgres/postgres 5 rows affected.

Out[7]:

city_name	perpay	cate_1	cate_2	cate_3	total_users	total_orders	total_amount
shanghai	19	supermarket convenience store	supermarket	None	288484	997185	18946515
hangzhou	19	supermarket convenience store	supermarket	None	216890	860797	16355143
suzhou	20	supermarket convenience store	supermarket	None	222998	717351	14347020
shanghai	18	supermarket convenience store	supermarket	None	221822	568507	10233126
beijing	19	supermarket convenience store	supermarket	None	138654	525416	9982904

8.3 Further Considerations

- Customer's engagement and consumption realization play an important role in e-commerce industry, which could almost count on the customer segmentation. Therefore, the marketing target's clarification along with customer segmentation would be taken into high consideration.
- The more refined the customer segmentation, the higher the customer's conversion rate. RFM customer value model is a better model for customer segmentation, and to segment the market at the same cost.
- If we have a specific user traffic budget for marketing, we could turn the target customers into our consumers through customer's segmentation analysis, instead of randomly sending ads to anyone without higher marketing conversion rates.

9. Disclaimer

The sole purpose of this research is to provide as many features as possible about customers segementation for alibaba's merchants.