

# Dynamic fragmentation

G. Anciaux

*Civil Engineering, Materials Science, EPFL*



## Dynamic fragmentation


### A long history... many applications

- Mining industry, road excavation, fuel fragmentation (1930's)
- 1940's: seminal contribution of Mott (bomb shells)



*Nevill-Francis Mott (Nobel prize picture)*

- Applications in engineering (hydraulic fracturing, crash performance), medicine (kidney stone fragmentation), all the way to Space industry (orbital debris) and Astrophysics (asteroid impact, big bang),...



*Hydraulic fracturing; Total E&P Denmark B.V*



*Energy-absorbing materials; 123rf.com*



*A conceptual image illustrating space debris orbiting Earth. (Image credit: johan63/iStock/Getty Images Plus)*



*Asteroid impact: artistic view*

# Experimental facts



## Cumulative distribution of masses

$$F(m) = 1 - e^{-(m/m_0)^\beta}$$

Probability of finding a fragment with mass  $< m$

$m_0$  a characteristic (average) mass

**P. Rosin, E. Rammler.** *The Laws Governing the Fineness of Powdered Coal.* Journal of the Institute of Fuel. 7,29-36. (1933)



$$F(m) = 1 - e^{-(m/m_0)^\beta}$$

- Exponential or power law cumulative distribution of fragment sizes
- Average fragment size decreases with higher loading energy
- Fragment velocities: inverse power law of the fragments' mass

## Analytical models: Early works


**C. Lienau.** *Random Fracture of a Brittle Solid.* Journal of the Franklin Institute. 221(6),769-787. (1936)

assumption: no interactions between cracks

### Cumulative distribution of fragment mass

$$F(m) = 1 - e^{-m/m_0}$$

Fra



Random breakpoints

### Idea

- 1D line with randomly placed breakpoints

- Probability of finding  $k$  breakpoints within a given length  $l$  (Poisson distribution)

$$P(k, l) = \frac{\left(\frac{l}{l_0}\right)^k}{k!} e^{-\frac{l}{l_0}}$$

with:

- $l_0$ : average spacing between breaks
- $P(0, l) = e^{-\frac{l}{l_0}}$ : probability of finding 0 break in a length  $l$
- $P(1, dl) = \frac{dl}{l_0}$ : probability of finding 1 break within an infinitesimal length  $dl$

Probability of finding 0 break in a length  $l$  AND 1 break within a length  $dl$

$$\frac{dP(0, l)}{dl} = -\frac{1}{l_0} e^{-\frac{l}{l_0}}$$

Probability of finding a fragment of size  $l$  within a precision  $dl$

**Therefore**

$$f(l) dl = \frac{1}{l_0} e^{-\frac{l}{l_0}} dl$$

with  $f(l)$  the fragment size distribution and  $F(l) = \int f(l) dl$

$$F(l) = 1 - e^{-\frac{l}{l_0}}$$

**Generalisation to a finite size line**

$$F(l) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{l}{L}\right)^{N_f - 1}$$

with

- $N_f$  number of fragments
- converges to *Lienau* if  $N_f \rightarrow \infty$

**D. Grady.** *Particle Size Statistics in Dynamic Fragmentation.* Journal of Applied Physics. **68**(12),6099-6105. (1990)


## However ... cracks interact via mechanical waves

## Breaking spaghetti




## Mott's problem: expanding ring

- Expanding ring leading to a constant, longitudinal (1D) strain rate  $\dot{\epsilon}$
- Perfectly plastic (yield  $Y$ )
- Random failure in both time and space
- Failure is instantaneous (immediate stress drop)
- Waves are emitted around fracture
  - Propagation distance:  $x(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2Yt}{\rho\dot{\epsilon}}}$
- Elasto-plastic refinement (Lee, 1967)



Within a distance  $2x(t)$  the stress drop protects from new crack nucleation




N. Mott, E. Linfoot. *A Theory of Fragmentation*.

D. Grady, N. Mott. *Fragmentation of Rings and Shells: The Legacy of N. F. Mott*.

# Grady and energy balance

## Dynamic expansion of a fluid:

- Predict average fragment size
- Local kinetic energy = Failure energy



$$s = \left( \frac{24G_c}{\rho \dot{\epsilon}^2} \right)^{1/3}$$

- $s$ : characteristic size
- $G_c$ : toughness
- $\rho$ : volumetric mass
- $\dot{\epsilon}$ : loading rate

*Grady's expanding fluid model. The large sphere represents the whole body. The small sphere of radius  $r$  limits a region of the fluid that will form a fragment.*

**D. Grady.** *Local Inertial Effects in Dynamic Fragmentation.* Journal of Applied Physics. **53**(1),322-325. (1982)


**S. Levy.** *Exploring the Physics behind Dynamic Fragmentation through Parallel Simulations.*

But:

- Single fragment size
- No interaction between cracks (contact)
- No defects

In reality:

- Potential energy term missing in the energy balance



**A. Chudnovsky, B. Kunin.** *A Probabilistic Model of Brittle Crack Formation.* Journal of Applied Physics. **62**(10),4124-4129. (1987)

**S. Levy.** *Exploring the Physics behind Dynamic Fragmentation through Parallel Simulations.*



## Mott's problem by numerics: [Zhou et

al.]

- Distribution of defects matters
- Finite element with Cohesive element approach


**F. Zhou, J.-F. Molinari, K. Ramesh.** *Analysis of the Brittle Fragmentation of an Expanding Ring.* Computational Materials Science. **37**(1),74-85. (2006)

**S. Levy, J.-F. Molinari, I. Vicari, A. Davison.** *Dynamic Fragmentation of a Ring: Predictable Fragment Mass Distributions.* Physical Review E. **82**(6),066105. (2010)



- Ceramic ring length:  $L = 50$  mm
- Elastic parameters:  $\rho = 2750$  Kg/m<sup>3</sup>,  $E = 250$  GPa,  $c = 10000$  m/s
- Fracture parameters:  $\sigma_c = 300$  MPa,  $\delta_c = 0.667$  mm,  $G_c = 100$  N/m
- Defects distribution: *uniform or Weibul*


## Average fragment size



- Smaller fragments than Grady (closer to experiments)

**F. Zhou, J.-F. Molinari, K. Ramesh.** *Analysis of the Brittle Fragmentation of an Expanding Ring.* Computational Materials Science. **37**(1),74-85. (2006)

## Mass probability distribution




$$N(m) = (1 + (m/\mu)^\beta) e^{-(m/\mu)^\beta}$$

- Numerics:  $\beta \simeq 2.2$
- Grady and Kipp:  $\beta = 1$
- Mott and Linfoot:  $\beta = 1/2$

**S. Levy, J.-F. Molinari, I. Vicari, A. Davison.** *Dynamic Fragmentation of a Ring: Predictable Fragment Mass Distributions.* Physical Review E. **82**(6),066105. (2010)

## Secondary waves effect: Obscuration zone hypothesis?

- Defect protected if released by stress wave : Mott's assumption
- Simulations question validity of this assumption
  - when a defect "sees" a stress drop, it becomes unbreakable
  - for low strain rates (quasi-static): multiple wave passing
  - under-estimation of number of fragments
  - over-estimation of large fragment sizes



**M. Chambart, S. Levy, J. Molinari.** *How the Obscuration-Zone Hypothesis Affects Fragmentation: Illustration with the Cohesive-Element Method.* International Journal of Fracture. **171**(2),125-137. (2011)

## Wrap-up

- Analytical models predict trends for various strain rates
  - Strain rates competing with wave propagations
  - Energy balance  $E^{\text{kin}} + E^{\text{pot}} \equiv \text{Fracture energy}$
- Numerical models

- Need material randomness ( $\sigma_c$  distributions)
- Fragment distributions depend on material properties (bi-material ?)
- Need for fragment interaction
- allow (in principle complex geometries)
- Reliable statistic: need lots of fragments and lots of elements per fragments....

## Bibliography

**P. Rosin, E. Rammler.** *The Laws Governing the Fineness of Powdered Coal.* Journal of the Institute of Fuel. 7,29-36. (1933)

**C. Lienau.** *Random Fracture of a Brittle Solid.* Journal of the Franklin Institute. 221(6),769-787. (1936) [10.1016/S0016-0032\(36\)90526-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-0032(36)90526-4)

**N. Mott, E. Linfoot.** *A Theory of Fragmentation.* [10.1007/978-3-540-27145-1\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-27145-1_9)

**D. Grady.** *Local Inertial Effects in Dynamic Fragmentation.* Journal of Applied Physics. 53(1),322-325. (1982) [10.1063/1.329934](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.329934)

**A. Chudnovsky, B. Kunin.** *A Probabilistic Model of Brittle Crack Formation.* Journal of Applied Physics. 62(10),4124-4129. (1987) [10.1063/1.339128](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.339128)

**D. Grady.** *Particle Size Statistics in Dynamic Fragmentation.* Journal of Applied Physics. 68(12),6099-6105. (1990) [10.1063/1.347188](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.347188)

**D. Grady, N. Mott.** *Fragmentation of Rings and Shells: The Legacy of N. F. Mott.* (Springer, 2006).

**F. Zhou, J.-F. Molinari, K. Ramesh.** *Analysis of the Brittle Fragmentation of an Expanding Ring.* Computational Materials Science. 37(1),74-85. (2006) [10.1016/j.commatsci.2005.12.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2005.12.017)

**S. Levy, J.-F. Molinari, I. Vicari, A. Davison.** *Dynamic Fragmentation of a Ring: Predictable Fragment Mass Distributions.* Physical Review E. 82(6),066105. (2010) [10.1103/PhysRevE.82.066105](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.066105)

**S. Levy.** *Exploring the Physics behind Dynamic Fragmentation through Parallel Simulations.* [10.5075/epfl-thesis-4898](https://doi.org/10.5075/epfl-thesis-4898)

**M. Chambart, S. Levy, J. Molinari.** *How the Obscuration-Zone Hypothesis Affects Fragmentation: Illustration with the Cohesive-Element Method.* International Journal of Fracture. 171(2),125-137. (2011) [10.1007/s10704-011-9631-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10704-011-9631-9)