Indexing big colored image bank: Texture 3.0

Etienne CAILLAUD, Thomas LE BRIS, Ibrahima GUEYE, Gaetan ADIER

XLIM-SIC Laboratory UMR CNRS 7252, Poitiers, France








Outline



Project context (1/3)

Objective

Test a solution for content based image indexing flaw: standard descriptors (SIFT, SURF, etc) lacking real color and texture information.



Project context 2/3

What is a descriptor?

Algorithm applied to an image which output is a short vector of numbers which is invariant to common image transformations and can be compared with other descriptors in a database.



FIGURE: Densegrid



FIGURE: Interest points


Project context 3/3

What is a CLEF?

International contest organized every year since 2011 which purpose is to benchmark the progress in the area of plant identification from images.



Team presentation



User requirement

- Design software programs : indexation of images database, calculate descriptor according to nature images
- Adapt the last up to date designed color and texture attributes to the current image classification
- Compare our results (using CLEF challenge metrics)
- Provide an abstract of the comparisons and a technical report

Outline



SIFT(1/2)

Key-points detection (x,y,σ)

- Scale-space extrema detection
- Key-point location
- Orientation assignment
- key-point descriptor

SIFT(2/2)




FIGURE: SIFT test1



FIGURE: SIFT test2

What about nature images?

SIFT

- Description using orientation of shapes
- Natively used on grayscale images
- Only marginal methods for color images
- Unable to get the texture information from image

C_2O

- Description using color difference
- Natively conceived for color images
- Color difference gives an image of the texture information

$C_2O(1/2)$

The C₂O matrix for a poorly textured image :



FIGURE: Image to characterize




FIGURE: Signature

$C_2O(1/2)$

- The C₂O matrix for a poorly textured image :
- The C₂O matrix for a more textured image :



FIGURE: Image to characterize




FIGURE: Signature

$C_2O(1/2)$

- The C₂O matrix for a poorly textured image :
- The C₂O matrix for a more textured image :
- The C₂O matrix for a more textured and colored image :



FIGURE: Image to characterize






FIGURE: Signature


C₂O (2/2)




The C₂O signature for a poorly textured image .




C₂O (2/2)




- The C₂O signature for a poorly textured image .⁴
- The C₂O signature for a more textured image :



C₂O (2/2)



- The C₂O signature for a poorly textured image:
- The C₂O signature for a more textured image :
- The C₂O signature for a more textured and colored image :



Bag of word (1/2)

Reducing the number of points (100 in our case).

- K-means
 - Attribute the vectors to centroid vectors.




FIGURE: K-means

Bag of word (2/2)

- Signature
 - Design histogram in function of assignment of the vectors.




FIGURE: Signature 100 words -





FIGURE: Signature 100 words - 2


K-nn(1/2)

- The k nearest neighbor method
 - Comparison to the dictionary.



K-nn(1/2)

- The k nearest neighbor method
 - Comparison to the dictionary.



- 4 Occurrences of the 'red' class , 1 occurrence of the 'blue' class
- The new point is attributed to the 'red' class

K-nn(2/2)

- Application for image classification
 - More complex data.
 - Distances on signature vectors extracted from the K-mean method.
 - One most adapted distance type for each descriptor.

Results (1/2)

 Reduce data-base of 100 images composed of only 4 species.



FIGURE: First specie



FIGURE: Second specie



FIGURE: Third specie



FIGURE: Fourth specie

Results (2/2)

Compare the two descriptors SIFT and C₂O.

TABLE: SIFT result

ID	Training Base	Test Base	Correct	Accuracy
173	17	8	4	50%
1102	22	3	1	33%
1889	16	9	1	11%
2717	15	10	7	70%
Total	70	30	9	1

TABLE: C2O result

ID	Training Base	Test Base	Correct	Accuracy
173	17	8	1	12.5%
1102	22	3	1	33%
1889	16	9	0	0%
2717	15	10	7	70%
Total	70	30	9	1

Discussion

- Classification
 - To much reducing on the K-means (100 words).
 - Euclidean distance not the most efficient or adapt.
- C20
 - The concatenation way is not optimal.
 - Parameters D, alpha, and beta has to be discussed regarding to the images.

Outline



Scheduling (1/2)

• The forecast Gantt chart :

ID	Task Name	П	mai 2015 juin				n 2015	
	rusk Nume		3/5	10/5	17/5	24/5	31/5	7/6
1	Writing the state of the art	X						
2	Preparing the database							
3	Programming	•						
14	Writing of the report							
5	Preparation of the oral presentation							

- All time affectation done before the beginning of the project
- Rarely respected in important project

Scheduling (2/2)

The project backlog:

	A CONTRACTOR		11 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (
Sprin -X	Catégorie -	Sous catégorie =	Nom / Description -	Importance 📲	Estimation =	Critères de Vérification	Acteur -	Status -
5	Dev Logiciel	Redaction documentation	CLEF metrics - doc	65	0,5	presentation équipe scientifique	Thomas	A faire
5	Dev Logiciel		documentation sur le processus "complet"	60		présentation à l'ensemble des acteurs du projet		A faire

- Allow to change the affectation of a task
- Weekly time affectation : could be adapted to unforeseen

Outline



Sum-up of the situation

Starting objectives

- SIFT tests
- C2O programming
- classification programming
- Code optimizing for speed
- parallelization

Ending situation

- SIFT tests
- C2O programming
- classification programming

Issues

- C2O concatenation order
- distance calculation

Personal gains

- New way to organize teamwork
 Technical knowledge
- Technical knowledge

