

Indexing big colored image bank : Texture 3.0

**Etienne CAILLAUD, Thomas LE BRIS, Ibrahima GUEYE,
Gaetan ADIER**



XLIM-SIC Laboratory UMR CNRS 7252, Poitiers, France



Outline

- 1 Introduction to the project context
- 2 Work and results
- 3 Project management
- 4 Conclusion

Project context (1/2)

What is a imageCLEF ?


International contest which purpose is to benchmark plant identification from images.




Project context (2/2)

Objectives

- Index image database composed of nature pictures
- Adapt XLIM's descriptor to the current image classification
- Benchmark results



Team presentation



Outline

- 1 Introduction to the project context
- 2 Work and results
- 3 Project management
- 4 Conclusion


SIFT(1/2)

Key-points detection (x, y, σ)

- Scale-space extrema detection
- Key-point location
- Orientation assignment
- key-point descriptor



FIGURE: SIFT Keypoints



SIFT(2/2)





FIGURE: SIFT Matching for rotation





FIGURE: SIFT matching for scale changes

What about nature images ?



SIFT

- Description using orientation of shapes
- Natively used on grayscale images
- Only marginal methods for color images
- Unable to get the texture information from image

C₂O

- Description based on color difference
- Natively conceived for color images
- Take account of the texture information

C_2O (1/2)

- The C_2O matrix for a poorly textured image :



FIGURE: Image to characterize





FIGURE: Signature



C₂O (1/2)

- The C₂O matrix for a poorly textured image :
- The C₂O matrix for a more textured image :



FIGURE: Image to characterize





FIGURE: Signature



C₂O (1/2)

- The C₂O matrix for a poorly textured image :
- The C₂O matrix for a more textured image :
- The C₂O matrix for a more textured and colored image :



FIGURE: Image to characterize








FIGURE: Signature






C₂O (2/2)

- The C₂O signature for a poorly textured image :




C₂O (2/2)



- The C₂O signature for a poorly textured image :
- The C₂O signature for a more textured image :



C₂O (2/2)



- The C₂O signature for a poorly textured image :
- The C₂O signature for a more textured image :
- The C₂O signature for a more textured and colored image :



Bag of word (1/2)

Reducing the number of points (100 in our case).

- K-means
 - Attribute the vectors to centroid vectors.





FIGURE: K-means



Bag of word (2/2)

- Signature
 - Design histogram in function of assignment of the vectors.





FIGURE: Signature 100 words - 1






FIGURE: Signature 100 words - 2


K-nn(1/2)

- The k nearest neighbor method
 - Comparison to the dictionary .




K-nn(1/2)


- The k nearest neighbor method
 - Comparison to the dictionary .



- 4 Occurrences of the **red** class
- 1 occurrence of the **blue** class
- The new point is attributed to the **red** class



K-nn(2/2)



- Application for image classification

- More complex data.
- Distances on signature vectors extracted from the K-mean method.
- One most adapted distance type for each descriptor .

Results (1/2)

- Reduce data-base of 100 images composed of only 4 species.



FIGURE: First specie



FIGURE: Second specie



FIGURE: Third specie



FIGURE: Fourth specie

Results (2/2)

- Compare the two descriptors SIFT and C₂O.

TABLE: SIFT result

ID	Training Base	Test Base	Correct	Accuracy
173	17	8	4	50%
1102	22	3	1	33%
1889	16	9	1	11%
2717	15	10	7	70%
Total	70	30	9	/

TABLE: C₂O result

ID	Training Base	Test Base	Correct	Accuracy
173	17	8	1	12.5%
1102	22	3	1	33%
1889	16	9	0	0%
2717	15	10	7	70%
Total	70	30	9	/

Discussion

- Classification

- To much reducing on the K-means (100 words).
- Euclidean distance not the most efficient or adapt.

- C_2O


- The concatenation way is not optimal.
- Parameters D, alpha, and beta has to be discussed regarding to the images.

Outline

- 1 Introduction to the project context
- 2 Work and results
- 3 Project management**
- 4 Conclusion

Scheduling (1/2)

- The forecast Gantt chart :



- All time affectation done before the beginning of the project
- Rarely respected in important project

Scheduling (2/2)

● The project backlog :

Sprint	Catégorie	Sous catégorie	Nom / Description	Importance	Estimation	Critères de Vérification	Acteur	Status
5	Dev Logiciel	Redaction documentation	CLEF metrics - doc	65	0,5	presentation équipe scientifique	Thomas	A faire
5	Dev Logiciel	Redaction documentation	documentation sur le processus "complet"	60	1	présentation à l'ensemble des acteurs du projet		A faire

- Division of each main task in subtasks
- Time attribution for each subtask
- Tasks sorted by priority
- Each subtask attributed to team member
- Allow to change the affectation of a task
- Weekly time affectation : could be adapted to unforeseen

Outline

- 1 Introduction to the project context
- 2 Work and results
- 3 Project management
- 4 Conclusion

Sum-up of the situation

Starting objectives

- SIFT tests
- C2O programming
- classification programming
- Code optimizing for speed
- parallelization

Ending situation

- SIFT tests
- C2O programming
- classification programming

Issues

- C2O concatenation order
- distance calculation


Personal conclusion

Personal gains

- New way to organize teamwork
- Technical knowledge
- Contest participation context
- Code management on a project scale

Perspectives

- Fixing technical issues
- Test on the whole database
- Classification programming



Thank you for attention