

CS 3053

Peer Evaluation of Team Project Members

Due Friday, May 4, 2018 at 11:00pm. (There is no grade. Slack days don't apply.)

Name: Hunter Black

*The purpose of this "assignment" is to help me assess the contributions made by the individual members of each project team. This will help me determine individual project grades; note that members of each team will not necessarily receive the same grade. Please answer all questions honestly. Comments are very helpful; **add space under each question as needed**. I will not share your comments with your team members.*

1. Given 100 percent total effort to spread across your group members, assign a percentage effort to each group member, including yourself.

Hunter Black:	22.5
William Cobb:	10
Conner Flansburg:	22.5
Sarah Myers:	22.5
Melissa Wilson:	22.5

2. How often were each person's ideas or suggestions accepted by the group?

For the most part, whenever a person in our group would field an idea, it would be compared with other similar ideas put forth by other members of our group. Overall, I would say that about 40-50% of the time that someone came up with a suggestion or idea, it was accepted over other ideas by the group.

3. How often did each person lead the way for the group?

I feel that with the exception of William Cobb, most members of our group took the lead at some point or another. For each project assignment we all met as a group at some point during the week, and for each of these group meetings there tended to be a new group "leader". That being said, we never clearly laid out a project lead for this project, so oftentimes we would all take on the role of lead at various points during these meetings. William Cobb did not partake in this as much as the other members of our group, and seemed to be distracted a lot during our group meetings.

4. If any person had not been a member of your group, do you feel the group performance would have suffered? If so, in what ways and to what extent?

I feel that if Sarah Myers or Melissa Wilson were not in our group, our group overall would have suffered. They were the main code developers for our project, and we often ended up building off of their individual builds when creating the group builds. Also, I feel that if myself or Conner Flansburg were not in the group it would have suffered as well, as we both served as the main mediators in disputes over ideas, as well as both tried to keep the conversations on topic and moving forward during our group sessions.

5. What were each person's strengths in contributing to the group effort?

Hunter Black: Mediator and problem conceptualization

William Cobb: Came up with a very clear and understandable data schema using his knowledge of CSV files

Conner Flansburg: Conceptual designer and coming up with ways to implement ideas into our group builds

Sarah Myers: Group code integration and ideas for solving problems

Melissa Wilson: Group code integration and documentation

6. What were each person's weaknesses in contributing to the group effort?

Hunter Black: Had some trouble fully implementing tasks for each individual project, which then prevented me from contributing as much as I would have liked to the group project in terms of code contribution

William Cobb: Very easily distracted, and could not keep up with the pace of the group during group sessions

Conner Flansburg: Tended to overcomplicate the tasks that we needed to complete

Sarah Myers: Would sometime go into a zone and not keep track of group conversations

Melissa Wilson: No real weaknesses that I can think of