

# Journal- Gajaanan

#### OOD Generalization

Date 1		Reference page
@July 21, 2023	Refer and explore about the research paper -OOD detection	LINe - Leveraging Important Neur
@July 24, 2023	Implement and test the LINe architecture - Pytorch	<u>Untitled</u>
@August 1, 2023	Learning algorithms - Meta learning, Ensemble learning	Meta learning for domain Genera
@August 10, 2023	Causal inference via style transfer	<u>Untitled</u>
@August 17, 2023	Causal invariant transformation for OOD generalization	<u>Untitled</u>
@August 24, 2023	SCONE- OOD detection and generalization	<u>Untitled</u>
@August 31, 2023	Feasibility report presentation - literature review	<u>Untitled</u>
@September 7, 2023	Invariant feature learning methods for OOD	<u>Untitled</u>
@September 14, 2023	Learned Image feature extractor and bert model	Test_FCN.ipynb - Colaboratory (g
@September 11, 2023	Learned Image feature extractor and bert model	Test_MobilenetV2.ipynb - Colabo
@September 21, 2023	Learned Image feature extractor and bert model	Test_BERT.ipynb - Colaboratory (
@September 28, 2023	Learned Image feature extractor and bert model	Test_MobileNetV2_with_BERT.ipy
@October 1, 2023	A sentence speaks a thousand images: domain generalization through distilling clip with language guidance (base reference for our methodology)	https://arxiv.org/pdf/2309.12530.
	CLIP Notebooks ( <u>GitHub - openai/CLIP: CLIP (Contrastive Language-Image Pretraining)</u> , <u>Predict the most relevant text snippet given an image</u> )	Interacting_with_CLIP.ipynb - Col.
		Prompt_Engineering_for_ImageNe
@October 4, 2023	CLIP Image and text features to embedding mapping	https://www.kaggle.com/code/m
	Clip model Architecture code <u>model.py</u> - <u>https://github.com/openai/CLIP/blob/main/clip/model.py#L58</u> (Image encoder-Resnet50, Text encoder - distilbert-base- uncased)	OpenAl CLIP simple implementati
@October 5, 2023	Natural language image search with a Dual Encoder	https://keras.io/examples/vision/i
@October 11, 2023	Implement ResNet50 with distilbert (projection head (1,256))	Test ResNet50 with distilbert.ipyr

■ Date 1	● Description	Reference page
@October 14, 2023	Bert Encoder Example(Text encoder - small_bert)	Copy of classify_text_with_bert.ipv
@October 16, 2023	Transformers - Explained	https://towardsdatascience.com/t
@October 18, 2023	Bert Models - Tensorflow	TensorFlow Hub (tfhub.dev)
@October 20, 2023	Image caption - Cross attention of image and text, attention map(Image encoder - MobileNetv3small)	Copy of image_captioning.ipynb -
@October 22, 2023	Transformers and multi head attention	https://colab.research.google.com
@October 25, 2023	Test inference for stage1_sample test images (gs)	Test inference_stage1.ipynb - Cola
@October 26, 2023	Test inference for stage1_sample test images (g8)	https://colab.research.google.com
	Test inference for stage1_sample trained dataset images (g8)	https://colab.research.google.com
@October 30, 2023	Modified stage1_rough (g8)	https://colab.research.google.com
@November 1, 2023	Modified Stage1 (g8)	https://colab.research.google.com
@November 28, 2023	Test inference of modified stage1 (model10,model 90) (g8)	https://colab.research.google.com
@December 4, 2023	Implement stage 1 with model and train code (include validation set)	<u>Untitled</u>
@December 6, 2023	new stage 1 sample training with modified loss function	Untitled
@December 10, 2023	new stage 1 training set image and text encoder non trainable	Untitled
@December 12, 2023	new stage 1 training set image and text encoder non trainable and with clip loss	Untitled
@December 16, 2023	new stage 1 code modified to train on GPU and server	Untitled
@December 18, 2023	new stage 1 code modified to train on GPU and server with masked loss function	<u>Untitled</u>
@January 1, 2024 → January 31, 2024	Implementation of Modified stage1, training , test inference with different variations	https://www.notion.so/Journal-Ga
@February 1, 2024 → February 29, 2024	Stage1 Evaluation and Feature visualization	https://www.notion.so/Journal-Ga
@March 1, 2024	Implementation of Sentence speak 1000 Images paper, train and evaluation on PAC dataset	• CLIP ViT-B/16 achieves 96.1%, 8 considering our reweigheted image
@March 7, 2024	Train stage1 with multiple cross-attention layer, test inference (correct score alignment)	/home/diluksha/FYP_OOD/Gajaan:
@March 11, 2024	Integrating Stage1 teacher into Sentence speak implementation, low accuracy on PACS dataset(25-35%)	Use model with output dimension
@March 18, 2024	Check and sample training of stage1 with clip encoders(on flickr30k), with RN50 as image encoder (1024 dim output embedding)	clip_stage1.pt, train loss around 30
@March 20, 2024	Check test inference on trained CLIP_stage1 model on whole dataset, similarity matrix has the shape varied with word token size of each batch input,	Correct score matrix alignment
@March 22, 2024	Train CLIP_stage1 model with VIT as image encoder(output dim 512) on whole dataset	clip_stage1_ViT-B/16.pt
@March 25, 2024	GradCam feature visualization for Image encoder(Resnet50)	Resnet50 invariant feature visualization
@March 29, 2024	Gradcam feature visualization for stage1 with classifer (cifar10), 1-2% of classification loss	stage1_Evaluation_visualizer, mod
@April 1, 2024	Gradcam feature visualization for best stage1 with classifer(for cifar shifts). very lower classifcation loss	stage1_Evaluation_visualizer_cifar
@April 3, 2024	Stage1 with classifer training for classifcation with cifar10 dataset, comparatively higer loss and feature visualization also not good	stage1_classifer_feature_visualizat
@April 5, 2024	Gradcam feature visualization for best stage1 with classifer (imagenet), very lower classification loss similar as training	stage1_Evaluation_visualizer_imag

Date 1	<b>≜</b> Description	■ Reference page
with cifar10 for best stage1		
@April 8, 2024	Train resnet50 classifier on cifar10 dataset(model: resnet50_classifier.pt) (same implementation as stage1 with classifer), check MSE between heat map images of resnet50 classifier.	Untitled

# **OOD Generalization**

Our primary objective is to advance the understanding and techniques of out-of-distribution generalization in machine learning and develop a robust model to handle data distributions that deviate significantly from the training data.

#### **Approach**

In out of distribution generalization, learning invariant features makes the model more robust for different distribution shifts. In our approach we use text captions to highlight the invariant features to the model.

#### Model

The proposed model architecture consist of two stages:

**Stage1, Teacher Network** - It comprise of pre-trained image encoder and text encoder models with additional cross attention mechanism results in output image feature maps embedding which has the control from text captions as well.

**Stage2, Student Network** - Trained the simple image encoder model to extract the invariant features by following knowledge distillation process from stage1 teacher network. Current implementation on image classification task.

#### **Datasets**

- Train dataset Flikr30k, COCO-captions.
- Test dataset MNIST-C, CIFAR-10-C, ImageNet-100-C, SVHN, Places365, LSUN-C, Textures, iNaturalist, PACS, VLCS, Office-Home, Terra Incognita, Digits-DG, NICO.


#### **Experiment**

#### Results

#### **Application**

#### Note1:


Faster RCNN Architecture



· ResNet models Architecture

layer name	output size	18-layer	34-layer	50-layer	- 1	101-layer		152-layer	8
conv1	112×112			7×7, 64, s					
		3×3 max pool, stride 2							
conv2.x	56×56	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3 {\times} 3, 64 \\ 3 {\times} 3, 64 \end{array}\right] {\times} 2$	[ 3×3, 64 ]×3	1×1,64 3×3,64 1×1,256	×3	1×1, 64 3×3, 64 1×1, 256	×3	1×1, 64 3×3, 64 1×1, 256	×3
conv3_x	28×28	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3\!\times\!3,128 \\ 3\!\times\!3,128 \end{array}\right]\!\times\!2$	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3{\times}3,128\\ 3{\times}3,128 \end{array}\right]{\times}4$	1×1, 128 3×3, 128 1×1, 512	×4	1×1, 128 3×3, 128 1×1, 512	×4	1×1, 128 3×3, 128 1×1, 512	×8
conv4.x	14×14	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \end{array}\right] \times 2$	\[ \begin{array}{c} 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \end{array} \] \times 6	1×1, 256 3×3, 256 1×1, 1024	×6	1×1, 256 3×3, 256 1×1, 1024	×23	1×1, 256 3×3, 256 1×1, 1024	×36
conv5_x	7×7	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 3 \!\times\! 3,512 \\ 3 \!\times\! 3,512 \end{array}\right] \!\times\! 2$	3×3,512 ×3	1×1, 512 3×3, 512 1×1, 2048	×3	1×1,512 3×3,512 1×1,2048	ж3	1×1,512 3×3,512 1×1,2048	×3
	1×1	average pool, 1000-d fc, softmax							
FLO	OPs .	1.8×10 <sup>9</sup>	3.6×10 <sup>9</sup>	$3.8 \times 10^{9}$		7.6×109		11.3×109	

#### • Implemented ResNet50 with distilbert



# • Pooling layer

Average/max Pooling	Adapti
$torch.nn. AvgPool2d (\textit{kernel\_size}, \textit{stride=None}, \textit{padding=0}, \textit{ceil\_mode=False}, \textit{count\_include\_pad=True}, \textit{divisor\_override=None})$	torch.r
Essential to set the kernel size, stride and padding. Need to reconfigure when input size changed.	Output input s

#### • MobileNets v3

The following table describes the performance of MobileNets v3:

Classification Checkpoint	MACs(M)	Parameters(M)	Top1 Accuracy	Pixel1 CPU(ms)
mobilenet_v3_large_1.0_224	217	5.4	75.6	51.2
mobilenet_v3_large_0.75_224	155	4.0	73.3	39.8
mobilenet_v3_large_minimalistic_1.0_224	209	3.9	72.3	44.1
mobilenet_v3_small_1.0_224	66	2.9	68.1	15.8
mobilenet_v3_small_0.75_224	44	2.4	65.4	12.8
mobilenet_v3_small_minimalistic_1.0_224	65	2.0	61.9	12.2

 $resource: \underline{https://www.tensorflow.org/api\_docs/python/tf/keras/applications/MobileNetV3Small}$ 

# Note2

#### Multihead attention

### Note3

#### **Cross attention**

```
↑V⊝■⊅
class CrossAttention(tf.keras.layers.Layer):
  def __init__(self,**kwargs):
    super().__init__()
    self.mha = tf.keras.layers.MultiHeadAttention(**kwargs)#(num_heads=num_heads,key_dim=units,dropout=dropout_rate)
    self.add = tf.keras.layers.Add()
    self.layernorm = tf.keras.layers.LayerNormalization()
  def call(self, x, y, **kwargs):
    print("cross attention")
    print("query_shape",x.shape)#query_shape (1, 1, 256)
    print("keyvalue_shape",y.shape)#key/value_shape (1, 49, 576)
    attn, attention_scores = self.mha(#output tensor, weights
             query=x, value=y, #key is same as value, key=y
             return_attention_scores=True)
    print("output tensor",attn.shape)#output tensor (1, 1, 256)
    print("attention scores",attention_scores.shape)#attention scores (1, 2, 1, 49)
    self.last_attention_scores = attention_scores
    x = self.add([x, attn])
    return self.layernorm(x)
```

#### **Attention-map**


```
attn_maps = [layer.last_attention_scores for layer in model.decoder_layers]


[map.shape for map in attn_maps]

attention_maps = tf.concat(attn_maps, axis=0)
    attention_maps = einops.reduce(attention_maps, 'batch heads sequence (height width) -> sequence height width',height=7, width=7,rec einops.reduce(attention_maps, 'sequence height width -> sequence', reduction='sum')

def plot_attention_maps(image, str_tokens, attention_map):
    fig = plt.figure(figsize=(16, 9))
    len_result = len(str_tokens)
    titles = []
    for i in range(len_result):
        map = attention_map[i]
        grid_size = max(int(np.ceil(len_result/2)), 2)
        ax = fig.add_subplot(3, grid_size, i+1)
        titles.append(ax.set_title(str_tokens[i]))
        ax.imshow(map, cmap='bone', alpha=0.6,clim=[0.0, np.max(map)])
    plt.tight_layout()
    plot_attention_maps(image/255, str_tokens, attention_maps)
```

# bone





#### Note4

# Implementation of modified stage1 with contrastive loss function (masked)

Model instance

```
# Create an instance of the ImageTextMatchingModel
image_encoder = ImageEncoder("resnet50")
max_sequence_length = 30
text_encoder = TextEncoder("distilbert-base-uncased", max_sequence_length)
image_projection_head = ProjectionHead(2048)
text_projection_head = ProjectionHead(768)
embedding_dim = 256
cross_aatn = CrossAttentionLayer(embedding_dim, num_heads=4)

model = ImageTextMatchingModel(
    image_encoder=image_encoder,
    text_encoder=text_encoder,
    image_projection_head=image_projection_head,
    text_projection_head=text_projection_head,
    cross_attention_layer=cross_aatn
)
```

Trained on fliker30k dataset (5epochs, 40 minutes per epoch)

```
The number of images in the train set is: 127132
The number of images in the val set is: 31783
the size of the train dataloader 31783 batches of 4
the size of the test dataloader 7946 batches of 4

Epoch: 5
100%| 31783/31783 [38:31<00:00, 13.75it/s, lr=0.001, train_loss=0.00221]
100%| 1946/7946 [04:53<00:00, 27.10it/s, valid_loss=0.00221]
Saved Best Model|
```


**Test inference** 

```
# Create an instance of the model
model = ImageTextMatchingModel(
    image_encoder=image_encoder,
        text_encoder=text_encoder,
        image_projection_head=image_projection_head,
        text_projection_head=text_projection_head,
        cross_attention_layer=cross_aatn
)
image_captioning_model = model
model_path = '/home/diluksha/FYP_OOD/Gajaanan/Notebooks/best_copy.pt'
image_captioning_model.load_state_dict(torch.load(model_path, map_location='cpu'))
image_captioning_model.eval()
```

#### Test inference on trainset

score output

Text and image embedding mapping






"Five people are sitting in a circle with instruments"

#### Test inference on sample test set

score output

Text and image embedding mapping





"Dog on a grass land"

#### Further move on stage1

Find ways to improving accuracy on trained model.- Prevent overfitting reason for training, validation loss start with low values in initial epochs

Try different combinations of image and text encoder models for stage1.

select best feature extractor image encoder and more text feature extractor text encoder

image encoder: resnet50, resnet152

text encoder: distilbert, bert

Training stage1 model with different image-caption datasets: COCO captions.

#### Use data augmentation for trainset

```
T buts augmentation for images in the training set

Bange augmentation = transforms.compose(

transforms.Bandomentratific(),

transforms.Bandomentation(20),

transforms.Colorlitter(brightness-0.2, contract-0.2, saturation-0.2, hus-0.2),

[]
```

Start with low train and validation loss values.

Trained for 10 epochs (1hr and 15 min per epoch)

```
Saved Best Model!

[poch: 9

| 31783/31783 [1:11:39<00:00, 7.39it/s, lr=0.0001, train_loss=0.000718]
| 100X|
| 100X|
| 7945/7946 [06:37<00:00, 19.98it/s, velid_loss=0.00114]
| Saved Best Model!
| Epoch: 19
| 100X|
| 100X|
| 100X|
| 100X|
| 100X|
| 100X|
| 7946/7946 [04:38<00:00, 28.58it/s, velid_loss=0.00071]
```




```
tensor([[ 0.9944, 0.2937, 0.1876, 0.1337], [ 0.2616, 0.9968, 0.1033, -0.3793], [ 0.1940, 0.1012, 0.9971, -0.5023], [ 0.1242, -0.3874, -0.4933, 0.9971]])
```


Trained set score


Train-set mapping

Test-set score



#### Test set mapping





# A man in a blue shirt is standing on a ladder cleaning a window.




Aeroplane fly in the sky

#### **Text encoder with BiGRU Network**

```
class BiGRU(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, input_size, hidden_size, bidirectional=True, batch_first=True):
        super(BiGRU, self).__init__()
        self.gru = nn.GRU(
            input_size=input_size,
            hidden_size=hidden_size,
            bidirectional=bidirectional,
            batch_first=batch_first
)

def forward(self, x):
    # Forward pass through the GRU
    text_enbeddings, _ = self.gru(x)
    #print('Digru', kext_enbeddings.shape)
    return text_enbeddings
```

#### Inference results



#### Training details



Trained for 10 epoch( 1hr and 30 minutes per epoch)

Image augmentation used



This also results the image-text aligned embedding map: reason may be due to transfer learning approach weights corresponds to image and text encoders are not trained. No, but with finetuning(ref: with bert text encoder: finetune training 1 epoch - best\_new\_50bert\_finetune.pt) also I got embedding values for 30 tokens as well.

#### Modified stage1


```
Initial model: Trained 2 epochs - best.pt
with masked loss: Trained 5 epochs - best_copy.pt
with image augmentation: Trained 10 epochs - best_aug1.pt
text encoder with bigru: trained 1 epoch - best_old.pt, trained 10 epochs - best_old_1.pt
with bert text encoder: transfer learning training 5 epochs - best_new_50bert.pt
with bert text encoder: finetune training 1 epoch - best_new_50bert_finetune.pt
```

#### Modified stage1 trained using MS-COCO captions dataset

#### **Test inference**

Test score output

Attention mapping





"Car on the road"

# Modified stage1 training using bert text encoder

Transfer learning with flickr30k dataset (5 epochs, best\_new\_50bert.pt)


```
Total learnable parameters: 1117184

The number of images in the train set is : 127132

The number of images in the val set is : 31783

the size of the train dataloader 31783 batches of 4

the size of the test dataloader 7946 batches of 4
```



#### Score outputs

```
tensor([[0.9786, 0.0397, 0.3914, 0.2964],
        [0.0232, 0.9647, 0.0076, 0.4338],
        [0.4281, 0.0656, 0.9728, 0.4823],
        [0.2608, 0.9728, 0.3331, 0.9699]], grad_fn=<CopySlices>)

10.2604, 0.4238, 0.4017, 0.9671]])

10.2604, 0.4238, 0.4017, 0.9671]])
```





"Dog on grassland"

#### Finetune with flickr30k dataset (1 epoch, best\_new\_50bert\_finetune.pt)

Total learnable parameters: 134107456
The number of images in the train set is: 127132
The number of images in the val set is: 31783
the size of the train dataloader 31783 batches of 4
the size of the test dataloader 7946 batches of 4

100% | 31783/31783 [1:16:53<00:00, 6.89it/s, lr=0.001, train\_loss=0.396] 100% | 7946/7946 [02:50<00:00, 46.50it/s, valid\_loss=4.8] Saved Best Model!

#### Score outputs





#### Stage1 Evaluation using image classification on cifar10 dataset

Use pretrained Resnet50 as base model, follows transfer learning and trained to 5 epochs

```
def forward(self, x):
    x = self.base_model(x)
    x = self.flatten(x)
    x = self.flatten(x)
    x = self.flatten(x)
    x = self.batch_norml(x)
    x = rn.functional.relu(x)
    x = self.dropout1(x)
    x = self.fc2(x)
    x = self.batch_norm2(x)
    x = rn.functional.relu(x)
    x = self.dropout2(x)
    x = self.dropout2(x)
    x = self.fc3(x)
```

```
Epoch 1/5, Loss: 0.6601129254835951

Epoch 2/5, Loss: 0.43577855211846966

Epoch 3/5, Loss: 0.3416300677620541

Epoch 4/5, Loss: 0.2842717786960285

Epoch 5/5, Loss: 0.2375465763442199

Accuracy on the test set: 86.07%
```

Use pretrained stage1 model as base model, follows transfer learning and trained to 5 epochs

```
Epoch 1/5, Loss: 0.7234257697287202

Epoch 2/5, Loss: 0.6147956955755874

Epoch 3/5, Loss: 0.5740071919362992

Epoch 4/5, Loss: 0.5637095069368928

Epoch 5/5, Loss: 0.549053177734986


Accuracy on the test set: 100.0%
```

Training and test evaluation using CIFAR10 dataset


```
Training - CIFAR10 and Testing - CIFAR10C datasets
```

```
def forward(self, images, texts):
    x = self.image_captioning_model(images, texts)
    x = self.flatten(x[1])
    x = self.fcl(x)
    x = self.batch_norm1(x)
    x = nn.functional.relu(x)
    x = self.fc2(x)
    x = self.fc2(x)
    x = self.fc2(x)
    x = self.forpont2(x)
    x = self.forpont2(x)
    x = self.forpont2(x)
    x = self.fc3(x)
    return x
```

# Feature Visualization by CIFAR10 classes



 $Feature\ separation\ for\ best\_stage1\ model\ ("/home/diluksha/FYP\_OOD/Sajeepan/Models/degs\_test.pt"\ )$ 



#### Stage1 visualization

In order to visualize the invariant features capturing in stage1 implementation, Grad CAM tool is used.


Therefore, with our stage1 feature extractor, classifier network is added on top of it

- Initial model trained (5 epochs) with cifar10 dataset and checked for visualization.(stage1\_classifier.pt)
- Further extend this to trained with ImageNet dataset and check the visualization.

# Initial results with cifar10 trained models

#### **Grad CAM Visualization**







Classification with pretrained resnet50

Here, As an image encoder pretrained resnet50 model on *imagenet 1000* class is considered.

Last convolutional layer is considered for class activation map.

Predicted class: 208 - Labrador retriever







Classification with trained stage1 model

Here our stage 1 model considered. For grad CAM visualization classification task on CIFAR10 class trained and tested.

Attention output of cross attion layer is considered for class activation map.

Predicted class: 5 - dog

#### Resnet50\_classifier trained on cifar10 dataset for 10 epochs

```
class ResNetSeModel(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, num_classes=10):
        super(ResNetSeModel, self).__init__()
        resnetSe = models.resnetSe(pretrained=True)
        self.base_model = nn.Sequential(*list(resnetSe.children())[:-2])
        for param in self.base_model.parameters():
            param.requires_grad = False
        self.flatten = nn.Flatten()
        self.felt = nn.Linear(2048*49, 512)
        self.batch_norm1 = nn.BatchNormid(512)
        self.dropout1 = nn.Dropout(0.5)
        self.fc2 = nn.Linear(512, 256)
        self.fc3 = nn.Linear(256, num_classes)

def forward(self, x):
        x = self.fslatten(x)
        x = self.flatten(x)
        x = self.ffc1(x)
        x = self.fc1(x)
        x = self.dropout1(x)
        x = self.fc2(x)
        x = self.fc2(x)
        x = self.fc2(x)
        x = self.fc3(x)
        x = self.fc3(x)
```

warnings.warn(msg)

Epoch 1/10, Loss: 0.6655287366084126

Epoch 2/10, Loss: 0.43317510784053437

Epoch 3/10, Loss: 0.34253863330044404

Epoch 4/10, Loss: 0.2870911979033133

Epoch 5/10, Loss: 0.2384402237622939

Epoch 6/10, Loss: 0.20329765512910494

Epoch 7/10, Loss: 0.17784036166937378


Epoch 8/10, Loss: 0.15391119961362437

Epoch 9/10, Loss: 0.139360533243574

Epoch 10/10, Loss: 0.12771114635893413


Accuracy on the test set: 86.01%

Grad CAM visualization on test image (Wrong class prediction)




Feature visualization with different shifted CIFAR10-C datasets


There are 18 different corrupted versions of shifts are presents in the cifar10-c datasets.



Grad-CAM visualizations(stage1\_classifier, for all corrupted shifts predicted class: 0, plane) on CIFAR10C


# (stage1 model trained on flickr30k dataset, best\_new\_50bert.pt)








# Grad-CAM visualizations(resnet50\_classifier) on CIFAR10C


(pretrained resnet50 with classifier for cifar10)



























CIFAR10 classes: ('plane', 'car', 'bird', 'cat', 'deer', 'dog', 'frog', 'horse', 'ship', 'truck')

MSE of resnet50 classifier and stage1 classifier for original and gaussian blurred images.

# Generate a blurred version of the input image
blurred\_image = gaussian\_filter(input\_image.squeeze().cpu().numpy(), sigma=1)





Resnet50 classifier	Stage1 classifier	Blurred Image (Sigma)
0.013776121	0.02280747	1
0.03096206	0.09212932	2
0.019949704	0.12074152	3
0.031366926	0.13294995	4
0.033826333	0.13743807	5s

#### Resnet50 classifier

MSE values: [8.1713734e-16, 0.035943665, 0.035445806, 0.020446094, 0.011137732, 0.0038944623, 0.017241333, 0.0070375926, 0.005259537, 0.011881855, 0.07858172, 0.011560443, 0.080409676, 0.0048175235, 0.005394072, 0.031293496, 0.12653863, 0.03111785]


Note: Even for the reference image, grad cam visualization is not good, exact features are not correctly visualized. stage1 classifier

MSE values: [0.0, 0.05966551, 0.047272068, 0.030664518, 0.030741444, 0.050181653, 0.044350542, 0.03361135, 0.08924288, 0.059416275, 0.06493317, 0.050105814, 0.09593932, 0.031605944, 0.022880618, 0.07676983, 0.043204136, 0.074711956]

#### Issue!!!!

Considering "cats" as text input








#### Considering "dogs" as text input








To Do


Need to integrate with best stage1 model for visualization

figure out issue on text name: cat no output, but cats presence of output visualization.


Grad CAM visualization with cifar10 trained stage1\_classifier.





























#### Grad CAM visualization with imagenet100 trained with best stage1\_classifier.



# **Evaluation for OOD Generalization**

**Table 1.** Commonly used image datasets for OOD generalization. Shift type denotes the type of distributional shifts, and the mixed type in image type means that there are both real and unreal images.

Image Data	ImageNet-Variant [100, 97, 99]	Colored MNIST [11]	MNIST-R [80]	Waterbirds [221]	Camelyon17	VLCS [66]	PACS [143]
# Domains		3	6	2	5	4	4
# Categories		2	10	2	2	5	7
# Examples	14	12	6k	4.8k	450k	2.8k	9.99k
Shift Type	Adversarial Policy	Color	Angle	Background	Hospital	Data Source	Style
Image Type	Mixed Type	Digits	Digits	Birds	Tissue Slides	Real Objects	Mixed Type
Image Data	Office-Home [252]	DomainNet [199]	iWildCam	FMoW [40]	PovertyMap [288]	NICO [94]	NICO++ [299]
# Domains	4	6	323	$16 \times 5$	23 × 2	188	810
# Categories	65	345	182	62	Real Value	19	80
# Examples	15.5k	570k	200k	500k	20k	25k	230k
Shift Type	Style	Style	Location	Time, Location	Country, Urban/Rural		ttribute, Action, ccurring Object
Image Type	Mixed Type	Mixed Type	Real Animals	Satellite	Satellite	Real	Objects

#### Future works:

Try with finetuning approach for stage1

Stage1 Evaluation using different OOD dataset

Visualizing intermediate features

Try with transfer learning for stage2 classification Improve stage2 accuracy by modify the classifier Approach for handling semantic shifts

Doubt: how we can validate that our methodology works for capturing invariant features specially for covariate shifts. (Also need to ensure that invariant feature extractions works correctly for semantic shifts).