

1.1

1.1 Jensen's inequality

Let  $f(x)$  be convex in interval  $I$

Then for any  $\{x_i / i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } x_i \in I\}$

$$f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}\right) \leq \text{avg of } \{f(x_i)\}$$

and if  $f$  were concave, the inequality is reversed i.e.

$$f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}\right) \geq \text{avg of } \{f(x_i)\}$$

to prove  $\frac{1}{1-x} + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+1} > \frac{3}{x}$

Let  $f(x) = 1/x$  which is convex in interval  $(0, \infty)$

Let the set  $X = \{x-1, x, x+1\}$

$$\text{Avg of } \{f(x)\} = \frac{1}{3}(f(x-1) + f(x) + f(x+1))$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+1} \right] \quad (1)$$

$$\text{and } f(\text{avg of } \{x_i\}) = f\left(\frac{1}{3}(x-1 + x + x+1)\right)$$

$$= f(x)$$

$$= 1/x, \quad (2)$$

from (1) & (2)

$$\frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+1} \right) > \frac{1}{x}$$

$$\frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+1} > \frac{3}{x}$$

→ given series  $s = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} + \dots$

$s$  can be written as

$$s = \left( \frac{1}{2-1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2+1} \right) + \frac{1}{4} + \left( \frac{1}{6-1} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6+1} \right) + \frac{1}{8} + \left( \frac{1}{10-1} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10+1} \right) + \frac{1}{12} + \dots$$

using zero for number in for num in we get

$$s > \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{6} + \frac{3}{10} + \dots \right) + \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{12} + \dots \right)$$

$$s > \frac{3}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} + \dots \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots \right)$$

$$s > \frac{3}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} + \dots \right) + \frac{5}{4} s_1$$

$$\frac{5}{4} > \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \dots \right) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

now let  $s_1 = 1 + \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{15} \right) + \dots$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty \quad \sum \frac{1}{4} \rightarrow \infty$

$s_1 \rightarrow \infty$  and hence  $s_1$  is divergent

now from (1)

$$s > \frac{4}{2} s_1 \quad \text{or} \quad s > 2 s_1$$

Since  $s_1$  is divergent which implies  $s$  is also divergent

∴ the given series does not converge for real numbers.

## 1.2 Three chord lemma

1.2

Let  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  then  $f$  is convex on  $I$  if and only if:  
and only if for any pts  $a, b, c \in I$  with  $a < b < c$   
we have  $\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \leq \frac{f(c)-f(a)}{c-a} \leq \frac{f(c)-f(b)}{c-b}$

proof: Given that  $f$  is convex on  $I$  &  $a < b < c$   
we can write  $b = \lambda + (1-\lambda)c$   
where  $\lambda = \frac{c-b}{c-a} \in [0, 1]$

Since  $f$  is convex.

$$f(b) \leq \frac{(c-b)f(a) + (b-a)f(c)}{c-a} \quad (1)$$

$$f(b) - f(a) \leq \frac{b-a}{c-a} f(c) + \frac{b-a}{c-a} f(a)$$

$$f(b) - f(a) \leq \frac{b-a}{b-c} (f(c) - f(a))$$

$$\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \leq \frac{f(c)-f(a)}{c-a} \quad (2)$$

Hence prove 1 inequality  
from eq (1) we have.

$$f(b) \leq \lambda f(a) + (1-\lambda)f(c)$$

substitute value of  $\lambda$

$$f(b) \leq \frac{c-b}{c-a} f(a) + \left(1 - \frac{c-b}{c-a}\right) f(c)$$

$$\frac{c-b}{c-a} [f(a) - f(c)] \leq f(c) - f(b)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{f(c)-f(a)}{c-a} \leq \frac{f(c)-f(b)}{c-b} \quad (3)$$

from 2 & 3.

$$\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \leq \frac{f(c)-f(a)}{c-a} \leq \frac{f(c)-f(b)}{c-b}$$



1.9 Given  $x, y, z$  are positive real numbers with  $x+y+z=1$

To show:  $(1+\frac{1}{x})(1+\frac{1}{y})(1+\frac{1}{z}) \geq 64$

soln: let  $f(x) = \log(1+\frac{1}{x})$  which is convex in  $(0, \infty)$

let us consider the average of  $f$  on  $f(x), f(y), f(z)$

$$= \frac{1}{3}(f(x) + f(y) + f(z))$$

$$= \frac{\log(1+\frac{1}{x}) + \log(1+\frac{1}{y}) + \log(1+\frac{1}{z})}{3}$$

$$= \frac{\log(1+\frac{1}{x})(1+\frac{1}{y})(1+\frac{1}{z})}{3} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

now let,

$$f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) = \log\left(1+\frac{3}{x+y+z}\right) = \log(4) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

now from Jensen's equality:

$$\frac{1}{3}(f(x) + f(y) + f(z)) \geq f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right)$$

from (1) & (2)

$$\frac{\log\left[\frac{(1+\frac{1}{x})(1+\frac{1}{y})(1+\frac{1}{z})}{3}\right]}{3} \geq \log 4$$

Solving logarithm

$$\frac{1}{3} \log\left[\frac{(1+\frac{1}{x})(1+\frac{1}{y})(1+\frac{1}{z})}{3}\right] \geq \log 4$$

1.4 Conduct 2 iterations of secant method

$$x^2 - 2x - 5 = 0 \quad \text{where } x_0 = 2$$

$$x_1 = 3$$

sol<sup>n</sup>: The equation for calculating root of a  
secant line is given as

$$x_2 = x_1 - \frac{(x_1 - x_0) f(x_1)}{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}$$

here  $f(x) = x^2 - 2x - 5$

$$f(x_0) = f(2) = -1$$

$$f(x_1) = f(3) = 16$$

iteration 1

$$x_2 = 3 - \frac{(3-2) 16}{16-(-1)}$$

$$= 3 - \frac{16}{17} = \frac{51-16}{17} = \frac{35}{17}$$

$$= 2.0588$$

iteration 2

$$x_3 = x_2 - \frac{(x_2 - x_1) f(x_2)}{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}$$

$$= \frac{35}{17} - \frac{\left(\frac{35}{17} - 3\right) \cdot f\left(\frac{35}{17}\right)}{f\left(\frac{35}{17}\right) - 16}$$

$$3 - 16 \cdot \frac{(2.0588 - 3)}{-0.3908 - 16}$$

$$x_3 = 2.0813,$$

$$f(x_3) = f(2.0813) = -0.1472$$

1.5 conduct 2 iterations of bisection method.

$$xe^x = 1 \quad \text{where } x \in [0, 1]$$

soln given

$$\underline{xe^x - 1 = 0}$$

iteration 1)

$$\text{here } f(0) = 0e^0 - 1 = 0 - 1 < 0$$

$$\& f(1) = e^1 - 1 = e - 1 > 0$$

sign change from  $-ve \rightarrow +ve$

$$\text{root} \in [0, 1]$$

$$x_0 = \frac{0+1}{2} = 0.5$$

$$f(x_0) = f(0.5) = 0.5e^{0.5} - 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(e - 1)$$

$$= \left(\frac{e - 2}{2}\right) = -0.175720$$

iteration 2

$$f(0.5) = -0.1757 < 0$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(e - 1)$$

$$= \frac{e - 2}{2} = -0.175720$$

Iteration 2

$$f(0.5) = -0.1757 < 0$$

$$f(1) = e - 1 > 0$$

sign from -ve to +ve

$$x_1 = \left( \frac{0.5 + 1}{2} \right) = 0.75$$

$$f(x_1) = (0.75) e^{0.75} - 1$$

$$= \frac{3}{4} \sqrt[4]{e^3} - 1$$

$$= 1.587 - 1$$

$$= 0.587$$

$\therefore$

$$f(x_0) = -0.1757$$

$$f(x_1) = 0.587$$

Iteration 3

$$= 0.5$$

$$\frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{f(x_1)} = \frac{0.587 - (-0.1757)}{0.587} = \frac{0.7627}{0.587} = 1.3$$

$$0.587 \times 1.3 = 0.7627$$

$$(0.75) e^{0.75} - 1 = 0.7627$$

$$(0.75) e^{0.75} - 1 = 0.7627$$



~~1.6~~ given:  $x^2 - 2x - 5 = 0$

1.6

find a real root of equation of  $x^2 - y^2 = 3$  &  $x^2 + y^2 = 13$  by doing 2 iterations of Newton's method

$x_0 = y_0 = \sqrt{6.5}$

soln Given,  $x_0 = y_0 = \sqrt{6.5} = 2.54$

⑥  $x^2 - y^2 - 3 = 0$

$f_1(x, y) = x^2 - y^2 - 3$

$x^2 + y^2 - 13 = 0$

$f_2(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 13$

Jacobian  $J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$

$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2x & -2y \\ 2x & 2y \end{bmatrix}$

$f(x_n, y_n) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x_n, y_n) \\ f_2(x_n, y_n) \end{bmatrix}$

1st iteration

$\begin{bmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ y_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} - J^{-1} f \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$

$= \begin{bmatrix} 2.5495 \\ 2.5495 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 5.09902 & -5.09902 \\ 5.09902 & 5.09902 \end{bmatrix}$

$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2.5495 \\ 2.5495 \end{bmatrix}$

iteration 1) here  $n=1$   $x_1 = 2.8436$   $x_2 = 2.2553$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} - J^{-1} f \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.8436 \\ 2.2553 \end{bmatrix}$$

iteration 2  
 $n=2$   $x_1 = 2.8436$   $x_2 = 2.2553$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} - J^{-1} f \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2.8436 \\ 2.2553 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 5.68737 & -4.5106 \\ 5.66737 & 4.5106 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2.8436 \\ 2.2553 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2.82847 \\ 2.23615 \end{bmatrix}$$

hence final answer after 2 iteration

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.82847 \\ 2.23615 \end{bmatrix}$$

1.7 2 iteration.

$$2x - \cos x - 3 = 0$$

take  $g(x) = \frac{\cos x + 3}{2}$   $x_0 = \pi/3$

sol<sup>n</sup> given:-

$$g(x) = \frac{\cos x + 3}{2} \quad x_0 = \pi/2$$

Now according to fixed point method

$$x_{n+1} = g(x_n)$$

Iteration:  
1

$$x_0 = \pi/2$$

~~$$f(x_0) = \pi/3 = 0.14159$$~~

$$x_1 = g(x_0)$$

$$= \frac{\cos(\pi/2) + 3}{2}$$

$$x_1 = 1.5$$

$$f(x_1) = 0.3472$$

Iteration:  
2

$$x_1 = 1.5$$

$$x_2 = g(1.5)$$

$$= \frac{\cos(1.5) + 3}{2} = \frac{0.0707 + 3}{2}$$

$$x_2 = 1.535371, \quad f(x_2) = -0.0173$$



1.8

Pseudo code for gradient descent

$X \rightarrow$  data of  $m$  samples,  $n$  features

$y \rightarrow$  output

$\alpha \rightarrow$  learning rate

$W \rightarrow$  learn values

$$W_{n+1} = W_n + \alpha$$

updated steps give gradient as shown above

Grad-descent ( $X, Y, R, \text{num-items}$ ) :

$$m, n = \text{shape}(X)$$

$$\alpha = R$$

$$W = n \text{ zeros.}$$

for  $i = 1$  to  $\text{num-items}$ :

begin

$$\hat{y} = X * W$$

$$\text{error} = y - \hat{y}$$

$$W = W_0 + \alpha * \text{error} * X$$

End

$$\text{Max } [6 - (x_1^2 (x_1^2 - 10) + x_2^2 (x_2^2 - 9))]$$

At  $W_0 = (0, 0)$ , the gradient does not update &

the max value of  $f^n$  stays at 6

- if the starting point is changed to  $(0.2, 0.1)$ ,  
the max value of the  $f^n$  search is 90.25



1.9 Batch gradient descent: In this entire training set is used to perform one iteration of gradient descent the average of the gradients of all the training example is taken and this mean gradient is used to update parameter

Advantage of gradient descent:

- ① less oscillation and noisy steps taken towards the global minima of the loss function
- ② due to updating parameter by computing the average of all the training sample rather than the value of single sample
- ② it can benefit from the vectorization which increases the speed of processing all training samples together
- ② it processes a more stable gradient descent convergence and stable of ~~processing all~~ error gradient that stochastic gradient
- ④ minibatch GD: This uses a small subset of training data to compute the gradient.
- stochastic GD: A single training sample is used to find gradient and update parameter
- ⑤ Both GD is used to because it is good for convex or relatively smooth error manifolds as it moves somewhat directly towards an optimum soln  
But using SGD or minibatch GD the optimization path taken is erratic and give inaccurate but with advantage.

### 1.10 (convergence proof of gradient descent)

→ suppose the fn  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex and differentiable and that its gradient is Lipschitz continuous with constant  $L$ , i.e.

$$\| \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y) \| \leq L \|x - y\|_2 \text{ for any } x, y,$$

then if grad. descent is run for  $k$  iterations with a fixed step  $t \leq \frac{1}{2L}$  it will yield a sol<sup>n</sup> satisfying

$$f(x^k) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{\|x^k - x^*\|^2}{2Lt} \quad (1)$$

where  $f(x^*)$  is optimal value, this means GD is  $\frac{1}{2Lt}$  convergent rate  $\frac{1}{k}$

proof: since  $\nabla f$  is Lipschitz continuous with const  $L$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 f(x) \leq L I$$

or  $\nabla^2 f$  is negative semidefinite matrix

using quadratic expansion

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &\leq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y-x) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 f(x) \|y-x\|^2 \\ &\leq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y-x) + \frac{1}{2} L \|y-x\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

by plugging grad. desc update in this

$$y = x^+ = x - t \nabla f(x)$$

$$f(x^+) \leq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (x^+ - x) + \frac{1}{2} L \|x^+ - x\|_2^2$$

$$= f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (x - t \nabla f(x) - x) + \frac{1}{2} L \|x - t \nabla f(x) - x\|_2^2$$

$$= f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (-t \nabla f(x)) + \frac{1}{2} L \| -t \nabla f(x) \|^2$$

$$= f(x) - t \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} L t^2 \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$$

$$= f(x) - (1 - \frac{1}{2} L t) t \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$$

$$= f(x) - (1 - \frac{1}{2} L t) t \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2 \quad (2)$$

using  $t \leq \frac{1}{L}$  we know that

$$1 - (1 - \frac{1}{2} L t) = \frac{1}{2} L t \leq \frac{1}{2} L \left( \frac{1}{L} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

in eq (2)

$$f(x^+) \leq f(x) - \frac{1}{2} t \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2 \quad (3)$$



since  $1/2 + \|\nabla f(x)\|$  will always be true unless  $\nabla f(x) = 0$  if inequality implies that objective fn. value strictly decreases with each iteration of grad desc until it reaches the optimum  $f(x) = f(x^*)$ . this holds only if  $f$  selected such as  $f(x)$

We can bound  $f(x^*)$ , the objective value at next iteration in terms of  $f(x)$  the optimal objective value, since  $f$  is convex

$$f(x^*) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (x^* - x)$$

$$f(x) \leq f(x^*) + \nabla f(x^*)^T (x - x^*)$$

putting this in (3)

$$f(x^*) \leq f(x^*) + \nabla f(x)^T (x - x^*) - t/2 \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$$

$$f(x^*) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{1}{2t} (2 + \nabla f(x)^T (x - x^*) - t^2 \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2)$$

$$f(x^*) - f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2t} (\|x - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x - t\nabla f(x) - x^*\|_2^2) \quad (4)$$

by definition  $x^+ = x - t\nabla f(x)$  putting this in (4)

$$f(x^*) - f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2t} (\|x - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x^+ - x^*\|_2^2) \quad (5)$$

this inequality holds for  $x^+$  in every iteration of G.D.

$$\sum_{i=1}^K f(x^i) - f(x^*) \leq \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{1}{2t} (\|x^i - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x^{i+1} - x^*\|_2^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2t} (\|x^0 - x^*\|_2^2 - \|x^K - x^*\|_2^2)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2t} (\|x^0 - x^*\|_2^2)$$

Now  $f$  is decreasing on every iteration we can conclude that  $f(x^k) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K f(x^i) - f(x^*)$

$$\leq \left\| \frac{x^{(0)} - x^*}{2+tK} \right\|^2 \quad \text{C stability from (1)}$$

hence proves (1) for the convergence of gradient descent