## CS 3510 Homework 4

Wenqi He, whe47

December 4, 2017

```
1
(a)
ALGORITHM Verifier {
  counter = 0
  FOR (i = 1...m) {
    If (clause i evaluates to TRUE) {
      counter++
    }
  }
  If (counter == m-1) {
    RETURN YES
  } ELSE {
    RETURN NO
  }
}
```

The algorithm runs in  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  time, assuming each Boolean evaluation is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .

(b)

We can simply pick

 $clause_{m+1} = x_1$  $clause_{m+2} = \neg x_1$ 

(c)

If F has an assignment that satisfies SAT, then only  $clause_{m+1}$  or  $clause_{m+2}$  in F' evaluates to FALSE under such assignment, which means that F' satisfies ALMOST-SAT. On the other hand, if F does not have such assignment, then in addition to one of  $clause_{m+1}$  and  $clause_{m+2}$  being FALSE, there always exists other clauses that are also FALSE, which means that F' cannot satisfy ALMOST-SAT. Lastly, the construction of F' in (b) only takes constant time, which is of course polynomial.

2

(a)

**MAX-2-SAT(F, p)**: Given a 2-SAT formula F, output whether it's possible to find an assignment that satisfies more than p clauses in F.

(b)

Use  $x_i$  to denote whether vertex  $v_i \in S$ , then we can construct a 2-SAT formula as follows:

$$F = \bigwedge_{1 \leq i,j \leq |V(G)|} \left( (x_i \vee x_j) \wedge (\neg x_i \vee \neg x_j) \right)$$

For each edge that crosses cut S,  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  have different values, therefore both  $x_i \vee x_j$  and  $\neg x_i \vee \neg x_j$  evaluate to TRUE.

However, if both  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are in S, then  $x_i \vee x_j = TRUE$ , but  $\neg x_i \vee \neg x_j = FALSE$ . Similarly, if both  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are in  $G \setminus S$ , then  $x_i \vee x_j = FALSE$ ,  $\neg x_i \vee \neg x_j = TRUE$ .

Thus, the statement that there are k edges leaving S is equivalent to the statement that the number of clauses that are satisfied in F is:

 $N(\text{edges not on the cut}) + 2 \times N(\text{edges on the cut})$ 

$$=(N-k)+2k=N+k$$

Therefore, the reduction MAXCUT  $\rightarrow$  MAX2SAT is

$$f_{\text{MAXCUT} \to \text{MAX2SAT}}(G, k) = (F_G, |E(G)| + k)$$

where

$$F_G = \bigwedge_{1 \leq i, j \leq |V(G)|} ((x_i \vee x_j) \wedge (\neg x_i \vee \neg x_j)),$$

(c)

Since MAX-CUT is reducible to MAX-2-SAT, and MAX-CUT is already NP-hard (by definition of NP-complete), MAX-2-SAT must be NP-hard. It's also in NP because given the desired assignment, it only takes polynomial time to evaluate all m clauses to verify that the assginment indeed satisfies more than p clauses in F.

Because MAX-2-SAT is both NP-hard and NP, by definition, it is NP-complete.

(d)

First, we can reduce Max-2-Sat to Max-Cut using the construction provided here: https://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs579/sp2009/assignments/hw-1.pdf (See Assignment 1, Problem 8). This algorithm runs in  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  time and the resulting graph has  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  edges. Then we can run the 2-approximation for MaxCut on this constructed graph, which will give us the 2-approximation of Max-2-Sat.

3

(a)

We can simply perform BFS on each vertex to find out all DIST(u, v) and then pick the largest one. Since there are n vertices, this will take  $\mathcal{O}(mn)$  time.

(b)

Suppose a and b are the most distant pair of vertices in this graph, then according to the triangular inequality,

$$\begin{split} DIST(a,b) &\leq DIST(a,s) + DIST(s,b) \\ &\leq \max_{u} DIST(s,u) + \max_{u} DIST(s,u) \\ &= 2 \max_{u} DIST(s,u) \end{split}$$

(c)

Randomly pick one vertex as the starting vertex s, perform BFS to compute DIST(s,u) for all u, and then search for the vertex  $u^*$  with largest distance. From the result of (b),

$$DIST(s, u^*) = \max_{u} DIST(s, u) \ge \frac{1}{2}D,$$

which gives us the desired output s and  $u^*$ . BFS runs in  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  time, and searching runs in at most  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  time (in the case of linear search), so the algorithm runs in  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  time.