

MR LUKAS J MUSHER (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-3490-0341)

MR PETER GALANTE (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-7025-3551)

DR. JERRY HUNTLEY (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-6216-2361)

Article type : Research Paper

**TITLE:** Shifting ecosystem connectivity during the Pleistocene drove diversification and gene-flow in a species-complex of Neotropical birds (Tityridae: Pachyramphus)

**RUNNING TITLE:** Diversification with gene-flow in Neotropical becards

**AUTHORS:**

**Lukas J. Musher\***, Department of Ornithology and The Richard Gilder Graduate School, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West @ 79th Street, New York, NY 10024; lmusher@amnh.org

**Peter J. Galante**, Center for Biodiversity and Conservation, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West @ 79th Street, New York, NY 10024

**Gregory Thom**, Department of Ornithology, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West @ 79th Street, New York, NY 10024

**Jerry W. Huntley**, Department of Ornithology, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West @ 79th Street, New York, NY 10024

**Mary E. Blair**, Center for Biodiversity and Conservation, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West @ 79th Street, New York, NY 10024

\*Corresponding author

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/JBI.13862](https://doi.org/10.1111/JBI.13862)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** We thank Isaac Overcast and Marcelo Gehara for invaluable assistance. We additionally thank Melina Giakoumis, Lucas Rocha Moreira, Brian Tilston Smith, Kaiya Provost, Frank Burbrink, and Joel Cracraft for advice and assistance. We are also grateful to Susan Perkins for a question that was helpful in designing this study. This work was funded in part by NSF 1146248, NSF/NASA 1241066 (Dimensions US-Biota-São Paulo) to Joel Cracraft, and FAPESP 2012/50260-6 to Lucia Lohmann. GT was funded by FAPESP 2018/17869-3 and FAPESP 2017/25720-7. LJM was funded by a Fellowship from the Richard Gilder Graduate School.

# **ABSTRACT:**

**Aim:** We aim to test the biogeographic drivers of diversification and gene-flow at the Isthmus of Panama using a species complex of suboscine birds as a case study. We specifically evaluate whether diversification in these birds is better explained by continuous parapatry or a Refuge Model of periodic isolation and gene-flow due glacial cycling.

**Location:** The Isthmus of Panama (Neotropics)

**Taxon:** *Pachyramphus aglaiae* and *P. homochrous* (Aves: Tityridae)

**Methods:** We develop an approach to distinguish among the two biogeographic hypotheses –parapatric ecological speciation versus climatically-mediated speciation– by making explicit predictions for demographic history, niche evolution, and change in geographic connectivity over time. We sequenced genome-wide markers (ultraconserved elements) to estimate the evolutionary and demographic history of this group. We applied both phylogenomic network analyses and demographic modeling using a supervised machine learning approach. These genetic analyses were combined with a novel distribution modeling method that estimates the probability of interspecies contact as a function of climatic conditions through time.

**Results:** We found that both spatial and genetic analyses revealed concordant results. All speciation events occurred during the Pleistocene and were characterized by non-

continuous gene-flow, supporting a scenario of climate-mediated diversification. Spatial connectivity was highest at present, consistent with our best demographic model of secondary contact.

**Main Conclusions:** This study exemplifies a mechanism by which speciation, dispersal, and introgression unfold in an important region for Neotropical diversification –the Isthmus of Panama– where periods of *both* isolation and introgression probably drive diversification. Overall, our results are consistent with the Refuge Model of biotic diversification, but suggest that introgression may be a crucial yet underappreciated component of this classic paradigm.

**KEYWORDS:** refuge hypothesis; statistical phylogeography; neural network; ecological niche modeling; Isthmus of Panama; Pleistocene; introgression

## INTRODUCTION:

Allopatric speciation is typically considered the primary mode of speciation in vertebrates (Coyne and Orr 2004; Provine 2004), but there is growing evidence that gene-flow often occurs during clade diversification (Gompert et al. 2014; Thom et al. 2018; Burbrink and Gehara 2018). Although introgression is generally thought to hamper differentiation by homogenizing allele frequencies among populations (Slatkin 1987), it can also act as a creative force (Gompert et al. 2006; Norris et al. 2015; Racimo et al. 2015; Barrera-Guzmán et al. 2018). Thus, understanding which processes promote differentiation in the presence of gene-flow is a persistent question in evolutionary biology.

One mechanism by which differentiation with gene-flow may occur is through parapatric ecological speciation (Schluter 2001). Ecological speciation occurs when divergent selection due to environmental gradients promotes population differentiation and reproductive isolation despite ongoing gene-flow (Nosil 2012). Here, incipient

species adapt to local environments while continuously exchanging alleles, leading to niche evolution (Blair et al. 2013). Yet for a significant portion of species, population differentiation has occurred in the absence of strong environmental gradients (Cadena et al. 2012). Instead, speciation often occurs across biogeographic barriers (i.e., regions of unsuitable habitat) while maintaining some degree of gene-flow through time and space as population connectivity fluctuates with changing environmental conditions (i.e., isolation-with-migration) (Weir et al. 2015; Winger 2017; He et al. 2019). Under this scenario, barriers to gene-flow are semipermeable spatially (e.g. a river that narrows at the headwaters) and/or temporally (e.g. a river that grows and shrinks through time) to allow for ongoing migration.

The semipermeability of barriers can be summarized as two extremes along a continuum. At one extreme, individuals of vagile species may disperse long distances to overcome barriers and hybridize with individuals of populations or species that differentiated in the past (Oswald et al. 2019). This might be particularly expected in archipelagos where the migration of individuals between populations can only be maintained by crossing existing barriers (Weeks and Claramunt 2014). At the other extreme, continental barriers may break down over time, thereby increasing interpopulation migration (Musher et al. 2019; Albert et al. 2017). Thus, populations may experience episodic fragmentation and periodic gene-flow (Zarza et al. 2016; He et al. 2019). Under such conditions, the homogenizing effects of gene-flow may be overcome by additional intrinsic mechanisms, such as pre- or post-zygotic isolation, operating at periods of both fragmentation and contact (Provost, Mauck, and Smith 2018; Hoskin et al. 2005).

On continents, change in ecosystem connectivity may be a key process affecting patterns of biodiversity (Webb 1991; Kozak and Wiens 2006; Prates et al. 2016; Albert et al. 2017). One frequently explored mechanism that might explain variation in connectivity is historical climate change, which generated pronounced shifts in the distribution of ecosystems and their associated biological communities (Hewitt 2000, 2004). During the Quaternary, global climate cycled between warmer/wetter conditions and cooler/drier conditions, though such changes were not uniformly distributed across the globe (Cheng et al. 2013; Hewitt 2000). These cycles are thought to be closely tied

to the fragmentation and connection of ecosystems across the tropics and thus the diversification of tropical biotas (Vuilleumier 1971; Huntley et al. 2018; Potter et al. 2018; Musher et al. 2019). When species track their ancestral niches with changing climate (Wiens and Graham 2005; Kozak and Wiens 2006; Tingley et al. 2009), environmental change can affect diversification processes by either reducing population connectivity via habitat fragmentation (Haffer 1969; Mayr and O'Hara 1986; Claramunt and Cracraft 2015) or increasing connectivity via the formation of habitat corridors (Prates et al. 2016; Trujillo-Arias et al. 2018; Flantua et al. 2019).

Here we test the influence of climate change and niche evolution in driving diversification and gene-flow across the Isthmus of Panama, where the episodic wax and wane of ecosystem connectivity due to climate change is considered to have played a significant role in diversification (Webb 1991; Vrba 1992; Smith, Amei, and Klicka 2012). To test competing hypotheses, we specifically utilize a species complex of *Pachyramphus* becards that radiated across Central America and northwestern South America. Recent taxonomic work has highlighted a complex of four species across this region whose histories may have included diversification with gene-flow (Musher and Cracraft 2018): *P. aglaiae* (western Mexico), *P. sumichrasti* (eastern Mexico to western Panama), *P. canescens* (eastern Panama to northern Colombia), and *P. homochrous* (southwestern Colombia to northwestern Peru). All taxa are tied to semi-open forested systems, such as gallery and seasonally dry forests, and the edges of lowland evergreen forests (Parker et al. 1996).

Although the breeding ranges of *P. sumichrasti* and *P. canescens* are separated at present by more than 400 km around the Isthmus of Panama (Webster 1963), and genetic data support a deep split between these two non-sister species (Musher et al. 2019), other patterns suggest the possibility of introgression. For example, phylogeny based on mitochondrial DNA shows a sister relationship between *P. sumichrasti* and *P. canescens* plus *P. homochrous*, a relationship that conflicts with the species tree and is potentially the result of ancestral hybridization (Musher and Cracraft 2018). Additionally, males of *P. sumichrasti* are characterized across most of their distribution as having a distinct rose-colored throat, but populations become darker moving southeast, and, like *P. canescens*, lack the distinctive rose-throat in southeastern populations (Webster

1963). Furthermore, in *P. sumichrasti* and *P. aglaiae*, phenotypic variation appears to follow Gloger's Rule, as individuals tend to be darker in wetter regions and paler in dryer regions, suggesting that disruptive selection could also play a role within this complex (Webster 1963).

Using sequence capture of ultraconserved elements (UCEs), we first test whether diversification in this group is characterized by a history of pure isolation or one in which gene-flow has occurred. Then, using a combination of demographic, phylogenomic, and ecological niche modeling we test whether this history is better explained by parapatric ecological or climate-mediated speciation-with-gene-flow.

### *Predictions for competing hypotheses*

Here, we develop a three-pronged approach to distinguish among biogeographic hypotheses that evaluates each hypothesis based on predictions for demographic history, niche evolution, and change in geographic connectivity over time. We specifically test two modes of speciation. First, we test parapatric ecological speciation (Nosil 2012), which predicts continuous gene-flow, niche divergence, and constant geographic connectivity over time. Such a model would be consistent with adaptation to local environmental conditions that overwhelms the homogenizing effects of gene-flow. Second, we test climate-mediated speciation, wherein differentiating populations experience gene-flow that becomes reduced during times of decreased ecosystem connectivity. This model predicts non-continuous gene-flow, niche conservatism, and geographic connectivity that varies with climate change. Although gene-flow is not required for ecological speciation in the broad sense (Nosil 2012), herein we use the term "ecological speciation" to specifically mean *parapatric* ecological speciation.

## **METHODS:**

### *Sequencing and bioinformatics*

We extracted genomic DNA from a total of 21 specimens across 19 localities (Table S1; Figure 1). These included 17 vouchered fresh tissues and four toe pads from historical museum study skins. To sequence UCEs, DNA extracts were sent to

RapidGenomics® (Gainesville, FL) for DNA shearing, library preparation, and Illumina sequencing. We processed raw reads from Illumina output in two ways. First, we used the Phyluce pipeline (Faircloth 2016) to trim, clean, and assemble reads. Second, we used a custom pipeline to map reads to assembled UCE loci, phase all loci for all individuals, and call single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Details of DNA extraction and bioinformatic protocols can be found in the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM).

### *Population and species delimitation*

To identify genetically distinct populations without *a priori* bias, we used STRUCTURE v2.3.4 (Hubisz et al. 2009). We sampled one random SNP from each locus in our 75% complete dataset (loci represented by a minimum of 75% of individuals), and performed 20 runs of  $5 \times 10^5$  generations after a burnin  $10^5$  generations for each of four K-values (K=2–5). We then used CLUMPP (Jakobsson and Rosenberg 2007) to optimally align runs by permuting  $10^5$  replicates for each K value. We then found the optimal K by choosing the model with the highest ad hoc  $\Delta K$  value (Evanno, Regnaut, and Goudet 2005). We additionally present population assignment results for all four K-values to better characterize the variance in population structure represented in the data.

Many species concepts define species as populations or sets of populations that are reproductively cohesive over evolutionary time (De Queiroz 2007). To test for species boundaries in this group, we used Bayesian Phylogenetics and Phylogeography (BP&P) v3.4 (Rannala and Yang 2013), which statistically evaluates lineage independence using a multi-species coalescent model on sequence data. Because previous work has shown that the species tree relationships are robust based on results from ASTRAL (Mirarab et al. 2014; Musher and Cracraft 2018), we used algorithm A10, which implements a reversible-jump Markov chain Monte Carlo to move between different species delimitation models within a fixed guide tree. Because the population assignments based on STRUCTURE were imbedded within our BP&P models, which were based on previous taxonomic work rather than population assignments. We tested

multiple combinations of prior distributions for root divergence time and ancestral mutation-scaled effective population size (Leaché and Fujita 2010) (See ESM).

### *Phylogenomic networks*

To characterize the history of diversification and gene-flow, we used two methods. First, we used PhyloNet 3.8.0 (Yu and Nakhleh 2015), which infers the maximum pseudolikelihood phylogenetic network using a set of rooted gene trees while accounting for both incomplete lineage sorting and hybridization. In PhyloNet, reticulate edges are assigned inheritance probabilities, which are related to the proportion of incongruent gene trees that can be explained by hybridization. We generated gene trees using RAxML 8.2.12 (Stamatakis 2014) on unphased sequences recovered in the Phyluce pipeline (75% and 95% complete datasets). Then, we assigned individuals based on BP&P results (plus one outgroup) and applied the *InferNetwork\_MPL* algorithm with a single reticulation and 50 pseudolikelihood searches. We repeated this run 20 times and kept the maximum pseudolikelihood topology across all runs.

Second, we used TreeMix v1.13 to estimate the maximum likelihood population graph in the presence of gene-flow (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012). TreeMix uses full likelihood to add admixture events to a tree of bifurcating populations. These admixture events or migration “edges” describe populations with ancestry derived from another population. The inferred migration weight of these events is related to the proportion of alleles in a population derived from migration. We assigned individuals to populations based on BP&P results and ran TreeMix using the 75% and 95% complete SNP datasets. Each run was replicated for both  $m=1$  and  $m=2$  for the number of admixture events.

### *Historical demographic inference*

To infer the history of isolation and gene-flow between *P. sumichrasti* and *P. canescens*, we employed a machine learning approach to fit simulated models to our observed dataset. Sequence data were simulated under several demographic models in PipeMaster (Gehara et al. 2017; Gehara, Mazzochinni, and Burbrink 2019). PipeMaster uses msABC (Hudson 2002; Pavlidis, Laurent, and Stephan 2010) to simulate



nucleotide sequences with the same length and number of individuals as loci in the observed dataset. It then uses PopGenome (Pfeifer et al. 2014) to calculate summary statistics for simulated and observed data. Parameters for each simulation were sampled from uniform priors, including a mutation rate for each gene. We simulated data under four scenarios following the assumptions of the aforementioned hypotheses (Figure S1): (M1) isolation without migration, (M2) isolation with continuous migration, (M3) isolation with ancestral migration (migration before the last interglacial, 130kya), (M4) isolation with secondary contact (migration after the last interglacial, <130kya). We used the same set of wide priors for effective population size (min:50,000 max:1,500,000), divergence times (min:130,000 max:1,500,000 generations) and migration rate (min:0.1, max: 2.0 migrants per generation, when allowed) for all models. Time priors assume a generation time of 1 year. Given our hypotheses, our primary goal in testing these demographic scenarios was to assess the pattern of historical connection among populations. Although ideally we would evaluate more parameters-rich models (e.g., to incorporate population size change), given our reduced dataset we decided to conservatively test scenarios that exclusively varied in gene-flow. Despite the necessary simplicity of the tested scenarios, they offer a guide to the histories of gene-flow and isolation in these taxa, which should provide the means to distinguish between our hypotheses.

The high information content associated with thousands of markers enables high accuracy in model selection even in approaches with a relatively low number of simulations (Gehara, Mazzochinni, and Burbrink 2019). For each model, we performed 24,000 simulations and calculated nine population genetic statistics, summarized as means and variances across all loci. We then transformed the summary statistics using principal components analysis (PCA), which was used to evaluate the fit of observed data to simulated models. We explored model selection accuracy using supervised machine learning, running 100 cross-validation replicates of pseudo-observed datasets with the nonlinear neural network algorithm implemented in the ABC R package (Csilléry, François, and Blum 2012). Initial data exploration suggested higher model selection accuracy when retaining a subset of simulations closer to the observed data. Hence, to explore how model selection and parameter estimation could be affected by

different numbers of retained simulations we implemented three tolerance values (0.1, 0.05 and 0.01, retaining 9,600, 4800 and 960 simulations respectively). To assess model selection accuracy, we calculated the number of times the true model was chosen (Posterior Probability; PP). Additional detail is found in the ESM.

### *Mitochondrial genome (mtGenome) divergence dating*

A previous study found that relationships based on mitochondrial DNA conflicted with the species tree suggesting either incomplete lineage sorting or introgression caused incongruence between gene histories (Musher and Cracraft 2018). The node of interest, however, was weakly supported. To improve the accuracy of mitochondrial phylogeny and to independently estimate the timeframe of diversification, we recovered whole mtGenomes from off-target reads as byproduct of UCE sequencing. To estimate the timing and historical relationships of mtDNA divergences, we used BEAST v.2.5.1 (Bouckaert et al. 2014) (See ESM). To estimate divergence times, we applied clock rate means and standard deviations to all genes based on estimated rates from a previous study (clock means: ND1=0.025, ND2=0.029, ND3=0.024, ND4=0.022, ND5=0.021, COI=0.016, COII=0.019, COIII=0.019, ATP6=0.026, ATP8=0.019, and cyt *b*=0.014) (Lerner et al. 2011).

### *Ecological niche modeling*

To test spatial and ecological predictions, we built ecological niche models (ENMs) for the two non-sister species that meet at the Isthmus of Panama. Because the Isthmus is the location of both historical speciation and potential gene-flow, we only constructed ENMs for *P. sumichrasti* and *P. canescens*. We downloaded locality data from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) for both species. We then filtered and thinned these data to create ENMs through a model tuning exercise. In this exercise, we varied a range of model complexities using regularization multipliers (RMs) and feature classes (FCs) for Maxent v3.4.1 (Phillips, Anderson, and Schapire 2006) using *ENMeval* (Muscarella et al. 2014) (See ESM).

To test if suitable habitat has shifted with changing climate, we used the optimal settings from the above tuning exercise to build models using all occurrences. We

projected these models to four time periods under the Community Climate System General Circulation Model (CCSM4) (Kiehl and Gent 2004): current (CUR), Mid-Holocene (MH), last glacial maximum (LGM), and last interglacial (LIG) (Otto-Bliesner et al. 2006). Here, models were thresholded using the equal training sensitivity and specificity threshold for the cloglog projection (Phillips et al. 2017). Using this threshold, we compared models for overlap using Schoener's D-value (Schoener 1968), percent concordance (proportion of total geographic distribution shared by both species), and the proportion of the study area predicted as present.

To statistically test if the degree of geographic connectivity varied through time, we developed a bootstrapping protocol that randomly samples 'neighborhoods' of fixed radius and quantifies the mean joint probability of occurrence for each neighborhood (henceforth joint suitability). Joint probability was determined by taking the product of the probabilities (projected in raw format) for each species at a given grid cell, and the mean was calculated given all cells in each neighborhood. We applied 1000 replicates to each time slice, which generated a frequency distribution of mean joint suitabilities for each time period. We use this joint suitability measure as a proxy for the degree of connectivity at each time period. To evaluate the effect of relatively large and small neighborhoods we applied this method using neighborhoods of both 50 km and 250 km radii.

We then determined whether joint suitability varied with climate by applying a Bayesian analysis of variance in the R package *BayesFactor* (Morey, Rouder, and Jamil 2015). We tested alternative models of connectivity change by, first, evaluating whether there was more support for a model where connectivity varied across all time periods versus remaining constant, and second by evaluating the support for connectivity change between each consecutive time period independently. The latter test was evaluated at each time period by taking the ratio of the Bayes factor where all times vary in connectivity to the Bayes factor where two consecutive periods are equivalent in connectivity. Five models were tested in total: LIG = LGM, LGM = MH, MH = current, and LIG  $\neq$  LGM  $\neq$  MH  $\neq$  current, which were all tested against a null model where all time periods were equivalent. We additionally evaluated the PP of change between each time period by sampling the posterior of the model where all periods vary using

100,000 MCMC replicates, and quantifying the proportion of samples that were consistent with change between time periods.

To test if niches have been phylogenetically conserved, we compared the niche models for similarity and equivalency using *ecospat* (Di Cola et al. 2017). We tested for 'niche similarity' by randomly shifting the niches of each species throughout the study area, and then 'niche equivalency' by randomly selecting occurrences from both species to create new datasets and ENMs. Both analyses resulted in a frequency distribution of Schoener's D-values against which we tested against observed values. Niches were determined to be similar or equivalent if the observed D-value was greater than expected under the 1000 randomizations (see ESM).

## RESULTS:

### *Population discovery and species delimitation*

The optimal K-value was 3 based on STRUCTURE ( $\Delta K = 532.744$ ; Table S2), but assignments for all K-values showed widespread admixture (Figure 2A). Notably, several individuals from *P. sumichrasti* were admixed with respect to the *P. aglaiae* population. These results were consistent with *P. aglaiae* and *P. sumichrasti* representing distinct population clusters, though *P. canescens* and *P. homochrous* were lumped into a single population. BP&P recovered four lineages for all three runs of alternate priors (PP=1.0 for all nodes).

### *Phylogenomic networks*

Phylogenetic network results were indicative of a history of gene-flow during diversification of the *Pachyramphus* species complex. In PhyloNet, both 75% (lnL = -535667.93) and 95% (lnL = -421640.77) complete datasets recovered the same topology and inheritance probabilities (Figure 2B). TreeMix also recovered similar results for both SNP datasets, inferring strong migration from *P. sumichrasti* to *P. canescens* (75% m1: lnL = 68.89; 75% m2: lnL = 68.91; 95% m1: lnL = 66.07; 95% m2: lnL = 66.93). In m=2 models, TreeMix inferred a second admixture edge from *P.*

*aglaiae* to *P. homochrous*, though the migration weight was low for the 75% SNP dataset (Figure 2B).

### *Historical demographic inference*

The four models were accurately identified on 82% of the cross-validations, across the tolerance levels applied (Figure S2). The mean PP for each model, obtained with the cross-validations, suggest that our approach is efficient at distinguishing among models (average PP = 0.73 across models and tolerances). Distinct tolerances produced similar model classifications (mean correct classification of 82.5, 80.25 and 83.25 for the 0.1, 0.05 and 0.01 tolerances, respectively). The cross-validations for parameter estimation suggest that current effective population sizes and divergence times can be correctly estimated, with high and significant correlation values ( $R^2 > 0.9$ ;  $p < 0.01$ ) between pseudo-observed datasets and simulations (Figure S3). The lower values for the Pearson's correlation index between simulated and pseudo-observed data were observed for migration parameters ( $R^2 \sim 0.5$ ;  $p < 0.01$ ), suggesting a reduced capacity of our approach to estimate the magnitude of gene-flow between the two populations, despite high accuracy in distinguishing among models with gene-flow.

The PCA suggested that the simulations fit the data well, with the first three components explaining 80% of the variance (Figure S4). At a tolerance of 0.1, the model selection approach supported secondary contact (M4) with relatively high PP, and found that gene-flow occurred from the last interglacial (130ky) towards the present (PP = 0.81; Bayes factor > 6.0; Table 1). However, the PP for the secondary contact model decreased with lower tolerances, and was only marginally better than the continuous migration model (Bayes factor  $\sim 2$ ; Table 1). Parameter estimation for the secondary contact model supported a larger effective population size for *P. sumichrasti* than *P. canescens*, a divergence time during the early-mid Pleistocene (mean = 580,740 generations ago; 95% CI = 407,948 - 865,711 generations ago), and migration rates that overall reflected the priors (Table 2).

### *Mitochondrial divergence dating*

We recovered a mtGenome topology that conflicted with the species tree, confirming that either incomplete lineage sorting or ancestral introgression has resulted in discordance between mitochondrial and species histories (Figure 2A). The initial split occurred roughly 710 (820–610) kya, followed by a second split roughly 630 (730–530) kya. The divergence *P. canescens* and *P. homochrous* occurred about 466 (560–380) kya. All nodes were recovered with strong support (PP>0.95). Divergence of this group from its outgroup (not shown) occurred 1,054 (1,303–1,778) kya.

### *Ecological niche modeling*

The optimal model for *P. canescens* predicts coastal regions of northern South America and the Isthmus of Panama (Figure 3), in addition to low-to-middle elevations of the northern Andes. The optimal model selected for *P. sumichrasti* showed strong climatic suitability along the Pacific coast of Mexico and Central America as well as high suitability through the Isthmus of Panama (Figure 3).

Despite some obvious geographic differences in the predicted distributions of these species, the niches of *P. canescens* and *P. sumichrasti* were conserved (Figure S5). The climatic suitabilities for the two species were more similar (equivalent) than randomly combining occurrences from each species ( $p = 0.001$ ). We also found that distributional overlap between the two species was higher than expected by chance ( $p = 0.047$ ; Figure S5).

Projections of optimal models through time indicate high levels of ecosystem connectivity for both species throughout the Isthmus of Panama. Current and LIG time periods showed the highest levels of niche overlap observed, with drops in overlap at the LGM. *Pachyramphus sumichrasti* consistently showed the highest proportion of the study region predicted as present through each time period. *Pachyramphus canescens* predictions were reduced during the LGM through the Isthmus of Panama (Figure 3).

Bootstraps supported a scenario of varying connectivity through time, including for neighborhoods of 50km (Bayes factor >  $10^{160}$ ) and 250km radii (Bayes factor >  $10^{501}$ ) (Figure 3). Specifically, connectivity declined between LIG and LGM (50km Bayes factor >  $10^4$ , PP = 1.00; 250km Bayes factor >  $10^{15}$ , PP = 1.00), increased between LGM and MH (50km Bayes factor >  $10^{15}$ , PP = 1.00; 250km Bayes factor >  $10^{49}$ , PP =

1.00), and increased again from MH to current climates (50km Bayes factor  $> 10^{68}$ , PP = 1.00; 250km Bayes factor  $> 10^{251}$ , PP = 1.00), and that the order (current  $>$  LIG  $>$  MH  $>$  LGM) was strongly supported under both 250km (Bayes factor = 24.00, PP = 1.00) and 50km (Bayes factor = 24.00, PP = 1.00) neighborhoods. Interestingly, many outlying high values were detected by 50km radius bootstrap during LGM climates, perhaps reflecting small patches of joint suitability not detected by larger neighborhoods.

## DISCUSSION:

Our spatial and genetic results were concordant and supported a scenario of climate-mediated diversification with periodic gene-flow. Phylogenomic and demographic analyses both indicated a history of gene-flow during diversification. Geographic connectivity between *P. sumichrasti* and *P. canescens* varied significantly through time with highest joint suitability during contemporary and lowest during the LGM climates (Figure 3), a scenario that was consistent with our best-fitting demographic model of secondary contact. Accordingly, because gene-flow was not continuous, niches were not divergent, and joint climatic suitability was not constant through time, we reject parapatric ecological speciation in favor of shifting ecosystem connectivity due to climate change. Speciation in *Pachyramphus* is therefore attributed to initial isolation followed by introgression during periods of increased ecosystem connectivity.

We also found evidence of admixture between *P. aglaiae* and *P. sumichrasti*, two recently diverged sister taxa, based on Structure (Figure 2). This is consistent with previous work, which found that *P. sumichrasti* was paraphyletic relative to *P. aglaiae* based on a concatenated UCE phylogeny (Musher and Cracraft 2018). Furthermore, TreeMix inferred an unlikely scenario of gene-flow between *P. aglaiae* and *P. homochrous*, two non-sister taxa that are geographically non-adjacent. We cannot easily explain this finding, except that the 75% complete dataset (which contains more loci) found this event to be very weak, suggesting that many loci conflicting with this scenario were filtered out to generate the 95% complete dataset. The likelihoods were also very similar between  $m=1$  and  $m=2$  models, suggesting a model with fewer edges

would be favored. Still, because both  $m=1$  and  $m=2$  TreeMix models detect admixture between *P. sumichrasti* and *P. canescens*, we argue that this admixture event is better supported.

### *Implications for a Refuge Model of diversification*

Our results are consistent with the Refuge Model of biotic diversification, which posits that global cycles of climate warming and cooling during the Quaternary caused expansion and retraction of forested and non-forested biomes (Haffer 1969; Webb 1991). However, our data support an alternative view of the Refuge Model in which environmental change has been subtler than the periodic replacement of forest with open savannas (Smith, Amei, and Klicka 2012; Arruda et al. 2018). Recent work on South America has shown that during cooler arid periods, there was likely an increase in tropical deciduous and open ombrophilous forests, in addition to downward elevational shifts of montane ecosystems (Robinson, Brawn, and Robinson 2000; Arruda et al. 2018). Rather than driving pure allopatric isolation, this process would have altered landscape connectivity at ecological scales and in turn varied the probabilities of isolation, local extinction, and dispersal over time. This was evidenced in our ENMs, in which ecological connectivity never ceased; rather, the probability of connectivity varied predictably through time, with the last glacial maximum showing the lowest levels of connectivity, as expected *a priori* (Figure 3).

Thus, a model of forest retraction and coalescence may not effectively describe historical dispersal and speciation at the Isthmus of Panama. Along the Isthmus, which already limits dispersal due to a spatial bottleneck (Robinson, Brawn, and Robinson 2000), changes in climate may specifically impact variation in rates of dispersal or gene-flow through time. In this way, connectivity between ecosystems across the Isthmus of Panama may be ‘flickering’ (Flantua and Hooghiemstra 2018). This ‘flickering connectivity’ may not only explain patterns across the Isthmus of Panama, but also in other regions where connectivity occurs via narrow or ‘stepping-stone’ corridors, such as between Amazonian and Atlantic Forests of South America, along tropical mountain ranges, or across the Dahomey Gap of western Africa (Mayr and O’Hara 1986; Rull and Nogué 2007; Prates et al. 2016; Trujillo-Arias et al. 2018; Huntley et al. 2019). We



therefore suggest that the climatically-mediated scenario of periodic gene-flow that we propose at the Isthmus of Panama could also be an important phenomenon in other regions (Ehrendorfer 1959; Rattenbury 1962; Zarza et al. 2016; He et al. 2019).

Moreover, we argue that periodic gene-flow may be a more important component of the Refuge Model than previously realized. For example, cycling between allopatry and sympatry might promote greater net-diversification than either sympatry or allopatry alone (Aguilée, Claessen, and Lambert 2013), and there is a growing body of evidence that suggests gene-flow may promote speciation via adaptive introgression (Delmore et al. 2015; Racimo et al. 2015; Irwin et al. 2018; Marques, Meier, and Seehausen 2019) or hybrid speciation (Barrera-Guzmán et al. 2018). Taken together, these data suggest that introgression, in addition to isolation, is a crucial process affecting diversification.

Because real-world data often do not fit neatly into discrete geographic modes of speciation (Schilthuizen 2000; Fitzpatrick, Fordyce, and Gavrillets 2008; Harrison 2012), focusing entirely on cycles of allopatry has rendered the Refuge Model incomplete. Importantly, although we reject a model of parapatric ecological speciation, elements of such a model are probably relevant to the Refuge Model framework, and future studies evaluating the effects of climate on diversification should consider the role of periodic introgression, rather than pure isolation. Though our best-fitting demographic model points to a scenario where gene-flow occurs only between non-sister taxa, a model of continuous migration is second-best for two of three tolerance thresholds and PhyloNet recovered deeper reticulation, suggesting that some historical gene-flow has likely occurred (Table 1).

### *Limitations of our approach*

Despite consistency among our results, we draw interpretations with an important caveat. From a phylogeographical perspective, modeling demographic histories is still extremely challenging, even for whole-genome data (Beichman, Phung, and Lohmueller 2017). Pulses of isolation and gene-flow between *Pachyramphus* taxa could mislead the necessarily simplified models that we explored. For example, a scenario with multiple pulses of connection could mimic a model of continuous migration. Similarly, long periods of isolation could overwrite signals of historical gene-flow. However, our

approach points to a scenario where gene-flow began during the last glacial cycle, despite not having enough resolution to distinguish between multiple pulses of contact or isolation that potentially occurred within this period.

In other words, given our limited sampling, we lack the ability to infer population-level processes with the precision needed to look within a single glacial cycle as was done using spatial analysis. Thus, we suggest that our demographic models be interpreted as a rough guide to the processes occurring rather than the true demographic history, the latter of which is undoubtedly more complex. Given this constraint, we were still able to distinguish among continuous and non-continuous models of gene-flow, which were ultimately consistent with the spatial results as neither geographic nor genetic connectivity was continuous through time. Importantly, we found that despite limited sampling, competing demographic models were nonetheless distinguishable within our machine learning framework, suggesting that this approach is useful even for studies with smaller sample sizes (Gehara, Mazzochinni, and Burbrink 2019) (see also ESM; Figure S6).

## Conclusions

In *Pachyramphus*, we provided evidence that varying connectivity across the Isthmus of Panama has led to both differentiation and gene-flow. Reduced connectivity apparently drove repeated speciation as multiple taxa have accumulated over time, while increased connectivity later promoted gene-flow between non-sister taxa (*P. canescens* and *P. sumichrasti*), indicating a reticulate history for this group. The timing of initial isolation roughly 400 to 700 kya is probably associated with a major cooling event, as several of the coldest periods in Earth's history occurred over the past 800 thousand years (Hewitt 2000).

Because climate has varied dramatically over this period, degrees of connectivity and fragmentation between many biomes, globally, have varied as well. Such variation in connectivity during the Pleistocene could also explain a range of macroevolutionary patterns. For example, variable connectivity during the Pleistocene may explain why community-wide patterns of gene-flow are congruent between some Andean dry forests

but divergence times are not, a pattern that is perhaps driven by recolonization of locally extinct populations facilitated by cycling connectivity (Oswald et al. 2017).

Therefore, our results have important implications for future studies evaluating rates of dispersal or gene-flow through time. Biotic dispersal is often modeled as a stochastic, time-dependent process (Sanmartín, Van Der Mark, and Ronquist 2008), which certainly captures much of the variance in dispersal rates through time and across lineages. Still, rates of within-lineage dispersal are often not evenly distributed over time but are instead influenced by additional factors that affect ecosystem connectivity, which vary the probability of successful dispersal over time (Musher et al. 2019; Prates et al. 2016).

# TABLES:

**Table 1:** Summary of the neural network model selection approach for three tolerance levels. *M1* - Pure isolation, *M2* - isolation with continuous migration, *M3* - isolation with ancestral migration, *M4* - isolation with secondary contact.

Tolerance	Support	M1	M2	M3	M4
0.1	Posterior probability	0.1218	0.0641	0.0025	<b>0.8116</b>
	Bayes factor	6.6612	12.6554	330.4801	<b>1</b>
0.05	Posterior probability	0.0001	0.2976	0	<b>0.7024</b>
	Bayes factor	10946.532	2.3605	112920.029	<b>1</b>
0.01	Posterior probability	0.0034	0.3	0.0415	<b>0.6551</b>
	Bayes factor	191.6658	2.1836	15.7961	<b>1</b>

**Table 2:** Summary of the neural network parameter estimation approach for the best model (*M4*) for three tolerance levels. *Ne* - effective population size, *mig* - migration (arrow represents the direction of gene-flow in forward time), *sd* - standard deviation,  $\mu$  - mutation rate.

	Parameter	<i>Ne P. sum.</i>	<i>Ne P. can.</i>	Divergence time	<i>mig can. -&gt; sum</i>	<i>mig sum. -&gt; can</i>	Mean $\mu$	Sd $\mu$
	Prior	50k – 1500k	50k – 1500k	130k – 1500k	0.5 – 2.0	0.5 – 2.0	1E-11 – 1E-9	1E-11 – 1E-9
tolerance 0.1	2.5%CI	169176	41320	407948	0.65	0.81	1.71E-10	3.30E-11
	Median:	931986	238041	549487	1.16	1.55	4.56E-10	3.82E-10
	Mean:	920580	311597	580740	1.16	1.48	4.55E-10	4.37E-10
	Mode:	757072	155208	459940	0.85	1.8	3.70E-10	5.50E-11
	97.5%CI	1582967	788251	865711	1.67	1.89	1.38E-09	1.00E-09
tolerance 0.05	2.5%CI	331463	160891	261296	0.51	0.76	1.88E-11	6.66E-11
	Median:	833531	231644	503520	1.25	1.66	4.02E-10	3.54E-10
	Mean:	826280	257834	542706	1.24	1.57	4.04E-10	3.88E-10

	<b>Mode:</b>	71876 5	204415	387092	0.8	1.88	9.67E -11	7.34 E-11
	<b>97.5%CI</b>	12424 65	437602	954959	1.9 7	2.04	9.82E -10	9.93 E-10
<b>tolerance 0.01</b>	<b>2.5%CI</b>	46680 3	- 269903	-259312	0.3 9	0.88	4.59E -11	9.92 E-11
	<b>Median:</b>	94004 4	114191	344292	1.1 7	1.68	3.80E -10	3.89 E-10
	<b>Mean:</b>	90365 3	182340	386831	1.2	1.59	4.14E -10	4.25 E-10
	<b>Mode:</b>	10792 16	-9023	646281	0.7 6	1.81	1.54E -10	2.10 E-10
	<b>97.5%CI</b>	12103 53	950732	1134811	2.0 3	1.98	1.15E -09	8.70 E-10

## FIGURES:

**Figure 1:** Map of study region. Red box within inset demarcates the boundaries of the study region. Distributions (dashed lines) and localities (filled circles) of samples are colored by putative taxonomic limits for *P. aglaiae* (pink), *P. sumichrasti* (orange), *P. canescens* (green), and *P. homochrous* (blue) are based on results from a previous study (Musher and Cracraft 2018).

**Figure 2:** A) Left: a phylogeny inferred in BEAST using the mtGenomes. Posterior Probabilities are shown at each node and red bars represent 95% highest posterior densities. Center: results from STRUCTURE for each of four values of K. Numbers in parentheses correspond to localities in Figure 1. Toe pad specimens are indicated with red text. Right: the species tree topology from a previous study (Musher and Cracraft 2018), which was used as a guide tree for BP&P analyses. Posterior probability was 1.0 for all speciation events on this tree for all three prior sets used. B) Results from PhyloNet and TreeMix for the 75% (left column) and 95% (right column) complete

621 datasets. Red lines indicate admixture, which are labeled with their inferred inheritance  
622 probabilities (PhyloNet) and migration weights (TreeMix). The thickness of the arrows  
623 for TreeMix plots are proportional to migration weight.

624 **Figure 3:** The left panel shows the optimal ENM predictions for each species under  
625 current climate. The center panel shows models at the Isthmus of Panama for *P.*  
626 *sumichrasti* (yellow), *P. canescens* (red), and model overlap (orange). Values for  
627 Schoener's D, percent concordance, and proportion of the study region predicted as  
628 present (PPP) are shown for each time slice: current (CUR), Mid-Holocene (MH), last  
629 glacial maximum (LGM), and last interglacial (LIG) time periods. The right panel shows  
630 subsampled joint suitability through time for 50km- (light blue) and 250km- (tawny)  
631 radius neighborhoods.

632  
633 **DATA AVAILABILITY:** Scripts for replicating analyses are available at  
634 <https://github.com/lukemusher/speciation-with-gene-flow-at-Isthmus-of-Panama>.  
635 Cleaned (adapters and low-quality bases removed) are available on dryad at  
636 <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.vt4b8gtp5>.

## 637 638 LITERATURE CITED

- 639 Aguilée, Robin, David Claessen, and Amaury Lambert. 2013. "Adaptive Radiation  
640 Driven by the Interplay of Eco-Evolutionary and Landscape Dynamics." *Evolution*  
641 67 (5): 1291–1306.
- 642 Albert, James S., Donald R. Schoolmaster Jr, Victor Tagliacollo, and Scott M. Duke-  
643 Sylvester. 2017. "Barrier Displacement on a Neutral Landscape: Toward a Theory  
644 of Continental Biogeography." *Systematic Biology* 66 (2): 167–82.
- 645 Arruda, Daniel M., Carlos E. G. R. Schaefer, Rúbia S. Fonseca, Ricardo R. C. Solar,  
646 and Elpídio I. Fernandes-Filho. 2018. "Vegetation Cover of Brazil in the Last 21 Ka:  
647 New Insights into the Amazonian Refugia and Pleistocenic Arc Hypotheses." *Global*  
648 *Ecology and Biogeography: A Journal of Macroecology* 27 (1): 47–56.
- 649 Barrera-Guzmán, Alfredo O., Alexandre Aleixo, Matthew D. Shawkey, and Jason T.  
650 Weir. 2018. "Hybrid Speciation Leads to Novel Male Secondary Sexual  
651 Ornamentation of an Amazonian Bird." *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

652 *Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1717319115>.

653 Beichman, Annabel C., Tanya N. Phung, and Kirk E. Lohmueller. 2017. "Comparison of  
654 Single Genome and Allele Frequency Data Reveals Discordant Demographic  
655 Histories." *G3* 7 (11): 3605–20.

656 Blair, M. E., E. J. Sterling, M. Dusch, C. J. Raxworthy, and R. G. Pearson. 2013.  
657 "Ecological Divergence and Speciation between Lemur (*Eulemur*) Sister Species in  
658 Madagascar." *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 26 (8): 1790–1801.

659 Bouckaert, Remco, Joseph Heled, Denise Kühnert, Tim Vaughan, Chieh-Hsi Wu, Dong  
660 Xie, Marc A. Suchard, Andrew Rambaut, and Alexei J. Drummond. 2014. "BEAST  
661 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis." *PLoS Computational*  
662 *Biology* 10 (4): e1003537.

663 Burbrink, Frank T., and Marcelo Gehara. 2018. "The Biogeography of Deep Time  
664 Phylogenetic Reticulation." *Systematic Biology* 67 (5): 743–44.

665 Cadena, C. D., K. H. Kozak, J. P. Gomez, J. L. Parra, C. M. McCain, R. C. K. Bowie, A.  
666 C. Carnaval, et al. 2012. "Latitude, Elevational Climatic Zonation and Speciation in  
667 New World Vertebrates." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.  
668 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.0720>.

669 Cheng, Hai, Ashish Sinha, Francisco W. Cruz, Xianfeng Wang, R. Lawrence Edwards,  
670 Fernando M. d'Horta, Camila C. Ribas, Mathias Vuille, Lowell D. Stott, and Augusto  
671 S. Auler. 2013. "Climate Change Patterns in Amazonia and Biodiversity." *Nature*  
672 *Communications* 4: 1411.

673 Claramunt, S., and J. Cracraft. 2015. "A New Time Tree Reveals Earth History's Imprint  
674 on the Evolution of Modern Birds." *Science Advances* 1: e1501005.

675 Coyne, Jerry A., and H. Allen Orr. 2004. "Speciation. Sunderland, MA." Sinauer  
676 Associates, Inc.

677 Csilléry, Katalin, Olivier François, and Michael G. B. Blum. 2012. "Abc: An R Package  
678 for Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC)." *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* /  
679 *British Ecological Society* 3 (3): 475–79.

680 Delmore, Kira E., Sarel Hübner, Nolan C. Kane, Richard Schuster, Rose L. Andrew,  
681 Francisco Câmara, Roderic Guigó, and Darren E. Irwin. 2015. "Genomic Analysis  
682 of a Migratory Divide Reveals Candidate Genes for Migration and Implicates



- Selective Sweeps in Generating Islands of Differentiation.” *Molecular Ecology* 24 (8): 1873–88.
- De Queiroz, Kevin. 2007. “Species Concepts and Species Delimitation.” *Systematic Biology* 56 (6): 879–86.
- Di Cola, Valeria, Olivier Broennimann, Blaise Petitpierre, Frank T. Breiner, Manuela D’Amen, Christophe Randin, Robin Engler, et al. 2017. “Ecospat: An R Package to Support Spatial Analyses and Modeling of Species Niches and Distributions.” *Ecography* 40 (6): 774–87.
- Ehrendorfer, F. 1959. “Differentiation-Hybridization Cycles and Polyploidy in *Achillea*.” *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology* 24: 141–52.
- Evanno, G., S. Regnaut, and J. Goudet. 2005. “Detecting the Number of Clusters of Individuals Using the Software STRUCTURE: A Simulation Study.” *Molecular Ecology* 14 (8): 2611–20.
- Faircloth, B. C. 2016. “PHYLUCE Is a Software Package for the Analysis of Conserved Genomic Loci.” *Bioinformatics* 32 (5): 786–88.
- Fitzpatrick, Benjamin M., J. A. Fordyce, and S. Gavrilets. 2008. “What, If Anything, Is Sympatric Speciation?” *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 21 (6): 1452–59.
- Flantua, Suzette G. A., and Henry Hooghiemstra. 2018. “Historical Connectivity and Mountain Biodiversity.” *Mountains, Climate and Biodiversity* (eds. Hoorn, C. , Perrigo, A. & Antonelli, A. ), 171–86.
- Flantua, Suzette G. A., Aaron O’Dea, Renske E. Onstein, Catalina Giraldo, and Henry Hooghiemstra. 2019. “The Flickering Connectivity System of the North Andean Páramos.” *Journal of Biogeography* 41: 1227.
- Gehara, Marcelo, Adrian A. Garda, Fernanda P. Werneck, Eliana F. Oliveira, Emanuel M. da Fonseca, Felipe Camurugi, Felipe de M. Magalhães, et al. 2017. “Estimating Synchronous Demographic Changes across Populations Using hABC and Its Application for a Herpetological Community from Northeastern Brazil.” *Molecular Ecology* 26 (18): 4756–71.
- Gehara, Marcelo, Guilherme G. Mazzochinni, and Frank Burbrink. 2019. “PipeMaster: Inferring Population Divergence and Demographic History with Approximate Bayesian Computation and Supervised Machine-Learning in R.”

714 *Compphylo.github.io*.

715 [https://compphylo.github.io/Oslo2019/PM\\_files/PipeMaster.pdf](https://compphylo.github.io/Oslo2019/PM_files/PipeMaster.pdf).

716 Gompert, Zachariah, James A. Fordyce, Matthew L. Forister, Arthur M. Shapiro, and  
717 Chris C. Nice. 2006. "Homoploid Hybrid Speciation in an Extreme Habitat." *Science*  
718 314 (5807): 1923–25.

719 Gompert, Zachariah, Lauren K. Lucas, C. Alex Buerkle, Matthew L. Forister, James A.  
720 Fordyce, and Chris C. Nice. 2014. "Admixture and the Organization of Genetic  
721 Diversity in a Butterfly Species Complex Revealed through Common and Rare  
722 Genetic Variants." *Molecular Ecology* 23 (18): 4555–73.

723 Haffer, J. 1969. "Speciation in Amazonian Forest Birds." *Science* 165 (3889): 131–37.

724 Harrison, Richard G. 2012. "The Language of Speciation." *Evolution* 66 (12): 3643–57.

725 Hewitt, G. 2000. "The Genetic Legacy of the Quaternary Ice Ages." *Nature* 405 (6789):  
726 907–13.

727 ———. 2004. "Genetic Consequences of Climatic Oscillations in the Quaternary."  
728 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological*  
729 *Sciences* 359 (1442): 183–95.

730 He, Ziwen, Xinnian Li, Ming Yang, Xinfeng Wang, Cairong Zhong, Norman C. Duke,  
731 Chung-I Wu, and Suhua Shi. 2019. "Speciation with Gene Flow via Cycles of  
732 Isolation and Migration: Insights from Multiple Mangrove Taxa." *National Science*  
733 *Review* 6 (2): 275–88.

734 Hoskin, Conrad J., Megan Higgie, Keith R. McDonald, and Craig Moritz. 2005.  
735 "Reinforcement Drives Rapid Allopatric Speciation." *Nature* 437 (7063): 1353–56.

736 Hubisz, Melissa J., Daniel Falush, Matthew Stephens, and Jonathan K. Pritchard. 2009.  
737 "Inferring Weak Population Structure with the Assistance of Sample Group  
738 Information." *Molecular Ecology Resources* 9 (5): 1322–32.

739 Hudson, Richard R. 2002. "Generating Samples under a Wright–Fisher Neutral Model  
740 of Genetic Variation." *Bioinformatics* 18 (2): 337–38.

741 Huntley, Jerry W., Johanna A. Harvey, Marco Pavia, Giovanni Boano, and Gary  
742 Voelker. 2018. "The Systematics and Biogeography of the Bearded Greenbulbs  
743 (Aves: Criniger) Reveals the Impact of Plio-Pleistocene Forest Fragmentation on  
744 Afro-Tropical Avian Diversity." *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 183 (3):

672–86.

- Huntley, Jerry W., Katrina D. Keith, Adrian A. Castellanos, Lukas J. Musher, and Gary Voelker. 2019. “Underestimated and Cryptic Diversification Patterns across Afro-Tropical Lowland Forests.” *Journal of Biogeography* 104 (January): 20432.
- Irwin, Darren E., Borja Milá, David P. L. Toews, Alan Brelsford, Haley L. Kenyon, Alison N. Porter, Christine Grossen, Kira E. Delmore, Miguel Alcaide, and Jessica H. Irwin. 2018. “A Comparison of Genomic Islands of Differentiation across Three Young Avian Species Pairs.” *Molecular Ecology* 27 (23): 4839–55.
- Jakobsson, Mattias, and Noah A. Rosenberg. 2007. “CLUMPP: A Cluster Matching and Permutation Program for Dealing with Label Switching and Multimodality in Analysis of Population Structure.” *Bioinformatics* 23 (14): 1801–6.
- Kiehl, Jeffrey T., and Peter R. Gent. 2004. “The Community Climate System Model, Version 2.” *Journal of Climate* 17 (19): 3666–82.
- Kozak, Kenneth H., and John J. Wiens. 2006. “Does Niche Conservatism Promote Speciation? A Case Study in North American Salamanders.” *Evolution* 60 (12): 2604–21.
- Leaché, Adam D., and Matthew K. Fujita. 2010. “Bayesian Species Delimitation in West African Forest Geckos (*Hemidactylus Fasciatus*).” *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, October. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.0662>.
- Lerner, Heather R. L., Matthias Meyer, Helen F. James, Michael Hofreiter, and Robert C. Fleischer. 2011. “Multilocus Resolution of Phylogeny and Timescale in the Extant Adaptive Radiation of Hawaiian Honeycreepers.” *Current Biology: CB* 21 (21): 1838–44.
- Marques, David A., Joana I. Meier, and Ole Seehausen. 2019. “A Combinatorial View on Speciation and Adaptive Radiation.” *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 34 (6): 531–44.
- Mayr, Ernst, and Robert J. O’Hara. 1986. “THE BIOGEOGRAPHIC EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE PLEISTOCENE FOREST REFUGE HYPOTHESIS.” *Evolution* 40 (1): 55–67.
- Mirarab, S., R. Reaz, Md S. Bayzid, T. Zimmermann, M. S. Swenson, and T. Warnow. 2014. “ASTRAL: Genome-Scale Coalescent-Based Species Tree Estimation.”

- 776 *Bioinformatics* 30 (17): i541–48.
- 777 Morey, Richard D., Jeffrey N. Rouder, and T. Jamil. 2015. “BayesFactor: Computation
- 778 of Bayes Factors for Common Designs.” *R Package Version 0. 9 9*: 2014.
- 779 Muscarella, Robert, Peter J. Galante, Mariano Soley-Guardia, Robert A. Boria, Jamie
- 780 M. Kass, María Uriarte, and Robert P. Anderson. 2014. “ENMeval: An R Package
- 781 for Conducting Spatially Independent Evaluations and Estimating Optimal Model
- 782 Complexity for Maxent Ecological Niche Models.” *Methods in Ecology and*
- 783 *Evolution* 5 (11): 1198–1205.
- 784 Musher, Lukas J., and Joel Cracraft. 2018. “Phylogenomics and Species Delimitation of
- 785 a Complex Radiation of Neotropical Suboscine Birds (Pachyramphus).” *Molecular*
- 786 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* 118 (January): 204–21.
- 787 Musher, Lukas J., Mateus Ferreira, Anya L. Auerbach, Jessica McKay, and Joel
- 788 Cracraft. 2019. “Why Is Amazonia a ‘source’ of Biodiversity? Climate-Mediated
- 789 Dispersal and Synchronous Speciation across the Andes in an Avian Group
- 790 (Tityrinae).” *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 286 (1900): 20182343.
- 791 Norris, Laura C., Bradley J. Main, Yoosook Lee, Travis C. Collier, Abdrahamane
- 792 Fofana, Anthony J. Cornel, and Gregory C. Lanzaro. 2015. “Adaptive Introgression
- 793 in an African Malaria Mosquito Coincident with the Increased Usage of Insecticide-
- 794 Treated Bed Nets.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112 (3):
- 795 815–20.
- 796 Nosil, Patrik. 2012. *Ecological Speciation*. Oxford University Press.
- 797 Oswald, Jessica A., Michael G. Harvey, Rosalind C. Remsen, Depaul U. Foxworth,
- 798 Donna L. Dittmann, Steven W. Cardiff, and Robb T. Brumfield. 2019. “Evolutionary
- 799 Dynamics of Hybridization and Introgression Following the Recent Colonization of
- 800 Glossy Ibis (Aves: Plegadis Falcinellus) into the New World.” *Molecular Ecology*
- 801 28(7), 1675–1691. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15008>.
- 802 Oswald, Jessica A., Isaac Overcast, William M. Mauck 3rd, Michael J. Andersen, and
- 803 Brian Tilston Smith. 2017. “Isolation with Asymmetric Gene Flow during the
- 804 Nonsynchronous Divergence of Dry Forest Birds.” *Molecular Ecology* 26 (5): 1386–
- 805 1400.
- 806 Otto-Bliesner, Bette L., Shawn J. Marshall, Jonathan T. Overpeck, Gifford H. Miller, and

- Aixue Hu. 2006. "Simulating Arctic Climate Warmth and Icefield Retreat in the Last Interglaciation." *Science* 311 (5768): 1751–53.
- Parker, T. A., D. F. Stotz, J. W. Fitzpatrick, and D. K. Moskovits. 1996. "Database A: Zoogeographic and Ecological Attributes of Bird Species Breeding in the Neotropics." In *Neotropical Birds: Ecology and Conservation*, edited by Douglas F. Stotz, John W. Fitzpatrick, Theodore A. Parker III, Debra K. Moskovits, 132–291. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Pavlidis, Pavlos, Stefan Laurent, and Wolfgang Stephan. 2010. "msABC: A Modification of Hudson's Ms to Facilitate Multi-Locus ABC Analysis." *Molecular Ecology Resources* 10 (4): 723–27.
- Pfeifer, Bastian, Ulrich Wittelsbürger, Sebastian E. Ramos-Onsins, and Martin J. Lercher. 2014. "PopGenome: An Efficient Swiss Army Knife for Population Genomic Analyses in R." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 31 (7): 1929–36.
- Phillips, Steven J., Robert P. Anderson, Miroslav Dudík, Robert E. Schapire, and Mary E. Blair. 2017. "Opening the Black Box: An Open-Source Release of Maxent." *Ecography* 40 (7): 887–93.
- Phillips, Steven J., Robert P. Anderson, and Robert E. Schapire. 2006. "Maximum Entropy Modeling of Species Geographic Distributions." *Ecological Modelling* 190 (3): 231–59.
- Pickrell, Joseph K., and Jonathan K. Pritchard. 2012. "Inference of Population Splits and Mixtures from Genome-Wide Allele Frequency Data." *PLoS Genetics* 8 (11): e1002967.
- Potter, Sally, Alexander T. Xue, Jason G. Bragg, Dan F. Rosauer, Emily J. Roycroft, and Craig Moritz. 2018. "Pleistocene Climatic Changes Drive Diversification across a Tropical Savanna." *Molecular Ecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14441>.
- Prates, Ivan, Danielle Rivera, Miguel T. Rodrigues, and Ana C. Carnaval. 2016. "A Mid-Pleistocene Rainforest Corridor Enabled Synchronous Invasions of the Atlantic Forest by Amazonian Anole Lizards." *Molecular Ecology* 25 (20): 5174–86.
- Provine, William B. 2004. "Ernst Mayr: Genetics and Speciation." *Genetics* 167 (3): 1041–46.
- Provost, Kaiya L., William M. Mauck 3rd, and Brian Tilston Smith. 2018. "Genomic

- Divergence in Allopatric Northern Cardinals of the North American Warm Deserts Is Linked to Behavioral Differentiation." *Ecology and Evolution* 8 (24): 12456–78.
- Racimo, Fernando, Sriram Sankararaman, Rasmus Nielsen, and Emilia Huerta-Sánchez. 2015. "Evidence for Archaic Adaptive Introgression in Humans." *Nature Reviews. Genetics* 16 (6): 359–71.
- Rannala, Bruce, and Ziheng Yang. 2013. "Improved Reversible Jump Algorithms for Bayesian Species Delimitation." *Genetics* 194 (1): 245–53.
- Rattenbury, J. A. 1962. "Cyclic Hybridization as a Survival Mechanism in the New Zealand Forest Flora." *Evolution* 16 (3): 348–63.
- Robinson, W. Douglas, Jeffrey D. Brawn, and Scott K. Robinson. 2000. "Forest Birds Community Structure in Central Panama: Influence of Spatial and Ecological Scale and Biogeography." *Ecological Monographs* 70 (2): 209–35.
- Rull, Valentí, and Sandra Nogué. 2007. "Potential Migration Routes and Barriers for Vascular Plants of the Neotropical Guyana Highlands during the Quaternary." *Journal of Biogeography* 34 (8): 1327–41.
- Sanmartín, Isabel, Paul Van Der Mark, and Fredrik Ronquist. 2008. "Inferring Dispersal: A Bayesian Approach to Phylogeny-Based Island Biogeography, with Special Reference to the Canary Islands." *Journal of Biogeography* 35 (3): 428–49.
- Schilthuizen, M. 2000. "Dualism and Conflicts in Understanding Speciation." *BioEssays* 22 (12): 1134–41.
- Schluter, D. 2001. "Ecology and the Origin of Species." *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 16 (7): 372–80.
- Schoener, Thomas W. 1968. "The Anolis Lizards of Bimini: Resource Partitioning in a Complex Fauna." *Ecology* 49 (4): 704–26.
- Slatkin, M. 1987. "Gene Flow and the Geographic Structure of Natural Populations." *Science* 236 (4803): 787–92.
- Smith, Brian Tilston, Amei Amei, and John Klicka. 2012. "Evaluating the Role of Contracting and Expanding Rainforest in Initiating Cycles of Speciation across the Isthmus of Panama." *Proceedings. Biological Sciences / The Royal Society* 279 (1742): 3520–26.
- Stamatakis, Alexandros. 2014. "RAxML Version 8: A Tool for Phylogenetic Analysis and

- Post-Analysis of Large Phylogenies.” *Bioinformatics* 30 (9): 1312–13.
- Thom, Gregory, Fabio Raposo Do Amaral, Michael J. Hickerson, Alexandre Aleixo, Lucas E. Araujo-Silva, Camila C. Ribas, Erik Choueri, and Cristina Y. Miyaki. 2018. “Phenotypic and Genetic Structure Support Gene Flow Generating Gene Tree Discordances in an Amazonian Floodplain Endemic Species.” *Systematic Biology* 67 (4): 700–718.
- Tingley, Morgan W., William B. Monahan, Steven R. Beissinger, and Craig Moritz. 2009. “Birds Track Their Grinnellian Niche through a Century of Climate Change.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106: 19637–43.
- Trujillo-Arias, Natalia, Luciano Calderón, Fabricio R. Santos, Cristina Y. Miyaki, Alexandre Aleixo, Christopher C. Witt, Pablo L. Tubaro, and Gustavo S. Cabanne. 2018. “Forest Corridors between the Central Andes and the Southern Atlantic Forest Enabled Dispersal and Peripatric Diversification without Niche Divergence in a Passerine.” *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 128 (November): 221–32.
- Vrba, Elisabeth S. 1992. “Mammals as a Key to Evolutionary Theory.” *Journal of Mammalogy* 73 (1): 1–28.
- Vuilleumier, B. S. 1971. “Pleistocene Changes in the Fauna and Flora of South America.” *Science* 173 (3999): 771–80.
- Webb, S. David. 1991. “Ecogeography and the Great American Interchange.” *Paleobiology* 17 (3): 266–80.
- Webster, J. Dan. 1963. “A Revision of the Rose-Throated Becard.” *The Condor* 65 (5): 383–99.
- Weeks, Brian C., and Santiago Claramunt. 2014. “Dispersal Has Inhibited Avian Diversification in Australasian Archipelagoes.” *Proceedings. Biological Sciences / The Royal Society* 281 (1791): 20141257.
- Weir, Jason T., Maya S. Faccio, Paola Pulido-Santacruz, Alfredo O. Barrera-Guzmán, and Alexandre Aleixo. 2015. “Hybridization in Headwater Regions, and the Role of Rivers as Drivers of Speciation in Amazonian Birds.” *Evolution* 69 (7): 1823–34.
- Wiens, John J., and Catherine H. Graham. 2005. “Niche Conservatism: Integrating Evolution, Ecology, and Conservation Biology.” *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 36 (1): 519–39.

- Winger, Benjamin M. 2017. "Consequences of Divergence and Introgression for Speciation in Andean Cloud Forest Birds." *Evolution* 71 (7): 1815–31.
- Yu, Yun, and Luay Nakhleh. 2015. "A Maximum Pseudo-Likelihood Approach for Phylogenetic Networks." *BMC Genomics* 16: S10.
- Zarza, Eugenia, Brant C. Faircloth, Whitney L. E. Tsai, Robert W. Bryson Jr, John Klicka, and John E. McCormack. 2016. "Hidden Histories of Gene Flow in Highland Birds Revealed with Genomic Markers." *Molecular Ecology* 25 (20): 5144–57.

**BIOSKETCH:**

LJM is a PhD candidate at the American Museum of Natural History who combines phylogenomics, biogeography, and population genomics to test questions about the origins and assembly of avian communities in the Neotropics. He is particularly interested in the Amazon, as it is the most diverse terrestrial biome on the planet. Using high-throughput sequencing technologies and specimen-based research, LJM asks questions about how landscape evolution –such as that driven by climate change or watershed dynamics– have shaped patterns of population genetic and taxonomic diversity through facilitating dispersal, isolation, and gene flow.







