## Homework 02

CS499-Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science, Jie Li, Spring 2020.

Name: 方泓杰(Hongjie Fang) Student ID: 518030910150 Email: galaxies@sjtu.edu.cn

1. What does the following notation mean?

$$\sum_{k=4}^{0} q_k$$

Solution. The meaning of the formula depends on the specific situation.

- It can have the same meaning as  $\sum_{4 \le k \le 0} q_k$ , so the value of this formula is 0.
- It can have the same meaning as  $\sum_{k\leq 0} q_k \sum_{k\leq 4} q_k$ . As a result, the value of this formula is  $-q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$ .

2. Simplify the expression  $x \cdot ([x > 0] - [x < 0])$ .

**Solution.** Let f(x) be  $x \cdot ([x > 0] - [x < 0])$ . Then we are able to derive the following equation (Equation (1)).

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x \cdot (1-0) = x & (x>0) \\ 0 \cdot (0-0) = 0 & (x=0) \\ x \cdot (0-1) = -x & (x<0) \end{cases} = |x|$$
 (1)

Thus,  $x \cdot ([x > 0] - [x < 0])$  can be simplified to |x|.

3. Demonstrate your understanding of  $\Sigma$ -notation by writing out the following sums in full.

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le 5} a_k \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{0 \le k^2 \le 5} a_{k^2}$$

**Solution.** The sums can be written as follows (Equation (2)).

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le k \le 5 \\ 0 \le k^2 \le 5}} a_k = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5$$

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le k^2 \le 5 \\ 0 \le k^2 \le 5}} a_{k^2} = \sum_{\substack{-2 \le k \le 2 \\ -2 \le k \le 2}} a_{k^2} = a_0 + 2a_1 + 2a_4$$
(2)

4. Express the following triple sum as a three-fold summation (with three  $\Sigma$ 's).

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j < k \le 4} a_{ijk}$$

- (a) summing first on k, then j, then i;
- (b) summing first on i, then j, then k.

Also write your triple sums out in full without the  $\Sigma$ -notation, using parentheses to show what is being added together first.

**Solution.** The sums can be written as follows (Equation (3) and Equation (4)).

(a)

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j < k \le 4} a_{ijk} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{3} \sum_{k=j+1}^{4} a_{ijk} = ((a_{123} + a_{124}) + a_{134}) + a_{234}$$
 (3)

(b)

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j < k \le 4} a_{ijk} = \sum_{k=3}^{4} \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} a_{ijk} = a_{123} + (a_{124} + (a_{134} + a_{234}))$$
(4)

5. What's wrong with the following derivation?

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a_k}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_j}{a_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_k}{a_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} n = n^2$$

**Solution.** We can't change the variable j's name to k, because the formula already has a variable k in the inner summation. Therefore, we can only derive the following result (Equation (5)).

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a_k}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_j}{a_k}$$
 (5)

6. What is the value of  $\sum_{k} [1 \le j \le k \le n]$ , as a function of j and n?

**Solution.** We define f(j, n) as the summation above. Thus, we can derive the following answer (Equation (6)).

$$f(j,n) = \sum_{k} [1 \le j \le k \le n] = \begin{cases} 0 & (j < 1 \text{ or } j > n) \\ \sum_{j \le k \le n} 1 = n - j + 1 & (1 \le j \le n) \end{cases}$$
 (6)

7. Let  $\nabla f(x) = f(x) - f(x-1)$ . What is  $\nabla(x^{\overline{m}})$ ?

**Solution.**  $\nabla(x^{\overline{m}})$  can be calculated as follows (Equation (7)).

$$\nabla(x^{\overline{m}}) = x^{\overline{m}} - (x-1)^{\overline{m}}$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (x+i) - \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (x-1+i)$$

$$= (x+m-1-(x-1)) \prod_{i=0}^{m-2} (x+i)$$

$$= mx^{\overline{m-1}}$$
(7)

8. What is the value of  $0^{\underline{m}}$ , where m is a given integer?

Solution.

$$0^{\underline{m}} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1-m}^{0} i = 0 & (m > 0) \\ 1 & (m = 0) & (m \in \mathbb{N}) \\ \prod_{i=1}^{-m} \frac{1}{i} = \frac{1}{(-m)!} & (m < 0) \end{cases}$$
(8)

We assume 0! = 1, then we can simplify Equation (8) to the following equation (Equation (9))

$$0^{\underline{m}} = \begin{cases} 0 & (m > 0) \\ \frac{1}{(-m)!} & (m \le 0) \end{cases}$$
  $(m \in \mathbb{N})$  (9)

9. What is the law of exponents for rising factorial powers, analogous to (2.52) in the textbook? Use this to define  $x^{-n}$ .

**Solution.** The law of exponents for rising factorial powers is as follows.

$$x^{\overline{m+n}} = x^{\overline{m}}(x+m)^{\overline{n}} \qquad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z})$$
(10)

Thus, we can define  $x^{-n}$  by applying m = -n and n = n in Equation (10), and we can derive the following formulas.

$$x^{\overline{-n}}(x-n)^{\overline{n}} = x^{\overline{-n+n}} = x^{\overline{0}} = 1$$

$$\implies x^{\overline{-n}} = \frac{1}{(x-n)^{\overline{n}}} = \frac{1}{(x-1)^{\underline{n}}} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}_+)$$
(11)

With Equation (11), we define  $x^{-n}$  as  $\frac{1}{(x-1)^n}$  when  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ .

10. The text derives the following formula for the difference of a product:

$$\Delta(uv) = u\Delta v + Ev\Delta u \tag{12}$$

How can this formula be correct, when the left-hand side is symmetric with respect to u and v but the right-hand side is not?

**Solution.** The derivation of Equation (12) is on the textbook, so it is obviously correct. Actually, on one hand, we have the Equation (12); on the other hand, we can have the following equation (Equation (13)).

$$\Delta(uv) = v\Delta u + Eu\Delta v \tag{13}$$

The two right-hand sides of Equation (12) and Equation (13) are symmetric.

What's more, if u and v are differentiable functions, then we can substitute the notation  $\Delta$  with the notation D by taking the limit as the delta number of independent variable goes to 0. As a result, Ev equals to v under D. So the equation becomes D(uv) = uDu + vDu, which is symmetric on both sides.