

Space Slingshot: Electromagnetic Propulsion Systems for Sustainable Space Transportation

Alexi Choueiri, PhD

Independent Researcher

MIT & ASU Alumnus

alexichoueiri@gmail.com

December 2025

Abstract

This paper examines electromagnetic acceleration systems as sustainable alternatives to chemical propulsion for space transportation, including orbital insertion, interplanetary cargo transfer, and extraterrestrial resource logistics. We analyze lunar and Martian mass drivers for complete propellant-free launch, and Earth-based electromagnetic assist for hybrid propulsion systems. Our analysis demonstrates that electromagnetic "slingshots" are immediately feasible for lunar cargo operations using current technology, can reduce Earth launch propellant by 30-40%, and enable economically viable space resource extraction. With focus on unmanned cargo and small satellite applications where high-g acceleration (50-500g) is tolerable, these systems offer 70-90% cost reductions and near-zero emissions for extraterrestrial operations.

1. Introduction

Chemical propulsion dominates space transportation despite fundamental inefficiencies. The Tsiolkovsky rocket equation shows why:

$$\Delta v = v_e \ln \left(\frac{m_0}{m_f} \right)$$

where v_e is exhaust velocity, m_0 is initial mass, and m_f is final mass. For Earth orbital insertion, this yields mass ratios of 15-20 :1, meaning **93-95% of launch mass is propellant**.

Electromagnetic acceleration offers an alternative: convert electrical energy directly to kinetic energy without carrying propellant. While atmospheric physics make this challenging on Earth, vacuum or near-vacuum environments (Moon, Mars, asteroids, interplanetary space) present ideal conditions.

Applications beyond orbital insertion:

- Lunar resource export to Earth orbit, Lagrange points, or Mars
- Interplanetary cargo transfer (Mars-to-Phobos, asteroid-to-processing station)

- Propellant-free attitude control and station-keeping using momentum exchange
- Deep space mission velocity boosts from lunar/Martian slingshots

This paper focuses on three implementations: lunar mass drivers (immediate feasibility), Martian systems (medium-term), and Earth electromagnetic assist (near-term hybrid approach).

2. Fundamental Physics

2.1 Energy Requirements by Location

Minimum kinetic energy for escape/orbital velocity:

$$E_k = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$

Body	Escape Velocity	Orbital Velocity	Energy/kg	Atmosphere
Earth	11.2 km/s	7.8 km/s	30.4 MJ/kg	Dense (1.2 kg/m³)
Mars	5.0 km/s	3.6 km/s	6.5 MJ/kg	Thin (0.02 kg/m³)
Moon	2.4 km/s	1.7 km/s	1.4 MJ/kg	None (vacuum)
Phobos	11 m/s	8 m/s	32 J/kg	None

Key insight: Lunar launch requires 22× less energy than Earth, with zero atmospheric losses.

2.2 Electromagnetic Acceleration

Linear motor force: $F = BIL$ (magnetic flux × current × conductor length)

Required track length for constant acceleration:

$$L = \frac{v^2}{2a}$$

Track length scaling:

For lunar orbital velocity (1,700 m/s):

- At 3g (human-rated): $L = \frac{(1700)^2}{2(30)} = 48 \text{ km}$
- At 15g (robust cargo): $L = \frac{(1700)^2}{2(147)} = 10 \text{ km}$
- At 100g (bulk materials): $L = \frac{(1700)^2}{2(980)} = 1.5 \text{ km}$

- At 500g (water/metals): $L = \frac{(1700)^2}{2(4900)} = 0.3 \text{ km}$

This is critical: High-g tolerance enables compact, affordable infrastructure.

2.3 Why Earth is Different

Atmospheric drag force: $F_d = \frac{1}{2}\rho v^2 C_d A$

At sea level, launching 1 kg at 1,700 m/s:

- Drag force: 88,000 N
- Heating rate: 150 MW
- Surface temperature: 1,500 K (melts steel)

Lunar vacuum eliminates this entirely. Mars' thin atmosphere generates only 23 MW heating (manageable with heat shields).

3. Lunar Mass Driver System

3.1 Baseline Design

Standard cargo configuration:

- Track length: 10 km
- Launch velocity: 1,700 m/s (lunar orbit)
- Acceleration: 15g (147 m/s²)
- Payload: 10-1,000 kg
- Power: Solar (5 hectares of panels)
- Launch rate: 1 per hour, 24/lunar-day

High-g bulk material variant:

- Track length: 1.5 km
- Acceleration: 100g
- Cost: 85% less infrastructure
- Ideal for: water ice, metals, oxygen, simple propellants

3.2 Energy Analysis

Energy per 100 kg launch:

$$E_k = \frac{1}{2}(100)(1700)^2 = 144.5 \text{ MJ}$$

With 70% system efficiency:

$$E_{input} = \frac{144.5}{0.7} = 206 \text{ MJ} = 57 \text{ kWh}$$

Daily operations (24 launches): 1,368 kWh

Solar panel area needed (25% efficiency, 354-hour lunar day):

$$A = \frac{1,368,000}{1,361 \times 0.25 \times 14.75} = 272 \text{ m}^2$$

With energy storage for lunar night: ~5 hectares total.

Cost per launch: ~\$100,000 (amortized over 10,000 launches)

3.3 Cargo Applications and G-force Tolerance

Payload Type	Max G-force	Track Length	Primary Use
Water/ice	1000g	300 m	Propellant export to Earth orbit
Metals (aluminum, titanium)	500g	600 m	Construction materials
Oxygen tanks	300g	1 km	Life support, propellant
Electronics (potted)	100g	1.5 km	Satellites, instruments
Precision instruments	50g	3 km	Scientific equipment
Biological samples	20g	8 km	Research materials
Humans	5g sustained	30 km	Personnel transport

Economic implication: A 300m track for water export costs ~\$50M to build, vs. 10km track at ~\$500M. For bulk cargo, shorter = cheaper.

3.4 Destination Flexibility

Lunar escape velocity (2.4 km/s) enables direct trajectories to:

- Low Earth Orbit (with aerobraking): 3-5 day transit
- Earth-Moon L1 Lagrange point: 1-2 day transit, ideal depot location
- Mars (with gravity assists): 6-9 month transit

- Near-Earth asteroids: Variable, 2-18 months

Strategic value: L1 propellant depot supplied from Moon eliminates need to launch propellant from Earth (\$10,000/kg → \$10/kg).

4. Martian Mass Driver

4.1 Modified Parameters

Mars orbital velocity: 3,600 m/s (2.1× lunar)

Track requirements:

- At 50g: 13 km
- At 200g (bulk cargo): 3.3 km

Energy: 6.5 MJ/kg (4.6× lunar)

4.2 Atmospheric Challenges

Thin atmosphere (0.6% Earth pressure) creates manageable heating:

Drag at 3,600 m/s: $F_d = \frac{1}{2}(0.020)(3600)^2(0.5)(0.1) = 6,480 \text{ N}$

Heating: $\dot{Q} = 6,480 \times 3,600 = 23.3 \text{ MW}$

Solution: Ablative heat shield (100-200 kg mass penalty) or ceramic nose cone.

4.3 Mars-to-Earth Supply Chain

Martian resources for Earth orbit:

- CO₂ → methane/oxygen propellant
- Water ice → hydrogen/oxygen
- Iron/aluminum → construction materials

Launch cost from Mars: ~\$500/kg (vs. \$10,000/kg from Earth)

Game changer for deep space: Refuel at Mars for outer solar system missions.

5. Earth Electromagnetic Assist

5.1 Hybrid System Concept

Full electromagnetic Earth launch is impractical (atmospheric heating). Instead: **high-altitude boost** to reduce propellant requirements.

Design:

- Location: 4,000-5,000m elevation (Colorado, Andes, Tibet)
- Track: 5 km (large cargo) or 2 km (small satellites)
- Exit velocity: 800 m/s (Mach 2.4 at altitude)
- Angle: 30° above horizontal
- Acceleration: 6.5g (large) or 25g (small satellites)

5.2 Propellant Reduction Mathematics

Traditional Earth-to-LEO Δv : 9,400 m/s

With 800 m/s boost at 5 km altitude:

- Effective Δv provided: 1,200 m/s (includes altitude benefit)
- Remaining Δv : 8,200 m/s

Mass ratio comparison ($I_{sp} = 300\text{s}$, $v_e = 2,940\text{ m/s}$):

Traditional: $e^{9400/2940} = 24.5$ (96% propellant)

EM-assist: $e^{8200/2940} = 16.3$ (94% propellant)

Propellant reduction: 33%

For 100 kg payload:

- Traditional: 2,450 kg total, 2,350 kg propellant
- EM-assist: 1,630 kg total, 1,530 kg propellant
- **Saves 820 kg propellant per launch**

5.3 Small Satellite Economics

This is where economics really shine:

Traditional small sat launch (rideshare):

- Cost: \$500,000-\$2,000,000 per 100 kg satellite

- Wait time: Months for rideshare slot
- Inflexible: Specific orbits, shared schedule

EM-assist dedicated launch:

- Infrastructure cost: \$500M (amortized over 100,000 launches = \$5,000/launch)
- Energy cost: 50 kWh = \$5
- Rocket stage: Small, simple (only 1,530 kg vs. 2,350 kg)
- **Total: \$50,000-\$200,000 per satellite**
- Launch rate: 50-100 per day possible
- **Cost reduction: 75-90%**

Market: 20,000+ small satellites projected 2025-2035 = \$10-30B opportunity

5.4 Atmospheric Heating Management

At 5,000m elevation:

- Air density: 0.736 kg/m³ (60% sea level)
- Pressure: 54 kPa

Heating at 800 m/s: 942 MW

Solutions:

1. Ablative nose cone (mass penalty: 50-100 kg, acceptable for cargo)
2. Active cooling (complex, for reusable vehicles)
3. Ceramic thermal protection tiles (Space Shuttle heritage)

After EM boost, vehicle climbs to thinner air before rocket ignition at optimal altitude.

5.5 Launch Rate Advantages

Conventional rocket pad:

- Turnaround: Days to weeks
- Annual capacity: 50-100 launches
- Weather delays: Frequent

EM slingshot:

- Turnaround: 10-60 minutes (energy recharge time)

- Annual capacity: 10,000+ launches (small sat variant)
 - Weather: Similar constraints during ascent phase
 - **Enables mega-constellations economically**
-

6. Interplanetary Applications

6.1 Moon-to-Mars Direct Transfer

Lunar mass driver can launch cargo on Mars transfer orbits:

- Exit velocity from Moon: 2.8 km/s (above escape velocity)
- No propellant needed for entire journey
- Transit time: 6-9 months
- **Cost: <\$1,000/kg Moon to Mars**

Compare to Earth-to-Mars: \$50,000-\$200,000/kg

Implication: Build Mars bases with lunar resources, not Earth resources.

6.2 Asteroid Mining Logistics

Small asteroids have negligible gravity. EM catapult can launch:

- Refined platinum-group metals to Earth orbit
- Water to fuel depots
- Raw materials to processing stations

Example: Psyche-16 (metal asteroid)

- Estimated value: \$10 quintillion
- Launch cost with EM system: ~\$100/kg
- **Profit margin: 99.999%**

6.3 Momentum Exchange Networks

Chain of EM systems can pass cargo without propellant:

1. Moon slingshot → L1 station (catches, slows cargo electromagnetically)
2. L1 slingshot → Mars intercept

3. Phobos slingshot → Mars surface (atmospheric entry)

Zero propellant used for entire Earth-Moon-Mars supply chain.

7. Economic Analysis

7.1 Cost Comparison Table

System	Cost per kg	Propellant Needed	Emissions	Launch Rate
Chemical rocket (Earth)	\$2,000-10,000	23 kg/kg payload	1,500 tons CO ₂	1/week
EM-assist + rocket (Earth)	\$500-3,000	15 kg/kg payload	500 tons CO ₂ (renewable power)	50/day
Lunar mass driver	\$1-100	0 kg	0 tons	24/day
Mars mass driver	\$10-500	0 kg	0 tons	10/day

7.2 Break-Even Analysis

Lunar mass driver:

- Capital cost: \$2-5 billion
- Operating cost: \$50,000/launch (energy + maintenance)
- Break-even: 40,000-100,000 launches
- **At 24 launches/day: Break-even in 5-12 years**

Earth EM-assist (small sat):

- Capital cost: \$500M-1B
- Operating cost: \$10,000/launch
- Break-even vs. conventional: Immediate (per-launch basis)
- Infrastructure payback: 10,000-20,000 launches
- **At 100 launches/day: Break-even in 3-6 months**

7.3 Lunar Water Export Economics

Water in LEO is worth ~\$10,000/kg (for use as propellant/life support)

Lunar mass driver cost: \$10/kg

Profit per ton: \$9,990,000

Required demand: 1,000 tons/year for 10 years = sustainable business model

NASA/commercial missions projected need: 10,000+ tons/year by 2040

This is the killer app for lunar mass drivers.

8. Environmental Impact

8.1 Emissions Comparison

10,000 small satellite launches (2025-2035):

Chemical rockets:

- Propellant: 20,000 tons
- CO₂: 60,000 tons
- Black carbon (stratospheric): 100 tons (climate impact)

EM-assist with renewable energy:

- Propellant: 13,000 tons
- CO₂: 18,000 tons (from reduced propellant)
- Black carbon: 50 tons
- **Reduction: 70% CO₂, 50% black carbon**

8.2 Sustainable Space Economy

Lunar/Martian mass drivers enable:

- Zero-emission cargo transport in space
- Reduced Earth launch frequency (get materials from space)
- Space-based solar power components (launched from Moon)
- Closed-loop resource utilization

Long-term: Space industry becomes carbon-neutral or negative.

9. Technical Challenges and Solutions

9.1 Lunar Specific

Challenge	Solution	TRL
Lunar dust abrasion	Magnetic levitation, enclosed track	6
Temperature swings (-173°C to +127°C)	Thermal management systems, heaters	8
Micrometeorites	Redundant sections, shielding	7
Power storage (lunar night)	Battery banks, solar in polar regions	8
Construction materials	ISRU: lunar regolith concrete	5

9.2 Earth Specific

Challenge	Solution	TRL
Sonic boom	High-altitude siting, trajectory planning	8
Weather sensitivity	Launch windows, weather monitoring	9
Thermal protection	Ablative shields, ceramic tiles	9
Abort scenarios	Parachute systems, emergency zones	7
Power delivery	Flywheel/supercapacitor banks	8

10. Development Roadmap

10.1 Phase 1: Earth Demonstration (Years 0-3)

- Build 100m prototype track
- Test cargo pods at 100-500g
- Validate power systems
- Cost: \$50-100M

10.2 Phase 2: Small Satellite Launcher (Years 3-7)

- 2km track at 4,000m elevation
- 25g acceleration
- Target: 100 launches/day capacity
- Cost: \$500M-1B

10.3 Phase 3: Lunar Cargo System (Years 7-12)

- Parallel with lunar base development
- Start with 1.5km high-g track for water/bulk
- Expand to 10km for precision cargo
- Cost: \$2-3B

10.4 Phase 4: Mars System (Years 12-20)

- Deploy during Mars base Phase 2
 - 3-13 km track depending on cargo type
 - Cost: \$3-5B
-

11. Conclusion

Electromagnetic "slingshot" systems transform space transportation economics and sustainability:

Immediate applications (5-10 years):

- Earth small satellite launch: 75-90% cost reduction, 70% emissions reduction
- Enables mega-constellations, frequent launch schedules
- Reduces propellant dependence

Medium-term (10-20 years):

- Lunar mass drivers enable \$10/kg launch vs. \$10,000/kg from Earth
- Water/propellant export from Moon creates sustainable supply chain
- Mars cargo systems support base expansion

Long-term implications:

- Interplanetary cargo networks with zero propellant
- Asteroid mining becomes economically viable
- Space-based economy becomes carbon-neutral
- Reduces Earth launch traffic by sourcing materials in space

Critical success factors:

1. High-g cargo tolerance (50-500g) enables compact, affordable systems
2. Vacuum environments (Moon/asteroids) eliminate atmospheric constraints
3. High launch rates required for economic viability
4. Integration with reusable rocket stages for Earth applications

The path forward prioritizes lunar cargo systems (immediate ROI through water export) and Earth small satellite launchers (proven market demand). These establish infrastructure and operational experience for expansion to Mars and deep space.

Chemical propulsion will remain for crewed Earth launch and precise orbital maneuvers, but electromagnetic systems can handle 70-90% of cargo mass, fundamentally changing space economics.

Electromagnetic slingshots aren't just about getting to orbit—they're about building a sustainable, multi-planetary supply chain.

References

1. O'Neill, G. K. (1974). "The colonization of space." *Physics Today*, 27(9), 32-40.
2. Snow, W. R., & Polsgrove, T. (2011). "Electromagnetic launch assist for space access." *AIAA Space Conference and Exposition*, AIAA 2011-7288.
3. McNab, I. R. (2009). "Launch to space with an electromagnetic railgun." *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, 45(1), 295-304.
4. Scheeres, D. J., et al. (2016). "The geophysical environment of Bennu." *Icarus*, 276, 116-140.
5. Zubrin, R. (1996). *The Case for Mars: The Plan to Settle the Red Planet and Why We Must*. Free Press.
6. Humble, R. W., Henry, G. N., & Larson, W. J. (1995). *Space Propulsion Analysis and Design*. McGraw-Hill.
7. Elvis, M. (2014). "How many ore-bearing asteroids?" *Planetary and Space Science*, 91, 20-26.
8. Timilsina, L., et al. (2020). "Analysis of SpinLaunch kinetic launch system for small satellites." *International Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, 2020, Article ID 8845921.
9. Bombardelli, C., & Peláez, J. (2011). "Ion beam shepherd for contactless space debris removal." *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, 34(3), 916-920.
10. Pelton, J. N., & Allahdadi, F. (Eds.). (2015). *Handbook of Cosmic Hazards and Planetary Defense*. Springer.
11. Crawford, I. A. (2015). "Lunar resources: A review." *Progress in Physical Geography*, 39(2), 137-167.

12. Globus, A., et al. (2007). "NASA lunar transportation analysis." *AIAA Space Conference*, AIAA 2007-6106.
 13. Janhunen, P. (2014). "Electrostatic plasma brake for deorbiting a satellite." *Journal of Propulsion and Power*, 30(2), 370-378.
 14. Ross, S. D. (2006). "The interplanetary transport network." *American Scientist*, 94(3), 230-237.
 15. Landis, G. A. (2007). "Materials refining on the Moon." *Acta Astronautica*, 60(10-11), 906-915.
-

Author: Alexi Choueiri, PhD

Date: December 2025