

$y \in \mathbb{R}^n$   $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$   $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$   $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$   $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$   $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$   $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

Lilian Burdy Mariela Pavlova

August 25, 2005

### Abstract

We propose

proof for its safety w.r.t. to some safety property and the code receiver has just to generate the verification conditions and type check the proof against them. The proof is generated automatically by the certifying compiler for properties like well typedness or safe memory access. As the certifying compiler is designed to be

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews scenarios in which the architecture is appropriate to use; Section 3 presents the bytecode specification language BCSL and the JML compiler; Section 4 discusses the main features of the weakest precondition calculus; Section 5 discusses the relationship between the verification conditions for

**theorem prover**

contain the BCSL specification, resulting from the compilation of the JML specification in the Java source file.

The `produc64ro`

```
public class ListArray {  
    Object[] list;  
    //@requires list != null;  
    //@ensures \result == (\exists int i; 0 <= i && i <
```

instruction). this is different from JML where loop invariants are written at the beginning of the declaration of the loop statement, while the BCSL specification are separated from the bytecode

predicates from first order logic

**Line\_Number\_Table** and **Local\_Variable\_Table** attributes. The presence in the Java class file



nresult = 1

()

9



tion like preconditions, normal and exceptional postconditions, class invariants, assertions at particular program point among which loop invariants (if there is no explicit specification the default one is taken into account: preconditions, postconditions and invariants are taken to be true, exceptional postcondition is by default false) is taken into account. In the rest of the section, we consider these specific features - treating instance fields, method invocations and loops.

The Java bytecode language is stack based, i.e. the instructions take their arguments from the method execution stack and put the result on the stack. In Fig.

#### 4.0.2 Method calls

Method calls are handled by using their specification. A method specification is a contract between callers and callees | the precondition of the called method must be established by the caller at the program point where the method is invoked

$\text{wp}(\text{invoke } m \text{ } \overrightarrow{p} \text{ } \overrightarrow{p}^{exc}) =$

which the JACK source verification condition generator will discard.

Hypothesis on bytecode:	Hypothesis on source level:
$I_v[2]_a - r_{20}$	$i_a -$

[4] L. Burdy and M. Pavlova. From JML to BCSL. Technical6