

source file. At this stage, the Java class files contain all the information that will allow the client to check if the bytecode does not violate his requirements. In particular, the client will generate proof obligations from the untrusted annotated bytecode

internal array `list` contains the object referenced by the argument `obj` in its postcondition(ensures). The method `loop` is also specified by its invariant (`loop_invariant`) which basically says that whenever the loop entry is reached the elements inspected already by the loop are all different from `obj`.

```
public class ListArray {  
    Object[] list;  
    //@requires list != null;  
    //@ensures -Tj 6st ==
```

the instruction at which the loop invariant must hold (the loop entry instruction). this is different from JML where loop invariants are written at the beginning of the declaration of the loop statement, while the BCSL specifications are separated from the bytecode

predicates from first order logic

expressions from the programming language, like `field`

nresult = 1

()

9v

ants, assertions at particular program point among which loop invariants (if there is no explicit specification one is taken into account: preconditions, postconditions and invariants are taken to be true, exceptional postcondition is by default false) is taken into account. In the rest of the section, we consider the `if` and `while` instructions.

The Java bytecode language is stack based, i.e. the instructions take their arguments from the method execution stack and put the result on the stack. In Fig. 5 we show the wp rules for some instructions.

Load i

`incrcj` 32.214 12.632 710 Td (ts) [Tj] 12.632 12.632 Td (he) Tj 19.4073 0 Td (stac) Tj 18.3164 0 Td (k) Tj 19.000 0


```

wp( i nvoke m; ; exc ) =
   $\forall^e(m) \wedge$ 
   $\bigwedge_{j=1..e_j} ($ 
     $\bigvee_{i=0}^{st(c) + i - numArgs(m)} ($ 
       $o_t(m)[v[i]]$ 
       $[nresult \text{ fresh\_var}]$ 
    )
  )
   $[c \text{ } c \text{ } numArgs(m)][st(c) \text{ fresh}]$ 

```

which the JACK source verification condition generator will discard.

Hypothesis on bytecode:	Hypothesis on source level:
lv[2]_at.ins.20	i_at.ins.26

- [4] L. Burdy and M. Pavlova. From JML to BCSL. Technical report, INRIA, Sophia-Antipolis, 2004. draft.
- [5] L. Burdy, A. Requet, and J.-L. Lanet. Ja18.0982co7att(FE) A developer-oriented pproach. In K. Araki, S. Gnesi, and D. Mandrioli, editors, FME er2003Formal Methods: International Symp