07 September, 2005 Lecturer: Radu Rugina

Today's lecture presented a simple imperative language, IMP, and gave the small-step and big-step rules for evaluation. These notes provide the following:

- The IMP language syntax
- A small-step semantics for IMP
- A big-step semantics for IMP
- Some notes on why both can be useful

1 Syntax

There are three types of statements in IMP:

- Arithemetic expressions: AExp
- Boolean expressions: BExp
- Commands: Com

A program in the IMP language is a command, $c \in Com$. Suppose n denotes an integer literal, x denotes a variable name, a_i denotes an arithmetic expression, b_i denotes a boolean expressions, and c_i represents a command. The grammar follows:

1.1 Configurations

Configurations are pairs, $\langle c, \sigma \rangle$, where:

- $c \in Com$ is a command
- σ is a store (also known as a state or environment) $\sigma \in (\sum = Var \to \mathbb{Z})$ where \sum is all possible stores

2 Structural Operational Semantics: Small-Step Semantics

We can evaluate a configuration "one step at a time" until we reach the final configuration, $\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle$:

$$\langle c, \sigma \rangle \to \langle c', \sigma' \rangle$$

 $\langle c, \sigma_0 \rangle \to \langle c_1, \sigma_1 \rangle \to \dots \to \langle c_k, \sigma_k \rangle \to \langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle$

We can represent this more tersly using closure:

$$\langle c, \sigma_0 \rangle \to^* \langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle$$

To be proper, we should define the \rightarrow operator for commands¹, arithmetic expressions, and boolean expressions:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rightarrow & \in & (Com \times \sum) \rightarrow (Com \times \sum) \\ \rightarrow_a & \in & (AExp \times \sum) \rightarrow AExp \\ \rightarrow_b & \in & (BExp, \times \sum) \rightarrow BExp \end{array}$$

We will use \rightarrow as shorthand for all three operations.

2.1 Arithmetic & Boolean Expressions

- Constants: no rule (already fully reduced)
- Variables: $\overline{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \to \sigma(x)}$
- Operations: $\frac{\langle a_0, \sigma \rangle \to a_0'}{\langle a_0 \oplus a_1, \sigma \rangle \to a_0' \oplus a_1} \quad \frac{\langle a_1, \sigma \rangle \to a_1'}{\langle n \oplus a_1, \sigma \rangle \to n \oplus a_1'} \quad \frac{\langle a_0, \sigma \rangle \to a_0'}{\langle n_0 \oplus n_1, \sigma \rangle \to n} \text{ where } n = n_0 \oplus n_1$
- \bullet Corresponding rules of the above form exist for boolean expressions using \odot and \oslash operators

2.2 Commands

- Skip: no rule ($\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle$ is a final configuration)
- Assignments: $\frac{\langle a,\sigma\rangle \to a'}{\langle x:=a,\sigma\rangle \to \langle x:=a',\sigma\rangle} \quad \overline{\langle x:=n,\sigma\rangle \to \langle \mathbf{skip},\sigma[x\mapsto n]\rangle}$
- Sequences: $\frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \to \langle c'_0, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \to \langle c'_0; c_1, \sigma' \rangle} \quad \frac{\langle \mathbf{skip}; c_1, \sigma \rangle \to \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{skop}; c_1, \sigma \rangle \to b'}$

$$\frac{\langle b,\sigma\rangle\to b'}{\langle \mathbf{if}\; \mathrm{b}\; \mathbf{then}\; \mathrm{c}\, \theta\; \mathbf{else}\; c1,\sigma\rangle\to \langle \mathbf{if}\; \mathrm{b'}\; \mathbf{then}\; \mathrm{c}\, \theta\; \mathbf{else}\; c1,\sigma\rangle}$$

- If Statements: $\overline{\langle \text{if true then } c_0 \text{ else } c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle}$ $\overline{\langle \text{if false then } c_0 \text{ else } c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle}$
- While Statements: $\overline{\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c, \sigma \rangle} \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{if}\ b\ \mathbf{then}\ (c; \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c) \mathbf{else}\ \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle$

3 Structural Operational Semantics: Big-Step Semantics

As an alternative to "one step at a time" evaluation, we now consider a step in evaluation to mean the entire transition from an expression and state to a final value. For example:

$$\langle c, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$$
 (steps to the final store of its final configuration) $\langle a, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n$ (steps to its final integer value) $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow t$ (steps to t where $t \in \{\mathbf{true}, \mathbf{false}\}$)

 $^{^1}$ Winskel uses \rightarrow_1 instead of \rightarrow to emphasize that only a single step is performed

The rules for specific commands are as follows.

• Skip:
$$\overline{\langle \mathbf{skip}, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma}$$

• Assignments:
$$\frac{\langle a,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow n}{\langle x:=a,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma[x\mapsto n]}$$

• Sequences:
$$\frac{\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'' \quad \langle c_1, \sigma'' \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$

• If Statements:
$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \mathbf{true} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ c_0 \ \mathbf{else} \ c_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'} \quad \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \mathbf{false} \quad \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ c_0 \ \mathbf{else} \ c_1, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$

• While Statements:
$$\frac{\langle b,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \mathbf{false}}{\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma} \quad \frac{\langle b,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \mathbf{true} \quad \langle c,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'' \quad \langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c,\sigma''\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \mathbf{while}\ b\ \mathbf{do}\ c,\sigma\rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$$

4 Big-Step vs. Small-Step SOS

4.1 Small-Step

- can model more complex features, like programs which run forever and concurrency
- Although "one step at a time" evaluation is useful for proving certain properties, in many cases it is unnecessary extra work.

4.2 Big-Step

- large steps in reasoning make it easier to prove things
- more closely models an actual recursive interpreter
- Because evaluation skips over intermediate steps, all programs without final configurations (infinite loops, errors/stuck configs) look the same.