Contents

Note: Names following chapter titles are the currently-assigned writers; percentages following writer names are very rough estimates of the approximate percentage of completion. Some material factored into the percentages may not yet appear in the generated report because it needs to be brought in from external sources.

	List	of To Do	Items	4		
1	Exec	cutive Su	ummary (Joe K./Susan) (0%)	5		
2	Introduction (Joe K./Susan) (25%)					
	2.1	The E2I	E VIV Project	6		
	2.2	Goals	·	6		
	2.3	People		6		
	2.4	Method	ology	6		
	2.5	Outcom	ie	6		
	2.6	Next St	eps	6		
3	Remote Voting (Philip) (30%)					
•	3.1		le	7		
	5.1		Geographic Dispersion	7		
			Accessibility	7		
			UOCAVA	7		
			Early Voting	7		
			Expectations	7		
	3.2					
	3.3	History				
	0.0		Integration with Local Elections	7		
	3.4		mings of Current Practice	7		
			Use of Communication/Internet	7		
			Accessibility and Usability	7		
			Auditing	7		
4	ESE	WIW Ex	plained (Philip/Daniel/Adam) (25%)	8		
4	E2E VIV Explained (Philip/Daniel/Adam) (25%) 4.1 IV, VIV, E2E					
	4.2			8		
			Pre-Election Phase	8		
			Voting	8		
			Post-Election Phase	8		
	4.3		mings and Expectations of E2EVIV	8		
	1.5		Access to Communication/Internet	8		
			Accessibility	8		
			Usability	8		
			Company	U		

	4.4 4.5	E2E VIV in Practice	8
5	Requ	uired Properties of E2E Systems (Dan) (60%)	9
	5.1	Technical Requirements	9
		5.1.1 Functional	9
		5.1.2 Usability	10
		5.1.3 Accessibility	11
		5.1.4 Security and Authentication	11
			12
		5.1.6 System Operational	13
			13
			14
		5.1.9 Interoperability	14
	5.2		14
			14
		•	14
		5.2.3 Legal	15
			15
		5.2.5 Maintenance	15
			15
6	Cry	oto Specification (Joe K./Dan) (15%)	16
	6.1	Ideal Functionality of an E2E System— \mathcal{F}_{e2e}	16
		C C 023	18
		6.1.2 Security Properties Not Captured by \mathcal{F}_{e2e}	18
7	A nol	nitecture (Joe K./Dan) (15%)	19
,			17
8	Syst	em Specification (Joe K./Dan) (15%)	20
9	Veri	fication and Validation (Joe K./Dan/Adam) (20%)	21
	9.1	Requirements and Scenarios	21
	9.2	Methodology	21
	9.3	Tachnologies	
		E	21
	9.4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21 21
10		Interpreting Results	21
10	Feas	Interpreting Results	21 22
10	Feas 10.1	Interpreting Results	21 22 22
10	Feas 10.1 10.2	Interpreting Results	21 22 22 22
10	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3	Interpreting Results	21 22 22 22 22 22
10	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4	Interpreting Results	21 22 22 22 22 22
10	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5	Interpreting Results ibility (Unassigned) (25%) Threats and Security Risks Availability Usability Legal Frameworks and Politics LEO Considerations	21 22 22 22 22 22 22
10	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5	Interpreting Results ibility (Unassigned) (25%) Threats and Security Risks Availability Usability Legal Frameworks and Politics LEO Considerations Cost	21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
10	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5	Interpreting Results ibility (Unassigned) (25%) Threats and Security Risks Availability Usability Legal Frameworks and Politics LEO Considerations Cost 10.6.1 Design and Development	21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
10	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5	Interpreting Results ibility (Unassigned) (25%) Threats and Security Risks Availability Usability Legal Frameworks and Politics LEO Considerations Cost 10.6.1 Design and Development 10.6.2 Operational	21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
10	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5	Interpreting Results ibility (Unassigned) (25%) Threats and Security Risks Availability Usability Legal Frameworks and Politics LEO Considerations Cost 10.6.1 Design and Development 10.6.2 Operational	21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6	Interpreting Results ibility (Unassigned) (25%) Threats and Security Risks Availability Usability Legal Frameworks and Politics LEO Considerations Cost 10.6.1 Design and Development 10.6.2 Operational 10.6.3 Integration with Local Election Systems and Processes	21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6	Interpreting Results ibility (Unassigned) (25%) Threats and Security Risks Availability Usability Legal Frameworks and Politics LEO Considerations Cost 10.6.1 Design and Development 10.6.2 Operational 10.6.3 Integration with Local Election Systems and Processes clusion (Joe K./Susan) (0%)	21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6	Interpreting Results ibility (Unassigned) (25%) Threats and Security Risks Availability Usability Legal Frameworks and Politics LEO Considerations Cost 10.6.1 Design and Development 10.6.2 Operational 10.6.3 Integration with Local Election Systems and Processes clusion (Joe K./Susan) (0%) Results	21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6	Interpreting Results ibility (Unassigned) (25%) Threats and Security Risks Availability Usability Legal Frameworks and Politics LEO Considerations Cost 10.6.1 Design and Development 10.6.2 Operational 10.6.3 Integration with Local Election Systems and Processes clusion (Joe K./Susan) (0%) Results Recommendation (YES or NO???)	21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 23 23
	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6	Interpreting Results ibility (Unassigned) (25%) Threats and Security Risks Availability Usability Legal Frameworks and Politics LEO Considerations Cost 10.6.1 Design and Development 10.6.2 Operational 10.6.3 Integration with Local Election Systems and Processes clusion (Joe K./Susan) (0%) Results Recommendation (YES or NO???) Next Steps	21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 23 23 23
	Feas 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6	Interpreting Results ibility (Unassigned) (25%) Threats and Security Risks Availability Usability Legal Frameworks and Politics LEO Considerations Cost 10.6.1 Design and Development 10.6.2 Operational 10.6.3 Integration with Local Election Systems and Processes clusion (Joe K./Susan) (0%) Results Recommendation (YES or NO???) Next Steps 11.3.1 Political/Legal Challenges	21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 23 23 23