Exercise 1. Use Church Booleans to complete the functions:

$NOT = \lambda x. x FT$

It is clear that:

- "If TRUE then TRUE else FALSE = TRUE"
- "if FALSE the TRUE else FALSE = FALSE"

But our NOT function must do it vice versa. Therefor we just change the positions of TRUE and FALSE. It will give us the right solution for our NOT function:

- "If TRUE then FALSE else TRUE = FALSE"
- "if FALSE the FALSE else TRUE =TRUE"

$NAND = \lambda x$. λy . x (NOT y) T

For this function we must apply two variables, **T** OR **F**(Like $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x (NOT y)T)TF$).

We know that NAND operation must give us next table which is vice versa for AND:

А	В	A NAND B
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

We know that AND functions Church Boolean representation is λx . λy . xy F. First of all, we must mention that in NAND's output is mainly TRUE. Therefor We will change F to T. But in this way, if we apply FF for xy, we will take T. If apply FT we will also take T. But if we apply TF, then we will take F what is incorrect. We understand that the

3 test with TF is will not work. Therefor we will add NOT operation for y and will have the final function.

$XOR = \lambda x. \lambda y. x (NOT y) y$

For this function we must apply two variables, **T** OR **F**(Like (λx . λy . x(NOT y)y)TF).

We know that XOR operation must give us next table:

А	В	A XOR B
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

We know that OR functions Church Boolean representation is λx . λy . x T y. First of all, we must mention that XOR operations output is very same with OR operations output. IF x will be T then output must be false. Therefor, let us try change T from OR operation to F. Then we will take λx . λy . λ

apply TF, we will have TFF which will give us F which is incorrect. We can make a conclusion that in both situations, if we, instead of F will put NOTy, we will have 0 as output when apply TT. Also, if we apply TF, we will have TTF, which will give us T, which is correct.

Exercise 2. using Churh Numerals:

$Pred = (\lambda n. \ n \ Next-pair(0, 0)) \ \lambda xy. \ x$

There, in this Church Numerals Predecessor operation, Next-pair operation means $(\lambda p. pxy z. z (\lambda p. pxy) \lambda xy. y S(\lambda p. pxy) \lambda xy. y)$. There we see operation which will show us next pair of numbers. Where first of the pair always will be smaller for one than the second number of pair. Because we just do a successor operation for the second number of the previous pair and past it as the second number of the previous pair without successor operation.

Then, in our main predecessor function we see how we give a number n as a how many times this next pair operation must be completed. Then we just take the first number from new pair. For example, if we will give number 5. Then, at the end we will have a pair (4, 5). And first number will be 4. Which is predecessor of 5.

$\underline{Minus} = (\lambda n. \ \lambda m. \ nm \ (n \ P \ m))$

This operation is very simple if predecessor operation is understanded well. We just apply n times predecessor function to m. If n will be greater, then answer will be zero. If n and m will be equal then answer also be zero. And only if n will be less than m, answer of subtraction function will be non-zero. Therefor it will be useful to also mention is zero function which will be done with a function which will apply a one number and will return T or F. is zero = λ a. a FALSE NOT FALSE. If we will give any number instead of 0, we will take "FALSE NOT FALSE" which will return us FALSE. But if we will use 0, then we will take "NOT FALSE", which will give us TRUE. And by using subtraction function in iszero, we can easily identify is number zero or not.

Isequal = $(\lambda n. \lambda m. AND(iszero(n P m) iszero(m P n)))$

This operation will use iszero operation which we mentioned in subtraction operation. Also, we see that we use AND operation which is λx . λy . xy F. By the knowledge from explanation of iszero, we know that for example, if we will have two numbers 4 and 5, and will apply it to iszero (4 P 5) and iszero (5 P 4). We will have FALSE for first and TRUE for second. Because 5 times done predecessor for 4 will give us 0. The reason is that there are no negative numbers applied. So only when we will have the same numbers n and m, we will have TRUE and TRUE. Consequently, if we have 3 cases. Where AND will accept next: 1)"TRUE, TRUE", 2)"TRUE, FALSE" 3)"FALSE, TRUE". Applying 1 case to AND will give us TTF, which is TRUE. 2 case will give us TFT, which is FALSE. Last 3 case will give us FTF, which is FALSE. Therefore, out Isequal operation is correct.

```
≣ isequal.f × ≡ fib.f
M Makefile

    isequal.f

           pair = lambda f. lambda s. lambda b. b f s;
           t = lambda x. lambda y. x;
           f = lambda x. lambda v. v:
           fst = lambda p.pt;
            snd = lambda p. p f;
           c0 = lambda s. lambda z. z;
           c1 = lambda s. lambda z. s z;
c2 = lambda s. lambda z. s (s z);
c3 = lambda s. lambda z. s (s (s z));
c4 = lambda s. lambda z. s (s (s z));
                                                                                                                                                           la n. and (iszro (m prd n)) (iszro (n prd m))
lambda cb. cb true false
           plus = lambda m. lambda n. lambda s. lambda z.m s(n \ s \ z);
            zz = pair c0 c0;
ss = lambda p. pair (snd p) (plus c1 (snd p));
           ss = tambda p. pair (Snd p) (plus cl (Snd p));
prd = lambda m. fst (m ss zz);
and = lambda x. lambda y. x y f;
minus = lambda m. lambda n. n prd m;
iszro = lambda m. m (lambda x. f) t;
isequal = (lambda m. lambda n. and(iszro (m prd n)) (iszro (n church_to_bool = lambda cb. cb true false;
           church to bool (isequal c2 c1);
           church_to_bool (isequal c2 c2);
church_to_bool (isequal c3 c1);
church_to_bool (isequal c3 c3);
```

Exercise 3. Implement function fib(n) that returns n-th Fibonacci number using

```
a) Y-combinator => Y (f. \lambdan. If (n <= 1) (\lambday. (f (n - 1)) + (f (n - 2))) (\lambday. n)) Where Y combinator is \lambdaf. (\lambdax. f (x x)) (\lambdax. f (x x)).
b) Z-combinator => Z (f. \lambdan. If (n <= 1) (\lambday. (f (n - 1)) + (f (n - 2))) (\lambday. n)) Where Z combinator is \lambdaf. (\lambdax. f (\lambday. x x y)) (\lambdax. f (\lambday. x x y)).
```

This two implementations might be seen that very same to each other. While the main point of difference is that Y combinator or in other words call-by-name fixed point combinator is completely useless in call by value setting. Because every time while we will compile Y combinator, it will not give us a value by default. For example: Y foo => foo (Y foo) => foo (foo (Y foo)) and so on. Therefor, there was implemented Z combinator which is also called call-by-value Y combinator. But I will call it like Z combinator. Real languages usually do call by value. So, Z combinator is useful when the first of all must be calculated the value of the given function. And only after value calculating, it will go on.

```
# This is a standar with the property of the p
```