

Compiler

Exercises for chapter 4

4.2.1

Consider the context-free grammar:

$S \rightarrow S S + \mid S S * \mid a$

and the string $aa + a^*$.

1. Give a leftmost derivation for the string.
2. Give a rightmost derivation for the string.
3. Give a parse tree for the string.
4. ! Is the grammar ambiguous or unambiguous? Justify your answer.
5. ! Describe the language generated by this grammar.

Answer

1. $S \xRightarrow{lm} SS^* \Rightarrow SS+S^* \Rightarrow aS+S^* \Rightarrow aa+S^* \Rightarrow aa+a^*$
 2. $S \xRightarrow{rm} SS^* \Rightarrow Sa^* \Rightarrow SS+a^* \Rightarrow Sa+a^* \Rightarrow aa+a^*$ 3.
 3. Unambiguous
 4. The set of all postfix expressions consist of addition and multiplication
-

4.2.2

Repeat Exercise 4 . 2 . 1 for each of the following grammars and strings:

1. $S \rightarrow 0 S 1 \mid 0 1$ with string 000111.
2. $S \rightarrow + S S \mid * S S \mid a$ with string $+ * aaa$.
3. ! $S \rightarrow S (S) S \mid \epsilon$ with string $((()))$
4. ! $S \rightarrow S + S \mid S S \mid (S) \mid S^* \mid a$ with string $(a+a)^*a$
5. ! $S \rightarrow (L) \mid a$ 以及 $L \rightarrow L, S \mid S$ with string $((a,a),a,(a))$
6. !! $S \rightarrow a S b S \mid b S a S \mid \epsilon$ with string aabbab
7. The following grammar for boolean expressions:
8. $bexpr \rightarrow bexpr \text{ or } bterm \mid bterm$
9. $bterm \rightarrow bterm \text{ and } bfactor \mid bfactor$
10. $bfactor \rightarrow \text{not } bfactor \mid (bexpr) \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false}$

Answer

1. $S \xRightarrow{lm} 0S1 \Rightarrow 00S11 \Rightarrow 000111$
2. $S \xRightarrow{rm} 0S1 \Rightarrow 00S11 \Rightarrow 000111$
3. Omit

4. Unambiguous

5. The set of all strings of 0s and followed by an equal number of 1s

2、

1. $S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow SSS \Rightarrow aSS \Rightarrow aaS \Rightarrow aaa$

2. $S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow Sa \Rightarrow SSa \Rightarrow Saa \Rightarrow aaa$

3. Omit

4. Unambiguous

5. The set of all prefix expressions consist of addition and multiplication.

3、

1. $S \Rightarrow S(S)S \Rightarrow (S)S \Rightarrow (S(S)S)S \Rightarrow ((S)S)S \Rightarrow (()S)S \Rightarrow (()S(S)S)S \Rightarrow$
 $((()S)S)S \Rightarrow (()()S)S \Rightarrow (()())S \Rightarrow (()())$

2. $S \Rightarrow S(S)S \Rightarrow S(S) \Rightarrow S(S(S)S) \Rightarrow S(S(S)) \Rightarrow S(S()) \Rightarrow S(S(S)S()) \Rightarrow$
 $S(S(S)()) \Rightarrow S(S()()) \Rightarrow S(()()) \Rightarrow (()())$

3. Omit

4. Ambiguous

5. The set of all strings of symmetrical parentheses

4、

1. $S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow S^*S \Rightarrow (S)^*S \Rightarrow (S+S)^*S \Rightarrow (a+S)^*S \Rightarrow (a+a)^*S \Rightarrow (a+a)^*a$

2. $S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow Sa \Rightarrow S^*a \Rightarrow (S)^*a \Rightarrow (S+S)^*a \Rightarrow (S+a)^*a \Rightarrow (a+a)^*a$

3. Omit

4. Ambiguous

5. The set of all string of plus, mupplication, 'a' and symmetrical parentheses, and plus is not the beginning and end of the position, multiplication is not the beginning of the position

5、

1. $S \Rightarrow (L) \Rightarrow (L, S) \Rightarrow (L, S, S) \Rightarrow ((S), S, S) \Rightarrow ((L), S, S) \Rightarrow ((L, S), S, S) \Rightarrow$
 $((S, S), S, S) \Rightarrow ((a, S), S, S) \Rightarrow ((a, a), S, S) \Rightarrow ((a, a), a, S) \Rightarrow ((a, a), a, (L))$
 $\Rightarrow ((a, a), a, (S)) \Rightarrow ((a, a), a, (a))$

2. $S \Rightarrow (L) \Rightarrow (L, S) \Rightarrow (L, (L)) \Rightarrow (L, (a)) \Rightarrow (L, S, (a)) \Rightarrow (L, a, (a)) \Rightarrow (S, a, (a)) \Rightarrow ((L), a, (a)) \Rightarrow ((L, S), a, (a)) \Rightarrow ((S, S), a, (a)) \Rightarrow ((S, a), a, (a)) \Rightarrow ((a, a), a, (a))$

3. Omit

4. Unambiguous

5. Something like tuple in Python

6、

1. $S \Rightarrow aSbS \Rightarrow aaSbSbS \Rightarrow aabSbS \Rightarrow aabbS \Rightarrow aabbaSbS \Rightarrow aabbabS \Rightarrow aabbab$

2. $S \Rightarrow aSbS \Rightarrow aSbaSbS \Rightarrow aSbaSb \Rightarrow aSbab \Rightarrow aaSbSbab \Rightarrow aaSbbab \Rightarrow aabbab$

3. Omit

4. Ambiguous

5. The set of all strings of 'a's and 'b's of the equal number of 'a's and 'b's

7、 Unambiguous, boolean expression

4.2.3

Design grammars for the following languages:

1. The set of all strings of 0s and 1s such that every 0 is immediately followed by at least one 1.

2. ! The set of all strings of 0s and 1s that are palindromes; that is, the string reads the same backward as forward.

3. ! The set of all strings of 0s and 1s with an equal number of 0s and 1s.

4. !! The set of all strings of 0s and 1s with an unequal number of 0s and 1s.

5. ! The set of all strings of 0s and 1s in which 011 does not appear as a substring.

6. !! The set of all strings of 0s and 1s of the form xy , where $x \neq y$ and x and y are of the same length.

Answer

1、

$S \rightarrow (0^?1)^*$

2、

$S \rightarrow 0S0 \mid 1S1 \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid \epsilon$

3、

$S \rightarrow 0S1S \mid 1S0S \mid \epsilon$

5、

$S \rightarrow 1^*(0+1^?)*$

4.2.4

There is an extended grammar notation in common use. In this notation, square and curly braces in production bodies are metasymbols (like \rightarrow or \mid) with the following meanings:

1. Square braces around a grammar symbol or symbols denotes that these constructs are optional. Thus, production $A \rightarrow X[Y]Z$ has the same effect as the two productions $A \rightarrow XYZ$ and $A \rightarrow XZ$.
2. Curly braces around a grammar symbol or symbols says that these symbols may be repeated any number of times, including zero times. Thus, $A \rightarrow X\{YZ\}$ has the same effect as the infinite sequence of productions $A \rightarrow X$, $A \rightarrow XYZ$, $A \rightarrow XYZYZ$, and so on.

Show that these two extensions do not add power to grammars; that is, any language that can be generated by a grammar with these extensions can be generated by a grammar without the extensions.

Proof

$A \rightarrow X[Y]Z$	$A \rightarrow XZ \mid XYZ$
$A \rightarrow X\{YZ\}$	$A \rightarrow XB$ $B \rightarrow YZB \mid \epsilon$

4.2.5

Use the braces described in Exercise 4.2.4 to simplify the following grammar for statement blocks and conditional statements:

$\text{stmt} \rightarrow \text{if expr then stmt else stmt}$
 $\mid \text{if stmt then stmt}$

```
| begin stmtList end  
stmtList -> stmt; stmtList | stmt
```

Answer

```
stmt -> if expr then stmt [else stmt]  
| begin stmtList end  
stmtList -> stmt [; stmtList]
```

4.2.6

Extend the idea of Exercise 4.2.4 to allow any regular expression of grammar symbols in the body of a production. Show that this extension does not allow grammars to define any new languages.

Proof

Every regular grammar has a corresponding not extended grammar

4.2.7 !

A grammar symbol X (terminal or nonterminal) is useless if there is no derivation of the form $S \Rightarrow^* wXy \Rightarrow^* wxy$. That is, X can never appear in the derivation of any sentence.

1. Give an algorithm to eliminate from a grammar all productions containing useless symbols.

2. Apply your algorithm to the grammar:

3. $S \rightarrow \emptyset \mid A$
 4. $A \rightarrow AB$
 5. $B \rightarrow 1$
 6. `
-

4.2.8

The grammar in Fig. 4.7 generates declarations for a single numerical identifier; these declarations involve four different, independent properties of numbers.

```
stmt -> declare id optionList
optionList -> optionList option | ε
option -> mode | scale | precision | base
mode -> real | complex
scale -> fixed | floating
precision -> single | double
base -> binary | decimal
```

1. Generalize the grammar of Fig. 4.7 by allowing n options A_i , for some fixed n and for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where A_i can be either a_i or b_i . Your grammar should use only $O(n)$ grammar symbols and have a total length of productions that is $O(n)$.

2. ! The grammar of Fig. 4.7 and its generalization in part (a) allow declarations that are contradictory and/or redundant, such as

```
declare foo real fixed real floating
```

We could insist that the syntax of the language forbid such declarations; that is, every declaration generated by the grammar has exactly one value for each of the n options. If we do, then for any fixed n there is only a finite number of legal declarations. The language of legal declarations thus has a grammar (and also a regular expression), as any finite language does. The obvious grammar, in which the start symbol has a production for every legal declaration has $n!$ productions and a total production length of $O(n \times n!)$. You must do better: a total production length that is $O(n^{2^n})$

3. !! Show that any grammar for part (b) must have a total production length of at least 2^n .

4. What does part (c) say about the feasibility of enforcing nonredundancy and noncontradiction among options in declarations via the syntax of the programming language?

Answer

1、

```
stmt -> declare id optionList
optionList -> optionList option | ε
```

$\text{option} \rightarrow A_1 \mid A_2 \mid \dots \mid A_n$

$A_1 \rightarrow a_1 \mid b_1$

$A_2 \rightarrow a_2 \mid b_2$

...

$A_n \rightarrow a_n \mid b_n$