

## Lecture 2. Preliminary

The infinite horizon optimal growth problem is:

$$\max_{\{c_t, k_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$$

subject to  $c_t + k_{t+1} \leq f(k_t)$ ,

$$c_t, k_{t+1} \geq 0, t=0, 1, \dots$$

$k_0$ , given.

The functional equation is:

$$V(k) = \max_{c, k'} (u(c) + \beta V(k'))$$

subject to

$$c + k' \leq f(k)$$

$$c, k' \geq 0.$$

我们将会说明, 以上两个问题是等价的.

## Lecture 2. Recursive Analysis.

2-①

- Euler equation and the transversality condition for the infinite horizon problem:

$$\begin{cases} U'(f(k_t) - k_{t+1}) = \beta U'(f(k_{t+1}) - k_{t+2}) f'(k_{t+1}), t=0, \dots \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t U'(f(k_t) - k_{t+1}) \cdot f'(k_t) \cdot k_t = 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $U(c) = \log c$ ,  $f(k) = AK^{\alpha}$

the above equations can be:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{AK_t^{\alpha} - k_{t+1}} = \frac{2\beta AK_{t+1}^{\alpha-1}}{AK_{t+1}^{\alpha} - k_{t+2}}, t=0, \dots \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^t \frac{1}{AK_t^{\alpha} - k_{t+1}} \cdot 2AK_t^{\alpha-1} \cdot k_t = 0 \end{cases}$$

- we have guessed a solution  $k_{t+1} = 2\beta AK_t^{\alpha}$  and verified it satisfied the above conditions
- Other methods that can solve this infinite horizon problem?  
Yes.. (i) Solve the difference equation system. (Euler)  
(ii) dynamic programming.
- Recall the property of our solution:  $k_{t+1} = 2\beta AK_t^{\alpha}$   
~~the~~ the savings decision between  $t$  and  $t+1$  is decided at  $t$ , not at 0 or any other period.
- Definition: a problem is stationary whenever the structure of the choice problem that a decision maker faces is identical at every point in time.

Go back to our example.

a consumer placed at the beginning of time choosing his infinite future consumption stream given an initial capital stock  $k_0$ . As a result, we solved for a sequence of real numbers  $\{k_{t+1}^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty} = \{k_0, k_1^*, k_2^*, \dots, k_T^*, k_{T+1}^*, k_{T+2}^*, \dots\}$

if now, we consider at time  $t=T$ , he will find himself ~~was~~ with a capital stock  $k_T^*$ . If at that moment, we let the consumer to forget about his initial plan and ask him to decide on his consumption stream again, using  $k_T^*$  as the new initial level of capital, that is  $k_0 = k_T^*$ , what sequence of capital would ~~be~~ he choose?

If the problem is stationary then for any two periods  $t \neq s$ ,  $k_t = k_s$  implies  $k_{t+j} = k_{s+j}$  for all  $j > 0$ .

That is, he would not change his mind if he could decide all over again.

$\Rightarrow$  we can think of a function that, for every period  $t$ , assigns to each possible initial level of capital  $k_t$ .

~~a~~ an optimal level for next period's capital:  $k_{t+1} = g(k_t)$ .

stationary means that the function  $g(\cdot)$  has no other argument than current capital. In particular, the function does not vary with time. We call  $g(\cdot)$  as the policy function.

例: finite horizon problem is not stationary.



• with an infinite time horizon, the remaining horizon is the same at each point in time. The only matter is the current capital stock,  $k_t$ , which is the only state variable. 2-②

• A more formal way:

$$\text{idea: } \max_{x,y} f(x,y) = \max_y \{ \max_x f(x,y) \}$$

• If we do this over time, the idea would be to maximize over  $\{k_{s+1}\}_{s=t}^{\infty}$  first by choice of  $\{k_{s+1}\}_{s=t+1}^{\infty}$  conditional on  $k_{t+1}$ , and then to choose  $k_{t+1}$ .

• denote  $V(k_t)$  as the value of the optimal program from period  $t$  for an initial condition  $k_t$ :

$$V(k_t) \equiv \max_{\{k_{s+1}\}_{s=t}^{\infty}} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} F(k_s, k_{s+1}), \text{ s.t. } k_{s+1} \in P(k_s), \forall s \geq t,$$

where  $P(k_t)$  represents the feasible choice set for  $k_{t+1}$  given  $k_t$ .

Remember:  $F(k_s, k_{s+1})$  could be think of  $U(\text{consumption}) = f(k_s) - k_{s+1}$ .

That is,  $V(\cdot)$  is an indirect utility function.

• Using the maximization-by-steps idea, we can write:

$$V(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1} \in P(k_t)} \{ F(k_t, k_{t+1}) + \max_{\{k_{s+1}\}_{s=t+1}^{\infty}} \sum_{s=t+1}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} F(k_s, k_{s+1}) \text{ (s.t. } k_{s+1} \in P(k_s), \forall s \geq t+1) \}$$

rewrite:

$$\Rightarrow V(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1} \in P(k_t)} \{ F(k_t, k_{t+1}) + \beta \max_{\{k_{s+1}\}_{s=t+1}^{\infty}} \sum_{s=t+1}^{\infty} \beta^{s-(t+1)} F(k_s, k_{s+1}) \text{ (s.t. } k_{s+1} \in P(k_s), \forall s \geq t+1) \}$$

$$= \max_{k_{t+1} \in P(k_t)} \{ F(k_t, k_{t+1}) + \beta V(k_{t+1}) \}$$

So, we have

$$V(k_t) = \max_{k_{t+1} \in P(k_t)} \{F(k_t, k_{t+1}) + \beta V(k_{t+1})\}$$

This is the dynamic programming / recursive formulation.

• two variables,  $k_t$  current capital

$k_{t+1}$  next period capital.

• In other words, we find a  $V$  that, using  $k$  to denote current capital and  $k'$  next period's capital, then.

$$V(k) = \max_{k' \in P(k)} \{F(k, k') + \beta V(k')\} \rightarrow \text{Bellman equation, 贝尔曼方程}$$

and  $\cancel{g} k^* = g(k) = \arg \max_{k' \in P(k)} \{F(k, k') + \beta V(k')\}$

the Bellman equation is a functional equation: the unknown is a function.

• Fact: dynamic programming equation  $\Leftrightarrow$  the sequential problem.  
(infinite horizon problem)

• Note: since the maximization that needs to be done is finite-dimensional, ordinary Kuhn-Tucker methods can be used, without reference to extra conditions.

Facts: Contraction mapping Theorem (3.2 of ~~Lucas~~ Stokey and Lucas (1989)) 2-③

-  $F$  is continuously differentiable in its two arguments, it is strictly increasing in its first argument and decreasing in the second, strictly concave and bounded.

-  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .

-  $T$  is a nonempty, compact-valued, monotone and continuous correspondence with a convex graph.

Then,

1. There exists a function  $V(\cdot)$  that solves the Bellman equation.

This solution is unique.

2.  $V$  is strictly concave

3.  $V$  is strictly increasing.

4.  $V$  is differentiable.

5. It is possible to find  $\underline{V(\cdot)}$  by the following iterative process

i. Pick any initial  $V_0$  function, for example  $V_0(k) = 0, \forall k$

ii. find  $V_1(k) = \max_{k'} \{F(k, k') + \beta V_0(k')\}$

then

$$V_2(k) = \max_{k'} \{F(k, k') + \beta V_1(k')\}$$

$\vdots$

$$V_{n+1}(k) = \max_{k'} \{F(k, k') + \beta V_n(k')\}$$

$\vdots$

we get a sequence of functions  $\{V_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  which converges to  $V$ .

6. optimal behavior can be characterized by a function  $g$ , with  $k' = g(k)$ , that is increasing so long as  $F_2$  is increasing in  $k$ .



example 2.1: solving a parametrized dynamic ~~problem~~ programming problem.

$$u(c) = \log c, \quad f(k) = Ak^2$$

that is, 
$$V(k) = \max_{c, k'} \{ \log c + \beta V(k') \}$$
$$\text{s.t. } c = Ak^2 - k'$$

将上述问题重述为:

$$V(k) = \max_{k' \geq 0} \{ \log(Ak^2 - k') + \beta V(k') \}$$

我们用递归方法解上述问题: (value function iteration).

首先, 猜测一个解:  $V_0(k) = 0$ .

$$V_1(k) = \max_{k' \geq 0} \{ \log(Ak^2 - k') + \beta V_0(k') \}$$

$$= \max_{k' \geq 0} \{ \log(Ak^2 - k') + \beta \cdot 0 \}$$

$$= \max_{k' \geq 0} \{ \log(Ak^2 - k') \}$$

$k' = 0$  取得最大值, 所以,

$$V_1(k) = \log A + 2 \log k$$

第二步: 
$$V_2(k) = \max_{k' \geq 0} \{ \log(Ak^2 - k') + \beta V_1(k') \}$$

$$= \max_{k' \geq 0} \{ \log(Ak^2 - k') + \beta (\log A + 2 \log k') \}$$

一阶条件: 
$$\frac{1}{Ak^2 - k'} = \frac{\beta 2}{k'} \Rightarrow k' = \frac{2\beta Ak^2}{1 + 2\beta}$$

$$\Rightarrow V_2(k) = \log\left(Ak^2 - \frac{2\beta Ak^2}{1 + 2\beta}\right) + \beta \left[ \log A + 2 \log\left(\frac{2\beta Ak^2}{1 + 2\beta}\right) \right]$$

$$V_2(k) = (2 + 2^2\beta) \log k + \log\left(A - \frac{2\beta A}{1 + 2\beta}\right) + \beta \log A + 2\beta \log\left(\frac{2\beta A}{1 + 2\beta}\right)$$

- 我们可以进一步解出  $V_3(k)$ , 也可以用以下一种更直接的方法: ④

$$\text{记 } a_2 \equiv \log\left(A - \frac{2\beta A}{1+2\beta}\right) + \beta \log A + 2\beta \log \frac{2\beta A}{1+2\beta}$$

$$b_2 \equiv (2 + 2^2\beta).$$

$$\text{Then, } V_2(k) = a_2 + b_2 \log(k),$$

$$\text{因此一下, } V_1(k) = \underbrace{\log A}_{a_1} + \underbrace{2 \log(k)}_{b_1}.$$

$$\text{and } V_2(k) = a_2 + b_2 \log(k).$$

$$V_n(k) = a_n + b_n \log(k) \text{ for all } n.$$

因此, 我们可以 ~~猜测~~ 最终的解有如下形式:

$$V(k) = a + b \log(k)$$

当解出  $a, b$ , 即解出了  $V(\cdot)$ .

$$V(k) = a + b \log(k) = \max_{k' \geq 0} \{ \log(Ak^\alpha - k') + \beta(a + b \log k') \}, \forall k$$

$$\text{一阶条件: } \frac{1}{Ak^\alpha - k'} = \frac{\beta b}{k'} \Rightarrow k' = \frac{\beta b}{1 + \beta b} Ak^\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow V(k) = \log\left(Ak^\alpha - \frac{\beta b}{1 + \beta b} Ak^\alpha\right) + \beta \left[ a + b \log\left(\frac{\beta b}{1 + \beta b} Ak^\alpha\right) \right]$$

$$= (1 + \beta b) \log A + \log\left(\frac{1}{1 + \beta b}\right) + \alpha \beta + b \beta \log\left(\frac{\beta b}{1 + \beta b}\right) + (2 + 2\beta b) \log k$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a = (1 + \beta b) \log A + \log\left(\frac{1}{1 + \beta b}\right) + \alpha \beta + b \beta \log\left(\frac{\beta b}{1 + \beta b}\right) \\ b = 2 + 2\beta b \end{cases}$$



$$\Rightarrow b = \frac{2}{1-2\beta}$$

$$\text{and } a = \frac{1}{1-\beta} [(1+b\beta) \log A + b\beta \log(b\beta) - (1+b\beta) \log(1+b\beta)]$$

$$\Rightarrow a = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{1-2\beta} [\log A + (1-2\beta) \log(1-2\beta) + 2\beta \log(2\beta)]$$

$$\text{回到 } k' = \frac{b\beta}{1+b\beta} A k^2$$

我们得到  $k' = 2\beta A k^2$  与上一节课结果相同。

~~Example 5.2~~

• The Functional Euler equation.

With the recursive strategy, an Euler equation can be derived as well.

利用 policy function  $k' = g(k)$ .

$$V(k) = F(k, g(k)) + \beta V[g(k)]$$

$g(k)$  满足一阶条件:

$$F_2(k, k') + \beta V'(k') = 0$$

$$\text{即. } F_2(k, g(k)) + \beta V'[g(k)] = 0$$

$V(\cdot)$  未知, 但可以得出  $V'(\cdot)$ .

$$V'(k) = F_1(k, g(k)) + \underbrace{g'(k) \{F_2(k, g(k)) + \beta V'[g(k)]\}}_{=0}$$

indirect effect through optimal  $k'$

$$\Rightarrow V'(k) = F_1(k, g(k))$$

我们知道,  $V'(g(k)) = F_1[g(k), g(g(k))]$  也成立.

因此, 一阶条件  $F_2(k, g(k)) + \beta V'[g(k)] = 0$

可以表述为:  $F_2(k, g(k)) + \beta F_1[g(k), g(g(k))] = 0, \forall k$ .

现在, 未知的变为  $g(\cdot)$ .

That is, under the recursive formulation, the Euler equation turned into a functional equation.

上述步骤给出了第三种解无穷期问题的方法:

(i) 求出 Euler equation.

(ii) solve it for the function  $g(\cdot)$ .

Example 2.2.

$$F(k, k') = u(f(k) - g(k)), \quad u(c) = \log(c), \quad f(k) = AK^{\alpha}$$

Euler equation:

$$F_2(k, g(k)) + \beta F_1[g(k), g(g(k))] = 0, \quad \forall k$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{AK^{\alpha} - g(k)} = \frac{\beta \alpha A (g(k))^{\alpha-1}}{A(g(k))^{\alpha} - g(g(k))}, \quad \forall k.$$

上述即  $g(k)$  的一个 functional equation.

Guess:  $g(k) = sAK^{\alpha}$ , i.e. the savings are a constant fraction of output.  
(图12 Solow model)

代入, 可解出  $s = \alpha\beta$ .