

# Privacy Protection in Generative Model

Haihan Gao<sup>1</sup>, Xiaolu Chen<sup>2</sup>, Li Zhang<sup>3</sup>

University of Science and Technology of China

2022.10.26

---

<sup>1</sup>SA22011017

<sup>2</sup>SA22221005

<sup>3</sup>SA22221064

# Outline



# Data for machine learning

## ■ Age of big data

machine learning becoming a hit  
require a large amount of data from real world

## ■ Privacy data

like human face and fingerprint...  
sensitive and individual but of high practical value  
**a tradeoff between data diversity and data utilization**



# Generative model for privacy protection

## ■ Generative model

adding noise to real data without exposing the original figure  
little significance if only copy input to output

## ■ Related work

### **DP-SGD[?]**

effective in generating high-dimensional sanitized data  
difficult implement

### **PATE**

only train generators with DP guarantees  
high privacy costs

### **Fed-Avg GAN**

provide user-level DP guarantees merely works on  
decentralized data



# Introduction of GAN

## ■ Generator

create fake data from random sample

sample  $Z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$

$$\tilde{Z} = G(Z)$$

## ■ Discriminator

discriminate between fake and real data

$$D(X, G(Z)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if input} \in G(Z) \\ 1, & \text{if input} \in X \end{cases}$$

## ■ Task of two part

**Generator**  $G(Z)$  closer to  $X$ , the better

**Discriminator** distinguish  $G(Z)$  and  $X$  more, the better

$$\min_G \max_D V(G, D)$$



# Problem of generative model

- Most privacy-preserving training algorithms for neural network models is **manipulating** the gradient information generated during backpropagation.
- **Methods**
  - Clipping the gradients** (to bound sensitivity)
  - Adding calibrated random noise** (to introduce stochasticity)
- **Problem:** limited in shallow networks and fail to sufficiently capture the sample quality of the original data.



## ■ Neighboring dataset

$D, D'$ : differed with only a piece of data

## ■ Differential Privacy

$$\Pr[M(D) \in O] \leq e^\epsilon \Pr[M(D') \in O] + \delta$$

$M$ : a random algorithm

$O$ : output set

$\epsilon$ : privacy budget

smaller  $\epsilon$ ,  $M(D)$  and  $M(D')$  closer

$\delta$ : disturbance



# Review DP

Suppose  $\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X$ ,  $X$  is called mapping Space and  $\mathcal{M}$  is called map from origin space into mapping space. For two dataset  $x$  and  $x'$ , they only has one-item difference. We call  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -privacy if following statement is true

$$Pr[\mathcal{M}(x) \in S] \leq e^\epsilon Pr[\mathcal{M}(x') \in S] + \delta \quad (1)$$

What is  $\mathcal{M}$ , input space and mapping space in this scenario?





# Review DP

According to the definition of [?],  $\mathcal{M}$  is the generative model. Its input space is consisted with training data and its mapping space is consisted with its output. Take Conditional-VAE for an example. Suppose training data takes with following formular

$$(X, y) = (x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n \quad (2)$$

it is consisted with  $n$  data samples and  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, y_i \in \mathbb{R}, x_i$  is the data (such as an image) and  $y_i$  is the label of the image.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a model which generate new data from existing data and label.



# Privacy define

Possibility is hard to quantify for NN model. So we take several ways to verify our model satisfy  $(\epsilon, \delta)$  privacy



# From attackers

One of the goal of the attacker is to varify whether a data is from or not from the dataset.If the privacy dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  is revealed.We can build the attacker from  $\mathcal{D}$

- sample k data  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  and sample k noise from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  but  $\notin \mathcal{D}$ . Denoted as  $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  and  $Y = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$
- $X$  is true data,we attack label *True* to all its data and  $Y$  is fake data which randomly sample from  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,so we attach *False* label into  $Y$ ,mixture  $X, Y$  and its labels  $T$  together
- build a classifier  $\mathcal{C}$ , which takes true/fake image as input and output the Possibility of whether the input pattern is belonged to True/Generated Data.Train the model with supervised learning method

In this term,privacy true image  $\mathcal{X}$  is not provided for training of  $\mathcal{C}$ .We provided generative training data  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$  which is generated from privacy dataset  $\mathcal{D}$ .



## For attackers

suppose  $P$  is the Possibility attacker could correctly varify the real data through generative data. We define privacy loss

$$\text{privacy loss} = \frac{P - 0.5}{0.5} \quad (3)$$



# Utility experiment

use generative data for image classifier task. We train the downstream image classifier model with Training data  $T_{train}$  and validate the training accuracy with testing data  $T_{test}$ . There are four condition for utility measurement.

accuracy test data	train data	
	privacy data	generative data
privacy data	$p_1$	$p_2$
generative data	$p_3$	$p_4$

We call  $p_1 - p_2$  accuracy loss from the generative process



# Work schedule

- Haihan Gao: Algorithm design and implementation of main model and add privacy into whole model
- Xiaolu Chen: Research of previous work and implementation of Attackers
- Li Zhang: Report writing, research of privacy attack and implementation of utility network



*Thank you!*

