COMP261 Lecture 17

Marcus Frean

String Search 1 of 2





"Given a string S and a text T, look for an occurrence of S as a substring of T"

- Which one? (first, all...)
- What do I do when I find it?

• If found, return index of first character of S in T; otherwise return -1 (or some other index outside of T).

What would you expect the cost to be?

• Find the string "vtewfvtxqwfczsrdzcaj" in this text:

qwerxcvvtewfzxcfasfedrsadfsdacfasdrtvtewqwertcsvte wfvtxqwfczsrdzfeceeaeszxcvtsafsersdxzcvtedfaev\$adv tewfvtxqwfczsvzxgvtasfvtcasrfvtewqtrwtravtewfxtrac wrtrdtgfdvxvvsbdgfstqtretydfxvzccadawqeewtertgfvbd vczfafsvtewfvtxqwfczsqfsdfdxvzvzvtvsdqfsqtfwt6fqwt qwrcfxtvtewfwtqwfzvwqgtfvtqfwcxetwfazreqresdqxrdqc fwqdxvqfewcvtwefxvtrfczrqesxqecaqrfzvtqwxvbwyegcbe bcwtfexvtfwxcrqxeqdcqzrwdfvtwxefvctyvtewfwefxqtfxc qcdzrqxesrzqxrqcwqtfxtewfcvwerygcvewytxvqewtcxzdcd qwfxvtewfvtxqwfczsrdzcajwfcsxtqwefdvetwqfvxdtqfwvq

String search - some variations

- Just check whether it's there, returning Boolean.
- Find first/last/any occurrence of S in T.
- Find all occurrences of S in T.
 - What if occurrences overlap?
- Find occurrence(s) as a whole word/anywhere?
- Find occurrences within lines/allow occurrences to extend across line breaks?
- Assume random data? English text? Other data?

- In Java, we can do this by using:
 - T.indexOf(S);
 - T.lastIndexOf(S);
 - T.contains(S);

• But we'd like to know if these are good choices – or if we can do better.

• Let's start with a simple algorithm, and see how we can improve upon it.

Brute force approach

- string: S = ananaba
- text: T = bannabanabananaban
- Look for S, starting at T[0]: ananaba
 bannabanabananaban
- Look for S, starting at T[1]: ananaba
 bannabanabananaban
- Look for S, starting at T[2]: ananaba bannabanabanaban
- Etc. till found, or none left.

Brute force algorithm

• Basic idea:

```
Look for S in T, starting at positions T[0], T[1], ....
```

• What is last position in T we need to consider?

```
for k ← 0 to T.length() - S.length()
  if T.substring(k, S.length()).equals(S) then return k
return -1
```

What is the cost?

Brute force algorithm

```
Can we improve? for k ← 0 to T.length() - S.length()
   if T.substring(k, S.length()).equals(S) then return k
   return -1
```

- First, some very simple "improvements":
 - Don't call length methods in the loop.
 Avoid cost of method call (compiler may inline it).

Don't call substring method in the loop.
 Don't need to copy the substring to a new string to compare with S.

Brute force algorithm

```
Assigning m \leftarrow S.length() and n \leftarrow T.length() first:
```

```
• for k ← 0 to n-m
found ← true
for i ← 0 to m-1
    if S[i] != T[k+i] then found ← false,
        break
    if found then return k
    return -1
```

Okay what is the cost?

Brute force algorithm: cost

What is best/worst/expected cost?

• What if text is random? English?

- What <u>case</u> gives best/worst cost (for any m and n)?
 - How many positions in T need to be considered?
 - How many characters need to be considered at each position?

Brute force algorithm: best cost

- Suppose **50** doesn't occur in T.
 - so will be compared to to, t1, ...
 - So cost will be?

- Suppose S is a prefix of T.
 - Will compare so with to, s1 with t1, ...
 - So cost is?

Brute force algorithm: worst cost

What case will force the algorithm to do the most comparisons?

- *Hint 1*: Want S not in T, so it tries the maximum number of positions.
- Hint 2: At each position, want algorithm to do the most possible comparisons before failing.

 $\rightarrow \rightarrow$ Fail on the last character in S!

What inputs would do this?

what about

S = aaaaab

T = aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa

What is the cost?

Would this ever happen with English text?

What sort of data then?

String search: can we do better?

• ideally, we'd have an algorithm that never needs to re-trace its steps in the long string. Can we check each letter just once?

- having got to a fail point, where should we check next?
- jump ahead, and re-start at the fail point?
- this could speed up search a lot!
- is it "safe"?

String search: can we do better?

fail

- - having got to a fail point, where should we check next?
 - jump ahead, and re-start at... where?
- - what about now?
 - unsafe to jump straight to the fail point
- Key idea of KMP algorithm: Use characters in partial match to determine where to start next match attempt.

String search: Example

T = abc_abcdab_abcdabdeS = abcdabd

T = abc_abcdab_abcdabdeS = abcdabd

• T = abc_abcdab_abcdabde S = abcdabd

T = abc_abcdab_abcdabdeS = abcdab

continued next slide

String search: Example

T = abc_abcdab_abcdabdeS = abcdab

T = abc_abcdab_abcdabdeS = abcdabd

• T = abc_abcdab_abcdabcdabde S = abcdabd

• T = abc_abcdab_abcdabde S = abcdabd

Knuth-Morris-Pratt (KMP) algorithm

• The "Knuth" here is Donald Knuth – https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Donald_Knuth

After a mismatch, advance to the earliest place where search string could possibly match.

never has to re-check a character

How far can we advance safely?

- Use a table based on the search string.
- Let M[0..m-1] be a table showing how far to back up the search if a prefix of S has been matched.

- Simple search
 - Slide the window by 1

•
$$t = t+1;$$

- KMP
 - Slide the window faster

```
\bullet t = t + s - M[s]
```

abcdmndsjhhhsjgrjgslagf a**bbdd€**∰g

ananfdfjoijtoiinkjjkjgghfj
anangbgba

- Never re-check the matched characters
 - If there a "suffix ==prefix"?
 - No, skip these characters

$$M[s] = 0$$

- Yes, reuse, no need to recheck these characters
 - » M[s] is the length of the "reusable" suffix

Knuth Morris Pratt

```
string S[0 ... m-1], text T[0 ... n-1], partial match table M[0 ... m-1]
output: the position in T at which S is found, or -1 if not present
variables: k \leftarrow 0
                            start of current match in T
            i \leftarrow 0 position of current character in S
  while k + i < n
    if S[i] = T[k+i] then // match
         i \leftarrow i + 1
         if i = m then return k // found S
                                 // mismatch, no self overlap
    else if M[i] = -1 then
         k \leftarrow k + i + 1, i \leftarrow 0
                                          // mismatch, with self overlap
    else
                                         // match position jumps forward
         k \leftarrow k + i - M[i]
         i \leftarrow M[i]
```

return -1 // failed to find S