Paperpal Plagiarism Check Standard

Overview

Similarity range

Similarity detected in your file!

10% - 16%

Sources for similarity

1	discovery.ucl.ac.uk INTERNET	3%
2	www.mdpi.com INTERNET	2%
3	discovery.ucl.ac.uk INTERNET	2%
	mdpi-res.com	2%

1 Additional sources identified

We recommend addressing the **10% - 16%** similarities in your report to avoid rejection

Uploaded Documents

FInal Paper Review -1 DSC.pdf

Unlock the detailed report and fix similarities for a submission-ready document.

Upgrade to Prime at just ₹349/mo

Get plagiarism checks worth 10,000 words/month.

View all Prime Benefits

Advancement of Effective Circular Economy Practices in Smart Cities

Garimella Pavan Teja
School of Computer Science and Engineering
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore 63214, Tamil Nadu, India

Tia Celin Martin
School of Computer Science and Engineering
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore 63214, Tamil Nadu, India

Sri Harisha N. G.
School of Computer Science and Engineering
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore 63214, Tamil Nadu, India

Mentor - Prof. Swarnalatha.P,
Professor Grade-1, Department of Information Security, Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore 63214, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract— This design tackles the critical challenges of waste operation and resource inefficiency driven by rapid fire urbanisation and rising resource consumption. It explores how smart megacity technologies similar as the internet of effects (IoT) artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics - can enable the practical relinquishment of indirect frugality principles to reduce environmental declination and ameliorate profitable effectiveness. The study defines crucial walls to enforcing indirect practices in civic surroundings and analyses successful case studies to uncover strategies, technologies and conditions behind their effectiveness. Grounded on these findings the design develops adaptable fabrics for bedding indirect frugality generalities into civic systems, emphasising the shift from direct to indirect models for sustainable civic development. It further assesses the profitable and environmental impacts of similar models, showing their eventuality to reduce waste, conserve and strengthen civic adaptability. The design also provides policy recommendations to guide decision makers in formulating effective regulations and strategies that promote indirect frugality relinquishment. Through the integration of empirical substantiation, real-world exemplifications and edge technologies, this exploration contributes to advancing sustainable civic development by helping metropolises balance profitable growth with environmental responsibility, eventually paving the way for a more flexible and sustainable future.

Keywords—

Circular Economy, Smart Cities, Sustainable Development, Waste Management, Artificial Intelligence, Internet of Things, Data Analytics, Policy Framework, Urbanisation, Resource Optimisation, Environmental Impact, Closed-Loop Systems, Smart Waste Management, Recycling, IoT Sensors, Blockchain, Life Cycle Assessment, Public Awareness, Urban Resilience

Introduction

Cities are under tremendous pressure to maintain sustainability, effective resource use, and appropriate waste management due to the ongoing movement toward urbanisation and population expansion. An estimated 1.7 to 1.9 billion metric tons of municipal solid trash are produced annually in urban areas; if current trends continue, this amount is expected to quadruple within the next ten years [14]. The idea of smart cities is emerging as a practical and promising way to solve environmental, social, and economic issues holistically as cities struggle with these issues. Integrating the concepts of the circular economy—which strive to reduce waste and encourage material reuse, recycling, and regeneration—into the urban structure is essential to this goal [23].

A key element of sustainable urban planning is smart waste management (SWM), which blends environmental awareness with digital innovation. Data analytics, sensor-based trash monitoring, and Internet of Things (IoT)-enabled infrastructures are being utilised more and more to simplify waste collection and lessen reliance on landfills [19]. Smart garbage cans with sensors, for example, can improve operational and environmental efficiency by ensuring timely waste disposal, reducing fuel use, and optimising collection routes [19]. Nevertheless, despite technological developments, there are sociopolitical and practical obstacles to the adoption of smart waste systems, particularly in underdeveloped nations where policy and infrastructure support may be absent [22].

The growing popularity of blockchain, IoT, and cyber-physical systems during the fourth industrial revolution opens up new possibilities for incorporating circular economy concepts into waste management procedures [14]. Transparency, product lifecycle tracking, and innovative business models that stop producing waste at its source can all be made possible by data-driven systems [14]. To further lessen the environmental impact of metropolitan areas, frameworks for machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI) are also being created to improve waste recycling and waste sorting procedures [16].

The interconnection of circular economy concepts, environmental objectives, and smart city projects is highlighted in an expanding corpus of research. Researchers have examined metabolism flows, the spatial dynamics of eco-industrial parks, and governance structures that facilitate transitions to smart circular cities [20] But there are still a lot of gaps. In many areas, digitalisation is still in its infancy, and municipalities' functions sometimes fall short of authoritative leadership, functioning more as enablers than as regulators [20]. Coordinated efforts across sectors and institutional levels are required to support the integration of smart technology with circular governance [20].

In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, increased emphasis has been paid to healthcare waste. The necessity for safe and sustainable disposal methods has been brought to light by the rise of infectious waste and the demand for personal protective equipment. Circular economy techniques like recycling and material optimisation become crucial in this situation [15]. In the management of hazardous waste, feedback loops combining digital

XXX-X-XXXX-XXXX-X/XX/$XX.00 ©20XX IEEE

platforms, linked stakeholders, and pollution control boards improve accountability and transparency [15].

Energy utilisation, resource efficiency, and environmental performance can all be significantly enhanced by smart technologies alongside sustainable policies. In addition to promoting cleaner manufacturing, the integration of green technologies into buildings, energy systems, and urban infrastructure stimulates socioeconomic growth by fostering innovation and the creation of jobs [17]. Additionally, combining urban mining and waste-to-energy techniques can promote energy security and lessen reliance on raw materials, particularly in areas with limited resources [18, 23].

Smart and circular urban systems hold great promise, but obstacles like institutional inertia, public awareness, and financial sustainability still stand in the way of advancement. The urgency and complexity of the issue are reflected in bibliometrics analyses that reveal growing scholarly interest in subjects including smart technology, recycling, solid waste from municipalities, and renewable energy within the rhetoric of the circular economy [21]. In addition to financial expenses, the transition to sustainable smart cities requires systemic, cultural, and regulatory changes in governance [20, 23].

Resilient, flexible, and circular urban systems are becoming more important when the world's population approaches 10 billion people by 2050. The foundation of this shift can be smart cities with cutting-edge technology, data-driven operations, and circular economy concepts. Cities may set the path for a more just and sustainable future by fostering interdisciplinary cooperation, democratic governance, and a common dedication to sustainability [14, 15, 20].

I. LITERATURE SURVEY

SI N	Paper Details	Achieve d	Inference s	Gaps Identified
1	The Future of Waste Management in Smart and Sustainable	Propose d a framewo rk linking	Highlight ed how lifecycle data improves	Lack of real-world implementa tion and case
2	The Interplay of Circular Economy with Industry 4.0 in	Decision -making framewo rks addressi	Circular economy principle s are effective	Poor adoption rates in low- and middle-
3	Machine Learning Approach for Circular Economy with Waste Recycling	Propose d an Automat ic Machine Learning -Based	Machine learning enhances waste separatio n and recycling	High costs and scalability issues in implementi ng IoT-powered
4	Smart Technologies for Energy Efficiency and Waste	Explore d integrati on of renewab	Emphasi zed the importan ce of interdisci	Limited focus on social acceptance of smart
5	Circular Economy in Energizing Smart Cities (K.S. Sastry	Propose d a conceptu al dashboar	Dashboar ds can help visualize and	Framework s lack practical real-world validation.
6	IoT-Enabled Smart Waste Management Systems: A Systematic	Analyze d 173 studies, identifie d	IoT systems improve waste manage	Challenges in cost, scalability, and data privacy.
7	Smart Circular Cities: Governing the Promotion of	Address ed relationa lity, spatiality	Municipa lities act as enablers rather	Digitalizati on remains underutilize d in circular economy
8	A Bibliometric Analysis of Circular Economies through	Analyze d 163 studies, highlight ed major themes	Identifie d trends and knowled ge gaps in	Need for further exploration of smart technologie s in circular
9	Analysing Challenges to Smart Waste Management in Developing	Highligh ted key barriers such as inefficie	Integrati on of policy and technolo	Lack of scalable solutions for developing
10	The Management of Municipal Waste through Circular	Assesse d Romania 's municip	Effective circular economy requires strong	EU-level strategies require localized adaptation

III. IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Our research focuses on:

A. Technological Integration in Waste Management

- Smart Bins & IoT Sensors: Real-time waste level monitoring to optimise collection routes.
- AI & Robotics for Waste Sorting: Reducing contamination in recycling streams.
- Blockchain for Supply Chain Transparency: Ensuring traceability of recycled materials.

B. Policy & Governance Frameworks:

- Analysing best practices from leading circular smart cities (e.g., Amsterdam's Circular 2020-2025 Strategy, Singapore's Zero Waste Masterplan).
- Evaluating incentive models (tax breaks for circular businesses, penalties for excessive waste).

C. Case Studies & Existing Models:

1. Amsterdam, Netherlands:

- Uses digital twins to simulate waste flows.
- Implements circular construction (recycled building materials).

2. Singapore:
   - Semakau Landfill integrates waste-to-energy (WTE) plants.
   - Smart water recycling via NEWater facilities.
3. Barcelona, Spain:
   - Super blocks reduce waste by promoting local circular economies.

D. Expected Outcomes:

- A framework for integrating CE into smart city planning.
- Policy recommendations for governments and urban planners.
- Technological solutions to enhance waste management efficiency.

IV. CIRCULAR ECONOMY

A circular economy is an economic model focused on sustainability by designing systems that minimise waste, maximise resource efficiency, and regenerate natural ecosystems. Unlike the traditional linear economy, which follows a “take-make-dispose” approach, the circular economy aims to extend the life-cycle of materials through sharing, repairing, refurbishing and recycling, while regenerating natural systems. Its principles include eliminating waste and pollution, keeping products and materials in use and regenerating natural systems.

Smart cities integrate technology and innovation to enhance urban living while addressing environmental changes. Circular economy practices align with smart city goals by reducing resource consumption and greenhouse gas emissions, enhancing waste management through advanced recycling and reuse systems and also by promoting sustainable urban design and infrastructure. Some key principles for circular economy in smart cities include:

1. Designing for Durability and Reuse: Products and infrastructure should be designed for longevity, repairability, and adaptability to future needs.
2. Resource Efficiency: Optimise the use of renewable energy and materials to reduce dependency on finite resources.
3. Closed-Loop Systems: Establish systems where waste from one process becomes input for another, reducing landfill dependency.
4. Digital Innovation: Leverage technologies like IoT, AI, and blockchain to track material flows, optimise resource use, and enable sharing economies.

V. HISTORY OF CIRCULAR ECONOMY

The concept of the circular economy has its roots in various schools of thought that emerged in the mid-to-late 20th century, challenging the dominant linear model of "take, make, dispose." Early influences can be traced back to Kenneth Boulding's 1966 essay *The Economics of the Coming Spaceship Earth*, which highlighted the limitations of Earth's resources and called for an economy based on closed loops. Around the same time, the ideas of industrial ecology, regenerative design, and biomimicry began to take shape, promoting the efficient use of materials and waste reduction by mimicking natural systems.

In the 1970s and 1980s, CE-related ideas gained traction through movements such as the performance economy, promoted by Walter Stahel, and the concept of cradle-to-cradle design, introduced by Michael Braungart and William McDonough. These approaches emphasized designing products for longevity, reuse, and recyclability, shifting the focus from waste management to resource optimization. The 1990s saw further developments as life cycle assessment (LCA) tools and sustainable design practices were integrated into industrial and business strategies.

The term "circular economy" gained formal recognition in the early 2000s, especially in China, where the government adopted CE as part of its national policy for sustainable development. In parallel, the European Union began incorporating CE principles into its waste and resource management policies. However, it was the establishment of the Ellen MacArthur Foundation in 2010 that significantly accelerated the global visibility and adoption of CE. The foundation provided a clear framework, actionable strategies, and influential reports that linked circularity to economic growth, innovation, and sustainability.

Since then, CE has evolved into a comprehensive framework for rethinking production and consumption systems. It is now central to international policies, corporate sustainability strategies, and academic research. The integration of digital technologies such as IoT, AI, and blockchain has further enhanced CE's potential, enabling real-time resource tracking, predictive maintenance, and closed-loop supply chains. Today, the circular economy is seen as a vital approach to address climate change, resource scarcity, and sustainable development worldwide.

VI. IMPLEMENTATION OF CIRCULAR ECONOMY IN SMART CITIES

Using cutting-edge technologies, the circular economy (CE) is implemented in smart cities by incorporating circular ideas into urban construction and infrastructure. The integration of information and communication technology (ICT) in smart cities has a special chance to facilitate the transition from linear to circular models. Effective resource management, real-time monitoring, and material flow optimization are made possible by technologies like blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, and the Internet of Things (IoT). City planners and legislators may more easily create closed-loop systems that cut waste and improve resource efficiency by using these techniques to monitor trash generation, energy use, and material utilization.

Multifaceted approaches integrating technology, policy, and stakeholder engagement are necessary for successful implementation. Smart waste management systems, energy-efficient buildings, eco-industrial parks, and sharing economy platforms are just a few of the measures that many towns have implemented. Better garbage collection, sorting, and recycling are made possible by smart sensors and data-driven decision-making, while supply chains and energy use are optimized with the aid of AI and analytics. Additionally, by facilitating initiatives for sharing, repair, and reuse, digital platforms can extend the life of products and encourage a circular culture among individuals and enterprises. CE integration can result in quantifiable decreases in emissions, resource consumption, and landfill trash, as demonstrated by case studies from cities such as Shanghai, Copenhagen, and Amsterdam.

VII. PROBLEMS FACED

Implementing CE in smart cities also faces challenges such as high initial investment costs, technological limitations, fragmented stakeholder interests, and policy gaps. Addressing these challenges requires clear regulatory frameworks, public-private partnerships, citizen participation, and capacity-building efforts. By aligning smart city development with CE principles, cities can create more resilient, resource-efficient, and sustainable urban environments. The combination of innovative technologies and circular strategies holds the potential to significantly reduce environmental impact while supporting economic growth and improving quality of life for urban populations. Despite the growing adoption of circular economy principles in smart cities, several barriers hinder their full-scale implementation. A few of these challenges such as information, economic, political and socio-cultural challenges are discussed below.

The development of institutions, values, and behaviors required to sustain circular activity in cities depends heavily on information. Policymakers must also identify the greatest possibilities for development and keep an eye on developments. However, gathering thorough, reliable, and practical data in urban areas is extremely difficult. Access to urban data is limited by concerns about ownership, privacy, and commercial competition. Inconsistent monitoring, frameworks, and restricted coverage all contribute to the poor quality of the data generated. As a result, there is less confidence in the shared knowledge. More precisely, there is insufficient data to track ecological services in urban areas. There are comparable issues in tracking urban metabolism.

Data has been collected in only a few cities like Paris and Amsterdam and yet interpretation issues exist due to a lack of common conventions. Most urban metabolism studies use highly aggregated data, which provides only a snapshot of resource or energy use, but no information about location, activities, or people. There is a high data requirement for monitoring resource flows, a lack of follow-up and evaluation of the evolution of a city's urban metabolism and difficulties in identifying cause-and-effect relationships of the metabolic flows.

Only a few towns, such as Paris and Amsterdam, have collected data, but because there are no universal conventions, there are problems with interpretation. The majority of research on urban metabolism makes use of highly aggregated data, which only gives a glimpse of energy or resource consumption and leaves out details about people, places, and activities. The monitoring of resource flows requires a lot of data, the evolution of a city's urban metabolism is not followed up on or evaluated, and it is challenging to determine the causal linkages between the metabolic flows. Due to sunk costs, a lack of suppliers, a lack of experience, weak regulatory frameworks, global markets, and political short-termism, moving towards new circular systems of provision has significant risks and costs. For instance, investing in recycling systems is hazardous due to the future uncertainty brought about by fluctuations in resource prices and shifts in global supply networks. 45% of sorted plastic in Germany is burned despite the country's high recycling rates because there aren't enough profitable recycling markets (Plastics Europe, 2023). Long-term investments are necessary for looping, regenerative, and adaptive actions, which also yield long-term societal benefits. Because infrastructure providers and investors seek short-term profits driven by short investment cycles, these are frequently challenging to fund. This could be addressed by incorporating ecosystem service valuation and whole life costing into commercial models. The value chain's split incentives, however, tend to make this strategy less effective. The long-term societal advantages do not accrue to those who make the first capital investments.

Private players have little market incentive to safeguard resources and ecosystem services in the absence of a supporting legislative environment or financial incentives. To bring about change, cities mostly rely on public procurement and enabling technologies. While pockets of innovation might result from this strategy, systemic change is uncommon. Reactive, short-term, market-oriented decision-making will not propel circular changes. This present-oriented perspective is supported by current cultural ideals and transient political cycles. However, it will be necessary to address the underlying political culture and systems of operation in order to develop policies that promote resource protection, ecological regeneration, intergenerational justice, and community adaptability. Creating political will to prioritize circular growth is the difficult part of this situation.

Sometimes consumers and businesses may resist change due to habit, convenience or lack of incentives. This can also lead to misinformation about recycling which leads to contamination and improper disposal .A city's local systems of provision have an impact on its residents' social customs and lifestyles, which in turn affects their capacity and inclination to adopt circular practices. The possibility of cyclic acts is undermined by low levels of local social capital. A community's ability to respond to events, work together, share resources, and learn is enhanced by local social capital. Communities' resilience, adaptability, and potential to establish cyclical systems of service are compromised in their absence. People are less inclined to adopt circular practices if the systems of provision have significant transaction costs. Recycling practices won't alter, for instance, if community composting or trash separation are too time-consuming or physically taxing. Many circular activities rely on volunteers, yet transactional costs of participation are high, making it hard to sustain. Hence, circular enterprises frequently lose the social and human capital they create over time. Food-reuse programs that depend on contributions and volunteers are prime examples of this. Multiple-benefit circular approaches can reduce involvement thresholds. Urban agriculture, for instance, has a number of advantages that promote increased, sustained participation.

VIII. IoT DRIVEN IMPLEMENTATION OF OUR SOLUTION

A. *Device Overview: Components, Design, and Development*

B. *Data Insights: Graphs, Operational Modes, and CAD Links*

C. *Data-Driven Evaluation and Justification of Our Approach*

D. *Implementing the System within Smart City Infrastructures*

IX. FUTURE ASPECTS

The proposed system has strong potential to support and advance circular economy practices within smart cities. As urbanization intensifies and sustainability becomes a critical priority, integrating IoT-based solutions like ours can significantly contribute to resource optimization, waste reduction, and closed-loop operations, the core pillars of a circular economy.

In future applications, the system can be expanded to manage various urban sectors such as smart waste management, water reuse, and energy efficiency. Real-time monitoring and data analytics will enable cities to identify inefficiencies, automate sustainable actions, and reduce both costs and environmental impact. Additionally, when integrated into a city-wide smart infrastructure, the system could facilitate cross-sector collaboration, maximizing the reuse of resources.

With further development, including AI-driven optimization and integration with policy and planning tools, this solution can evolve into a scalable model for promoting circular economy practices in smart cities. Ultimately, it can serve as a key enabler for making urban environments more sustainable, efficient, and resilient.

X. CONCLUSION

This project delivers a comprehensive yet practical framework for incorporating circular economy principles into smart city waste management systems. By utilizing CAD models, we were able to design and visualize smart infrastructures such as IoT-enabled waste bins, optimized recycling routes, and AI-powered resource monitoring systems. These models not only help in simulating real-world scenarios but also assist planners in making data-driven decisions.

Through the integration of smart technologies, the framework aims to minimize waste generation, improve resource circulation, and reduce environmental impact. The study also addresses common challenges highlighted in existing research, such as scalability, stakeholder involvement, and policy integration. By bridging these gaps, the project offers actionable and adaptable solutions for cities striving towards sustainable and resilient urban development. In doing so, it contributes to the growing need for efficient waste management systems within the broader goal of advancing circular economies in future-ready smart cities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

1. [1] A. Chauhan, S. K. Jakhar, and C. Chauhan, "The interplay of circular economy with industry 4.0 enabled smart city drivers of healthcare waste disposal," J. Clean. Prod., vol. 279, p. 123854, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123854.
2. [2] B. Esmaeilian, B. Wang, K. Lewis, F. Duarte, C. Ratti, and S. Behdad, "The future of waste management in smart and sustainable cities: A review and concept paper," Waste Manag., vol. 81, pp. 177-195, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2018.09.047.
3. [3] E. D. R. Santibanez Gonzalez, V. Kandpal, M. Machado, M. L. Martens, and S. Majumdar, "A Bibliometric Analysis of Circular Economies through Sustainable Smart Cities," Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 22, p. 15892, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su152215892.
4. [4] X. Chen, "Machine learning approach for a circular economy with waste recycling in smart cities," Energy Rep., vol. 8, pp. 3127-3140, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.egyr.2022.01.193.
5. [5] M. I. Aceleanu, A. C. Șerban, M.-C. Suciu, and T. I. Bițoiu, "The Management of Municipal Waste through Circular Economy in the Context of Smart Cities Development," IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 133602-133614, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2928999.
6. [6] A.-V. Anttiroiko, "Smart Circular Cities: Governing the Relationality, Spatiality, and Digitality in the Promotion of Circular Economy in an Urban Region," Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 17, p. 12680, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su151712680.
7. [7] S. Nižetić, N. Djilali, A. Papadopoulos, and J. J. P. C. Rodrigues, "Smart technologies for promotion of energy efficiency, utilization of sustainable resources and waste management," J. Clean. Prod., vol. 231, pp. 565-591, 2019, doi: 10.1016/ j.jclepro.2019.04.397.
8. [14]: Refers to the paper "The Future of Waste Management in Smart and Sustainable Cities: A Review and Concept Paper" by Behzad Esmaeilian et al.
9. [15]: Refers to "The Interplay of Circular Economy with Industry 4.0 Enabled Smart City Drivers of Healthcare Waste Disposal" by Ankur Chauhan et al.
10. [16]: Refers to "Machine Learning Approach for a Circular Economy with Waste Recycling in Smart Cities" by Xiangru Chen.
11. [17]: Refers to "Smart Technologies for Promotion of Energy Efficiency, Utilization of Sustainable Resources and Waste Management" by Sandro Nižetić et al.
12. [18]: Refers to the book chapter "Circular Economy in Energizing Smart Cities" by K.S. Sastry Musti.

13. [19]: Refers to “IoT-Enabled Smart Waste Management Systems for Smart Cities: A Systematic Review” by Inna Sosunova and Jari Porras.

14. [20]: Refers to “Smart Circular Cities: Governing the Relationality, Spatiality, and Digitality in the Promotion of Circular Economy in an Urban Region” by Ari-Veikko Anttiroiko.

15. [21]: Refers to “A Bibliometric Analysis of Circular Economies Through Sustainable Smart Cities” by Ernesto D. R. Santibanez Gonzalez et al.

16. Esmaeilian, B., Wang, B., Lewis, K., Duarte, F., Ratti, C., & Behdad, S. (2018). The future of waste management in smart and sustainable cities: A review and concept paper. Waste Management, Elsevier.

17. Chauhan, A., Jakhar, S. K., & Chauhan, C. (2021). The interplay of circular economy with Industry 4.0 enabled smart city drivers of healthcare waste disposal. Journal of Cleaner Production, 279, 123854.

18. Chen, X. (2022). Machine learning approach for a circular economy with waste recycling in smart cities. Energy Reports, 8, 3127–3140.

19. Nižetić, S., Djilali, N., Papadopoulos, A., & Rodrigues, J. J. P. C. (2019). Smart technologies for promotion of energy efficiency, utilization of sustainable resources and waste management. Journal of Cleaner Production.

20. Musti, K. S. S. (2020). Circular Economy in Energizing Smart Cities. In IGI Global.

21. Sosunova, I., & Porras, J. (2022). IoT-enabled smart waste management systems for smart cities: A systematic review. IEEE Access, 10, 73326–73353.

22. Anttiroiko, A.-V. (2023). Smart circular cities: Governing the relationality, spatiality, and digitality in the promotion of circular economy in an urban region. Sustainability, 15(17), 12680.

23. Santibanez Gonzalez, E. D. R., Kandpal, V., Machado, M., Martens, M. L., & Majumdar, S. (2023). A bibliometric analysis of circular economies through sustainable smart cities. Sustainability, 15(22), 15892.