Algorithmic Inequality: How Al Hiring Tools Discriminate Against Women

Examining the Case of Amazon's Al Recruitment System and the Broader Impact on Gender Diversity

A Summary by Group Seminar 3 Session 3 Group 1

Course Instructor

Mikael Laaksoharju

Contributors

Bogdan-Ioan Pita

Dafne Spaccavento

Garance Perrot

Thant Zin Bo

Shaheryar Shaheryar



From Human to Machine

Looking at the **recent history** of humans and the way we have treated one another, it is quite clear that **biases have existed for as long as humans have existed**. Whether it is **confirmation bias** [based on stereotypes and common beliefs], **selection bias** [looking at a certain group(s) of population and ignoring the rest] or **implicit bias** [unconscious favouring of certain individuals]. The developers face **challenges** when trying to find the **source of biases** and trying to fix or minimize them. The **case study** is about Amazon willing to automatize the employing process to save time and money with a ML model that analyses job applicants' resumes, using **50 000 keywords** to score applicants on a scale of five stars.

Uncovering the Bias

- Women were the victim of the model's biasness, the reason being the model itself
 was trained on job-related data dominated by men over the course of 10 years. Upon
 coming across words such as "women" in the resumes, the AI model would reject it,
 causing an outrage in public.
- The **target and cost function** used to supervise the learning algorithm plays a significant role in the model's design and, consequently, in its final behaviour.

Debate and Discussion

- While some argued that all types of bias must be avoided during a hiring process.
 Others thought that a limited amount of implicit bias can be beneficial (and sometimes necessary), especially when the company has a strong culture that needs to be adopted and fostered by all employees.
- Another scenario could be an employer prioritizing an applicant who shares
 personal background experiences (e.g., nationality, shared struggles), which could
 be motivated by a desire to support a positive relationship within the team. Thus, it is
 important to always keep a human part in the hiring process to keep a strong
 company culture and team cohesion.

What did Amazon do Wrong?

In hindsight, Amazon's actions might not have been **entirely unjustified**. The controversies surrounding the company underscore the **intricate balance** between achieving business **success** and upholding **ethical** standards. Amazon's ability to navigate these challenges while continuing to grow shows that success in the business world often requires balancing various, sometimes conflicting, **priorities**. Nevertheless, the public's outrage was understandable, as **ethical considerations should be valued** at least as much as business success.

In conclusion, **AI should complement human work** rather than completely replace humans. This will ensure that human judgment and checks are in place to prevent biases and unfairness.