# Unit 7: Multiple linear regression

1. Introduction to multiple linear regression

GOVT 3990 - Spring 2018

Cornell University

### Outline

### 1. Housekeeping

- 2. Main ideas
- 1. In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model
  - 2. Categorical predictors and slopes for (almost) each level
  - Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes
  - 4. Adjusted  $R^2$  applies a penalty for additional variables
  - 5. Avoid collinearity in MLR
- 6. Model selection criterion depends on goal: significance vs. prediction
  - 7. Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR
- Summary

#### Announcements

► Project questions?

#### Outline

### 1. Housekeeping

#### 2. Main ideas

- 1. In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model
  - 2. Categorical predictors and slopes for (almost) each level
  - 3. Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes
  - 4. Adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$  applies a penalty for additional variables
  - 5. Avoid collinearity in MLR
- 6. Model selection criterion depends on goal: significance vs. prediction
  - 7. Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR

#### Summary

#### Outline

### 1. Housekeeping

#### 2. Main ideas

- 1. In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model
  - 2. Categorical predictors and slopes for (almost) each level
  - 3. Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes
  - 4. Adjusted  $R^2$  applies a penalty for additional variables
  - 5. Avoid collinearity in MLR
- 6. Model selection criterion depends on goal: significance vs. prediction
  - 7. Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR
- Summary

### (1) In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model

▶ All estimates in a MLR for a given variable are conditional on all other variables being in the model.

### ► Slope:

- Numerical x All else held constant, for one unit increase in  $x_i$ , y is expected to be higher / lower on average by  $b_i$  units.
- Categorical x. All else held constant, the predicted difference in y for the baseline and given levels of  $x_i$  is  $b_i$ .

#### Data from the ACS

### A random sample of 783 observations from the 2012 ACS.

- income: Yearly income (wages and salaries)
- 2. employment: Employment status, not in labor force, unemployed, or employed
- 3. hrs\_work: Weekly hours worked
- 4. race: Race, White, Black, Asian, or other
- 5. age: Age
- 6. gender: gender, male or female
- 7. citizens: Whether respondent is a US citizen or not
- 8. time\_to\_work: Travel time to work
- 9. lang: Language spoken at home, English or other
- 10. married: Whether respondent is married or not
- 11. edu: Education level, hs or lower, college, or grad
- 12. disability: Whether respondent is disabled or not
- 13. birth\_qrtr: Quarter in which respondent is born, jan thru mar, apr thru jun, jul thru sep, or oct thru dec

#### Activity: MLR interpretations

- 1. Interpret the intercept.
- 2. Interpret the slope for hrs\_work.
- 3. Interpret the slope for gender.

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	-15342.76	11716.57	-1.31	0.19
hrs_work	1048.96	149.25	7.03	0.00
raceblack	-7998.99	6191.83	-1.29	0.20
raceasian	29909.80	9154.92	3.27	0.00
raceother	-6756.32	7240.08	-0.93	0.35
age	565.07	133.77	4.22	0.00
genderfemale	-17135.05	3705.35	-4.62	0.00
citizenyes	-12907.34	8231.66	-1.57	0.12
time_to_work	90.04	79.83	1.13	0.26
langother	-10510.44	5447.45	-1.93	0.05
marriedyes	5409.24	3900.76	1.39	0.17
educollege	15993.85	4098.99	3.90	0.00
edugrad	59658.52	5660.26	10.54	0.00
disabilityyes	-14142.79	6639.40	-2.13	0.03
birth_qrtrapr thru jun	-2043.42	4978.12	-0.41	0.68
birth_qrtrjul thru sep	3036.02	4853.19	0.63	0.53
birth_qrtroct thru dec	2674.11	5038.45	0.53	0.60

#### Outline

1. Housekeeping

#### 2. Main ideas

- 1. In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model
  - 2. Categorical predictors and slopes for (almost) each level
  - Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes
  - 4. Adjusted  $R^2$  applies a penalty for additional variables
  - 5. Avoid collinearity in MLF
- 6. Model selection criterion depends on goal: significance vs. prediction
  - 7. Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR
- 3. Summary

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

```
Citizen: yes / no (k=2)
```

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

```
Citizen: yes / no (k=2)
Baseline: no
```

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

```
Citizen: yes / no (k=2)
Baseline: no
```

Respondent citizen:yes

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

Citizen: yes / no 
$$(k = 2)$$
  
Baseline: no

Respondent	citizen:yes	
1, Citizen	1	

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

Citizen: yes / no 
$$(k=2)$$
  
Baseline: no

Respondent	citizen:yes
1, Citizen	1
2, Not-citizen	0

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

Citizen: yes / no 
$$(k=2)$$
  
Baseline: no

Race:	(k=4)	

Respondent	citizen:yes
1, Citizen	1
2, Not-citizen	0

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

Citizen: yes / no 
$$(k=2)$$
  
Baseline: no

Respondent	citizen:yes
1, Citizen	1
2, Not-citizen	0

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

Citizen: yes / no 
$$(k=2)$$
  
Baseline: no

Baseline: White

Respondent | race:black | race:asian | race:other

Race: (k=4)

Respondent	citizen:yes	
1, Citizen	1	
2, Not-citizen	0	

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

Citizen: yes / no 
$$(k=2)$$
 Baseline: no

Baseline: White

Respondent	citizen:yes	
1, Citizen	1	
2, Not-citizen	0	

Respondent ra	ce:black   race	e:asian   race:ot	ther
1, White	0	0 0	

Race: (k=4)

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

Citizen: yes / no 
$$(k=2)$$
 Baseline: no

Respondent	citizen:yes
1, Citizen	1
2, Not-citizen	0

Respondent	race:black	race:asian	race:other
1, White	0	0	0
2, Black	1	0	0

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

Citizen: yes / no 
$$(k=2)$$
  
Baseline: no

Respondent citizen:yes
1, Citizen 1
2, Not-citizen 0

Respondent	race:black	race:asian	race:other
1, White	0	0	0
2, Black	1	0	0
3, Asian	0	1	0

- ▶ Each categorical variable, with k levels, added to the model results in k-1 parameters being estimated.
- ▶ It only takes k-1 columns to code a categorical variable with k levels as 0/1s.

Citizen: yes / no 
$$(k=2)$$
  
Baseline: no

Respondent	citizen:yes
1, Citizen	1
2, Not-citizen	0

Respondent	race:black	race:asian	race:other
1, White	0	0	0
2, Black	1	0	0
3, Asian	0	1	0
4, Other	0	0	1

All else held constant, how do incomes of those born January thru March compare to those born April thru June?

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	-15342.76	11716.57	-1.31	0.19
hrs_work	1048.96	149.25	7.03	0.00
raceblack	-7998.99	6191.83	-1.29	0.20
raceasian	29909.80	9154.92	3.27	0.00
raceother	-6756.32	7240.08	-0.93	0.35
age	565.07	133.77	4.22	0.00
genderfemale	-17135.05	3705.35	-4.62	0.00
citizenyes	-12907.34	8231.66	-1.57	0.12
time_to_work	90.04	79.83	1.13	0.26
langother	-10510.44	5447.45	-1.93	0.05
marriedyes	5409.24	3900.76	1.39	0.17
educollege	15993.85	4098.99	3.90	0.00
edugrad	59658.52	5660.26	10.54	0.00
disabilityyes	-14142.79	6639.40	-2.13	0.03
birth_qrtrapr thru jun	-2043.42	4978.12	-0.41	0.68
birth_qrtrjul thru sep	3036.02	4853.19	0.63	0.53
birth_qrtroct thru dec	2674.11	5038.45	0.53	0.60

All else held constant, those born Jan thru Mar make, on average,

less

- more
- (a) \$2,043.42 (b) \$2,043.42 (c) \$4978.12 less (d) \$4978.12
  - more

All else held constant, how do incomes of those born January thru March compare to those born April thru June?

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	-15342.76	11716.57	-1.31	0.19
hrs_work	1048.96	149.25	7.03	0.00
raceblack	-7998.99	6191.83	-1.29	0.20
raceasian	29909.80	9154.92	3.27	0.00
raceother	-6756.32	7240.08	-0.93	0.35
age	565.07	133.77	4.22	0.00
genderfemale	-17135.05	3705.35	-4.62	0.00
citizenyes	-12907.34	8231.66	-1.57	0.12
time_to_work	90.04	79.83	1.13	0.26
langother	-10510.44	5447.45	-1.93	0.05
marriedyes	5409.24	3900.76	1.39	0.17
educollege	15993.85	4098.99	3.90	0.00
edugrad	59658.52	5660.26	10.54	0.00
disabilityyes	-14142.79	6639.40	-2.13	0.03
birth_qrtrapr thru jun	-2043.42	4978.12	-0.41	0.68
birth_qrtrjul thru sep	3036.02	4853.19	0.63	0.53
birth_qrtroct thru dec	2674.11	5038.45	0.53	0.60

All else held constant, those born Jan thru Mar make, on average,

less

- more
- (a) \$2,043.42 (b) **\$2,043.42** (c) \$4978.12 less (d) \$4978.12
  - more

#### Outline

1. Housekeeping

#### 2. Main ideas

- 1. In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model
  - 2. Categorical predictors and slopes for (almost) each level
  - 3. Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes
  - 4. Adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$  applies a penalty for additional variables
  - 5. Avoid collinearity in MLR
- Model selection criterion depends on goal: significance vs. prediction
  - 7. Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR
- 3. Summary

### (3) Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes

▶ Inference for the model as a whole: F-test,  $df_1 = p$ ,  $df_2 = n - k - 1$ 

### (3) Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes

▶ Inference for the model as a whole: F-test,  $df_1 = p$ ,  $df_2 = n - k - 1$ 

$$H_0: \ \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_k = 0$$
  
 $H_A: \ \text{At least one of the } \beta_i \neq 0$ 

- ▶ Inference for each slope: T-test, df = n k 1
  - HT:

 $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ , when all other variables are included in the model  $H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0$ , when all other variables are included in the model

- CI:  $b_1 \pm T_{df}^{\star} SE_{b_1}$ 

#### Model output

```
Coefficients:
                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
                      -15342.76
                                11716.57 -1.309 0.190760
hrs work
                       1048.96
                                 149.25 7.028 4.63e-12 ***
raceblack
                       -7998.99
                                  6191.83 -1.292 0.196795
raceasian
                      29909.80
                                  9154.92 3.267 0.001135 **
raceother
                      -6756.32
                                 7240.08 -0.933 0.351019
                        565.07
                                 133.77 4.224 2.69e-05 ***
age
genderfemale
                                 3705.35 -4.624 4.41e-06 ***
                     -17135.05
citizenves
                     -12907.34
                                  8231.66 -1.568 0.117291
time to work
                         90.04
                                    79.83 1.128 0.259716
langother
                     -10510.44
                                5447.45 -1.929 0.054047 .
marriedves
                      5409.24
                                 3900.76 1.387 0.165932
educollege
                      15993.85
                                  4098.99 3.902 0.000104 ***
edugrad
                      59658.52
                                  5660.26 10.540 < 2e-16 ***
disabilityves
                     -14142.79
                                 6639.40 -2.130 0.033479 *
birth_grtrapr thru jun -2043.42
                                 4978.12 -0.410 0.681569
birth grtrjul thru sep 3036.02
                                 4853.19 0.626 0.531782
birth grtroct thru dec 2674.11
                                  5038.45 0.531 0.595752
Residual standard error: 48670 on 766 degrees of freedom
 (60 observations deleted due to missingness)
Multiple R-squared: 0.3126, ^^IAdjusted R-squared: 0.2982
F-statistic: 21.77 on 16 and 766 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

True / False: The F test yielding a significant result means the model fits the data well.

- (a) True
- (b) False

True / False: The F test yielding a significant result means the model fits the data well.

- (a) True
- (b) False

The F test yielding a significant result doesn't mean the model fits the data well, it just means at least one of the  $\beta$ s is non-zero. Whether or not the model fit the data well is evaluated based on model diagnostics.

True / False: The F test not yielding a significant result means individual variables included in the model are not good predictors of y.

- (a) True
- (b) False

True / False: The F test not yielding a significant result means individual variables included in the model are not good predictors of y.

- (a) True
- (b) False

The F test not yielding a significant result doesn't mean individuals variables included in the model are not good predictors of y, it just means that the <u>combination</u> of these variables doesn't yield a good model.

Significance also depends on what else is in the model

Model 1:		Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )	
(Intercept)		-15342.76	11716.57	-1.309	0.190760	
hrs_work		1048.96	149.25	7.028	4.63e-12	
raceblack		-7998.99	6191.83	-1.292	0.196795	
raceasian		29909.80	9154.92	3.267	0.001135	
raceother		-6756.32	7240.08	-0.933	0.351019	
age		565.07	133.77	4.224	2.69e-05	
genderfemale		-17135.05	3705.35	-4.624	4.41e-06	
citizenyes		-12907.34	8231.66	-1.568	0.117291	
time_to_work		90.04	79.83	1.128	0.259716	
langother		-10510.44	5447.45	-1.929	0.054047	
marriedyes		5409.24	3900.76	1.387	0.165932	<
educollege		15993.85	4098.99	3.902	0.000104	
edugrad		59658.52	5660.26	10.540	< 2e-16	
disabilityyes		-14142.79	6639.40	-2.130	0.033479	
birth_qrtrapr	thru j	un -2043.42	4978.12	-0.410	0.681569	
birth_qrtrjul	thru s	ep 3036.02	4853.19	0.626	0.531782	
birth_qrtroct	thru d	ec 2674.11	5038.45	0.531	0.595752	

```
Model 1:
                       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
                      -15342.76
                                 11716.57 -1.309 0.190760
hrs work
                        1048.96
                                 149.25 7.028 4.63e-12
raceblack
                       -7998.99
                                  6191.83 -1.292 0.196795
raceasian
                       29909.80
                                  9154.92 3.267 0.001135
raceother
                       -6756.32
                                  7240.08 -0.933 0.351019
                        565.07
                                  133.77 4.224 2.69e-05
age
genderfemale
                     -17135.05
                                  3705.35
                                           -4.624 4.41e-06
citizenves
                     -12907.34
                                  8231.66
                                           -1.568 0.117291
time_to_work
                         90.04
                                    79.83 1.128 0.259716
                                  5447.45
langother
                     -10510.44
                                           -1.929 0.054047
marriedves
                       5409.24
                                  3900.76
                                           1.387 0.165932 <----
educollege
                       15993.85
                                  4098.99
                                           3,902 0,000104
edugrad
                       59658.52
                                  5660.26
                                           10.540 < 2e-16
disabilityves
                     -14142.79
                                  6639.40 -2.130 0.033479
birth grtrapr thru jun -2043.42
                                  4978.12
                                           -0.410 0.681569
birth_grtrjul thru sep 3036.02
                                  4853.19 0.626 0.531782
birth artroct thru dec 2674.11
                                  5038.45
                                           0.531 0.595752
```

```
Model 2:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
           -22498.2
                        8216.2 -2.738 0.00631
hrs work
            1149.7
                        145.2 7.919 7.60e-15
raceblack
             -7677.5
                        6350.8
                                -1,209 0,22704
raceasian
            38600.2
                        8566.4
                                4.506 7.55e-06
raceother
            -7907.1
                        7116.2
                                -1.111 0.26683
age
              533.1
                        131.2 4.064 5.27e-05
genderfemale -15178.9
                        3767.4 -4.029 6.11e-05
marriedves
              8731.0
                        3956.8
                                2.207 0.02762 <----
```

#### Outline

1. Housekeeping

#### 2. Main ideas

- 1. In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model
  - 2. Categorical predictors and slopes for (almost) each level
  - 3. Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes
  - 4. Adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$  applies a penalty for additional variables
  - 5. Avoid collinearity in MLR
- 6. Model selection criterion depends on goal: significance vs. prediction
  - 7. Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR
- 3. Summary

# (4) Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$ applies a penalty for additional variables

lacktriangle When any variable is added to the model  $R^2$  increases.

# (4) Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$ applies a penalty for additional variables

- lacktriangle When any variable is added to the model  $R^2$  increases.
- ▶ But if the added variable doesn't really provide any new information, or is completely unrelated, adjusted  $R^2$  does not increase.

# (4) Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$ applies a penalty for additional variables

- $\blacktriangleright$  When any variable is added to the model  $R^2$  increases.
- ▶ But if the added variable doesn't really provide any new information, or is completely unrelated, adjusted  $R^2$  does not increase.

## Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$R_{adj}^2 = 1 - \left(\frac{SS_{Error}}{SS_{Total}} \times \frac{n-1}{n-k-1}\right)$$

where n is the number of cases and k is the number of sloped estimated in the model.

```
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: income
                  Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
            Df
hrs work 1 3.0633e+11 3.0633e+11 129.3025 < 2.2e-16 ***
        3 7.1656e+10 2.3885e+10 10.0821 1.608e-06 ***
race
age
   1 7.6008e+10 7.6008e+10 32.0836 2.090e-08 ***
gender 1 4.8665e+10 4.8665e+10 20.5418 6.767e-06 ***
citizen 1 1.1135e+09 1.1135e+09 0.4700
                                           0.49319
time to work 1 3.5371e+09 3.5371e+09 1.4930 0.22213
         1 1.2815e+10 1.2815e+10 5.4094 0.02029 *
lang
married 1 1.2190e+10 1.2190e+10
                                  5.1453 0.02359 *
edu
     2 2.7867e+11 1.3933e+11
                                  58.8131 < 2.2e-16 ***
disability 1 1.0852e+10 1.0852e+10
                                  4.5808 0.03265 *
birth_qrtr 3 3.3060e+09 1.1020e+09 0.4652
                                           0.70667
Residuals
           766 1.8147e+12 2.3691e+09
Total
           782 2.6399e+12
```

$$R_{adj}^2 = 1 - \left(\frac{1.8147e + 12}{2.6399e + 12} \times \frac{783 - 1}{783 - 16 - 1}\right) \approx 1 - 0.7018 = 0.2982$$

True / False: For a model with at least one predictor,  $R^2_{adj}$  will always be smaller than  $R^2$ .

- (a) True
- (b) False

True / False: For a model with at least one predictor,  $R^2_{adj}$  will always be smaller than  $R^2$ .

- (a) True
- (b) False

Because k is never negative,  $R_{adj}^2$  will always be smaller than  $R^2$ .

$$R_{adj}^2 = 1 - \left(\frac{SS_{Error}}{SS_{Total}} \times \frac{n-1}{n-k-1}\right)$$

True / False: Adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$  tells us the percentage of variability in the response variable explained by the model.

- (a) True
- (b) False

True / False: Adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$  tells us the percentage of variability in the response variable explained by the model.

- (a) True
- (b) False

 $\mathbb{R}^2$  tells us the percentage of variability in the response variable explained by the model, adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is only useful for model selection.

## Outline

1. Housekeeping

## 2. Main ideas

- 1. In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model
  - 2. Categorical predictors and slopes for (almost) each level
  - 3. Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes
  - 4. Adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$  applies a penalty for additional variables
  - 5. Avoid collinearity in MLR
- 6. Model selection criterion depends on goal: significance vs. prediction
  - 7. Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR
- 3. Summary

➤ Two predictor variables are said to be collinear when they are correlated, and this *collinearity* (also called *multicollinearity*) complicates model estimation.

Remember: Predictors are also called explanatory or <u>independent</u> variables, so they should be independent of each other.

➤ Two predictor variables are said to be collinear when they are correlated, and this *collinearity* (also called *multicollinearity*) complicates model estimation.

Remember: Predictors are also called explanatory or <u>independent</u> variables, so they should be independent of each other.

▶ We don't like adding predictors that are associated with each other to the model, because often times the addition of such variable brings nothing to the table. Instead, we prefer the simplest best model, i.e. *parsimonious* model.

➤ Two predictor variables are said to be collinear when they are correlated, and this *collinearity* (also called *multicollinearity*) complicates model estimation.

Remember: Predictors are also called explanatory or <u>independent</u> variables, so they should be independent of each other.

- ▶ We don't like adding predictors that are associated with each other to the model, because often times the addition of such variable brings nothing to the table. Instead, we prefer the simplest best model, i.e. *parsimonious* model.
- ► In addition, addition of collinear variables can result in unreliable estimates of the slope parameters.

➤ Two predictor variables are said to be collinear when they are correlated, and this *collinearity* (also called *multicollinearity*) complicates model estimation.

Remember: Predictors are also called explanatory or <u>independent</u> variables, so they should be independent of each other.

- ▶ We don't like adding predictors that are associated with each other to the model, because often times the addition of such variable brings nothing to the table. Instead, we prefer the simplest best model, i.e. *parsimonious* model.
- ► In addition, addition of collinear variables can result in unreliable estimates of the slope parameters.
- While it's impossible to avoid collinearity from arising in observational data, experiments are usually designed to control for correlated predictors.

## Outline

1. Housekeeping

## 2. Main ideas

- 1. In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model
  - 2. Categorical predictors and slopes for (almost) each level
  - 3. Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes
  - 4. Adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$  applies a penalty for additional variables
  - 5. Avoid collinearity in MLR
- 6. Model selection criterion depends on goal: significance vs. prediction
  - 7. Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR
- 3. Summary

▶ If the goal is to find the set of statistically predictors of y → use p-value selection.

- ▶ If the goal is to find the set of statistically predictors of y → use p-value selection.
- ▶ If the goal is to do better prediction of  $y \rightarrow$  use adjusted  $R^2$  selection.

- ▶ If the goal is to find the set of statistically predictors of y → use p-value selection.
- ▶ If the goal is to do better prediction of  $y \rightarrow$  use adjusted  $R^2$  selection.
- ► Either way, can use backward elimination or forward selection.

- ▶ If the goal is to find the set of statistically predictors of y → use p-value selection.
- ▶ If the goal is to do better prediction of  $y \rightarrow$  use adjusted  $R^2$  selection.
- ► Either way, can use backward elimination or forward selection.
- ► Expert opinion and focus of research might also demand that a particular variable be included in the model.

Using the p-value approach, which variable would you remove from the model first?

Estimate   Std. Error   t value   Pr(		
hrs_work 1048.96 149.25 7.03 raceblack -7998.99 6191.83 -1.29 raceasian 29909.80 9154.92 3.27 raceother -6756.32 7240.08 -0.93 age 565.07 133.77 4.22 genderfemale -17135.05 3705.35 -4.62 citizenyes -12907.34 8231.66 -1.57 time_to_work 90.04 79.83 1.13 langother -10510.44 5447.45 -1.93 marriedyes 5409.24 3900.76 1.39		t value Pr(> t )
raceblack -7998.99 6191.83 -1.29 raceasian 29909.80 9154.92 3.27 raceother -6756.32 7240.08 -0.93 age 565.07 133.77 4.22 genderfemale -17135.05 3705.35 -4.62 citizenyes -12907.34 8231.66 -1.57 time_to_work 90.04 79.83 1.13 langother -10510.44 5447.45 -1.93 marriedyes 5409.24 3900.76 1.39	(Intercept)	-1.31 0.19
raceasian 29909.80 9154.92 3.27 raceother -6756.32 7240.08 -0.93 age 565.07 133.77 4.22 genderfemale -17135.05 3705.35 -4.62 citizenyes -12907.34 8231.66 -1.57 time_to_work 90.04 79.83 1.13 langother -10510.44 5447.45 -1.93 marriedyes 5409.24 3900.76 1.39	hrs_work	7.03 0.00
raceother -6756.32 7240.08 -0.93 age 565.07 133.77 4.22 genderfemale -17135.05 3705.35 -4.62 citizenyes -12907.34 8231.66 -1.57 time_to_work 90.04 79.83 1.13 langother -10510.44 5447.45 -1.93 marriedyes 5409.24 3900.76 1.39	raceblack	-1.29 0.20
age     565.07     133.77     4.22       genderfemale     -17135.05     3705.35     -4.62       citizenyes     -12907.34     8231.66     -1.57       time_to_work     90.04     79.83     1.13       langother     -10510.44     5447.45     -1.93       marriedyes     5409.24     3900.76     1.39	raceasian	3.27 0.00
genderfemale -17135.05 3705.35 -4.62 citizenyes -12907.34 8231.66 -1.57 time_to_work 90.04 79.83 1.13 langother -10510.44 5447.45 -1.93 marriedyes 5409.24 3900.76 1.39	raceother	-0.93 0.35
citizenyes -12907.34 8231.66 -1.57 time_to_work 90.04 79.83 1.13 langother -10510.44 5447.45 -1.93 marriedyes 5409.24 3900.76 1.39	age	4.22 0.00
time_to_work 90.04 79.83 1.13 langother -10510.44 5447.45 -1.93 marriedyes 5409.24 3900.76 1.39	genderfemale	-4.62 0.00
Langother -10510.44 5447.45 -1.93 marriedyes 5409.24 3900.76 1.39	citizenyes	-1.57 0.12
marriedyes 5409.24 3900.76 1.39	time_to_work	1.13 0.26
	langother	-1.93 0.05
educollege 15993.85 4098.99 3.90	marriedyes	1.39 0.17
	educollege	3.90 0.00
edugrad 59658.52 5660.26 10.54	edugrad	10.54 0.00
disabilityyes -14142.79 6639.40 -2.13	disabilityyes	-2.13 0.03
birth_qrtrapr thru jun -2043.42 4978.12 -0.41	birth_qrtrapr thru jun	-0.41 0.68
birth_qrtrjul thru sep 3036.02 4853.19 0.63	birth_qrtrjul thru sep	0.63 0.53
birth_qrtroct thru dec 2674.11 5038.45 0.53	birth_qrtroct thru dec	0.53 0.60

(a) race:other

(d) birth\_qrtr:apr thru jun

(b) race

(e) birth\_qrtr

(c) time\_to\_work

Using the p-value approach, which variable would you remove from the model first?

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	-15342.76	11716.57	-1.31	0.19
hrs_work	1048.96	149.25	7.03	0.00
raceblack	-7998.99	6191.83	-1.29	0.20
raceasian	29909.80	9154.92	3.27	0.00
raceother	-6756.32	7240.08	-0.93	0.35
age	565.07	133.77	4.22	0.00
genderfemale	-17135.05	3705.35	-4.62	0.00
citizenyes	-12907.34	8231.66	-1.57	0.12
time_to_work	90.04	79.83	1.13	0.26
langother	-10510.44	5447.45	-1.93	0.05
marriedyes	5409.24	3900.76	1.39	0.17
educollege	15993.85	4098.99	3.90	0.00
edugrad	59658.52	5660.26	10.54	0.00
disabilityyes	-14142.79	6639.40	-2.13	0.03
birth_qrtrapr thru jun	-2043.42	4978.12	-0.41	0.68
birth_qrtrjul thru sep	3036.02	4853.19	0.63	0.53
birth_grtroct thru dec	2674.11	5038.45	0.53	0.60

(a) race:other

(d) birth\_qrtr:apr thru jun

(b) race

(e) birth\_qrtr

(c) time\_to\_work

# Using the p-value approach, which variable would you remove from the model next?

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	-14022.48	11137.08	-1.26	0.21
hrs_work	1045.85	149.05	7.02	0.00
raceblack	-7636.32	6177.50	-1.24	0.22
raceasian	29944.35	9137.13	3.28	0.00
raceother	-7212.57	7212.25	-1.00	0.32
age	559.51	133.27	4.20	0.00
genderfemale	-17010.85	3699.19	-4.60	0.00
citizenyes	-13059.46	8219.99	-1.59	0.11
time_to_work	88.77	79.73	1.11	0.27
langother	-10150.41	5431.15	-1.87	0.06
marriedyes	5400.41	3896.12	1.39	0.17
educollege	16214.46	4089.17	3.97	0.00
edugrad	59572.20	5631.33	10.58	0.00
disabilityyes	-14201.11	6628.26	-2.14	0.03

(a) married

(d) race:black

(b) race

(e) time\_to\_work

(c) race:other

# Using the p-value approach, which variable would you remove from the model next?

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	-14022.48	11137.08	-1.26	0.21
hrs_work	1045.85	149.05	7.02	0.00
raceblack	-7636.32	6177.50	-1.24	0.22
raceasian	29944.35	9137.13	3.28	0.00
raceother	-7212.57	7212.25	-1.00	0.32
age	559.51	133.27	4.20	0.00
genderfemale	-17010.85	3699.19	-4.60	0.00
citizenyes	-13059.46	8219.99	-1.59	0.11
time_to_work	88.77	79.73	1.11	0.27
langother	-10150.41	5431.15	-1.87	0.06
marriedyes	5400.41	3896.12	1.39	0.17
educollege	16214.46	4089.17	3.97	0.00
edugrad	59572.20	5631.33	10.58	0.00
disabilityyes	-14201.11	6628.26	-2.14	0.03

(a) married

(d) race:black

(b) race

(e) time\_to\_work

(c) race:other

## Outline

1. Housekeeping

### 2. Main ideas

- 1. In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model
  - 2. Categorical predictors and slopes for (almost) each level
  - 3. Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes
  - 4. Adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$  applies a penalty for additional variables
  - 5. Avoid collinearity in MLR
- 6. Model selection criterion depends on goal: significance vs. prediction
- 7. Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR 3. Summary

(7) Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR

# Important regardless of doing inference

 $\blacktriangleright$  Linearity  $\rightarrow$  randomly scattered residuals around 0 in the residuals plot

## (7) Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR

# Important regardless of doing inference

lackbox Linearity ightarrow randomly scattered residuals around 0 in the residuals plot

# Important for doing inference

- Nearly normally distributed residuals → histogram or normal probability plot of residuals
- ightharpoonup Constant variability of residuals (homoscedasticity) ightharpoonup no fan shape in the residuals plot
- ► Independence of residuals (and hence observations) → depends on data collection method, often violated for time-series data

## (7) Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR

## Important regardless of doing inference

ightharpoonup Linearity ightharpoonup randomly scattered residuals around 0 in the residuals plot

# Important for doing inference

- Nearly normally distributed residuals → histogram or normal probability plot of residuals
- ightharpoonup Constant variability of residuals (homoscedasticity) ightharpoonup no fan shape in the residuals plot
- ► Independence of residuals (and hence observations) → depends on data collection method, often violated for time-series data
- ► Also important to make sure that your explanatory variables are not collinear

Which of the following is the appropriate plot for checking the homoscedasticity condition in MLR?

- (a) scatterplot of residuals vs.  $\hat{y}$
- (b) scatterplot of residuals vs. x
- (c) histogram of residuals
- (d) normal probability plot of residuals
- (e) scatterplot of residuals vs. order of data collection

Which of the following is the appropriate plot for checking the homoscedasticity condition in MLR?

- (a) scatterplot of residuals vs.  $\hat{y}$
- (b) scatterplot of residuals vs. x
- (c) histogram of residuals
- (d) normal probability plot of residuals
- (e) scatterplot of residuals vs. order of data collection

Plotting residuals against  $\hat{y}$  (predicted, or fitted, values of y) allows us to evaluate the whole model as a whole as opposed to homoscedasticity with regards to just one of the explanatory variables in the model.

## Outline

- 1. Housekeeping
- 2. Main ideas
- 1. In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model
  - 2. Categorical predictors and slopes for (almost) each level
  - Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes
  - 4. Adjusted  $R^2$  applies a penalty for additional variables
  - 5. Avoid collinearity in MLR
- 6. Model selection criterion depends on goal: significance vs. prediction
  - 7. Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR

## 3. Summary

## Summary of main ideas

- In MLR everything is conditional on all other variables in the model
- 2. Categorical predictors and slopes for (almost) each level
- 3. Inference for MLR: model as a whole + individual slopes
- 4. Adjusted  $R^2$  applies a penalty for additional variables
- 5. Avoid collinearity in MLR
- 6. Model selection criterion depends on goal: significance vs. prediction
- Conditions for MLR are (almost) the same as conditions for SLR