



5th CONIT 2024 - PAPER NOTIFICATION

1 message

Microsoft CMT <noreply@msr-cmt.org>
To: KANISHKA SINGH <kannu7078@gmail.com>

Tue, 29 Apr 2025 at 11:26

Dear KANISHKA SINGH

Paper Id : 791

Submission Title - This project includes an application that guide parents to facilitate and ensure holistic growth in cognitive, psychometer and affective domain.

Thank you for submitting your research paper with 5th CONIT 2025

The final screening process of 2025 The 5th International Conference for Intelligent Technologies (CONIT) was very selective, after the screening by Technical Program committee this is to inform that your paper not able to accept for Oral Presentation in the IEEE 5th CONIT 2025 and it cannot be submitted to IEEE Xplore for the further publication.

Reason of rejection in different phases : Similarity Index/ Completely Review work / Less Technical Contribution in Paper / Out of Scope

CONIT respects and appreciate authors time and contribution to research field , we wish you could improve your paper and publish it in better platform.

===== Review 1 =====

*** Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (1)

*** Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (2)

*** Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (2)

*** Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Not Well written. (2)

*** Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper?

In this paper, a new application is proposed. In addition, the paper discusses the different aspects of technology in the field of Programming testing to guarantee programming quality

*** Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

*** Recommended changes: Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted.

The paper should be more result oriented.

===== Review 2 =====

*** Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Not Acceptable (2)

*** Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the Analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (2)

*** Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper. Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (2)

*** Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (1)

*** Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper
The paper reviews different aspects of the concern

*** Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

The future contribution of the paper should be mentioned in the paper

The presentation quality of the paper has to be improved significantly. The details of the author's contribution are too little.

*** Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted...

The presentation quality of the paper has to be improved significantly

The detail of simulation/Result is too little.

===== Review 3 =====

*** Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

*** Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Marginal work and simple contribution. Some flaws. (1)

*** Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper. Minor variations on a well investigated subject. (2)

*** Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Okay. Well Written

*** Strong aspects: Comments to the author: what are the strong aspects of the paper

In this research, a new scenario of the technology has been introduced; research may be interesting for readers in this area.

*** Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper?

Decision: Not accepted

Regards,
Publication Chair
5th CONIT 2025

To stop receiving conference emails, you can check the 'Do not send me conference email' box from your User Profile.

Microsoft respects your privacy. To learn more, please read our [Privacy Statement](#).

Microsoft Corporation
One [Microsoft Way](#)
[Redmond, WA 98052](#)