

O'REILLY®


Strata Data Conference

PRESENTED WITH **CLOUDERA**

Deep Learning Methods for Natural Language Processing

Garrett Hoffman

Director of Data Science @ StockTwits



Talk Overview

- Learning Distributed Representations of Words with Word2Vec
- Recurrent Neural Networks and their Variants
- Convolutional Neural Networks for Language Tasks
- State of the Art in NLP
- Practical Considerations for Modeling with Your Data

<https://github.com/GarrettHoffman/talks-and-tutorials>

Learning Distributed Representations of Words with Word2Vec

Sparse Representation

A sparse, or one hot, representation is where we represent a word as a vector with a 1 in the position of the words index and 0 elsewhere

Sparse Representation

Let's say we have a vocabulary of 10,000 words

$V = [a, aaron, \dots, zulu, <UNK>]$

$\text{Man (5,001)} = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \]$

Sparse Representation

Let's say we have a vocabulary of 10,000 words

$V = [a, aaron, \dots, zulu, <UNK>]$

Man (5,001) = $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0]$

Woman (9,800) = $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0]$

Sparse Representation

Let's say we have a vocabulary of 10,000 words

$V = [a, aaron, \dots, zulu, <UNK>]$

Man (5,001) = $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0]$

Woman (9,800) = $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0]$

King (4,914) = $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$

Queen (7,157) = $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0]$

Sparse Representation

Let's say we have a vocabulary of 10,000 words

$V = [a, aaron, \dots, zulu, <UNK>]$

Man (5,001) = $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0]$

Woman (9,800) = $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0]$

King (4,914) = $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$

Queen (7,157) = $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0]$

Great (3,401) = $[0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$

Wonderful (9,805) = $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0]$

Sparse Representation Drawbacks

- The size of our representation increases with the size of our vocabulary

Sparse Representation Drawbacks

- The size of our representation increases with the size of our vocabulary
- The representation doesn't provide any information about how words relate to each other

Sparse Representation Drawbacks

- The size of our representation increases with the size of our vocabulary
- The representation doesn't provide any information about how words relate to each other
 - E.g. “I learned so much at AI Conf and met tons of practitioners!”, “Strata is a great place to learn from industry experts”

Distributed Representation

A distributed representation is where we represent a word as a prespecified number of latent features that each correspond to some semantic or syntactic concept

Distributed Representation

	Gender
Man	-1.0
Woman	1.0
King	-0.97
Queen	0.98
Great	0.02
Wonderful	0.01

Distributed Representation

	Gender	Royalty
Man	-1.0	0.01
Woman	1.0	0.02
King	-0.97	0.97
Queen	0.98	0.99
Great	0.02	0.15
Wonderful	0.01	0.05

Distributed Representation

	Gender	Royalty	...	Polarity
Man	-1.0	0.01	...	0.02
Woman	1.0	0.02	...	-0.01
King	-0.97	0.97	...	0.01
Queen	0.98	0.99	...	-0.02
Great	0.02	0.15	...	0.89
Wonderful	0.01	0.05	...	0.94

Word2Vec

One method used to learn these distributed representations of words (a.k.a. word embeddings) using the Word2Vec algorithm

Word2Vec uses a 2-layered neural network to reconstruct the context of words

[“Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality”, Mikolov et al. \(2013\)](#)



*you shall know a
word by the company
it keeps*

- J.R. Firth

Word2Vec - Generating Data


Source Text

Training
Samples

The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. →	(the, quick) (the, brown)
The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. →	(quick, the) (quick, brown) (quick, fox)
The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. →	(brown, the) (brown, quick) (brown, fox) (brown, jumps)
The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. →	(fox, quick) (fox, brown) (fox, jumps) (fox, over)


[McCormick, C. \(2016, April 19\). Word2Vec Tutorial - The Skip-Gram Model.](#)

Word2Vec - Skip-gram Network Architecture




[McCormick, C. \(2016, April 19\). Word2Vec Tutorial - The Skip-Gram Model.](#)

Word2Vec - Skip-gram Network Architecture



[McCormick, C. \(2016, April 19\). Word2Vec Tutorial - The Skip-Gram Model.](#)

Word2Vec - Embedding Layer




[McCormick, C. \(2016, April 19\). Word2Vec Tutorial - The Skip-Gram Model.](#)

Word2Vec - Embedding Layer


$$[0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0] \times \begin{bmatrix} 17 & 24 & 1 \\ 23 & 5 & 7 \\ 4 & 6 & 13 \\ 10 & 12 & 19 \\ 11 & 18 & 25 \end{bmatrix} = [10 \quad 12 \quad 19]$$

Word2Vec - Skip-gram Network Architecture




[McCormick, C. \(2016, April 19\). Word2Vec Tutorial - The Skip-Gram Model.](#)

Word2Vec - Output Layer



Word2Vec - Intuition



[McCormick, C. \(2017, January 11\). Word2Vec Tutorial Part 2 - Negative Sampling.](#)

Word2Vec - Negative Sampling


In our output layer we have $300 \times 10,000 = 3,000,000$ weights, but given that we are predicting a single word at a time we only have a single “positive” output out of 10,000 output.

Word2Vec - Negative Sampling


In our output layer we have $300 \times 10,000 = 3,000,000$ weights, but given that we are predicting a single word at a time we only have a single “positive” output out of 10,000 output.

For efficiency, we will randomly update only a small sample of weights associated with “negative” examples. E.g. if we sample 5 “negative” examples to update we will only update 1,800 weights ($5 \text{ “negative”} + 1 \text{ “positive”} \times 300$) weights.


Word2Vec - Results



Male-Female



Verb tense



Country-Capital

<https://www.tensorflow.org/tutorials/word2vec>

Pre-Trained Word Embedding

<https://github.com/Hironsan/awesome-embedding-models>

```
import gensim
```

```
# Load Google's pre-trained Word2Vec model.
```

```
model =
```


```
gensim.models.KeyedVectors.load_word2vec_format('./GoogleNews-vectors-negative300.bin', binary=True)
```

Doc2Vec

Classifier

Average/Concatenate


Word Matrix



Classifier

Average/Concatenate

Paragraph Matrix----->



[Distributed Representations of Sentences and Documents](#)


Recurrent Neural Networks and their Variants

Sequence Models


When dealing with text classification models, we are working with sequential data, i.e. data with some aspect of temporal change

We are typically analyzing a sequence of words and our output can be a single value (e.g. sentiment classification) or another sequence (e.g. text summarization, language translation, entity recognition)

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)




Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)




<http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/>

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)




<http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/>

Long Term Dependency Problem




<http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/>

Long Short Term Memory (LSTMs)




<http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/>

Long Short Term Memory (LSTMs)




<http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/>

Long Short Term Memory (LSTMs)




<http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/>

LSTM - Forget Gate



$$f_t = \sigma (W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f)$$


LSTM - Learn Gate



$$i_t = \sigma (W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i)$$


$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C)$$

LSTM - Update Gate



$$C_t = f_t * C_{t-1} + i_t * \tilde{C}_t$$


LSTM - Output Gate



$$o_t = \sigma (W_o [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o)$$

$$h_t = o_t * \tanh (C_t)$$

Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU)



$$z_t = \sigma (W_z \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t])$$


$$r_t = \sigma (W_r \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t])$$

$$\tilde{h}_t = \tanh (W \cdot [r_t * h_{t-1}, x_t])$$


$$h_t = (1 - z_t) * h_{t-1} + z_t * \tilde{h}_t$$

Types of RNNs


one to one




one to many




many to one



many to many




many to many




Types of RNNs


one to one




one to many




many to one




many to many



many to many



LSTM Network Architecture




Learning Embeddings End-to-End


Distributed representations can also be learned in an end-to-end fashion as part of the model training process for an arbitrary task.

Trained under this paradigm, distributed representations will specifically learn to represent items as they relate to the learning task.

Dropout




(a) Standard Neural Net



(b) After applying dropout.

Bidirectional LSTM




Convolutional Neural Networks for Language Tasks

Computer Vision Models


Computer Vision (CV) models are used for problems that involve working with image or video data - this typically involves image classification or object detection.

The CV research community has seen a lot of progress and creativity over the last few year - ultimately inspiring the application of CV models to other domains.

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)



Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)



<http://colah.github.io/posts/2014-07-Conv-Nets-Modular/>

CNNs - Convolution Function

Input Vector

0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	2	1	1	2
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	1

Kernel / Filter

0	0	2
1	2	0
1	2	2

CNNs - Convolution Function

Input Vector

0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	2	1	1	2
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	1

Kernel / Filter

0	0	2
1	2	0
1	2	2

CNNs - Convolution Function

Input Vector

0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	2	1	1	2
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	1

Kernel / Filter

0	0	0
1	0	0
0	2	0

Output Vector

2			

CNNs - Convolution Function

Input Vector

0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	2	1	1	2
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	1

Kernel / Filter

0	0	0
1	0	0
0	2	0

Output Vector

2	3		

CNNs - Convolution Function

Input Vector

0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	2	1	1	2
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	1

Kernel / Filter

0	0	0
1	0	0
0	2	0

Output Vector

2	3	4	

CNNs - Convolution Function

Input Vector

0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	2	1	1	2
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	1

Kernel / Filter

0	0	0
1	0	0
0	2	0

Output Vector

2	3	4	3

CNNs - Convolution Function

Input Vector

0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	2	1	1	2
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	1

Kernel / Filter

0	0	0
1	0	0
0	2	0

Output Vector

2	3	4	3
0			

CNNs - Convolution Function

Input Vector

0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	2	1	1	2
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	1

Kernel / Filter

0	0	0
1	0	0
0	2	0

Output Vector

2	3	4	3
0	1		

CNNs - Convolution Function

Input Vector

0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	2	1	1	2
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	1

Kernel / Filter

0	0	0
1	0	0
0	2	0

Output Vector

2	3	4	3
0	1	1	1
1	2	2	2
2	2	3	3

CNNs - Max Pooling Function

Input Vector

2	3	4	3
0	1	1	1
1	2	2	2
2	2	3	3

Output Vector

3	

CNNs - Max Pooling Function

Input Vector

2	3	4	3
0	1	1	1
1	2	2	2
2	2	3	3

Output Vector

3	4

CNNs - Max Pooling Function

Input Vector

2	3	4	3
0	1	1	1
1	2	2	2
2	2	3	3

Output Vector

3	4
2	

CNNs - Max Pooling Function


Input Vector

2	3	4	3
0	1	1	1
1	2	2	2
2	2	3	3


Output Vector

3	4
2	3

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)



CNN Architecture for Text




State of the Art in NLP - Generalized Language Models

Generalized Language Modeling


Model that predicts the next word in a sentence. This is a model that is literally trying to learn the nuances of a language.

Types of RNNs


one to one




one to many




many to one



many to many



many to many



Generalized Language Modeling

$P(S)$

$$=P(w_1, \dots, w_n)$$


$$=\prod_i P(w_i | w_1, \dots, w_{i-1})$$

$$=P(w_1) * P(w_2 | w_1) * P(w_3) * P(w_1, w_2) * \dots * \mathbf{P(w_n | w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})}$$

Current SOTA


- ELMo — Universal Language Model Fine-tuning for Text Classification, Allen AI / UW (March 2018)
- ULMFiT — Universal Language Model Fine-tuning for Text Classification, fast.ai (May 2018)
- BERT — Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers, GoogleAI (Nov 2018)
- GPT / GPT-2 — Generative Pre-training Transformer, OpenAI (June 2018, Feb 2019)

ULMFiT



<http://nlp.fast.ai/classification/2018/05/15/introducing-ulmfit.html>

ULMFiT




<http://nlp.fast.ai/classification/2018/05/15/introducing-ulmfit.html>

ULMFiT - GLM Pre Training

- Train Generalized Language Model using an ***AWD-LSTM*** on Wikipedia text
- AWD-LSTM is like a regular LSTM but is super regularized (lot's of dropout!) and uses some optimization tricks

ULMFiT




<http://nlp.fast.ai/classification/2018/05/15/introducing-ulmfit.html>

ULMFiT - Refine GLM for Target Task

- Start with pre-trained model and train on corpus / vocabulary for specific task
- Uses **Discriminative Fine-Tuning** — different learning rates are used for different layers since layers capture different information
- Users **Slanted Triangular Learning Rates (STLR)** — learning rates first increased, then decreased slightly

ULMFiT



<http://nlp.fast.ai/classification/2018/05/15/introducing-ulmfit.html>


ULMFiT - Target Task Classification Training

- Append two feed forward layers and a softmax output layer to predict target labels
- Uses **Concat Pooling** — extracts max and mean pooling over history of hidden states and appends to final state
- Users **Gradual Unfreeze** — during training update only a single GLM layer on each epoch

BERT / GPT-2 - Transformer Model

- BERT and GPT-2 use a similar approach of learning a Generalized Language Model and uses supervised fine tuning
- These models use a **Transformer Model** instead of an RNN

Attention Mechanism



<http://www.abigailsee.com/2017/04/16/taming-rnns-for-better-summarization.html>

Transformer Model





Figure 1: The Transformer - model architecture.

[Attention Is All You Need](#)

Transformer Model

Scaled Dot-Product Attention



Multi-Head Attention





Figure 2: (left) Scaled Dot-Product Attention. (right) Multi-Head Attention consists of several attention layers running in parallel.

[Attention Is All You Need](#)

Transformer Model



<http://mlexplained.com/2017/12/29/attention-is-all-you-need-explained/>

Practical Considerations for Modeling with Your Data

Practical Considerations

- Data, data, data — but now maybe a little bit less data with transfer learning

Practical Considerations

- Data, data, data — but now maybe a little bit less data with transfer learning
- Subject Matter and Domain Specific Lexicon — be cognisant of how you embeddings are created and tune them to your domain!

Practical Considerations

- Data, data, data — but now maybe a little bit less data with transfer learning
- Subject Matter and Domain Specific Lexicon — be cognisant of how you embeddings are created and tune them to your domain
- Changing Lexicon over Time — retrain / re-tune as necessary

Thanks!

Any questions?

You can find me at

- @garrettleeh (Twitter and StockTwits)
- garrett@stocktwits.com

and related resources at

- <https://github.com/GarrettHoffman/talks-and-tutorials>
- <https://www.oreilly.com/ideas/introduction-to-lstms-with-tensorflow>

Rate today's session

Cyberconflict: A new era of war, sabotage, and fear

David Sanger (The New York Times)
9:55am-10:10am Wednesday, March 27, 2019
Location: Ballroom

Secondary topics: Security and Privacy

Rate This Session

We're living in a new era of constant sabotage, misinformation, and fear, in which everyone is a target, and you're often the collateral damage in a growing conflict among states. From crippling infrastructure to sowing discord and doubt, cyber is now the weapon of choice for democracies, dictators, and terrorists.

David Sanger explains how the rise of cyberweapons has transformed geopolitics like nothing since the invention of the atomic bomb. Moving from the White House Situation Room to the dens of Chinese, Russian, North Korean, and Iranian hackers to the boardrooms of Silicon Valley, David reveals a world coming face-to-face with the perils of technological revolution—a conflict that the United States helped start when it began using cyberweapons against Iranian nuclear plants and North Korean missile launches. But now we find ourselves in a conflict we're uncertain how to control, as our adversaries exploit vulnerabilities in our hyperconnected nation and we struggle to figure out how to deter these complex, short-of-war attacks.

David Sanger
The New York Times

David E. Sanger is the national security correspondent for the *New York Times* as well as a national security and political contributor for CNN and a frequent guest on *CBS This Morning*, *Face the Nation*, and many PBS shows.

[Add to Your Schedule](#)
[Add Comment or Question](#)

[See passes & pricing](#)


Session page on conference website

✓ **Attending** [Notes](#) [Remove](#)

Cyberconflict: A new era of war, sabotage, and fear

9:55 AM - 10:10 AM, Wed, Mar 27, 2019

Speakers

 **David Sanger**
National Security Correspondent
The New York Times

📍 Ballroom

Keynotes

David Sanger explains how the rise of cyberweapons has transformed geopolitics like nothing since the invention of the atomic bomb. From crippling infrastructure to sowing discord and doubt, cyber is now the weapon of choice for democracies, dictators, and terrorists.

[SESSION EVALUATION](#)

O'Reilly Events App