1. The-Axiom-of-Completeness

- 1.1. Initial Definition for R
- 1.2. Axiom of Completeness
- 1.3. Upper and Lower Bounds
- 1.4. Supremum and Infimum
- 1.5. Maximum and Minimum
- 1.6. Q and the Axiom of Completeness
- 1.7. $\sup(c + A) = c + \sup A$

- **ID:** 202501180703
- Timestamp: Saturday 18th January, 2025 13:31
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I-Abbott, The-Axiom-of-Completeness
- References:
 - Abbott, S., Understanding Analysis

Main Content

Main Idea

R is an ordered field and contains Q as a subfield.

Explanation

R is a field, meaning that addition and multiplication of real numbers are commutative, associative, and the distributive property holds. R also has an order, meaning the following two properties hold:

1. If $x \in R$ and $y \in R$, then one and only one of the statements

$$x < y,$$
 $x = y,$ $y < x$

is true.

2. If $x, y, z \in R$, if x < y and y < z, then x < z.

Finally, R is a set containing Q. The operations of addition and multiplication on Q extend to all of R in such a way that every element of R has an additive inverse and every nonzero element of R has a multiplicative inverse.

Review

1. Define the set of real numbers.

Links to Other Notes

•

- ID: 202501180727
- Timestamp: Saturday 18th January, 2025 13:31
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I-Abbott, The-Axiom-of-Completeness
- References:
 - Abbott, S., Understanding Analysis

Main Content

Main Idea

Every nonempty set of real numbers that is bounded above has a least upper bound.

Explanation

Review

1. Define the Axiom of Completeness.

Links to Other Notes

• Initial Definition for R

Table of Contents

- **ID:** 202501180734
- Timestamp: Saturday 18th January, 2025 13:31
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I-Abbott, The-Axiom-of-Completeness
- References:
 - Abbott, S., Understanding Analysis

Main Content

Main Idea

A set $A \subset R$ is bounded above if there exists a number $b \in R$ such that $a \leq b$ for all $a \in A$. The number b is called an upper bound for A. Likewise, the set A is bounded below if there exists a lower bound $l \in R$ such that $l \leq a$ for every $a \in A$.

Explanation

Review

1. Define upper and lower bounds.

Links to Other Notes

- Initial Definition for R
- Axiom of Completeness

Table of Contents

- ID: 202501180743
- Timestamp: Saturday 18th January, 2025 13:31
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I-Abbott, The-Axiom-of-Completeness
- References:
 - Abbott, S., Understanding Analysis

Main Content

Main Idea

A real number s is the least upper bound for a set $A \subset R$ if it meets the following two criteria:

- 1. s is an upper bound for A;
- 2. if b is any upper bound for A, then $s \leq b$.

Explanation

The least upper bound is frequently called the supremum of the set A, denoted $s = \sup A$.

Review

- 1. Define the supremum of a set.
- 2. Define the infimum, or the greatest lower bound, of a set.
- 3. Are least upper bounds unique? Explain.
- 4. Let

$$A = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}.$$

What is $\sup A$ and $\inf A$?

- Initial Definition for R
- Axiom of Completeness
- Upper and Lower Bounds

- **ID**: 202501181241
- Timestamp: Saturday 18th January, 2025 13:31
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I-Abbott, The-Axiom-of-Completeness
- References:
 - Abbott, S., Understanding Analysis

Main Content

Main Idea

A real number a_0 is a maximum of the set A if a_0 is an element of A and $a_0 \ge a$ for all $a \in A$.

Explanation

The supremum can exist and not be a maximum, but when a maximum exists, then it is also the supremum.

Review

- 1. Define maximum.
- 2. Define minimum.
- 3. Consider the open interval

$$(0,2) = \{x \in R : 0 < x < 2\},\$$

and the closed interval

$$[0,2] = \{x \in R : 0 \le x \le 2\}.$$

What are the maximums of the two sets? What are the supremums?

- Initial Definition for R
- Axiom of Completeness
- Upper and Lower Bounds
- Supremum and Infimum

- ID: 202501181257
- Timestamp: Saturday 18th January, 2025 13:31
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I-Abbott, The-Axiom-of-Completeness
- References:
 - Abbott, S., Understanding Analysis

Main Content

Main Idea

The Axiom of Completeness is not a valid statement about Q.

Explanation

Consider the set

$$S = \{ r \in Q : r^2 < 2 \}.$$

This set is certainly bounded above, however, when we search for the least upper bound, we can always find a smaller supremum. For example, we might try b = 2, b = 3/2, b = 142/100, b = 1415/1000, and so on.

Review

- 1. Is the Axiom of Completeness a valid statement about Q? Explain.
- 2. Does the set

$$S = \{ r \in Q : r^2 < 2 \}$$

have a supremum under R?

- Initial Definition for R
- Axiom of Completeness
- Upper and Lower Bounds
- Supremum and Infimum
- Maximum and Minimum

• ID: 202501181310

• Timestamp: Saturday 18th January, 2025 13:31

• Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I-Abbott, The-Axiom-of-Completeness

• References:

- Abbott, S., Understanding Analysis

Main Content

Main Idea

Let $A \subset R$ be nonempty and bounded above, and let $c \in R$. Define the set c + A by

$$c + A = \{c + a : a \in A\}.$$

Then $\sup(c+A) = c + \sup A$.

Explanation

Let $s = \sup A$. We see that $a \leq s$ for all $a \in A$, which implies $c + a \leq c + s$ for all $a \in A$. Thus c + s is an upper bound for c + A and condition (1) of Supremum and Infimum is verified. For (2), let b be an arbitrary upper bound for c+A, thus $c+a \leq b$ for all $a \in A$. This is equivalent to $a \leq b - c$ for all $a \in A$, from which we conclude that b - c is an upper bound for A. Because s is the least upper bound of A, $s \leq b - c$, which can be rewritten as $c + s \leq b$. This verifies part (2) of Supremum and Infimum, and we conclude $\sup(c+A) = c + \sup A.$

Review

1. Prove $\sup(c+A) = c + \sup A$.

- Initial Definition for R
- Axiom of Completeness
- Upper and Lower Bounds

- Supremum and Infimum
- Maximum and Minimum
- $\bullet\,$ Q and the Axiom of Completeness

 \bullet TOC