- 1. The-Real-and-Complex-Number-Systems
  - 1.1. Definition of Rational Numbers
  - 1.2. Rationals are Inadequate
  - 1.3. Order
  - 1.4. Ordered Set
  - 1.5. Upper Bounds and Lower Bounds
  - 1.6. Supremum and Infimum

- ID: 202501131947
- Timestamp: Tuesday 14th January, 2025 16:22
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I, The-Real-and-Complex-Number-Systems
- References:
  - Analysis I
  - Rudin W., Principles of Mathematical Analysis

# Main Content

#### Main Idea

Rational numbers are of the form  $\frac{m}{n}$ , where m and n are integers and  $n \neq 0$ .

### Explanation

# Review

1. Define the rational number system.

# Links to Other Notes

•

# **Table of Contents**

• ID: 202501132004

• Timestamp: Tuesday 14th January, 2025 16:22

• Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I, The-Real-and-Complex-Number-System

• References:

- Analysis I

- Rudin W., Principles of Mathematical Analysis

### **Main Content**

#### Main Idea

The rational number system is inadequate for many purposes, both as a field and as an ordered set.

#### Explanation

For example, there is no rational p such that  $p^2 = 2$ .

**Proof 0.1** Suppose on the contrary there was a p that satisfied  $p^2 = 2$ . We could write  $p = \frac{m}{n}$ , where m and n are integers and coprime. The original expression implies

$$(\frac{m}{n})^2 = 2$$
$$\frac{m^2}{n^2} = 2$$
$$m^2 = 2n^2$$

From this expression, we see that  $m^2$  is even, and thus, m is even. Plugging 2k in for m, it is clear that  $m^2$  is divisible by 4. It follows that  $2n^2$  is divisible by 4 as well, which implies  $n^2$  is even, and thus, n is even. Therefore, our assumption leads to a contradiction that both m and n are even, thus violating the coprime property of m and n. Hence, it is impossible for p to be rational.

**Proof 0.2 (Alternative)** Let A be the set of all positive rationals p such that  $p^2 < 2$  and let B consist of all positive rationals p such that  $p^2 > 2$ . By showing there is no largest element in A and no smallest element in B, we effectively partion the set of rational numbers, thus implying there is no rational p that falls outside these two sets, therefore satisfying  $p^2 = 2$ .

To prove that for every p in A we can find a rational q in A such that p < q, we associate with each rational p > 0 the number

$$q = p - \frac{p^2 - 2}{p + 2} = \frac{2p + 2}{p + 2}. (1)$$

Then

$$q^2 - 2 = \frac{2(p^2 - 2)}{(p+2)^2}. (2)$$

If p is in A then  $p^2 - 2 < 0$ , (1) shows that q > p, and (2) shows that  $q^2 < 2$ . Thus q is in A. If p is in B then  $p^2 - 2 > 0$ , (1) shows that 0 < q < p, and (2) shows that  $q^2 > 2$ . Thus q is in B.

# Review

1. Prove that there is no rational p such that  $p^2 = 2$  in two different ways.

### Links to Other Notes

• Definition of Rational Numbers

# **Table of Contents**

- **ID:** 202501141228
- Timestamp: Tuesday 14th January, 2025 16:22
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I, The-Real-and-Complex-Number-Systems

Order

- References:
  - Analysis I
  - Rudin W., Principles of Mathematical Analysis

### **Main Content**

#### Main Idea

Let S be a set. An order on S is a relation, denoted by <, with the following two properties:

• If  $x \in S$  and  $y \in S$  then one and only one of the statements

$$x < y,$$
  $x = y,$   $y < x$ 

is true.

• If  $x, y, z \in S$ , if x < y and y < z, then x < z.

### Explanation

# Review

1. Define order.

## Links to Other Notes

•

# **Table of Contents**

- **ID:** 202501141241
- Timestamp: Tuesday 14th January, 2025 16:22
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I, The-Real-and-Complex-Number-Systems
- References:
  - Analysis I
  - Rudin W., Principles of Mathematical Analysis

# **Main Content**

#### Main Idea

An ordered set is a set S in which an order is defined.

### Explanation

For example, Q is an ordered set if r < s is defined to mean that s - r is a positive rational number.

# Review

1. Define ordered set and give an example.

# Links to Other Notes

• Order

# Table of Contents

- **ID:** 202501141250
- Timestamp: Tuesday 14th January, 2025 16:22
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I, The-Real-and-Complex-Number-Systems
- References:
  - Analysis I
  - Rudin W., Principles of Mathematical Analysis

# **Main Content**

#### Main Idea

Suppose S is an orderd set, and  $E \subset S$ . If there exists a  $\beta \in S$  such that  $x \leq \beta$  for every  $x \in E$ , we say that E is bounded above, and call  $\beta$  an upper bound of E. Lower bounds are defined in the same way.

#### Explanation

# Review

- 1. Define upper bound.
- 2. Define lower bound.

# Links to Other Notes

- Order
- Ordered Set

## Table of Contents

- **ID:** 202501141546
- Timestamp: Tuesday 14<sup>th</sup> January, 2025 16:22
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I, The-Real-and-Complex-Number-Systems
- References:
  - Analysis I
  - Rudin W., Principles of Mathematical Analysis

### **Main Content**

#### Main Idea

Suppose S is an ordered set,  $E \subset S$ , and E is bounded above. Suppose there exists an  $\alpha \in S$  with the following properties:

- $\alpha$  is an upper bound of E.
- If  $\gamma < \alpha$  then  $\gamma$  is not an upper bound of E.

Then  $\alpha$  is called the least upper bound of E or the supremum of E, and we write

$$\alpha = \sup E$$
.

The greatest lower bound, or infimum, of a set E which is bounded below is defined in the same manner: If  $\alpha = \inf E$ , then  $\alpha$  is a lower bound of E and no  $\beta$  with  $\beta > \alpha$  is a lower bound of E.

#### Explanation

For example, consider the sets A and B from the alternative proof in Rationals are Inadequate. A and B are subsets of the ordered set Q. The set A is bounded above by the members of B. Since B contains no smallest member, A has no supremum in Q. B is bounded below by the members of A. Since A has no largest member, B has no infimum in A.

# Review

- 1. Define supremum.
- 2. Define infimum.

- 3. If  $\alpha = \sup E$  exists, then must  $\alpha$  be a member of E? Give an example to justify your answer.
- 4. Let E consist of all numbers 1/n, where n=1,2,3,... What is  $\sup E$  and  $\inf E$ ? Are  $\sup E$  and  $\inf E$  members of E?

# Links to Other Notes

- Definition of Rational Numbers
- Rationals are Inadequate
- $\bullet$  Order
- Ordered Set
- Upper Bounds and Lower Bounds

# **Table of Contents**

 $\bullet$  TOC