- $1. \ \, {\it The-Real-and-Complex-Number-Systems}$
 - 1.1. Definition of Rational Numbers
 - 1.2. Rationals are Inadequate
 - 1.3. Order

Note Information

- ID: 202501131947
- Timestamp: Tuesday 14th January, 2025 12:37
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I, The-Real-and-Complex-Number-Systems
- References:
 - Analysis I
 - Rudin W., Principles of Mathematical Analysis

Main Content

Main Idea

Rational numbers are of the form $\frac{m}{n}$, where m and n are integers and $n \neq 0$.

Explanation

Review

1. Define the rational number system.

Links to Other Notes

•

Table of Contents

• TOC

Note Information

- ID: 202501132004
- Timestamp: Tuesday 14th January, 2025 12:37
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I, The-Real-and-Complex-Number-System
- References:
 - Analysis I
 - Rudin W., Principles of Mathematical Analysis

Main Content

Main Idea

The rational number system is inadequate for many purposes, both as a field and as an ordered set.

Explanation

For example, there is no rational p such that $p^2 = 2$.

Proof 0.1 Suppose on the contrary there was a p that satisfied $p^2 = 2$. We could write $p = \frac{m}{n}$, where m and n are integers and coprime. The original expression implies

$$(\frac{m}{n})^2 = 2$$
$$\frac{m^2}{n^2} = 2$$
$$m^2 = 2n^2$$

From this expression, we see that m^2 is even, and thus, m is even. Plugging 2k in for m, it is clear that m^2 is divisible by 4. It follows that $2n^2$ is divisible by 4 as well, which implies n^2 is even, and thus, n is even. Therefore, our assumption leads to a contradiction that both m and n are even, thus violating the coprime property of m and m. Hence, it is impossible for m to be rational.

Proof 0.2 (Alternative) Let A be the set of all positive rationals p such that $p^2 < 2$ and let B consist of all positive rationals p such that $p^2 > 2$. By showing there is no largest element in A and no smallest element in B, we effectively partion the set of rational numbers, thus implying there is no rational p that falls outside these two sets, therefore satisfying $p^2 = 2$.

To prove that for every p in A we can find a rational q in A such that p < q, we associate with each rational p > 0 the number

$$q = p - \frac{p^2 - 2}{p + 2} = \frac{2p + 2}{p + 2}. (1)$$

Then

$$q^2 - 2 = \frac{2(p^2 - 2)}{(p+2)^2}. (2)$$

If p is in A then $p^2 - 2 < 0$, (1) shows that q > p, and (2) shows that $q^2 < 2$. Thus q is in A. If p is in B then $p^2 - 2 > 0$, (1) shows that 0 < q < p, and (2) shows that $q^2 > 2$. Thus q is in B.

Review

1. Prove that there is no rational p such that $p^2 = 2$ in two different ways.

Links to Other Notes

• Definition of Rational Numbers

Table of Contents

• TOC

Note Information

- **ID:** 202501141228
- Timestamp: Tuesday 14th January, 2025 12:37
- Tags: Mathematics, Analysis-I, The-Real-and-Complex-Number-Systems

Order

- References:
 - Analysis I
 - Rudin W., Principles of Mathematical Analysis

Main Content

Main Idea

Let S be a set. An order on S is a relation, denoted by <, with the following two properties:

• If $x \in S$ and $y \in S$ then one and only one of the statements

$$x < y,$$
 $x = y,$ $y < x$

is true.

• If $x, y, z \in S$, if x < y and y < z, then x < z.

Explanation

Review

1. Define order.

Links to Other Notes

•

Table of Contents

• TOC