

Resolution using Predicate Logic in Artificial Intelligence

1. Was Marcus Loyal to Caesar?

nil

↓ (1)

man(Marcus)

↓ (9)

person(Marcus)

↓ (8)

person(Marcus) ∧ tryassassinate{Marcus, Caesar}

↓ (4)

person(Marcus) ∧ tryassassinate{Marcus, Caesar}
∧ ruler(Caesar)

↓ (7, substitution)

¬ loyalto(Marcus, Caesar) ✓

1. *man(Marcus)*

2. *Pompeian(Marcus)*

3. $\forall x : \text{Pompeian}(x) \rightarrow \text{Roman}(x)$

4. *ruler(Caesar)*

5. $\forall x : \text{Roman}(x) \rightarrow \text{loyalto}(x, \text{Caesar})$
 $\vee \text{hate}(x, \text{Caesar})$

6. $\forall x : \exists y : \text{loyalto}(x, y)$

7. $\forall x : \forall y : \text{person}(x) \wedge \text{ruler}(y)$
 $\wedge \text{tryassassinate}(x, y) \rightarrow \neg \text{loyalto}(x, y)$

8. *tryassassinate(Marcus, Caesar)*

9. $\forall x : \text{man}(x) \rightarrow \text{person}(x)$

Resolution using Predicate Logic in Artificial Intelligence

2. Was Marcus hates Caesar?

$\neg \text{loyalto}(\text{Marcus}, \text{Caesar})$

$\downarrow (2)$

$\text{Pompeian}(\text{Marcus})$

$\neg \text{loyalto}(\text{Marcus}, \text{Caesar})$

$\downarrow (3)$

$\text{Roman}(\text{Marcus})$

$\neg \text{loyalto}(\text{Marcus}, \text{Caesar})$

$\downarrow (5)$

$\text{hate}(\text{Marcus}, \text{Caesar})$

1. $\text{man}(\text{Marcus})$

2. $\text{Pompeian}(\text{Marcus})$

3. $\forall x : \text{Pompeian}(x) \rightarrow \text{Roman}(x)$

4. $\text{ruler}(\text{Caesar})$

5. $\forall x : \text{Roman}(x) \rightarrow \text{loyalto}(x, \text{Caesar})$
 $\vee \text{hate}(x, \text{Caesar})$

6. $\forall x : \exists y : \text{loyalto}(x, y)$

7. $\forall x : \forall y : \text{person}(x) \wedge \text{ruler}(y)$
 $\wedge \text{tryassassinate}(x, y) \rightarrow \neg \text{loyalto}(x, y)$

8. $\text{tryassassinate}(\text{Marcus}, \text{Caesar})$

9. $\forall x : \text{man}(x) \rightarrow \text{person}(x)$

Facts to First Order Logic (FOL) in Artificial Intelligence

- a. John likes all kind of food.
- b. Apple and vegetable are food
- c. Anything anyone eats and not killed is food.
- d. Anil eats peanuts and still alive
- e. Harry eats everything that Anil eats.
- f. John likes peanuts.

- a. $\forall x: \text{food}(x) \rightarrow \text{likes}(\text{John}, x)$
 - b. $\text{food}(\text{Apple}) \wedge \text{food}(\text{vegetables})$
 - c. $\forall x \forall y: \text{eats}(x, y) \wedge \neg \text{killed}(x) \rightarrow \text{food}(y)$
 - d. $\text{eats}(\text{Anil}, \text{Peanuts}) \wedge \text{alive}(\text{Anil})$
 - e. $\forall x: \text{eats}(\text{Anil}, x) \rightarrow \text{eats}(\text{Harry}, x)$
 - f. $\forall x: \neg \text{killed}(x) \rightarrow \text{alive}(x)$
 - g. $\forall x: \text{alive}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{killed}(x)$
 - h. $\text{likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$
- } added predicates

First Order Logic to Conjunctive Normal Form

- Eliminate all implication (\rightarrow) and rewrite

- a. $\forall x: \text{food}(x) \rightarrow \text{likes}(\text{John}, x)$ $a \rightarrow b$
 $\neg a \vee b$
- b. $\text{food}(\text{Apple}) \wedge \text{food}(\text{vegetables})$
- c. $\forall x \forall y: \text{eats}(x, y) \wedge \neg \text{killed}(x) \rightarrow \text{food}(y)$
- d. $\text{eats}(\text{Anil}, \text{Peanuts}) \wedge \text{alive}(\text{Anil})$
- e. $\forall x: \text{eats}(\text{Anil}, x) \rightarrow \text{eats}(\text{Harry}, x)$
- f. $\forall x: \neg \text{killed}(x) \rightarrow \text{alive}(x)$
- g. $\forall x: \text{alive}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{killed}(x)$
- h. $\text{likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$

- a. $\forall x \neg \text{food}(x) \vee \text{likes}(\text{John}, x)$
- b. $\text{food}(\text{Apple}) \wedge \text{food}(\text{vegetables})$
- c. $\forall x \forall y \neg [\text{eats}(x, y) \wedge \neg \text{killed}(x)] \vee \text{food}(y)$
- d. $\text{eats}(\text{Anil}, \text{Peanuts}) \wedge \text{alive}(\text{Anil})$
- e. $\forall x \neg \text{eats}(\text{Anil}, x) \vee \text{eats}(\text{Harry}, x)$
- f. $\forall x \neg [\neg \text{killed}(x)] \vee \text{alive}(x)$
- g. $\forall x \neg \text{alive}(x) \vee \neg \text{killed}(x)$
- h. $\text{likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$

First Order Logic to Conjunctive Normal Form

- Move negation (\neg) inwards and rewrite

a. $\forall x \neg \text{food}(x) \vee \text{likes}(\text{John}, x)$

b. $\text{food}(\text{Apple}) \wedge \text{food}(\text{vegetables})$

c. $\forall x \forall y \neg [\text{eats}(x, y) \wedge \neg \text{killed}(x)] \vee \text{food}(y)$

d. $\text{eats}(\text{Anil}, \text{Peanuts}) \wedge \text{alive}(\text{Anil})$

e. $\forall x \neg \text{eats}(\text{Anil}, x) \vee \text{eats}(\text{Harry}, x)$

f. $\forall x \neg [\neg \text{killed}(x)] \vee \text{alive}(x)$

g. $\forall x \neg \text{alive}(x) \vee \neg \text{killed}(x)$

h. $\text{likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$

a. $\forall x \neg \text{food}(x) \vee \text{likes}(\text{John}, x)$

b. $\text{food}(\text{Apple}) \wedge \text{food}(\text{vegetables})$

✓ c. $\forall x \forall y \neg \text{eats}(x, y) \vee \text{killed}(x) \vee \text{food}(y)$

d. $\text{eats}(\text{Anil}, \text{Peanuts}) \wedge \text{alive}(\text{Anil})$

e. $\forall x \neg \text{eats}(\text{Anil}, x) \vee \text{eats}(\text{Harry}, x)$

f. $\forall x \neg \text{killed}(x) \vee \text{alive}(x)$

g. $\forall x \neg \text{alive}(x) \vee \neg \text{killed}(x)$

h. $\text{likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$

First Order Logic to Conjunctive Normal Form

- **Rename variables or standardize variables**

- $\forall x \neg \text{food}(x) \vee \text{likes}(\text{John}, x)$
 - $\text{food}(\text{Apple}) \wedge \text{food}(\text{vegetables})$
 - $\forall x \forall y \neg \text{eats}(x, y) \vee \text{killed}(x) \vee \text{food}(y)$
 - $\text{eats}(\text{Anil}, \text{Peanuts}) \wedge \text{alive}(\text{Anil})$
 - $\forall x \neg \text{eats}(\text{Anil}, x) \vee \text{eats}(\text{Harry}, x)$
 - $\forall x \text{ killed}(x) \supset \vee \text{alive}(x)$
 - $\forall x \neg \text{alive}(x) \vee \neg \text{killed}(x)$
 - $\text{likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$

First Order Logic to Conjunctive Normal Form

- Drop Universal quantifiers.

a. $\forall x \neg \text{food}(x) \vee \text{likes}(\text{John}, x)$

b. $\text{food}(\text{Apple}) \wedge \text{food}(\text{vegetables})$ \top

c. $\forall y \forall z \neg \text{eats}(y, z) \vee \text{killed}(y) \vee \text{food}(z)$

d. $\text{eats}(\text{Anil}, \text{Peanuts}) \wedge \text{alive}(\text{Anil})$

e. $\forall w \neg \text{eats}(\text{Anil}, w) \vee \text{eats}(\text{Harry}, w)$

f. $\forall g \text{ killed}(g) \vee \text{alive}(g)$

g. $\forall k \neg \text{alive}(k) \vee \neg \text{killed}(k)$

h. $\text{likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$.

a. $\neg \text{food}(x) \vee \text{likes}(\text{John}, x)$

~~b.~~ $\text{food}(\text{Apple})$

~~c.~~ $\text{food}(\text{vegetables})$

~~d.~~ $\neg \text{eats}(y, z) \vee \text{killed}(y) \vee \text{food}(z)$

e. $\text{eats}(\text{Anil}, \text{Peanuts})$

f. $\text{alive}(\text{Anil})$

g. $\neg \text{eats}(\text{Anil}, w) \vee \text{eats}(\text{Harry}, w)$

h. $\text{killed}(g) \vee \text{alive}(g)$

i. $\neg \text{alive}(k) \vee \neg \text{killed}(k)$

j. $\text{likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$.

Draw Resolution Tree in Artificial Intelligence

a. $\neg \text{food}(x) \vee \text{likes}(\text{John}, x)$

b. $\text{food}(\text{Apple})$

c. $\text{food}(\text{vegetables})$

d. $\neg \text{eats}(y, z) \vee \text{killed}(y) \vee \text{food}(z)$

e. $\text{eats}(\text{Anil}, \text{Peanuts})$

f. $\text{alive}(\text{Anil})$

g. $\neg \text{eats}(\text{Anil}, w) \vee \text{eats}(\text{Harry}, w)$

h. $\text{killed}(g) \vee \text{alive}(g)$

i. $\neg \text{alive}(k) \vee \neg \text{killed}(k)$

j. $\text{likes}(\text{John}, \text{Peanuts})$.



