# Table of Contents

- STAT 333 Course Note
- Table of Contents
- 1. Fundamental of Probability
  - 1.1. What's Probability
    - 1.1.1. Examples
      - Example 1
  - 1.2. Probability Models
    - 1.2.1. Examples
      - 1.2.1.1. Example 2
    - 1.2.2. Remark: why do we need the notion of event?
  - 1.3. Conditional Probability
  - 1.4. Independence
  - 1.5. Bayes' rule and law of total probability
    - 1.5.1. Bayes' rule
- 2. Random variables and distributions
  - o 2.1. Random variables
  - 2.2. Discrete random variables and distributions
    - 2.2.1. Examples of discrete distributions
      - 1. Bemoulli distribution
      - 2.Binomial distribution
      - 3.Geometric distribution
      - 4. Poisson distribution
  - 2.3. Continuous random variables and distributions
    - 2.3.1. Example of continuous distribution
  - o 2.4. Joint distribution of r.v's
  - o 2.5. Expectation
    - 2.5.1. Properties of expectation
    - 2.5.2. Definitions
  - o 2.6. Indicator
    - 2.6.1. Example
    - 2.6.1. Example 3
  - 2.7. Moment generating function
    - 2.7.1. Properties of mgf
    - 2.7.2. Joint mgf
      - 2.7.2.1. Properties of the joint mgf
- 3. Conditional distribution and conditional expectation
  - 3.1. Conditional distribution
    - 3.1.1. Discrete case
      - 3.1.1.1. Example
    - 3.1.2. Continuous case
      - 3.1.2.1. Example
      - 3.1.2.1. Example 2
  - 3.2. Conditional expectation
    - 3.2.1. What is  $\mathbb{E}(X|Y)$  ?
    - 3.2.2. Properties of conditional expectation
  - 3.3. Decomposition of variance (EVVE's low)
- 4. Stochastic Processes
  - o 4.1. Markov Chain
    - 4.1.1. Simple Random Walk
    - 4.1.2. Markov Chain
      - 4.1.2.1. Discrete-time Markov Chain
        - Definition and Examples
        - Example: simple random walk

- 4.1.3. One-step transition probability matrix
  - Example 4.1.3.1. simple random walk
  - Example 4.1.3.2. Ehrenfest's urn
  - Example 4.1.3.3: Gambler's ruin
  - Example 4.1.3.4: Bonus-Malus system
- 4.2. Chapman-Kolmogorov equations
  - 4.2.1. Conditional Law of total probability
  - 4.2.2. Distribution of  $X_n$
- 4.3. Stationary distribution (invariant distribution)
  - Example 4.3.1
- 4.4. Classification of States
  - 4.4.1. Transience and Recurrence
    - Definition 4.4.1. Transience and Recurrence
    - Example 4.4.1
  - 4.4.2. Periodicity
    - Definition 4.4.2. Period
    - Remark 4.4.2
  - 4.4.3. Equivalent classes and irreducibility
    - Definition 4.4.3.1. Assessable
    - Definition 4.4.3.2. Communicate
    - Fact 4.4.3.1
    - Definition 4.4.3.3. Class
    - Definition 4.4.3. Irreducible
    - Example 4.4.3.1. Find the classes
    - Example 4.4.3.2. Find the classes
    - Fact 4.4.3.2
    - Definition 4.4.3.5. Proposition
    - Remark 4.4.3.1
    - Theorem 4.4.3.1
- 4.5. Limiting Distribution
  - Theorem 4.5.1. Basic Limit Theorem
  - Remark 4.5.1
  - Remark 4.5.2
  - Example 4.5.1
  - Example 4.5.2
  - Example 4.5.3
  - Example 4.5.4. Electron
- 4.6. Generating function and branching processes
  - Definition 4.6.1
  - Properties of generating function
  - 4.6.1. Branching Process
    - 4.6.1.1. Mean and Variance
    - 4.6.1.2. Extinction Probability
- 5. Poisson Processes
  - 5.1. Counting Process
    - Definition 5.1.1. Counting Process N(t)
    - Example 5.1.1
    - Properties of a counting process
  - 5.2. Definition of Poisson Process
    - Interarrival Times
    - Definition 5.2.1. Renewal Process
    - Definition 5.2.2. Poisson Process
    - Recall : Properties of the Exponential Distributions
  - 5.3. Properties of Poisson Processes
    - 5.3.1. Continuous-time Markov Property
      - Fact 5.3.1.1
      - 5.3.1.1. Independent Increments
      - 5.3.1.2. Poisson Increments
      - Fact 5.3.1.2

- 5.3.1.3. Combining and Thining of Poisson Process
- 5.3.1.4 Order Statistics Property
- 6. Continuous-Time Markov Chain
  - o 6.1. Definitions and Structures
    - Definition 6.1.1. Continuous-time Stochastic Process
      - Example 6.1.1.1.
  - o 6.2. Generator Matrix
    - Example 6.2.1. Poisson Process
    - Example 6.2.2. 3-tables in a restaurant
  - o 6.3. Classification of States
    - 6.3.1. Positive / Null Recurrence
  - 6.4. Stationary Distribution
    - Definition 6.4.1. Stationary Distribution
    - Fact 6.4.1. Stationary Distribution
    - Remark 6.4.1. Kolmogorov's Backward Equation
    - 6.4.1. Basic Limit Theorem for CTMC
      - Remark 6.4.1.1
  - o 6.5. Birth and Death Processes
    - Definition 6.5.1. Birth and Death Process

# 1. Fundamental of Probability

# 1.1. What's Probability

#### 1.1.1. Examples

```
1. Coin toss
```

o "H" - head

- ∘ "T" tail
- 2. Roll a dice
  - $\circ$  every number in the set:  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- 3. Tomorrow weather
  - {sunny, rainy, cloudy,...}
- 4. Randomly pick a number in [0,1]

Although things are random, they are not haphazard/arbitrary. There are "patterns"

# Example 1

If we repeat tossing a coin, then the fraction of times that we get a "H" goes to  $\frac{1}{2}$  as the number of toss goes to infinity.

$$rac{\#\ of\ "H"}{total\ \#\ of\ toss} = rac{1}{2}$$

This number 1/2 reflects how "likely" a "H" will appear in one toss (if the experiment is not repeated)

# 1.2. Probability Models

The Sample space  $\Omega$  is the set consisting of all the possible outcomes of a random experiment.

# 1.2.1. Examples

1. 
$$\{H, T\}$$

2.  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ 

3.  $\{sunny, rainy, cloudy, ...\}$ 

4. [0, 1]

An  $\operatorname{\it event} E \in \Omega$  is a subset of  $\Omega$ 

for which we can talk about "likelihood of happening"; for example

- {getting an even number} = {2, 4, 6}
- in 4:
  - $\{the\ point\ is\ between\ 0\ and\ 1/3\}=[0,\frac{1}{3}]$  is an event
  - $oordingtarrow \{the \ point \ is \ rational\} = Q \cap [0,1]$

We say an event E "happens", if the result of the experiment turns out to belong to E (a subset of  $\Omega$ )

A probability P is a set function (a mapping from events to real numbers)

$$P: \xi \to R$$
  
 $E \to P(E)$ 

which satisfies the following 3 properties:

1. 
$$\forall E \in \xi, 0 \leq P(E) \leq 1$$

- 2.  $P(\Omega) = 1$
- 3. For
  - $\circ$  countably many disjoint events  $E_1, E_2, ...,$  we have  $P(U_{i=1}^\infty E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty P(E_i)$
  - $\circ$  countable:  $\exists$  1-1 mapping to natural numbers 1,2,3,...

Intuitively, one can think the probability of an event as the "likelihood/chance" for the event happens. If we repeat the experiment for a large number of events, the probability is the fraction of time that the event happens

$$P(E) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\# \text{ of times the E happens in n trials}}{n}$$

#### 1.2.1.1. Example 2

$$P(\{1\}) = P(\{2\}) = \dots = P(\{6\}) = \frac{1}{6}$$
 $E = \{\text{even number}\} = \{2, 4, 6\}$ 
 $\Rightarrow P(E) = P(\{2\} \cup P(\{4\})) \cup P(\{6\}) = \frac{1}{2}$ 

Properties of probability:

1. 
$$P(E) + P(E^c) = 1$$

2. 
$$P(\emptyset) = 0$$

3. 
$$E_1 \subseteq E_2 \Rightarrow P(E_1) \leq P(E_2)$$

4. 
$$P(E_1 \cup E_2) = P(E_1) + P(E_2) - P(E_1 \cap E_2) - P(E_1 \cap E_2)$$
:  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  happen

#### 1.2.2. Remark: why do we need the notion of event?

If the sample space  $\Omega$  is **discrete**, then everything can has at most countable elements be built from the "atoms"

$$egin{aligned} \Omega &= \{w_1, w_2, \ldots\} \ P(w_1) &= P_i \ P_i &\in [0,1], \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then for any event  $E = \{w_1, i \in I\}$ ,  $P(E) = \sum_{i \in I} P_i$ 

However, if the sample space  $\Omega$  is continuous; e.g, [0,1] in Example 4, then such a construction can not be done for any  $x \in [0,1]$  we get  $P(\{x\} = 0 \text{ } (x: \text{the point is exactly } x)$ 

We can not get  $P([0,\frac{1}{3}])$  by adding  $P(\{x\})$  for  $x\leq \frac{1}{3}$ .

This is why we need the notion of event; and we define P as a set function from  $\xi$  to R rather than a function from  $\Omega$  to R

To summarize: A **Probability Space** consists of a triplet  $(\Omega, \xi, P)$ :

- $\Omega$ : sample space,
- $\xi$ : collection of events
- P: probability

# 1.3. Conditional Probability

If we know some information, the probability of an event can be updated

Let E, F be two events P(F)>0

The conditional probability of  $E_{\iota}$  given F is

$$P(E \mid F) = rac{P(E \cap F)}{P(F)}$$

Again, think probability as the long-run frequency:

$$egin{aligned} P(E \cap F) &= \lim_{n o \infty} rac{\#of \ times \ E \ and \ F \ happen \ in \ n \ trails}{n} \ P(F) &= \lim_{n o \infty} rac{\#of \ times \ F \ happen \ in \ n \ trails}{n} \ &\Rightarrow rac{P(E \cap F)}{P(F)} &= \lim_{n o \infty} rac{\#of \ times \ E \ and \ F \ happen}{\#of \ times \ F \ happens} \end{aligned}$$

By definition

$$P(E \cap F) = P(E \mid F) \cdot P(F)$$

# 1.4. Independence

**Def**: Two events E and F are said to be independent, if  $P(E \cap F) = P(E) \cdot P(F)$ ; denoted as  $E \perp \!\!\! \perp F$ . **This is different rom disjoint.** 

Assume P(F)>0, then  $E\perp\!\!\!\perp F\Leftrightarrow P(E|F)=P(E)$ ; intuitively, knowing F does not change the probability of E.

Proof:

$$E \perp \!\!\!\perp F \Leftrightarrow P(E \cap F) = P(E) \cdot P(F)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{P(E \cap F)}{P(F)} = P(E)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow P(E|F) = P(E)$$

More generally, a sequence of events  $E_1, E_2, \ldots$  are called independent if for **any** finite index set I,

$$P(igcap_{i\in I} E_i) = \prod_{i\in I} P(E_i)$$

# 1.5. Bayes' rule and law of total probability

**Theorem**: Let  $F_1,F_2,\ldots$  be disjoint events, and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty F_i=\Omega$ , we say  $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  forms a "partition" of the sample space  $\Omega$ 

Then 
$$P(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(E|F_i) \cdot P(F_i)$$

Proof: Exercise

Intuition: Decompose the total probability into different cases.

$$P(E \cap F_2) = P(E|F_2) \cdot P(F_2)$$

1.5.1. Bayes' rule

$$P(F_i|E) = rac{P(E|F_i) \cdot P(F_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(E|F_j) \cdot P(F_j)}$$

Bayes' rule tells us how to find conditional probability by switching the role of the event and the condition.

Proof:

$$\begin{split} P(F_i|E) &= \frac{P(F_i \cap E)}{P(E)} \\ &= \frac{P(E|F_i)P(F_i)}{P(E)} \\ &= \frac{P(E|F_i)P(F_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(E|F_j)P(F_j)} \end{split}$$

definition of condition probability

law of total probability

# 2. Random variables and distributions

# 2.1. Random variables

 $(\Omega, \xi, P)$ : Probability space.

**Definition**: A random variable X (or r.v.) is a mapping from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb R$ 

$$X:\Omega o\mathbb{R} \ \omega o X(\omega)$$

A random variable transforms arbitrary "outcomes" into numbers.

X introduces a probability on R. For  $A\subseteq R$ , define

$$egin{aligned} P(X \in A) &:= P(\{X(\omega) \in A\}) \ &= P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \in A\}) \ &= P(X^{-1}(A)) \end{aligned}$$

From now on, we can often "forget" te original probability space and focus on the random variables and their distributions.

**Definition**: let X be a random variable. The **CDF**(cumulative distribution function) F of X is defined by

$$F(x) = P(X \le x) = P(X \in (-\infty, x])$$
  
  $X : \text{random variable}, x : \text{number}$ 

Properties of cdf:

- 1. F is non-decreasing.  $F(x_1) \leq F(x_2), x_1 < x_2$
- 2. limits
  - $\circ \ \lim_{x o -\infty} F(x) = 0$
  - $\circ \lim_{x \to \infty} F(x) = 1$
- 3. F(x) is right continuous
  - $\circ \ lim_{x\downarrow a}F(x)=F(a)$  : x decreases to a (approaching from the right)
  - $\circ$  Hint:  $\{x \leq a\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \{X \leq a_i\}$  for  $a_i \downarrow a$

# 2.2. Discrete random variables and distributions

A random variable X is called **discrete** if it only takes values in an **at most countable** set  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$  (finite or countable).

The distribution of a discrete random variable is fully characterized by its probability mass function(p.m.f)

$$p(x) := P(X = x); x = x_1, x_2, \dots$$

Properties of pmf:

1. 
$$p(x) \geq 0$$
,  $\forall x$   
2.  $\sum_{i} p(x_i) = 1$ 

Q: what does the cdf of a discrete random variable look like?

### 2.2.1. Examples of discrete distributions

### 1. Bemoulli distribution

$$p(1) = P(X = 1) = p$$
  
 $p(c) = P(X = c) = 1 - p$   
 $p(x) = 0$  otherwise

Denote  $X \sim Ber(p)$ 

#### 2.Binomial distribution

$$p(k) = P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}$$

- $X \sim Bin(n,p)$  to choose k successes.
- Binomial distribution is the distribution of number of successes in n independent trials; each having probability p of success.

#### 3.Geometric distribution

$$p(k) = P(X = k) = (1 - p)^{k-1}p$$

 $(1-p)^{k-1}$ : the first k-1 trials are all failures, p: success in  $k^{th}$  trial

- $X \sim Geo(p)$
- ullet X is the number of trials needed to get the first success in n independent trials with probability p of success each
- X has the memoryless property P(X>n+m|X>m)=P(x>n)  $n,m=0,1,\ldots$

#### Memoryless property:

$$p(X > n + m | X > m) = P(X > n)$$

Proof:

$$P(X > k) = \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} P(X = j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (1 - p)^{j-1} p$$

$$= (1 - p)^k p \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (1 - p)}$$

$$= (1 - p)^k$$

$$egin{split} P(X>n+m|x>m) &= rac{P(X>n+migcap X>m)}{P(X>m)} \ &= rac{P(X>n+m)}{P(X>m)} = rac{(1-p)^{n+m}}{(1-p)^m} = (1-p)^n = P(X>n) \end{split}$$

Intuition: The failures in the past have no influence on how long we still need to wait to get the first success in the future

### 4. Poisson distribution

$$p(k) = P(X = k) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lambda > 0$$

Other discrete distributions:

- · negative binomial
- · discrete uniform

### 2.3. Continuous random variables and distributions

**Definition**: A random variable X is called **continuous** if there exists a non-negative function f, such that for any interval [a,b], (a,b) or [a,b):

$$P(X \in [a,b]) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

The function f is called the *probability density function(pdf)* of X

**Remark**: probability density function(pdf) is not probability. P(X = x) = 0 if X is continuous. The probability density function f only gives probability when it is integrated.

If X is continuous, then we can get cdf by:

$$F(a)=P(X\in (-\infty,a])=\int_{-\infty}^a f(x)dx$$

hence, F(x) is continuous, and differentiable "almost everywhere".

We can take f(x) = F'(x) when the derivative exists, and f(x) =arbitrary number otherwise often to choose a value to make f have some continuity.

Property of pdf:

- 1.  $f(x)\leq 0$  ,  $x\in R$ 2.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f(x)dx=1$ 3. For  $A\subseteq R$  ,  $P(X\in A)=\int_{A}f(x)dx$
- 2.3.1. Example of continuous distribution

#### **Exponential distribution**

$$f(x) = egin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} &, x \geq 0 \ 0 &, x \leq 0 \ X \sim Exp(x) \end{cases}$$

Other continuous distributions:

- · Normal distribution
- · Uniform distribution

Exercises:

1. Find the cdf of  $X \sim Exp(x)$ 

$$egin{aligned} F(k) &= P(X \leq k) = \int_{-\infty}^k f(x) dx \ &= \int_0^k \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \ &= -e^{-\lambda x} \Big|_0^k \ &= -e^{-\lambda k} - (-e^0) \ &= 1 - e^{-\lambda k} \end{aligned}$$

2. Show that the exponential distribution has the memoryless property:

$$P(X > t + s | X > t) = P(X > s)$$

# 2.4. Joint distribution of r.v's

Let X and Y be two r.v's. defined on the same probability space  $(\Omega, \xi, P)$ 

For each  $\omega\in\Omega$ , we have at the same time  $X(\omega)$  and  $Y(\omega)$ . Then we can talk about the joint behavior of X and Y

Two joint distribution of r.v's is characterized by joint cdf, joint pmf(discrete case) or joint pdf(continuous case).

· Joint cdf:

$$\circ F(x,y) = P(X < x, Y < y)$$

• Joint pmf:

$$\circ f(x,y) = P(X = x, Y = y)$$

ullet joint pdf f(x,y) such that for a < b, c < d

$$P(X,Y) \in (a,b] \times (c,d] = P(X \in (a,b], Y \in (c,d]) = \int_a^b \int_c^d f(x,y) dy dx$$

Equivalently:

1. 
$$F(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \int_{-\infty}^{y} f(s,t) dt ds$$

$$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{d}$$

$$f(x,y)=rac{\partial^2}{\partial x\partial y}F(x,y)$$
  
2.  $P((X,Y)\in A)=\int\int_A f(x,y)dxdy$  for  $A\subseteq R^2$ 

**Definition**: Two r.v's X and Y are called independent, if for all sets  $A,B\subseteq R$ ,

$$P(X < A, Y < B) = P(X \in A) \cdot P(Y \in B)$$

( $\{X\in A\}$  and  $\{Y\in B\}$  are independent events)

**Theorem**: Two r.v's X and Y are

- 1. independent, if and only if
- 2.  $F(x,y)=F_x(x)F_y(y); x,y\in R$ ; where  $F_x$ : cdf of x;  $F_y$ : cdf of y
- 3.  $f(x,y)=f_x(x)f_y(y); x,y\in R$ ; where f is the joint pmf/pdf of X and Y;  $f_x$ ,  $f_y$  are marginal pmf/pdf of X and Y, respectively

### Proof:

1.⇒ 2.

If  $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y$ , then by definition,

$$F(x,y) = P(X \in (-\infty,x], Y \in (-\infty,y])) = P(X \in (-\infty,x])) \cdot P(Y \in (-\infty,y])) = F_x(x)F_y(y)$$

2. ⇒ 3.

Assume  $F(x,y) = F_x(x) \cdot F_y(y)$ ,

$$egin{aligned} f(x,y) &= rac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} F(x,y) = rac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} F_x(x) F_y(y) \ &= (rac{\partial}{\partial x} F_x(x)) (rac{\partial}{\partial y} F_y(y)) \ &= f_x(x) f_y(y) \end{aligned}$$

3.⇒ 1.

Assume  $f(x,y)=f_x(x)f_y(y)$ ; For  $A,B\subseteq R$ ,

$$egin{aligned} P(X \in A, Y \in B) &= \int_{y \in B} \int_{x \in A} f(x,y) dx dy \ &= \int_{y \in B} \int_{x \in A} f_x(x) f_y(y) dx dy \ &= (\int_{x \in A} f_x(x) dx) (\int_{y \in B} f_y(y) dy) \ &= P(X \in A) P(Y \in B) \end{aligned}$$

# 2.5. Expectation

**Definition**: For a r.v X, the expectation of g(x) is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}(g(X)) = egin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^\infty g(x_i) P(X=x_i) & ext{ for discrete } X \ \int_{-\infty}^\infty g(x) f(x) dx & ext{ for continuous } X \end{cases}$$

Let X,Y be two r.v's; then the expectation of g(X,Y) is defined in a similar way.

$$\mathbb{E}(g(X,Y)) = \left\{ egin{aligned} \sum_i \sum_j g(x_i,y_j) P(X=x_i,Y=y_j) \ \int \int g(x_i,y_j) f(x,y) dx dy \end{aligned} 
ight.$$

## 2.5.1. Properties of expectation

1. Linearity:expectation of 
$$X$$
:  $\mathbb{E}(X) = \begin{cases} \sum x_i P(X=x_i) \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) dx \end{cases}$ ,  $g(X) = x$ 

$$\circ \ \mathbb{E}(ax+b) = a \mathbb{E}(x) + b$$

$$\circ \ \mathbb{E}(X+Y) = \mathbb{E}(X) + \mathbb{E}(Y)$$

2. If  $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y$  , then  $\mathbb{E}(g(X)h(Y)) = \mathbb{E}(g(X)) \cdot \mathbb{E}(h(Y))$ 

• **proof**: (continuous case)

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(g(X)h(Y)) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)h(y)f(x,y)dxdy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)h(y)f_X(x)f_Y(y)dxdy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)f_X(x) \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(y)f_Y(y)dy \end{split}$$

 $\circ$  In particular,  $\mathbb{E}(XY) = \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)$  if  $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y$ 

#### 2.5.2. Definitions

0

**Definition**: The expectation  $\mathbb{E}(X^n)$  is called the n-th moment of X:

• 1st moment:  $\mathbb{E}(X)$ • 2st moment:  $\mathbb{E}(X^2)$ 

**Definition**: The variance of a r.v X is defined as:

$$Var(x) = \mathbb{E}((X - \mathbb{E}(X))^2)$$
 also denoted as  $\sigma^2, \sigma_x^2$ 

**Definition**: the covariance of the r.v's X and Y is defined as:

$$Cov(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}(X))(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y))]$$

Thus Var(X) = Cov(X,X)

**Definition**: the correlation between X and Y is defined as:

$$Cor(X,Y) = rac{Cov(X,Y)}{\sqrt{Var(X)Var(Y)}}$$

Fact:  $Var(X) = \mathbb{E}(X^2) - (\mathbb{E}(X))^2$ 

Proof:

$$\begin{split} Var(X) &= \mathbb{E}((X - \mathbb{E}(X))^2) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X^2 - 2X\mathbb{E}(X) + (\mathbb{E}(X))^2) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X^2) - 2\mathbb{E}(X\mathbb{E}(X)) + (\mathbb{E}(X))^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X^2) - 2(\mathbb{E}(X))^2 + (\mathbb{E}(X))^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X^2) - (\mathbb{E}(X))^2 \end{split}$$

Fact:  $Cov(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}(XY) - \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)$ 

Proof:

$$\begin{split} Cov(X,Y) &= \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[XY - X\mathbb{E}[Y] - Y\mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{E}[Y]] - \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbb{E}[X]] + \mathbb{E}[E]X]\mathbb{E}[Y]) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mathbb{E}[Y]\mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] \quad \blacksquare \end{split}$$

Variance and covariance are translation invariant. Variance is quadratic, covariance is bilinear.

$$Var(aX+b) = a^2 \cdot Var(X)$$
  $Cov(aX+b,cY+d) = ac \cdot Cov(X,Y)$ 

Proof:  $Var(aX + b) = a^2 \cdot Var(X)$ 

$$\begin{split} Var(aX+b) &= \mathbb{E}((aX+b)^2) - (\mathbb{E}(aX+b))^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(a^2X^2 + 2abX + b^2) - (a\mathbb{E}(X) + b)^2 \\ &= a^2\mathbb{E}(X^2) + 2ab\mathbb{E}(X) + b^2 - a^2\mathbb{E}^2(X) - ab\mathbb{E}(X) - b^2 \\ &= a^2\mathbb{E}(X^2) - a^2\mathbb{E}^2(X) \\ &= a^2Var(X) \end{split}$$

Proof: 
$$Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y) + 2Cov(X, Y)$$

$$\begin{split} Var(X+Y) &= \mathbb{E}[(X+Y)^2] - E^2[X+Y] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2 + XY + Y^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y])^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] + \mathbb{E}[XY] + \mathbb{E}[Y^2] - E^2[X] - 2\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] - E^2[Y] \\ &= (\mathbb{E}[X^2] - E^2[X]) + (\mathbb{E}[Y^2] - E^2[Y]) + (\mathbb{E}[XY] - 2\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]) \\ &= Var(X) + Var(Y) + 2Cov(X, Y) \end{split}$$

If  $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y$  , then Cov(X,Y) = 0 and Var(X+Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y)

Proof:

$$Cov(X,Y)=\mathbb{E}(XY)-\mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)$$
 we know: 
$$X\perp\!\!\!\perp Y\Rightarrow\mathbb{E}(XY)=\mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)$$
 Thus,  $Cov(X,Y)=0\Rightarrow Var(X+Y)=Var(X)+Var(Y)+2Cov(X,Y)$  So we see independence  $\Rightarrow$  Covariance is 0: "uncorrelated" the converse is not true.

Cov(X,Y) = 0 independence

#### Remarks

We have  $\mathbb{E}(X+Y)=\mathbb{E}(X)+\mathbb{E}(Y).$ 

If  $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y$  , we also have:

- $\mathbb{E}(XY) = \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)$ , and
- Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y)

It's important to remember that the first result and the other two results are of very different nature. While  $\mathbb{E}(X+Y)=\mathbb{E}(X)+\mathbb{E}(Y)$  is a property of expectation and holds unconditionally;

the other two,  $\mathbb{E}(XY) = \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)$  and Var(X+Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y), only hold if  $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y$ .

It is more appropriate to consider them as properties of independence rather than properties of expectation and variance

# 2.6. Indicator

A random variable I is called an indicator, if

$$I(w) = egin{cases} 1 & \omega \in A \ 0 & \omega 
ot \in A \end{cases}$$
 $E(I_A) = P(A)$ 

for some event A

For A given, I is also elevated as  $I_A$ 

The most important property of indicator is its expectation gives the probability of the event  $\mathbb{E}(I_A)=\mathbb{P}(A)$ 

Proof:

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(I_A=1) &= \mathbb{P}(\omega:I_A(\omega=1)) \ &= \mathbb{P}(\omega:\omega\in A) \ &= \mathbb{P}(A) \end{aligned}$$

#### 2.6.1. Example

we see  $I_A \sim Ber(\mathbb{P}(A))$ 

Let  $X \sim Bin(n,p)$ , X is number of successes in n Bernoulli trials, each with probability p of success

$$\Rightarrow X = I_1 + \cdots + I_n$$

where  $I_1,\cdots,I_n$  are indicators for independent events.  $I_i=1$  if th i the trial is a success.  $I_i=0$  if the i th trial is a failure.

Hence  $I_i$  are  $\mathsf{idd}(\mathsf{independent}\ \mathsf{and}\ \mathsf{identically}\ \mathsf{distributed})\ \mathsf{r.v's}$ 

$$egin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}(I_1 + \cdots + I_N) \ &= \mathbb{E}(I_1) + \cdots + \mathbb{E}(I_n) \ &= p + \cdots + p = n \cdot p \end{aligned} \ Var(X) &= Var(I_1 + \cdots + I_n) \ &= Var(I_1) + \cdots + Var(I_n) \ &= n \cdot Var(I_i) \ &= n \cdot p(1-p) \end{aligned}$$

$$Var(I_1) = \mathbb{E}(I_1^2) - (\mathbb{E}(I_1))^2 = \mathbb{E}(I_1) - (\mathbb{E}(I_1))^2 = p - p^2 = p(1-p)$$

# 2.6.1. Example 3

Let X be a r.v. taking values in non-negative integers, then

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(X > n)$$

#### Proof:

Note that  $X=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}I_n$  where  $I_n=I_{x>n}.$  (x>n is an event)

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X) &= \mathbb{E}(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n) \ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(I_n) \ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(X > n) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, let  $X \sim Geo(p)$ . As we have seen,  $P(X>n)=(1-p)^n \Rightarrow$ 

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(X > n)$$
 $= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^n$ 
 $= \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} = \frac{1}{p}$ 

# 2.7. Moment generating function

**Definition**: Let X be a r.v. Then the function  $M(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{tX})$  is called the *moment generating function(mgf)* of X, if the expectation exists for all  $t \in (-h,h)$  for some h>0.

Remark: The mgf is not always well-defined. It is important to check the existence of the expectation.

# 2.7.1. Properties of mgf

- 1. Moment Generating Function generates moments
  - Theorem:
    - M(0) = 1

 $ullet M^{(k)}(0) = \mathbb{E}(X^k), k = 1, 2, \ldots$  ( $M^{(k)} = rac{d^k}{dt^k} M(t)|_{t=0}$ )

■ Droof

$$egin{aligned} M(0) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{0 \cdot X}) = \mathbb{E}(1) = 1 \ M^{(k)}(0) &= rac{d^k}{dt^k} \mathbb{E}(e^{t \cdot X)})|_{t=0} \ &= \mathbb{E}(rac{d^k}{dt^k} e^{t X}|_{t=0}) \ &= \mathbb{E}(X^k) \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we have:  $M(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{M^{(k)}(0)}{k!}t^k=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{E*X^k}{k!}t^k$  (a method to get moment of a r.v) 2.  $X\perp\!\!\!\perp Y$ , with mgf's  $M_x,M_y$ . Let  $M_{X+Y}$  be the mgf of X+Y. then

$$M_{X+Y}(t)=M_X(t)M_Y(t)$$

• Proof:

$$egin{aligned} M_{X+Y}(t) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{t(X+Y)}) \ &= \mathbb{E}(e^{tx}e^{ty}) \ &= \mathbb{E}(e^{tx})\mathbb{E}(e^{ty}) \ &= M_X(t)M_Y(t) \end{aligned}$$

- 3. The mgf completely determines the distribution of a r.v.
  - $M_X(t)=M_Y(t)$  for all  $t\in (-h,h)$  for some h>0, then  $X\stackrel{d}{=}Y$ . ( $\stackrel{d}{=}$ : have the same distribution)
  - $\circ$  Example: Let  $X \sim Poi(\lambda_1)$  ,  $Y \sim Poi(\lambda_2)$  .  $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y$  . Find the distribution of X+Y
    - First, derive the mgf of a Poisson distribution.

$$\begin{split} M_X(t) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{tX}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{tn} \cdot P(X=n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{tn} \cdot \frac{\lambda_1^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda_1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(e^t \cdot \lambda_1)^n}{n!} \cdot e^{-\lambda_1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(e^t \lambda_1)^n}{n!} \cdot e^{-t\lambda_1} \end{split}$$
 we know that  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(e^t \lambda_1)^n}{n!} = e^{e^t \cdot \lambda_1} \cdot (\operatorname{Since} \frac{(e^t \lambda_1^n)}{n!} e^{-e^t \lambda_1} \text{ is the pmf of } Poi(e^t \lambda_1)) \\ &\Rightarrow M_X(t) = e^{e^t \lambda_1} e^{-\lambda_1} = e^{\lambda_1(e^t - 1)}, t \in \mathbb{R}.(e^{\lambda_1(e^t - 1)} \text{ is mgf of } Poi(\lambda_1)) \\ &\operatorname{Similarly}, M_Y(t) = e^{\lambda_2(e^t - 1)}. \end{split}$ 

We know that

$$egin{aligned} M_{X+Y}(t) &= M_X(t) M_Y(t) \ &= e^{\lambda_1(e^t-1)} e^{\lambda_2(e^-1)} \ &= e^{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(e^t-1)} \end{aligned}$$

This is the mgf of  $Poi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)!$ 

Since the mgf uniquely determines the distribution  $X+Y \sim Poi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ 

In general, if  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  independent,  $X_i \sim Poi(\lambda_i)$ , then  $\sum X_i \sim Poi(\sum \lambda_i)$ 

### 2.7.2. Joint mgf

**Definition**: Let X,Y be r.v's. Then  $M(t_1,t_2):=\mathbb{E}(e^{t_1X+t_2Y})$  is called the joint mgf of X and Y, if the expectation exists for all  $t_1\in (-h_1,h_1)$ ,  $t_2\in (-h_2,h_2)$  for some  $h_1,h_2>0$ .

More generally, we can define  $M(t_1,\ldots,t_n)=\mathbb{E}(exp(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i))$  for r.v's  $X_1,\cdots,X_n$ , if the expectation exists for  $\{(t_1,\cdots,t_n):t_i\in(-h_i,h_i),i=1,\cdots,n\}$  for some  $\{h_i>0\},i=1,\cdots,n\}$ 

#### 2.7.2.1. Properties of the joint mgf

$$egin{aligned} M_X(t) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{tX}) \ &= \mathbb{E}(e^{tX+0Y}) \ &= M(t,0) \ M_Y(t) &= M(0,t) \end{aligned}$$

$$M_Y(t) = M(0,t)$$

2.

$$rac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial t_1^m \partial t_2^n} M(t_1,t_2)|_{(0,0)} = \mathbb{E}(X^m Y^n)$$

the proof is similar to the single r.v. case

3. If 
$$X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y$$
 , then  $M(t_1,t_2) = M_X(t_1) M_Y(t_2)$ 

o Proof:

$$egin{aligned} M(t_1,t_2) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{t_1X+t_2Y}) \ (X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y) &= \mathbb{E}(e^{t_1X}e^{t_2Y}) \ &= \mathbb{E}(e^{t_1X}) \cdot \mathbb{E}(e^{t_2Y}) \ &= M_X(t_1) \cdot M_Y(t_2) \end{aligned}$$

- $\circ$  **Remark**: Don't confuse this with the result  $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y \Rightarrow M_{X+Y}(t) = M_X(t) M_Y(t).$ 
  - $lacksquare M_{X+Y}(t) o \mathsf{mgf} ext{ of } X+Y; \mathsf{ single argument function } t$
  - $lacksquare M(t_1,t_2) 
    ightarrow$  joint mgf of (X,Y); two arguments  $t_1,t_2$

# 3. Conditional distribution and conditional expectation

# 3.1. Conditional distribution

## 3.1.1. Discrete case

**Definition** Let X and Y be discrete r.v's. The conditional distribution of X given Y is given by:

$$P(X=x|Y=y) = \frac{(P(X=x,Y=u))}{P(Y=y)}$$

$$P(X=x|Y=y): f_{X|Y=y}(x).$$
  $f_{X|Y}(x|y) \leftarrow \text{conditional probability mass function})$ 

Conditional pmf is a legitimate pmf: given any y,  $f_{X|Y=y}(x) \geq 0, \forall x$ 

$$\sum_x f_{X|Y=y}(x) = 1$$

Note that given Y=y, as x changes, the value of the function  $f_{X|Y=y}(x)$  is proportional to the joint probability.

$$f_{X|Y=y}(x) \propto P(X=x,Y=y)$$

This is useful for solving problems where the denominator P(Y=y) is hard to find.

#### 3.1.1.1. Example

$$X_1 \sim Poi(\lambda_1), X_2 \sim Poi(\lambda_2), X_1 \perp \perp X_2, Y = X_1 + X_2$$

Q: 
$$P(X_1 = k | Y = n)$$
 ?

Note 
$$P(X_1=k|Y=n)=f_{X_1|Y=n}(k)$$

A:  $P(X_1=k|Y=n)$  can only be non-zero for  $k=0,\cdots,n$  in this case,

$$egin{aligned} P(X_1 = k | Y = n) &= rac{P(X_1 = k, Y = n)}{P(Y = n)} \ &\propto P(X_1 = k, Y = n) \ &= P(X_1 = k, X_2 = n - k) \ &= e^{-\lambda_1} rac{\lambda_1^k}{k!} \cdot e^{-\lambda_2} rac{\lambda_2^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \ &\propto (rac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})^k / k! (n-k)! \end{aligned}$$

we can get P(X=k|Y=n) by normalizing the above expression.

$$P(X_1=k,Y=n)=rac{(rac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})^k/k!(n-k)!}{\sum_{k=0}^n(rac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})^k/k!(n-k)!}$$

but then we will need to fine  $\sum_{k=0}^n (\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})^k/k!(n-k)!$ 

An easier way is to compare  $\sum_{k=0}^n (\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2})^k/k!(n-k)!$  with the known results for common distribution. In particular, if  $X \sim Bin(n,p)$ 

$$P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}$$
  
  $\propto (\frac{p}{1 - p})^k / k! (n - k)!$ 

 $\Rightarrow P(X_1=k|Y=n)$  follows a binomial distributions with parameters n and p given by  $rac{p}{1-p}=rac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\Rightarrow p=rac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$ 

Thus, given  $Y=X_1+X_2=n$ , the conditional distribution of  $X_1$  is binomial with parameter n and  $rac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$ 

## 3.1.2. Continuous case

**Definition**: Let X and Y be continuous r.v's. The conditional distribution of X given Y is given by

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y)=f_{X|Y=y}(x)=rac{f(x,y)}{f_Y(y)}$$

A conditional pdf is a legitimate pdf

$$egin{aligned} f_{X|Y}(x|y) &\geq 0 & x,y \in \mathbb{R} \ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx &= 1, & y \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

#### 3.1.2.1. Example

Suppose  $X \sim Exp(\lambda)$ ,  $Y|X = x \sim Exp(x) = f_{Y|X}(y|x) = xe^{-xy}, y = e \leftarrow$  conditional distribution of Y given X = x

Q: Find the condition pdf  $f_{X|Y}(x|y)$ 

A:

$$egin{aligned} f_{X|Y}(x|y) &= rac{f(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} \ &\propto f(x,y) \ &= f_{Y|X}(y|x) \cdot f_X(x) \ &= x e^{-xy} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \ &\propto x e^{-x(y+\lambda)}, \qquad x > 0, y > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Normalization (make the total probability 1)

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = rac{xe^{-x(y+\lambda)}}{\int_0^\infty xe^{-x(y+\lambda)}dx} \ \int_0^\infty xe^{-x(y+\lambda)}dx = (rac{1}{\lambda+y})^2 \leftarrow ext{integration by parts}$$

Thus,  $f_{X|Y}(x|y) = (\lambda + y)^2 x e^{-x(y+\lambda)}$ , x > 0.

This is a gamma distribution with parameters  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda+y$ 

### 3.1.2.1. Example 2

Find the distribution of Z = XY.

Attention: the following method is wrong:

$$f_Z(z) = \int_0^\infty f_{Y|X}(rac{z}{x}|x) \cdot f_X(x) dx$$

If we want to directly work with pdf's, we will need to use the change of variable formula for multi-variables. The right formula have turns out to be

$$egin{aligned} f_Z(z) &= \int_0^\infty f_{X,Z}(x,z) dx = \int_0^\infty f_{Z|X}(z|x) f_X(x) dx \ &= \int_0^\infty f(x,rac{z}{x}) \cdot rac{1}{x} dx \ &= f_{Y|X}(rac{z}{x}|x) f_X(x) \cdot rac{1}{x} dx \end{aligned}$$

As an easier way is to use cdf, which gives probability rather than density:

$$egin{aligned} P(Z < z) &= P(XY \le z) \ &= \int_0^\infty P(XY \le z | X = x) f_X(x) dx \qquad ext{(law of total probability)} \ &= \int_0^\infty P(Y \le rac{z}{x} | X = x) \cdot f_X(x) dx \ Y | X = x \sim Exp(x) \ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-x \cdot rac{z}{x}}) \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \ &= 1 - e^{-z} \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \ &= 1 - e^{-z} \Rightarrow Z \sim Exp(1) \end{aligned}$$

Notation  $X,Y|\{Z=k\}\stackrel{iid}{\sim}\cdots$  means that given Z=k, X and Y are conditionally independent, and they follow certain distribution.

(the conditional joint cdf/pmf/pdf equals the predict of the conditional cdf's/pmf's/pdf's)

# 3.2. Conditional expectation

We have seen that conditional pmf/pdf are legitimate pmf/pdf. Correspondingly, a conditional distribution is nothing else but a probability distributions. It is simply a (potentially) different distribution, since it takes more information into consideration.

As a result, we can define everything which are previously defined for unconditional distributions also for conditional distributions.

In particular, it is natural to define the conditional expectation.

**Definition**. The conditional expectation of g(X) given Y=y is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}(g(X)|Y=y) = egin{cases} \sum_{i_1}^{\infty} g(x_i) P(X=x_u|Y=y) & ext{if } X|Y=y ext{ is discrete} \ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx & ext{if } X|X=y ext{ is continuous} \end{cases}$$

Fix y, the conditional expectation is nothing but the expectation taken under the conditional distribution.

# 3.2.1. What is $\mathbb{E}(X|Y)$ ?

Different ways to understand conditional expectation

- 1. Fix a value y,  $\mathbb{E}(q(X)|Y=y)$  is a number
- 2. As y changes  $\mathbb{E}(g(x)|Y=y)$  becomes a function of y (that each y gives a value):  $h(y)=:\mathbb{E}(g(x)|Y=y)$
- 3. since y is actually random, we can define  $\mathbb{E}(g(x)|Y)=h(Y).$  This is a random variable

$$\mathbb{E}(g(x)|Y))_{(\omega)} = \mathbb{E}(g(x)|Y = Y(\omega))$$

 $\omega \in \Omega$  this random variable takes value  $\mathbb{E}(g(x)|Y=y)$  When Y=y

$$\Omega o \mathbb{R} \ h(Y)_{(\omega)} = h(Y(\omega))$$

#### 3.2.2. Properties of conditional expectation

1. Linearity (inherited from expectation)

$$\mathbb{E}(aX+b|Y=y) = a\mathbb{E}(X|Y=y) + b$$
  $\mathbb{E}(X+Z|Y=y) = \mathbb{E}(X|Y=y) + \mathbb{E}(Z|Y=y)$ 

1.  $\mathbb{E}(g(X,Y)|Y=y)=\mathbb{E}(g(X,y)|Y=y) \not\!\!\!\! \neq \mathbb{E}(g(X,y))$  when X and Y are not independent

Proof (Discrete):

$$\mathbb{E}(g(X,Y)|Y=y) = \sum_{x_i} \sum_{y_j} g(x_i,y_j) \cdot P(X=x_i,Y=y_j|Y=y)$$
 if  $y_j \neq y$  
$$P(X=x_i,Y=y_j|Y=y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_j \neq y \\ \\ P(X=x_1,Y=y_j)/P(Y+y) = P(X=x_i|Y=y) & \text{if } y_j = y \end{cases}$$

$$egin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(g(X,Y)|Y=y) = \sum_{x_i} g(x_i,y) \cdot P(X=x_i|Y=y) \ &= \mathbb{E}(g(X,y)|Y=y) \end{aligned}$$
  $g(X,y)$  regarded as a function of  $x$ 

In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}(g(X) \cdot h(Y)|Y = y) = h(y)\mathbb{E}(g(X)|Y = y)$$
$$\mathbb{E}(g(X) \cdot h(Y)|Y) = h(Y)\mathbb{E}(g(X)|Y)$$

2. If  $X \perp Y$  , then  $\mathbb{E}(g(X)|Y=y) = \mathbb{E}(g(X))$ 

**Fact**: If  $X \perp Y$ , then conditional distribution of X given Y = y is the same as the unconditional distribution of X

Proof(Discrete):

$$egin{aligned} & ext{if } X \perp Y \ & P(X = x_i | Y = y_j) \ & = P(X = x_i | Y = y_j) / P(Y = y_j) \ & = P(X = x_i) P(Y = y_j) / P(Y = y_j) \ & = P(X = x_i) \end{aligned}$$

3. Law of iterated expectation (or double expectation): Expectation of conditionally expectation is its unconditional expectation

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X|Y))) = \mathbb{E}(X)$$

 $\mathbb{E}(X|Y)$  is a r.v, a function of Y.

Proof(Discrete):

When 
$$Y=y_j$$
 , the r.v.  $\mathbb{E}(X|Y)=\mathbb{E}(X|Y=y_j)=\sum_{x_i}x_iP(X=x_i|Y=y_j)$  . This happens with probability  $P(Y=y_j)$  
$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X|Y))=\sum_{y_j}(\sum_{x_i}x_iP(X=x_i|Y=y_j))P(Y=y_j)$$
 
$$=\sum_{x_i}\sum_{y_j}x_iP(X=x_i|Y=y_j)P(Y=y_j)$$
 
$$=\sum_{x_i}x_i\sum_{y_j}P(X=x_i|Y=y_j)P(Y=y_j) \quad \text{ law of total probability}$$
 
$$=\sum_{x_i}x_iP(X=x_i)=\mathbb{E}(X)$$

Alternatively,

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{x_i} \sum_{y_j} x_i P(X=x_i|Y=y_j) P(Y=y_j) \ &= \sum_{x_i} \sum_{y_j} x_i P(X=x_i,Y=y_j) \ &= \mathbb{E}(X) \end{aligned}$$

### Example:

Y: # of claims received by insurance company

X: some random parameter

$$Y|X \sim Poi(X), X \sim Exp(\lambda)$$

a) 
$$\mathbb{E}(Y)$$
 ? b)  $P(Y=n)$  ?

a)

$$Y|X \sim Poi(X) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Y|X = x) = x \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Y|X) = X$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}(Y) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

b)

$$\begin{split} P(Y=n) &= \int_0^\infty P(Y=n|X=x) f_X(x) dx \\ &= \int_o^\infty \frac{e^{-x} x^n}{n!} \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{n!} \int_0^\infty x^n e^{-(\lambda+1)x} dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda+1)^{n+1} n!} \int_0^\infty ((\lambda+1)x)^n e^{-(\lambda+1)x} d(\lambda+1)x \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda+1)^{n+1} n!} \Gamma(n+1) & \Gamma(n+1) = n! \ ; \text{ formula for gamma function or integration by parts} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda+1)^{n+1}} = (\frac{1}{\lambda+1})^n \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda+1} \\ &\Rightarrow Y+1 \sim \operatorname{Geo}(\lambda/(\lambda+1)) \end{split}$$

We verify that  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = rac{\lambda+1}{\lambda} - 1 = rac{1}{\lambda}$ 

# 3.3. Decomposition of variance (EVVE's low)

**Definition**: The conditional variance of Y given X=x is defined as

$$Var(Y|X=x)=\mathbb{E}((Y-\mathbb{E}(Y|X=x))^2|X=x)$$
  $Var(Y|X)_{(\omega)}=Var(Y|X=X_{(\omega)})$   $Var(Y|X)_{(\omega)}\colon ext{a r.v, a function of } X$ 

The conditional variance is simply the variance taken under the conditional distribution

$$\Rightarrow V(Y|X=x) = \mathbb{E}(Y^2|X=x) - (\mathbb{E}(Y|X=x))^2$$

Thus

$$Var(Y) = \mathbb{E}(Var(Y|X)) + Var(\mathbb{E}(Y|X))$$

 $\mathbb{E}(Var(Y|X))$ : "intra-group variance"  $Var(\mathbb{E}(Y|X))$ : "inter-group variance"

Proof:

$$RHS = E(E(Y^{2}|X) - (E(Y|X))^{2}) + E((E(Y|X))^{2}) - (E(E(Y|X)))^{2}$$

$$= E(E(Y^{2}|X)) - \frac{E((E(Y|X))^{2})}{E((E(Y|X))^{2})} + \frac{E((E(Y|X))^{2})}{E(E(Y|X))^{2}} - (E(E(Y|X)))^{2}$$

$$= E(Y^{2}) - (E(Y))^{2}$$

$$= Var(Y)$$

# 4. Stochastic Processes

Stochastic Processes

- 1. sequence / family of random variables
- 2. a random function (hard to formulate)

**Definition**: A **stochastic process**  $\{X_t\}_{t\in T}$  is a collection of random variables, defined on a common probability space.

T: index set. In most cases, T corresponds to time, and is either discrete  $\{0,1,2,\cdots\}$  or continuous  $[0,\infty)$ 

In discrete case, we writes  $\{X_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ 

This **state space** S os a stochastic process is the set of all possible value of  $X_t, t \in T$ 

S can also be either discrete or continuous. In this course, we typically deal with **discrete** state space. Then we relabel the states so that  $S=\{0,1,2,\cdots\}$  (countable state space) or  $S=\{0,1,2,\cdots,M\}$  (finite state space)

**Remark**: As in the case of the joint distribution, we need the r.v's in a stochastic process to be defined on a common probability space, because we want to discuss their joint behaviours, i.t, how things change over time.

Stochastic Processes Graph

Thus, we can identify each point  $\omega$  in the sample space  $\Omega$  with a function defined on T and taking value in S. Each function is called a **path** of this stochastic process

**Example** Let  $X_0, X_1, \cdots$  be independent and identical r.v's following some distribution. Then  $\{X_n\}_{n=0,1,2,...}$  is a stochastic process

Stochastic Processes Example1

**Example** Let  $X_1, X_2, ...$  be independent and identical r.v.'s.  $P(X_1=1)=p$ , and  $P(X_1=-1)=1-p$ . Define  $S_0=0, S_n=\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, n\leq 1$ , e.g.

- $S_0 = 0$
- $S_1 = X_1$
- $S_2 = X_1 + X_2$
- . . . . . .

Then  $\{S_n\}_{n=0,1,...}$  is a stochastic process, with state space  $S=\mathbb{Z}$  (integer)

Stochastic Processes Example2

### 4.1. Markov Chain

#### 4.1.1. Simple Random Walk

 $\{S_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$  is called a "simple random walk". ( $S_n=S_{n-1}+X_n$ )

$$S_n = egin{cases} S_{n-1} + 1 \ S_{n-1} - 1 \end{cases}$$

**Remark**: Why we need the concept of "stochastic process"? Why don't we just look at the joint distribution of  $(X_0, X_1, ..., X_n)$ ?

**Answer**: The joint distribution of a large number of r.v's is very complicated, because it does not take advantage of the special structure of T (time).

For example, simple random walk. The full distribution of  $(S_0, S_1, ..., S_n)$  is complicated for n large. However, the structure is actually simple if we focus on the adjacent times:

$$S_{n+1} = S_n + X_{n+1}$$

 $S_n$ : last value.  $X_{n+1}$ : related to Ber(p). They are independent

By introducing time into the framework, we can greatly simplify many things.

More precisely, we find that for simple random walk,  $\{S_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ , if we know  $S_n$  the distribution of  $S_n+1$  will not depend on the history  $(S_0,\dots,S_{n-1})$ . This is a very useful property

In general for a stochastic process  $\{X_n\}_{n=0,1,...}$ , at time n, we already know  $X_0, X_1, ..., X_n$ ,  $S_0$ ; our best estimate of the distribution of  $X_{n+1}$  should be the conditional distribution:

$$X_{n+1}|X_n,...,X_n$$

given by:

$$P(X_{n+1} = x_{n+1}|X_n = x_n, ..., X_0 = x_0)$$

As time passes, the expression becomes more and more complicated  $\rightarrow$  impossible to handle.

However, if we know that this conditional distribution is actually the same as the conditional distribution only given  $X_n$ , then the structure will remain simple for any time. This motivates the notion of *Markov chain*.

#### 4.1.2.1. Discrete-time Markov Chain

#### **Definition and Examples**

**Definition**: A discrete-time Stochastic process  $\{X_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$  is called a **discrete-time Markov Chain (DTMC)**, if its state space S is discrete, and it has the Markov property:

$$P(X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_n = x_n, ..., X_o = x_o)$$
  
=  $P(X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_n = x_n)$ 

for all  $n, x_0, ..., x_n, x_{n+1} \in S$ 

If  $X_{n+1}|\{x_n=i\}$  does not change over time,  $P(X_{n+1}=j|N_n=i)=P(X_1=j|X_0=i)$ , then we call this Markov chain **time-homogeneous** (default setting for this course).

$$P(X_{n+1}=x_{n+1}|X_n=x_n,...,X_0=x_0) \hspace{1cm} X_{n+1}=x_{n+1} ext{: future; } X_n=x_n ext{: present(state)} \ = P(X_{n+1}=x_{n+1}|X_n=x_n) \hspace{1cm} X_{n-1}=x_{n-1},...,X_0=x_0 ext{: past(history)}$$

Intuition: Given the present state, the past and the future are independent. In other words, the future depends on the previous results only through the current state.

#### Example: simple random walk

The simple random walk  $\{S_n\}_{n=0,1,...}$  is a Markov chain

#### Proof:

Recall that  $S_{n+1} = S_n + X_{n+1}$ 

if  $s_{n+1} \not= s_n \pm 1$ 

$$egin{aligned} P(S_{n+1} = s_{n+1} | S_n = s_n,...,S_0 = s_0) \ &= 0 \ &= P(S_{n+1} = s_{n+1} | S_n = s_n) \ \end{aligned}$$
  $P(S_{n+1} = s_n + 1 | S_n = s_n,...,s_0 = 0) \ &= P(X_{n+1} | S_n = s_n,...,S_0 = 0) \ &= P(X_{n+1} = 1) \qquad X_{n+1} \perp (X_1,...,X_n) ext{ hence also } (S_0,...,S_n) \end{aligned}$ 

Similarly,

$$P(S_{n+1} = s_n + 1 | S_n = s_n)$$
  
=  $P(X_{n+1} = 1 | S_n = s_n)$   
=  $P(X_{n+1} = 1)$   
 $\Rightarrow P(S_{n+1} | S_n = s_n, ..., S_0 = s_0)$ 

Similarly,

$$P(S_{n+1} = s_n - 1 | S_n = s_n, ..., S_0 = 0)$$
  
=  $P(S_{n+1} = s_n - 1 | S_n = s_n)$   
=  $P(X_{n+1} = -1)$   
 $\Rightarrow \{S_n\}_{n=0,1,...}$  is a DTMC

### 4.1.3. One-step transition probability matrix

For a time-homogeneous DTMC, define

$$egin{aligned} P_{ij} &= P(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) \ &= P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i) \ &= 0, 1, ... \end{aligned}$$

 $P_{ij}$ : one step transition probability

The collection of  $P_{ij}, i, j \in S$  governs all the one-step transitions of the DTMC. Since it has two indices i and j; it naturally forms a matrix  $P = \{P_{ij}\}_{i,j \in S}$ , called the **(one-setp) transition (probability) matrix** or **transition matrix** 

Property of a transition matrix  $P = \{P_{ij}\}_{i,j \in S}$ :

$$egin{aligned} P_{ij} \geq 0 & orall i, j \in S \ & \sum_{j \in S} P_{ij} = 1 & orall i \in S & 
ightarrow ext{ the row some of } P ext{ are all } 1 \end{aligned}$$

Reason:

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{j \in S} P_{ij} &= \sum_{j \in S} P(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) \ &= P(X_1 \in S | X_o = i) \ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

#### Example 4.1.3.1. simple random walk

There will be 3 cases:

$$P_{i,i+1} = P(S_1 = i+1 | S_0 = i) = P(X_1 = 1) = p$$
  
 $P_{i,i-1} = P(S_1 = i-1 | S_0 = i) = P(X_1 = -1) = 1 - p =: q$   
 $P_{i,j} = 0$  for  $j \not = i \pm 1$ 

$$\Rightarrow (\text{infinite dimension})p = \begin{cases} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & p & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & q & 0 & p & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & q & 0 & p & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & q & 0 & p & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{cases}$$

# Example 4.1.3.2. Ehrenfest's urn

Two urns A, B, total M balls. Each time, pick one ball randomly (uniformly), and move it to the opposite urn.

$$X_n: \# ext{ of balls in } A ext{ after step } n$$
  $S = \{0,1,...,M\}$ 

$$P_{ij} = P(X_1 = j | X_0 = j)$$
 ( $i$  balls in  $A, M-i$  balls in  $B$ )
$$= \begin{cases} i/M & j = i-1 \\ (M-i)/M & j = i+1 \\ 0 & j 
eq i \pm 1 \end{cases}$$

#### Example 4.1.3.3: Gambler's ruin

A gambler, each time wins 1 with probability p, losses 1 with probability 1-p=q. Initial wealth  $S_0=a$ ; wealth at time n:  $S_n$ . The gambler leaves if  $S_n=0$  (losses all money) or  $S_n=M>a$  (wins certain amount of money and gets satisfied)

This is a variant of the simple random walk, where we have absorbing barriers( $P_{ii}=1$ ) at 0 and M

$$S = \{0, ..., M\}$$

$$P_{ij} = egin{cases} p & j = i+1, i = 1, ..., M-1 \ q & j = i-1, i = 1, ..., M-1 \ 1 & i = j = 0 ext{ or } i = j = M \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$p = egin{cases} 1 & 0 & \dots & & & & & & \\ q & 0 & p & \dots & & & & & & \\ \dots & q & 0 & p & \dots & & & & \\ \dots & q & 0 & p & \dots & & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ & & \dots & q & 0 & p & \\ & & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & \end{cases}$$

#### Example 4.1.3.4: Bonus-Malus system

Insurance company has 4 premium levels: 1, 2, 3, 4

Let  $X_n \in \{1,2,3,4\}$  be the premium level for a customer at year n

 $Y_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Poi(\lambda)$ : # of claims at year n

• If 
$$Y_n>0$$
  $\circ \ X_{n+1}=min(X_n+Y_n,4)$ 

Denote  $a_k = P(Y_n = k), k = 0, 1, ...$ 

$$p = egin{cases} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & (1-a_0-a_1-a_2) \ a_0 & 0 & a_1 & (1-a_0-a_1) \ 0 & a_0 & 0 & (1-a_0) \ 0 & 0 & a_0 & (1-a_0) \ \end{pmatrix}$$

# 4.2. Chapman-Kolmogorov equations

**Q**: Given the (one-step) transition matrix,  $P=\{P_{ij}\}_{i,j\in S}$ , how can we decide the n-step transition probability

$$egin{aligned} P_{ij}^{(n)} &:= P(X_n = j | X_0 = i) \ &= P(X_{n+m} = j | X_m = i), \quad m = 0, 1, ... \end{aligned}$$

As a special case, let us start with  $P_{ij}^{(2)}$  and their collection  $p^{(2)}=\{P_{ij}^{(2)}\}_{i,j\in S}$  (also a square matrix, same dimension as P)

Condition on what happens at time 1:

$$egin{aligned} P_{ij}^{(2)} &= P(X_2 = j | X_0 = i) \ &= \sum_{j \in S} P(X_2 = j | X_0 = i, X_1 = k) \cdot P(X_1 = k | X_0 = i) \end{aligned} \quad ext{conditional law of total probability}$$

## 4.2.1. Conditional Law of total probability

$$\begin{split} &P(X_2=j|X_0=i)\\ &=\sum_{k\in S}P(X_2=j,X_1=k|X_0=i)\\ &=\sum_{k\in S}\frac{P(X_2=j,X_1=k,X_0=i)}{P(X_0=i)}\\ &=\sum_{k\in S}\frac{P(X_2=j,X_1=k,X_0=i)}{P(X_1=k,X_0=i)}\cdot\frac{P(X_1=k,X_0=i)}{P(X_0=i)}\\ &=\sum_{k\in S}P(X_2=j|X_0=i,X_1=k)\cdot P(X_1=k|X_0=i) \end{split}$$

$$egin{aligned} P_{ij}^{(2)} &= P(X_2 = j | X_0 = i) \ &= \sum_{j \in S} P(X_2 = j | X_0 = i, X_1 = k) \cdot P(X_1 = k | X_0 = i) \quad ext{conditional law of total probability} \ &= \sum_{k \in S} P(X_2 = j | X_1 = k) \cdot P(X_1 = k | X_0 = i) \ &= \sum_{k \in S} P(X_1 = j | X_0 = k) \cdot P(X_1 = k | X_0 = i) \ &= \sum_{k \in S} P_{ik} \cdot P_{kj} \ &= (P \cdot P)_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $P^{(2)}=P\cdot P=P^2$ 

Using the smae idea, for n, m = 0, 1, 2, 3...:

$$egin{aligned} P_{ij}^{(n+m)} &= P(X_{n+m} = j | X_0 = i) \ &= \sum_{k \in S} P(X_{n+m} = j | X_0 = i, X_m = k) \cdot P(X_m = k | X_0 = i) \ &= \sum_{k \in S} P(X_{n+m} = j | X_m = k) \cdot P(X_m = k | X_0 = i) \quad ext{Markov property} \ &= \sum_{k \in S} P(X_n = j | X_0 = k) \cdot P(X_m = k | X_0 = i) \ &= \sum_{k \in S} p_{ik}^{(m)} \cdot P_{kj}^{(n)} \ &= (P^{(m)} \cdot P^{(n)})_{ij} \ &\Rightarrow P^{(n+m)} = P^{(m)} \cdot P^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$

By definition,  $P^{(1)}=P$ 

$$\bullet \ \, \Rightarrow P^{(2)} = P^{(1)} \cdot P^{(1)} = P^2$$

• 
$$\Rightarrow P^{(3)} = P^{(2)} \cdot P^{(1)} = P^3$$

• . . . . . . . . .

• 
$$\Rightarrow P^{(n)} = P^n$$

Note:

• n from  $P^{(n)}$ : n-step transition probability matrix

$$P^{(n)} = \{P^{(n)}_{ij}\}_{i,j \in S} \ P^{(n)}_{ij} = P(X_n = j | X_0 = i)$$

• n from  $P^n$ : n-th power of the (one-step) transition matrix

$$\begin{array}{l} \circ \ \ P^n = p \cdot ... \cdot P \\ P = \{P_{ij}\}_{i,j \in S} \\ P_{ij} = P(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) \end{array}$$

(\*) is called the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations (c-k equation). Entry-wise:

$$P_{ij}^{n+m} = \sum_{k \in S} P_{ik}^{(m)} P_{kj}^{(n)}$$

Intuition:

ck-equation

"Condition at time m (on  $X_m$ ) and sum p all the possibilities"

### 4.2.2. Distribution of $X_n$

So far, we have seen transition probability  $P_{ij}^{(n)} = P(X_n = j | X_0 = i)$ . This is not the probability  $P(X_n = j)$ . In order to get this distribution, we need the information about which state the Markov chain starts with.

Let  $\alpha_{0,i}=P(X_0=i)$ . The row vector  $\alpha_0=(\alpha_{0,0},\alpha_{0,1},...)$  is called the **initial distribution** of the Markov chain. This is the distribution of the initial state  $X_0$ 

Similarly, we define distribution of  $X_n$ :  $lpha_n=(lpha_{n,0},lpha_{n,1},...)$  where  $lpha_{n,i}=P(X_n=i)$ 

Fact:  $lpha_n = lpha_0 \cdot p^n$ 

Proof:

$$egin{aligned} orall j & orall j \ lpha_{n,j} &= P(X_n = j) \ &= \sum_{i \in S} P(X_n = j | X_0 = i) \cdot P(X_0 = i) \ &= \sum_{i \in S} lpha_{0,i} \cdot P_{ij}^{(n)} \ &= (lpha_0 \cdot P^{(n)})_j = (lpha_0 \cdot P^n)_j \ &\Rightarrow lpha_n = lpha_0 \cdot P^n \end{aligned}$$

•  $\alpha_n$ : distribution of  $X_n$ 

•  $\alpha_0$ : initial distribution

•  $P^n$ : transition matrix

Remark: The distribution of a DTMC is completely determined by two things:

• the initial distribution  $\alpha_0$  (row vector), and

• the transition matrix P (square matrix)

# 4.3. Stationary distribution (invariant distribution)

**Definition**: A probability distribution  $\pi = (\pi_0, \pi_1, ...)$  is called a **stationary distribution**(invariant distribution) of the DTMC  $\{X_n\}_{n=0,1,...}$  with transition matrix P, if :

1. 
$$\underline{\pi}=\pi\cdot P$$
 2.  $\sum_{i\in S}\pi_i=1(\Leftrightarrow\underline{\pi}\cdot\mathbb{1})$ . ( $\mathbb{1}$ : a column of all 1's)

Why such  $\underline{\pi}$  is called stationary/invariant distribution?

$$\sum_{i \in S} \pi_i = 1, \pi_i \geq 0, i = 0, 1, ... \Rightarrow ext{distribution}$$
  $\underline{\pi} = \pi \cdot P \Rightarrow ext{invariant/stationary}.$ 

Assume the MC starts from the initial distribution  $lpha_0=\underline{\pi}$  hen the distribution of  $X_1$  is

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 \cdot P = \underline{\pi} \cdot P = \underline{\pi} = \alpha_0$$

The distribution of  $X_2$ :

$$lpha_2 = lpha_0 \cdot P^2 = \underline{\pi} \cdot P \cdot P = \underline{\pi} \cdot P = \underline{\pi} = lpha_0$$
 ......  $lpha_n = lpha_0$ 

Thus, if the MC starts from a stationary distribution, then its distribution will not change over time.

## Example 4.3.1

An electron has two states: ground(0), excited(1). Let  $X_n \in \{0,1\}$  be the state at time n.

At each step, changes state with probability:

- $\alpha$  if it is in state 0.
- $\beta$  if it is in state 1.

Then  $\{X_n\}$  is a DTMC. Its transitional matrix is:

$$P = egin{cases} 1 - lpha & lpha \ eta & 1 - eta \ \end{cases}$$

Now let us solve for the stationary distribution  $\underline{\pi} = \underline{\pi} \cdot P$ .

$$(\pi_0,\pi_1) egin{pmatrix} 1-lpha & lpha \ eta & 1-eta \end{pmatrix} = (\pi_0,\pi_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow egin{cases} \pi_0(1-lpha) + \pi_1eta = \pi_0 & (1) \ \pi_0lpha + \pi_1(1-eta) = \pi_1 & (2) \end{cases}$$

We have two equations and two unknowns. However, note that they are not linearly independent:

sum of LHS  $=\pi_0+\pi_1=$  sum of RHS. Hence (2) can be derived from (1). By (1), we have:

$$lpha\pi_0 = eta\pi_1 \quad ext{or} \quad rac{\pi_0}{\pi_1} = rac{eta}{lpha}$$

This where we need  $\underline{\pi} \cdot \underline{1}$ :

$$\pi_0+\pi_1=1\Rightarrow\pi_0=rac{eta}{lpha+eta},\quad \pi_1=rac{lpha}{lpha+eta}$$

Thus, we conclude that there exists a unique stationary distribution  $(\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta},\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta})=\underline{\pi}$ 

The above procedure for solving for stationary distribution is typical:

- 1. Use  $\underline{\pi} = \underline{\pi}P$  to get the properties between different components of  $\underline{\pi}$
- 2. Use  $\underline{\pi} \cdot \mathbb{1} = 1$  to normalize (get exact values)

## 4.4. Classification of States

#### 4.4.1. Transience and Recurrence

Let T: be the waiting for a MC to visit/revisit state i for the first time

$$T_i := min\{n > 0 : X_n = i\}$$
  $T_i$  is a r.v.

 $T_i = \infty$  if the MC never (re)visits state i.

#### **Definition 4.4.1. Transience and Recurrence**

A state i is called:

- transient, if  $\mathbb{P}(T_i < \infty | X_0 = i) < 1$  (never goes back to i positive probability)
- recurrent, if  $\mathbb{P}(T_i < \infty | X_0 = i) = 1$  (always goes back to state i)
  - $\circ$  positive recurrent, if  $\mathbb{E}(T_i|X_0=i)<\infty$
  - $\circ$  null recurrent, if  $\mathbb{E}(T_i|X_0=i)=\infty$
  - ∘ (note: a r.v. is finite with probability ≠ its expectation is finite)
    - $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ \text{Example:} \ T=2,4,...,2^n, p=\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{4},...,2^{-n} \\ \mathbb{E}(T)=2\cdot\frac{1}{2}+4\cdot\frac{1}{4}+...+2^n\cdot 2^{-n}=\infty \end{array}$

### Example 4.4.1

Given  $X_0=0$ ,

$$P(\underbrace{X_1 = 0}_{T_0 = 1} | X_0 = 0) = P(\underbrace{X_1 = 1}_{T_0 = \infty ext{ since state } 1 top 1 ext{ and } 2 ext{ do not go to } 0}_{T_0 = \infty ext{ since state } 1 ext{ and } 2 ext{ do not go to } 0} \Rightarrow P(T_0 < \infty | X_0 = 0) = rac{1}{2} < 1$$

Thus, state 0 is transient

Similarly, state 1 is transient.

Given 
$$X_0=2$$
,

$$P(X_1 = 2|X_0 = 2) \Rightarrow P(T_2 < \infty|X_0 = 2) = 1$$

As  $E(T_2|X_0=2)=1$ Thus, state 2 is a positive recurrence.

In general, the distribution of  $T_i$  is very hard to determine  $\Rightarrow$  need better criteria for recurrence/transience.

Criteria (1): Define  $f_{ii}=P(T_i<\infty|X_0=i)$ , and

$$V_i = \# ext{ of times that the MC (revisits) state i} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1\!\!\!\!\perp_{\{X_n=i\}}$$

If state i is transient

$$egin{aligned} P(V_i = k | X_0 = j) &= \underbrace{f_{ii}^k}_{ ext{goes back to}} \underbrace{\left( \underbrace{1 - f_{ii}}_{ ext{never visits}} \right)}_{ ext{never visits}} &\underbrace{i ext{ again}} \end{aligned}$$
  $\Rightarrow V_i + 1 \sim Geo(1 - f_{ii})$ 

In particular,  $P(V_i < \infty | X_0 = i) = 1 \Rightarrow$  If state i is transient, it is visited away finitely many times with probability 1. The MC will leave state i forever sooner or later.

On the other hand, if state i is recurrent, then  $f_{ii}=1$ 

$$P(V_i=k)=0 \quad k=0,1,...\Rightarrow P(V_1=\infty)=1$$

If the MC starts at a recurrent state i, it will visit that state infinitely many times.

Criteria (2): In terms of  $E(V_i|X_0=i)$ :

$$E(V_i|X_0=i)=rac{1}{1-f_{ii}}-1=rac{f_{ii}}{1-f_{ii}}<\infty \quad ext{if } f_{ii}<1, (i ext{ transient}) \ E(V_i|X_0=i)=\infty, \qquad \qquad ext{if } f_{ii}=1, (i ext{ recurrent})$$

Criteria (3): Note that

$$egin{aligned} E(V_i|X_0=i) &= E(\sum_{n=1}^\infty 1\!\!\!\!\perp_{\{X_n=i\}} |X_0=i) \ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty E(1\!\!\!\!\perp_{\{X_n=i\}} |X_0=i) \ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty P(X_n=i|X_0=i) \ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty P_{ii}^{(n)} \ &\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^\infty P_{ii}^{(n)} < \infty \quad ext{if $i$ transient} \ &\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^\infty P_{ii}^{(n)} = \infty \quad ext{if $i$ recurrent} \end{aligned}$$

To conclude,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i & recureent & transient \\ & P(T_i < infty | X_0 = i) = 1 & P(T_i < \infty | X_0 = i) < 1 \\ & P(V_i = \infty | X_0 = i) = 1 & P(V_i < \infty | X_0 = i) = 1 \\ & E(V_i | X_0 = i) = \infty & E(V_i | X_0 = i) < \infty \\ & \text{easiest to use: } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^{(n)} = \infty & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^{(n)} < \infty \end{array}$$

#### 4.4.2. Periodicity

Example:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & \\ \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} \\ & & 1 & \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that if we starts from 0, we can only get back to 0 in  $2,4,6,\cdots$ , i.t., even number of steps  $P_{00}^{(2n+1)}=0, \quad orall n$ 

#### **Definition 4.4.2. Period**

The  $\emph{period}$  of state i is defined as

$$d_i = \underbrace{gcd}_{ ext{greates}} (\{n: \underbrace{P_{ii}^{(n)} > 0}_{i ext{ can go back}} )$$

In this example above,  $d_0 = gcd(\{ {
m even\ numbers} \}) = 2$ 

If  $d_i=1$ , state i is called "aperiodic"

If ot 
ot = n > 0 such that  $P_{ii}^{(n)} > 0$  , then  $d_i = \infty$ 

### **Remark 4.4.2**

Note that  $P_{ii}>0\Rightarrow d_i=1.$  The converse is **not true**.



$$P_{00}^{(2)} > 0, P_{00}^{(3)} > 0 \Rightarrow d_0 = 1 \text{ but } P_{00} = 0$$

In general,  $d_i = d \mathscr{B} P_{ii}^{(d)} > 0$ 

4.4.3. Equivalent classes and irreducibility

#### Definition 4.4.3.1. Assessable

Let  $\{X_n\}_n=0,1,\cdots$  be a DTMC with state space S. State j is said to be <u>assessable</u> from state i, denoted by i o j, if  $P_{ij}^{(n)}>0$  for some  $n\geq 0$ 

Intuitively, i can go to state j in finite steps.

# **Definition 4.4.3.2. Communicate**

If i o j and j o i, we say i and j **communicate**, denoted by  $i \leftrightarrow j$ .

#### Fact 4.4.3.1

"Communication" is an equivalence relation.

1. 
$$i\leftrightarrow j$$
 then  $P_{ii}^{(0)}=1{=}P(X_0=i|X_0=i)$  (Identity) 2.  $i\leftrightarrow j$  then  $j\leftrightarrow i$  (symmetry)

2. 
$$i \leftrightarrow i$$
 then  $i \leftrightarrow i$  (symmetry)

3. 
$$i \leftrightarrow j, j \leftrightarrow k$$
, then  $i \leftrightarrow k$  (transitivity)

# Definition 4.4.3.3. Class

As a result, we can use "\(\to\)" to divide the state space into different *classes*, each containing only the states which communicate with each other.

$$\begin{cases} S = \bigcup_k C_k & (\{C_n\} \text{ is a partition of } S) \\ C_k \bigcap C_k' = \emptyset, k \neq k' \end{cases}$$

- For state i and j in the same class  $C_k$ ,  $i \leftrightarrow j$ .

#### **Definition 4.4.3. Irreducible**

A MC is called irreducible, if it has only one class. In other words,  $i\leftrightarrow j$  for any  $i,j\in S$ 

-Q: How to find equivalent classes?

-A: "Draw a graph and find the loops"

Example 4.4.3.1. Find the classes

Draw an arrow from i to j if  $P_{ij}>0$ 

•  $P_{01}>0, P10>0\Rightarrow 0\leftrightarrow 1$ 

- State 2 does not communicate with any other state, since  $P_{i2}=0,i{
entroline}/2$ 

- State 3 does not communicate with any other state, since  $P_{i3}=0,i{
ot}{\!\!\!/} 3$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  3 classes:  $\{0,1\},\{2\},\{3\}$ 

Example 4.4.3.2. Find the classes

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & \\ & & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

ullet  $P_{01},P_{12},P_{20}>0\Rightarrow0,1,2$  are in the same class

ullet  $P_{23},P_{32}>0\Rightarrow2,3$  are in the same class

Transitivity ⇒ 0,1,2,3 are all in the same class.

⇒ This MC is irreducible

#### Fact 4.4.3.2

Preposition Transience/Recurrence are class properties. That is, if  $i\leftrightarrow j$ , then j is transient/recurrent if and only if i is transient/recurrent

### Proof:

Suppose i is recurrent, then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}P_{ii}^{(k)}=\infty$ 

Since  $i\leftrightarrow j$  ,  $\exists m,n$  such that  $P_{ij}^{(m)}>0,P_{ij}^{(n)}>0$ 

Note that

$$P_{jj}^{(m+n+k)} \ge P_{ji}^{(n)} P_{ii}^{(k)} P_{ij}^{(m)} \Rightarrow \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} P_{jj}^{(l)} \ge \sum_{l=m+n+1}^{\infty} P_{jj}^{(l)}$$
 $= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{ji}^{(m+n+k)} P_{ji}^{(m)}$ 
 $\ge \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{ji}^{(m+n+k)} P_{ji}^{(m)} P_{ji}^{(m)} P_{ji}^{(m)} P_{ji}^{(m)} P_{ji}^{(m)} P_{ji}^{(m)} P_{ii}^{(m)} P_{ji}^{(m)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{ji}^{(n)} P_{ii}^{(m)} P_{ii}^{(m)}$ 

Thus, j is recurrent. Symmetrically, j is recurrent  $\Rightarrow i$  is recurrent

Thus,

- ullet i recurrent  $\Leftrightarrow j$  recurrent
- ullet i transient  $\Leftrightarrow j$  transient

For irreducible MC, since recurrence and transience are class properties, we also say the Markov Chain is recurrent/transient

### **Definition 4.4.3.5. Proposition**

If an irreducible MC has a finite state space, then it is recurrent

# Idea of proof

If the MC is transient, then with probability 1, each state has a last visit time. Finite states  $\Rightarrow \exists$  a last visit time for all the states. As a result, the MC has nowhere to go after that time.  $\Rightarrow$  Contradiction.

#### Remark 4.4.3.1

We can actually prove that the MC must be positive recurrent, if the state space is finite and the MC is irreducible.

### Theorem 4.4.3.1

Periodicity is a class property:  $i \leftrightarrow j \Rightarrow d_i = d_j$ .

For an irreducible MC, its period is defined as the period of any state.

# 4.5. Limiting Distribution

In this part, we are interested in  $lim_{n o\infty}P_{ii}^{(n)}$  and  $lim_{n o\infty}P(X_n=i)$ 

To make things simple, we focus on the irreducible case.

Theorem 4.5.1. Basic Limit Theorem

Let  $\{X_n\}_{n=0,1,\ldots}$  be an **irreducible**, aperiodic, positive recurrent DTMC. Then a unique stationary distribution:

$$\underline{\pi} = (\pi_0, \pi_1, \ldots)$$
 exits

Moreover:

$$\underbrace{lim_{n \to \infty} P_{ij}^{(n)}}_{\text{ [Imitting distribution (does not depend on the initial state i)]}} = lim_{n \to \infty} \underbrace{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{\{X_k = j\}}}{n}}_{\text{ long-run fraction of time spent in j}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(T_j | X_0 = j)}}_{T_j = min\{n > 0 : X_n = j\}} = \pi_j \qquad , i, j \in S$$

Limiting distribution =

- · long-run fraction of time
- 1/ expected revisit time
- · stationary distribution

### Remark 4.5.1

The result (\*) is still true if the MC is null recurrent, where all the terms are  $\mathbf{0}$ , and  $\underline{\pi}$  is no longer a distribution. (in other words, there does not exist a stationary distribution)

#### Remark 4.5.2

If  $\{X_n\}_{n=0,1,\ldots}$  has a period d>1:

$$rac{\lim_{n o\infty}P_{jj}^{(nd)}}{d}=\lim_{n o\infty}rac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{IIII}_{\{X_k=j\}}}{n}=rac{1}{\mathbb{E}(T_j|X_0=j)}=\pi_j$$

Back to the aperiodic case. Since the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty}P_{ij}^{(n)}$  does not depend on i,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}P_{ij}^{(n)}=\pi_j$  is also the limiting(marginal) distribution at state j:

$$\lim_{n o \infty} lpha_{n,j} = \lim_{n o \infty} P(X_n = j) = \pi_j$$

regardless of the initial distribution  $lpha_0$ 

Detail:

$$\begin{split} \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_{n,j} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} (\alpha_0 \cdot p^{(n)})_j \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_{0,i} \cdot P_{ij}^{(n)} \\ &= \sum_{i \in S} \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_{0,i} \cdot P_{ij}^{(n)} \\ &= \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_{0,i} \lim_{n \to \infty} \cdot P_{ij}^{(n)} \\ &= (\sum_{i \in S} \alpha_{0,i}) \pi_j \\ &= \pi_i \end{split}$$

Why are the conditions in the Basic Limit Theorem necessary?

# Example 4.5.1

Consider a MC with

$$p = egin{pmatrix} rac{1}{2} & rac{1}{2} & & & & \\ rac{1}{2} & rac{1}{2} & & & & \\ & & rac{1}{2} & rac{1}{2} & \\ & & rac{1}{2} & rac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Two classes:  $\{0,1\},\{2,3\}$   $\Rightarrow$  it is **not** irreducible. All the states are still aperiodic, positive recurrent

This MC can be decomposed into two MC's:

State 0, 1, with

$$p_1 = egin{pmatrix} rac{1}{2} & rac{1}{2} \ rac{1}{2} & rac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
 irreducible

State 2, 3, with

$$p_1 = egin{pmatrix} rac{1}{2} & rac{1}{2} \ rac{1}{2} & rac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
 irreducible

And

$$p=egin{pmatrix} P_1 & & \ & P_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that both  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$  and  $(0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  are stationary distributions. Consequently, any convex combination of these two distributions, of the form:

$$a(rac{1}{2},rac{1}{2},0,0)+(1-a)(0,0,rac{1}{2},rac{1}{2}) \quad ,a\in\{0,1\}$$

is also a stationary distribution

Thus, irreducibility is related to the uniqueness of the stationary distribution.

Correspondingly, the limiting transition probability will depend on i:

$$\lim_{n o \infty} P_{00}^{(n)} = (\lim_{n o \infty} P_1^n)_{00} = rac{1}{2}$$

but  $\lim_{n o \infty} P_{20}^{(n)} = 0$ 

Example 4.5.2

Consider a MC with

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Irreducible, positive recurrent, but not aperiodic:d=2

Note that 
$$P^2=egin{pmatrix}1&&&&&&1\end{pmatrix}=I\Rightarrow P^{2n}=egin{pmatrix}1&&&&&1\\&&1\end{pmatrix}, P^{2n+1}=P=egin{pmatrix}&1&&&&&1\\1&&&&&&&1\end{pmatrix}$$

 $P_{00}^{(n)}=1$  for n even, 0 for n odd  $\Rightarrow \lim_{n o\infty}P_{00}^{(n)}$  does not exist.

Aperiodicity is related to the existence of the limit  $\lim_{n o\infty}P_{ij}^{(n)}$ 

Example 4.5.3

$$P_{0,j} = p_j, j = 0, 1, \cdots, p_0 > 0$$
  $P_{i,i-1} = 1, i \geq 1$ 

Given  $X_0=0$  ,  $T_0=n+1$  if and only if  $X_1=n.$  his happens with prob  $p_n.$ 

$$egin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(T_0|X_0=0) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)p_n \ &= 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} np_n \end{aligned}$$

We can construct  $p_n$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty np_n=\infty$ . (For example,  $p_0=\frac12, p_2=\frac14, p_4=\frac14,\cdots$ )

In this case, the chain is **null recurrent**. It is irreducible and aperiodic ( $P_{00}=p_0>0$ )

A stationary distribution does not exist. Reason:

Add the first i equations:

$$egin{aligned} (p_0 + \cdots + p_{i-1})\pi_0 + (\pi_1 + \pi_2 + \cdots + \pi_i) &= \pi_0 + \cdots + \pi_{i-1} \ (p_0 + \cdots + p_{i-1})\pi_0 + \pi_i &= \pi_0 \ \ &\Rightarrow \pi_i &= (1 - (p_o + \cdots + p_{i-1}))\pi_0 &= \sum_{k=i}^\infty p_k \pi_0 \end{aligned}$$

Try to normalize:

$$egin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \pi_i \ &= \sum_{i=0}^\infty \sum_{k_i}^\infty p_k \pi_0 \ &= \sum_{k_i}^\infty \sum_{i=0}^\infty p_k \pi_0 \ &= \sum_{k_i}^\infty p_k \sum_{i=0}^\infty \pi_0 \ &= (\sum_{k_i}^\infty (k+1) p_k) \pi_0 \ &\Rightarrow \pi_0 &= 0 \quad , \quad pi_i &= 0 \quad orall i \end{aligned}$$

This is not a distribution. Thus, a stationary distribution does not exist.

positive recurrence is related to the existence of the stationary distribution

#### Example 4.5.4. Electron

$$P = egin{pmatrix} 1 - lpha & lpha \ eta & 1 - eta \end{pmatrix} \quad lpha, eta \in (0,1)$$

Irreducible, aperiodic, positive recurrence.

In order to find of  $P^n$ ; we use the diagonalization technique.

$$P = Q\Lambda Q^{-1} \quad ext{where $\Lambda$ is diagonal} \ \Lambda = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1-lpha-eta \end{pmatrix} \quad Q = egin{pmatrix} 1 & lpha \ 1 & 1-eta \end{pmatrix} \quad Q^{-1} = rac{1}{lpha+eta} egin{pmatrix} eta & lpha \ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} P^n &= (Q\Lambda \cancel{Q}^{-1})(\cancel{Q}\Lambda \cancel{Q}^{-1})\cdots(\cancel{Q}\Lambda Q^{-1}) \\ &= Q\Lambda^n Q^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 1 & -\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & (1-\alpha-\beta)^n \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \beta+\alpha(1-\alpha-\beta)^n & \alpha-\alpha(1-\alpha-\beta)^n \\ \beta-\beta(1-\alpha-\beta)^n & \alpha+\beta(1-\alpha-\beta)^n \end{pmatrix} \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty} P^n &= \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta} \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} & \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \\ \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} & \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Note that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} P^n$  has identical rows. This corresponds to the result that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{ij}^{(n)}$  does not depend on i. We saw that the stationary distribution  $\underline{\pi} = (\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}, \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta})$ . So we verity that  $\pi_j = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{ij}^{(n)}$ 

Also, given 
$$X_0=0$$
,  $\mathbb{P}(T_0=1|X_0=0)=1-lpha$  .

For  $k=2,3,\cdots$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(T_0 = k | X_0 = 0) &= \mathbb{P}(X_k = 0, X_{k-1} = 1, \cdots, X_1 = 1 | X_0 = 0) \\ &= \alpha (1 - \beta)^{k-2} \beta \\ &\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(T_0 | X_0 = 0) \\ &= 1 \cdot (1 - \alpha) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \alpha (1 - \beta)^{k-2} \beta k \\ &= 1 - \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha (1 - \beta)^{k-2} \beta (k - 1) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \alpha (1 - \beta)^{k-2} \beta \\ &= 1 - \alpha + \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \beta)^{k-2} \beta (k - 1) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \alpha (1 - \beta)^{k-2} \beta \\ &= 1 \alpha + \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{\beta} + \alpha \cdot 1 \\ &= 1 - \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \alpha \\ &= \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\beta} \end{split}$$

Hence we verify that  $\mathbb{E}(T_0|X_0=0)=rac{1}{\pi_0}$ 

# 4.6. Generating function and branching processes

### Definition 4.6.1

Let  $\underline{p}=(p_0,p_1,\cdots)$  be a distribution on  $\{0,1,2,\cdots\}$ . Let  $\xi$  be a r.v. following distribution  $\underline{p}$ . That is  $\mathbb{P}(\xi=i)=p_i$ . Then the generating function of  $\xi$ , or of p, is defined by

$$egin{aligned} \psi(s) &= \mathbb{E}(s^{\xi}) \ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k s^k \qquad for 0 \leq s \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Properties of generating function

1. 
$$\psi(0) = p_0, \quad \psi(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k = 1$$

2. Generating function determines the distribution

$$p_k = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k \psi(s)}{ds^k} |_{s=0}$$

Reason:

$$egin{split} \psi(s) &= p_0 + p_1 s^1 + \dots + p_{k-1} s^{k-1} + p_k s^k + p_{k+1} s^{k+1} + \dots \ & rac{d^k \psi(s)}{d s^k} = k! p_k + (\dots) s + (\dots) s^2 + \dots \ & rac{d^k \psi(s)}{d s^k} |_{s=0} = k! p_k \end{split}$$

In particular,  $p_1 \geq 0 \Rightarrow \psi(s)$  is increasing.  $p_2 \geq 0 \Rightarrow \psi(s)$  is climax

3. Let  $\xi_1,...,\xi_n$  be independent r.b. with generating function  $\psi_1,...,\psi_n$  ,

$$X=\xi_1+...+\xi_n\Rightarrow \psi_X(s)=\psi_1(s)\psi_2(s)...\psi_n(s)$$

Proof:

$$egin{aligned} \psi_X(s) &= s^{\mathbb{X}} \ (independent) &= \mathbb{E}(s^{\xi_1}s^{\xi_2}...s^{\xi_n}) \ &= \mathbb{E}(s^{\xi_1})...\mathbb{E}(s^{\xi_n}) \ &= \psi_1(s)...\psi_n(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$4. \qquad \frac{d^{\psi}(s)}{ds^{k}}\bigg|_{s=1} = \frac{d^{k}\mathbb{E}(s^{\xi})}{ds^{k}}\bigg|_{s=1} = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{d^{k}s^{\xi}}{ds^{k}}\bigg|_{s=1} = \mathbb{E}(\xi(\xi-1)(\xi-2)...(\xi-k+1)s^{\xi-k})\bigg|_{s=1} = \mathbb{E}(\xi(\xi-1)...(\xi-k+1))$$



# 4.6.1. Branching Process

Each organism, at the end of its life, produces a random number Y of offsprings.

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=k) = P_k, \quad k=0,1,2,..., \quad P_k \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k = 1$$

The number of offsprings of different individuals are independent.

Start from one ancestor  $X_0=1$ ,  $X_n:$  # of individuals(population in n-th generation)



Then  $X_n+1=Y_1^{(n)}+Y_2^{(n)}+...+Y_{X_n}^{(n)}$ , where  $Y_1^{(n)},...,Y_{X_n}^{(n)}$  are independent copies of  $Y,Y_i^{(n)}$  is the number of offsprings of the i-th individual in the n-th generation

#### 4.6.1.1. Mean and Variance

Mean:  $\mathbb{E}(X_n)$  and Variance:  $Var(X_n)$ 

Assume,  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = \mu, Var(Y) = \sigma^2$ .

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}) = \mathbb{E}(Y_1^{(n)} + ... + Y_{X_n}^{(n)})$$
 $= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y_1^{(n)} + ... + Y_{X_n}^{(n)}|X_n))$ 
 $= \mathbb{E}(X_n\mu)$ 

Wald's identity(tutorial 3)  $= \mu \mathbb{E}(X_n)$ 
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_n) = \mu \mathbb{E}(X_{n-1})$ 
 $= \mu^2 \mathbb{E}(X_{n-2})$ 
 $\vdots$ 
 $= \mu^n \mathbb{E}(X_0) = \mu^n, \quad n = 0, 1, ...$ 
 $Var(X_{n+1}) = \mathbb{E}(Var(X_{n+1}|X_n) + Var(\mathbb{E})X_{n+1}|X_n)$ 

$$\Rightarrow Var(X_{n+1}) = \sigma^2 \mu^n + \mu^2 Var(X_n))$$
 $Var(X_1) = \sigma^2$ 
 $Var(X_2) = \sigma^2 \mu + \mu^2 \sigma^2 = \sigma^2 (\mu^1 + \mu^2)$ 
 $Var(X_3) = \sigma^2 \mu^2 + \mu^2 (\sigma^2 (\mu^1 + \mu^2)) = \sigma^2 (\mu^2 + \mu^3 + \mu^4)$ 
 $Var(X_3) = \sigma^2 \mu^2 + \mu^2 (\sigma^2 (\mu^1 + \mu^2)) = \sigma^2 (\mu^2 + \mu^3 + \mu^4)$ 
 $\vdots$ 
 $var(X_n + 1) = \sigma^2 \mu^n + \mu^2 Var(X_n)$ 
 $Var(X_1) = \sigma^2$ 
 $Var(X_2) = \sigma^2 \mu + \mu^2 \sigma^2 = \sigma^2 (\mu^1 + \mu^2)$ 
 $\vdots$ 
 $\vdots$ 
 $\vdots$ 
In general, (can be proved by induction)

 $Var(\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}|X_n)) = Var(\mu X_n)$ 

 $= \mu^2 Var(X_u)$ 

$$egin{aligned} Var(X_n) &= \sigma(\mu^{n-1} + \ldots + \mu^{2n-2}) \ &= egin{cases} \sigma^2 \mu^{n-1} rac{1 - \mu^n}{1 - \mu} & \mu 
eq 1 \ \sigma^2 n & \mu = 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

### 4.6.1.2. Extinction Probability

Q: What is the probability that the population size is eventually reduced to 0

Note that for a branching process,  $X_n=0 \Rightarrow X_k=0$  for all  $k \geq n$ . Thus, state 0 is absorbing.  $(P_{00}=1)$ . Let N be the time that extinction happens.

$$N=min\{n:X_n=0\}$$

Define

$$U_n = \mathbb{P}(\underbrace{N \leq n}_{ ext{extinction happens}}) = \mathbb{P}(X_n = 0)$$

Then  $U_n$  is increasing in n, and

$$egin{aligned} u &= \lim_{n o \infty} U_n = \mathbb{P}(N < \infty) \ &= P( ext{the extinction eventually happens}) \ &= ext{extinction probability} \end{aligned}$$

Out goal : find  $\boldsymbol{u}$ 

We have the following relation between  $U_n$  and  $U_{n-1}$ :

$$U_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k (U_{n-1})^k = \underbrace{\psi}_{ ext{gf of Y}} (U_{n-1})$$

Each subpopulation has the same distribution as the whole population.

Total population dies out in n steps if and only if each subpopulation dies out int n-1 steps

$$egin{aligned} U_n &= \mathbb{P}(N \leq n) \ &= \sum_k \mathbb{P}(N \leq n | X_1 = k) \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(X_1 = k)}_{=P_k} \ &= \sum_k \mathbb{P}(\underbrace{N_1 \leq n - 1}_{\# ext{ of steps for subpopulation 1 to die out}}, \cdots, N_k \leq n - 1 | X_1 = k) \cdot P_k \ &= \sum_k P_k \cdot U_{n-1}^k \ &= \mathbb{E}(U_{n-1}^Y) \ &= \psi(U_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the question is:

With initial value  $U_0=0$  (since  $X_0=1$ ), relation  $U_n=\psi(U_{n-1})$ . What is  $\lim_{n\to\infty}U_N=u$ ?

Recall that we have

- 1.  $\psi(0) = P_0 \ge 0$
- 2.  $\psi(1) = 1$
- 3.  $\psi(s)$  is increasing
- 4.  $\psi(s)$  is convex

Draw  $\psi(s)$  and function f(s)=s between 0 and 1, we have two cases:



The extinction probability u will be the smallest intersection of  $\psi(s)$  and f(s). Equivalently, it is the smallest solution of the equation  $\psi(s)=s$  between 0 and 1. Draw  $\psi(s)$  and function f(s)=s between 0 and 1, we have two cases:

Reason: See the dynamics on a graph



Case 1: u < 1

 $\Rightarrow$  Case 2: u = 1 (extinction happens for sure.)

Q: How to tell if we are in case 1 or in case?

A: check 
$$\psi'(1) = \mathbb{E}(Y)$$

$$\psi'1(1) > 1 \rightarrow \text{Case } 1$$
  
 $\psi'1(1) \leq 1 \rightarrow \text{Case } 2$ 

Thus, we conclude:

•