6. Continuous-Time Markov Chain (cont'd)

6.1. Definitions and Structures (cont'd)

6.2. Generator Matrix (cont'd)

$$R_{ii} = \lim_{h o 0^+} rac{\mathbb{P}(\overbrace{T_i} > h)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h o 0^+} rac{e^{-\lambda_i h} - 1}{j}$$

$$= \lim_{h o 0^+} rac{e^{-\lambda_i h} - e^{-\lambda_i \cdot 0}}{j}$$

$$= \frac{de^{-\lambda_i}}{dh} \Big|_{h=0}$$

$$= -\lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i h} \Big|_{h=0} = -\lambda_i$$
 $R_{ij} = \lim_{h o 0^+} rac{P_{ij}(h)}{h} = \lim_{h o 0^+} rac{\mathbb{P}(X(h) = j | X(0) = i)}{h}$
Only one jump happens two $= \lim_{h o 0^+} (rac{\mathbb{P}(T_i < h, X(T_i) = j)}{h})$
jumps happen o uegligeable $= q_{ij} \lim_{h o 0^+} rac{\mathbb{P}(T_i < h)}{h}$

$$= q_{ij} \lim_{h o 0^+} rac{1 - e^{-\lambda_i h}}{h}$$

$$= q_{ij} \lim_{h o 0^+} rac{e^{-\lambda_i 0} - e^{-\lambda_i h}}{h}$$

$$= q_{ij} (rac{-de^{-\lambda_i h}}{dh} \Big|_{h=0})$$

$$= q_{ij} \lambda_i$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$R_{ii} = -\lambda_i, \quad R_{ij} = \lambda_i q_{ij}$$

Note that $R_{ii} \leq 0$, $R_{ij} \geq 0, j{
eq}i$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i \in S} R_{ij} &= R_{ii} + \sum_{i \in S, j \neq i} R_{ij} \\ &= -\lambda_i + \sum_{i \in S, j \neq i} \lambda_i q_{ij} \quad \leftarrow \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} q_{ij} = 1 \\ &= -\lambda_i + \lambda_i \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

The row sums of R are 0

 $R = \left[\begin{array}{c} R = \left[\begin{array}{c} R = \left[\begin{array}{c} R = \left[\begin{array}{c} A \right] & \left[A \right] & A \left[A \right] & A \right] & A \left[A \right] & A \left[A \right] & A \right] & A \left[A$

$$q_{ij} = rac{-R_{ij}}{R_{ii}} \quad j
eq i$$

Thus, there is a 1-1 relation between $\{\lambda_i\}_{i\in S}+\{q_{ij}\}_{i,j\in S}$ and $R=\{R_{ij}\}_{i,j\in S}$

 \Rightarrow the generator R itself also **fully characterizes** the transitional behaviour of the CDMC.

Conclusion: $\{\lambda_i\} + \{q_{ij}\}_{i,j\in S}$ and $\{R_{ij}\}_{i,j\in S}$ are two sets of parameters that can be used to specify a CDMC.

Example 6.2.1. Poisson Process

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_i &= \lambda \ 0 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \ 0 & 1 \ \end{array} \
ightarrow R_{ii} &= -\lambda_i = -\lambda \qquad i = 0, 1, \ldots \ R_{ij} &= \lambda_i q_{ij} = egin{cases} \lambda & j = i + 1 \ 0 & j
end{cases} i &= i + 1 \ 0 & j
end{cases} i &= i + 1 \ 0 & i
end{cases} i &= i
end{cases} i
end{cases} i &= i
end{cases} i
end{cases$$

Example 6.2.2. 3-tables in a restaurant

Parties of customers arrive according to a Poisson Process with intensity λ .

If there are free tables \to the party is served, and spend an exponential amount of time with average $\frac{1}{\mu}$ (parameter μ)

If there is no free table \rightarrow the party leaves immediately

Let X(t) be the number of occupied tables at time $t \Rightarrow S = \{0,1,2,3\}$

Since all the interarrival times and service times are exponential and independent, the process $\{X(t)\}$ is a CTMC.

Find λ_i and q_{ij} :

For i=0:

- $\lambda_0 = \lambda$ leave state 0 \Leftrightarrow one party arrives $\circ \ q_{02} = q_{03} = 0$

For i=1:

- ullet Potential change of states $egin{cases} 1 o 2 & ext{if one party arrives first} & \sim Exp(\lambda) \sim T \ 1 o 0 & ext{if a service is completed first} & \sim Exp(\mu) \sim S \end{cases}$
- Which one actually happens depends on which time is smaller.

Recall a property of exponential

 $min(\underbrace{T}_{Exp(\lambda)},\underbrace{S}_{Exp(\mu)}) \sim Exp(\lambda + \mu) \leftarrow ext{the distribution of the time spent in the current state}$

$$\mathbb{P}(T < S) = rac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}$$
 $q_{12} = \mathbb{P}(T < S)) = rac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}$ $q_{10} = 1 - q_{12} = rac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu}$ $q_{13} = 0$

Thus,

•
$$\lambda_1 = \lambda + \mu$$

•
$$q_{12} = \mathbb{P}(T < S) = rac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}$$

•
$$q_{10} = 1 - q_{12} = rac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu}$$

•
$$q_{13} = 0$$

Similarly, when 2 tables are occupied.

• T: time until next arrival $\sim Exp(\lambda)$

ullet S_1 : service time for table 1 $\sim Exp(\mu)$

• S_2 : service time for table 2 $\sim Exp(\mu)$

 $\Rightarrow min(T,S_1,S_2) \sim Exp(\lambda+2\mu)$

$$\mathbb{P}(\underbrace{T < S_1, S_2}_{2 o 3}) = rac{\lambda}{\lambda + 2\mu}$$

Thus,

•
$$\lambda_2 = \lambda + 2\mu$$

$$ullet q_{23} = rac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}, \quad q_{21} = 1 - q_{23} = rac{2\mu}{\lambda + 2\mu}$$

•
$$q_{20} = 0$$

Finally,

• $\lambda_3=3\mu$ o one service is completed.

•
$$q_{32} = 1$$

•
$$q_{31} = q_{30} = 0$$

$$Q = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ rac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} & 0 & rac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} & 0 \ 0 & rac{2\mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} & 0 & rac{\lambda}{\lambda + 2\mu} \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

•
$$\lambda_0 = \lambda$$

•
$$\lambda_1 = \lambda + \mu$$

•
$$\lambda_2 = \lambda + 2\mu$$

•
$$\lambda_3=3\mu$$

$$R_{ii} = -\lambda_1, \quad R_{ij} = \lambda_i q_{ij}$$
 $R = egin{pmatrix} -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & 0 \ \mu & -(\lambda + \mu) & \lambda & 0 \ 0 & 2\mu & -(\lambda + 2\mu) & \mu \ 0 & 0 & 3\mu & -3\mu \end{pmatrix}$

We see that R was simpler form than Q. Actually R_{ij} $i \not= j$ directly corresponds to the "rate" by which the process moves from state i to j. Thus, in practice, we often directly model R rather than getting $\{\lambda_i\}_{i\in S}$ and $Q=\{q_{ij}\}_{i,j\in S}$