

Algorithm Design and Analysis

Linear Programming (Part II)

Roadmap for today

- Linear program duality
- Weak and strong duality theorems
- Examples of duality

Review: Formal definition

Definition (Linear program): A linear program consists of

- n real-valued **variables** x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n
- A linear ***objective function***, e.g., minimize/maximize $2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3$
- m linear **inequalities**, e.g., $3x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 6$, or $0 \leq x_1 \leq 3$

Goal: Find values for x 's that satisfy the constraints and minimize/maximize the objective

Review: Standard form

- The same LP can be written in many ways
- It is convenient to have a “standard way” to write an LP

Definition (Standard Form): An LP with n variables x_1, \dots, x_n and m constraints in **standard form** can be written with constants c_1, \dots, c_n , b_1, \dots, b_m , a_{11}, \dots, a_{mn}

Objective must be max, not min

→ **maximize** $c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n$

Constraints are all \leq constant

→ **subject to** $a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n \leq b_1$
 $a_{21}x_1 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n \leq b_2$
 \vdots
 $a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n \leq b_m$

=

maximize $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$
subject to $A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$
 $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$

All variables are non-negative. (These do not count towards the m number of constraints!)

→ $x_i \geq 0$ for all i

Review: Algorithms

- **Simplex:** Typically, the best in practice. Exponential worst case
- **Ellipsoid:** Polynomial time, bad in practice
- **Karmarkar:** Good in practice sometimes, polynomial time

Motivating problem: The carpenter

- You are a carpenter. You make tables, chairs, and shelves out of wood, nails, and paint.

Item	Wood	Nails	Paint	Sale Price
Table	8	20	5	\$50
Chair	4	15	3	\$30
Shelf	3	5	3	\$20
Stock	100	300	80	

- How many of each item should you make for maximum profit (ignoring rounding errors)

Motivating problem: The carpenter

$$\text{maximize } 50x + 30y + 20z$$

$$8x + 4y + 3z \leq 100$$

$$20x + 15y + 5z \leq 300$$

$$5x + 3y + 3z \leq 80$$

$$x, y, z \geq 0$$

Let x = #tables, y = #chairs, z = #shelves

Item	Wood	Nails	Paint	Sale Price
Table	8	20	5	\$50
Chair	4	15	3	\$30
Shelf	3	5	3	\$20
Stock	100	300	80	

Solution: $x \approx 1.82$, $y \approx 14.55$, $z \approx 9.09$
\$709.09

Along comes a merchant

- Along comes a traveling merchant willing to purchase your stock of wood, nails, and paint, for a fair price.
- What is a fair price for wood, nails, and paint?
- You are not willing to sell your materials for less than the amount you could make by turning them into items

Along comes a merchant

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & 100w + 300s + 80p \\ & 8w + 20s + 5p \geq 50 \\ & 4w + 15s + 3p \geq 30 \\ & 3w + 5s + 3p \geq 20 \\ & w, s, p \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let $w = \$\text{wood}$, $s = \$\text{nails}$, $p = \$\text{paint}$

Item	Wood	Nails	Paint	Sale Price
Table	8	20	5	\$50
Chair	4	15	3	\$30
Shelf	3	5	3	\$20
Stock	100	300	80	

Solution: $w = 2.73$, $s \approx 0.73$, $p \approx 2.73$
\$ 709.09

A tale of two LPs

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{maximize} & \underline{50}x + \underline{30}y + \underline{20}z \\ \text{subject to} & 8x + 4y + 3z \leq \underline{100} \\ & 20x + 15y + 5z \leq \underline{300} \\ & 5x + 3y + 3z \leq \underline{80} \\ & x, y, z \geq 0\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \underline{100}w + \underline{300}s + \underline{80}p \\ \text{subject to} & 8w + 20s + 5p \geq \underline{50} \\ & \quad \quad \quad 4w + 15s + 3p \geq \underline{30} \\ & \quad \quad \quad 3w + 5s + 3p \geq \underline{20} \\ & w, s, p \geq 0\end{array}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 4 & 3 \\ 20 & 15 & 5 \\ 5 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 300 \\ 80 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} 50 \\ 30 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}\text{maximize } c^T \vec{x} \\ A\vec{x} \leq b \\ \vec{x} \geq 0\end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{l}\text{minimize } b^T \vec{y} \\ A^T \vec{y} \geq c \\ \vec{y} \geq 0\end{array}$$

The dual program

Definition (Dual): Given a standard-form LP, its **dual** is

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{maximize} & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{subject to} & A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq 0\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} \\ \text{subject to} & A^T \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{c} \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq 0\end{array}$$

- The original problem is called the **primal problem**
- If the primal has n variables and m constraints, the dual has m variables and n constraints, i.e., variables and constraints swap roles!

Exercise: Show that the dual of the dual is the primal. This shows that which one you call the primal and which you call the dual is arbitrary

Theorems

Primal LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b} \leftarrow \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Dual LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{c} \leftarrow \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Theorem (Weak Duality): If \mathbf{x} is any feasible solution to the primal LP and \mathbf{y} is any feasible solution to the dual LP

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$$

Proof.

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \leq (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y})^T \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}^T (\mathbf{Ax}) \leq \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} \quad \square$$

Theorems

Primal LP

maximize $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$
subject to $A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$
 $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$

Dual LP

minimize $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$
subject to $A^T \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{c}$
 $\mathbf{y} \geq 0$

Theorem (Strong Duality): If the primal problem is feasible and bounded, then the dual is feasible and bounded. If \mathbf{x}^* is an optimal solution to the primal LP and \mathbf{y}^* is an optimal solution to the dual LP

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}^*$$

Proof: Too long.

Consequences

- Suppose the primal problem is unbounded, what can we say about the dual?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{primal} & \leq & \text{dual} \\ \xrightarrow{\infty} & & \xleftarrow{-\infty} \end{array}$$

- **Consequence:** It is impossible for both the primal and dual to be unbounded.

Consequences

- Which combinations are possible?

		Dual		
		Infeasible	Feasible & Bounded	Unbounded
Primal	Infeasible	✓	✗	✓
	Feasible & Bounded	✗	✓	✗
	Unbounded	✓	✗	✗

Exercise: Find an LP that is infeasible such that its dual is also infeasible.

Zero-sum games, again

Variables: p_1, \dots, p_n and v .

Objective: Maximize v .

Constraints:

- $p_i \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. (the p_i form a probability distribution)
- $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i R_{ij} \geq v$ for all columns $1 \leq j \leq m$

- Let R denote the payoff matrix
- Assume WLOG $R_{ij} \geq 0$
- Solution of this LP is

$$lb^* = \max_{\mathbf{p}} \min_j \sum_i p_i R_{ij}$$

$$v - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i R_{ij} \leq 0 \quad \forall j$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \leq 1$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & -R^T & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Zero-sum games, the dual

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 1 & & -R^T & \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Dual LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{c} \leftarrow \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Call the dual variables $\mathbf{y} = [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m, v']^T$

minimize v'

$$\sum q_i \geq 1$$

$$v' - \sum q_j R_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \forall i$$

$$\mathbf{A}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ -R & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

minimize v'

$$\sum q_i = 1$$

UB^*

$$\sum_{j=1}^m q_j R_{ij} \leq v'$$

$$q_i \geq 0$$

for all rows $1 \leq i \leq n$

Corollary: Minimax theorem

Theorem (Minimax): Given a finite 2-player zero-sum game with row payoff matrix R

$$\text{lb}^* = \max_p \min_j \sum_i p_i R_{ij} = \min_q \max_i \sum_j q_j R_{ij} = \text{ub}^*$$

Proof: Strong duality of the LPs from the last two slides!

Take-home messages

- **Duality** gives us a powerful tool to prove see a problem in an equivalent but different form
- The **strong and weak duality theorems** tell us about the relationship between the primal and dual problem
- Duality can be used to prove equivalence between two problems (e.g., proving the **minimax theorem**)