

Interestingness Measures & Null-Invariance

- □ Null invariance: Value does not change with the # of null-transactions
- □ A few interestingness measures: Some are null invariant

	Measure	Definition	Range	Null-Invariant		
	$\chi^2(A,B)$	$\sum_{i,j=0,1} \frac{(e(a_i b_j) - o(a_i b_j))^2}{e(a_i b_j)}$	$[0,\infty]$	No	L	X ² and lift a
	Lift(A, B)	$\frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(A) \times s(B)}$	$[0,\infty]$	No	1	null-invari
10	AllConf(A, B)	$\frac{s(A \cup B)}{max\{s(A), s(B)\}}$	[0, 1]	Yes		Jaccard, cor
4	$m{Z}$ $Jaccard(A,B)$	$\frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(A) + s(B) - s(A \cup B)}$	[0, 1]	Yes		AllConf, Max
X	Cosine(A, B)	$\frac{s(A \cup B)}{\sqrt{s(A) \times s(B)}}$	[0, 1]	Yes		and Kulczy
1000	Kulczynski(A,B)	$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(A)} + \frac{s(A \cup B)}{s(B)} \right)$	[0, 1]	Yes		are null-invo
	MaxConf(A, B)	$max\{\frac{s(A)}{s(A \cup B)}, \frac{s(B)}{s(A \cup B)}\}$	[0, 1]	Yes		

are not riant

nsine, axConf, ynski variant res

Null Invariance: An Important Property

- □ Why is null invariance crucial for the analysis of massive transaction data?
 - Many transactions may contain neither milk nor coffee!

milk vs. coffee contingency table

	milk	$\neg milk$	Σ_{row}	
coffee	mc	$\neg mc$	c s	tra
$\neg \mathit{coffee}$	$m \neg c$	$\neg m \neg c$	$\neg c$	
Σ.,	m	$\neg m$	Σ	

Lift and χ² are not null-invariant: not good to evaluate data that contain too many or too few null transactions!

Many measures are not null-invariant!

Null-transactions	アルってかりな
w.r.t. m and c	なり不らながるし

Data set	mc	$\neg mc$	$m \neg c$	$m \neg c$	χ^2	Lift
D_1	10,000	1,000	1,000	100,000	90557	9.26
D_2	10,000	1,000	1,000	100	0	1
D_3	100	1,000	1,000	100,000	670	8.44
D_4	1,000	1,000	1,000	100,000	24740	25.75
D_5	1,000	100	10,000	100,000	8173	9.18
D_6	1,000	10	100,000	100,000	965	1.97