The Dark Side of the ForSSHe

A landscape of OpenSSH backdoors



Gaspare Ferraro

ICT Risk Assessment

University of Pisa Master Degree in Computer Science

Pisa, July 21, 2019

Part I


Introduction



2/32

SSH

Secure Shell, protocol for secure remote login and other secure network services over an insecure network.



Developed in 1995 in response to a hacking incident, **today standard protocol** for secure operations.

Simplified setup flow (source: ssh.com)

3/32

OpenSSH suite

Suite of secure networking utilities based on SSH protocol.

Coming by default in a large number of operating systems

Utilities:

- SCP, secure copy of files between two different hosts
- SFTP, secure file transfer program
- SSH, secure shell client
- SSHD, ssh server daemon
- keys utilities (SSH-ADD, SSH-AGENT, SSH-KEYGEN, SSH-KEYSCAN)



Operation Windigo

Large and sophisticated operation started in 2011 and discovered after 3 years.

The operation has compromised linux servers in order to **steal SSH credentials**, redirect web traffic and send spam message.

Three different components of the operations:

- **Ebury, OpenSSH backdoor** used to gain full access, steal credentials and keep control of the servers.
- Cdorked, an HTTP backdoor used to redirect traffic and a modified DNS server to resolve arbitrary IP addresses.
- Calfbot, a Perl script used to send spam.

Results:

- highly portable malicious modules were developed in order to cover as many system as possibile.
- 25,000 unique servers compromised.
- 500,000 visitors per day redirected to malicious websites.
- 35,000,000 spam email sent.



Post-operation analysis

Post-operation analysis lead ESET to extend coverage about OpenSSH backdoors.

After months of research and data collection, ESET grouped a series of samples in 21 different OpenSSH malware families, **12 of them undocumented** at the time of the paper.



ESET - IT security company

Malware were divided according to common features and cataloged by complexity and time of activity.

Part II

Common features of OpenSSH backdoors



Strings and code obfuscation

Attackers need a way to obfuscate strings and code of backdoor (such as filenames or directories).

XOR cipher: simplest method, encrypt the strings by xor the string with a key.

String stacking: construct strings directly in the stack in order to bypass simple string searched.



String stacking in a binary



4 0 > 4 70 > 4 75 > 4

Credential stealing

Various methods to steal users credential on both sides.

Client

Modify functions on client to log password on log-in such:

USERAUTH_PASSWD, Authenticates a session with username and password.

SSH_ASKPASS, Pass-phrase dialog.

Server

Modify functions on server to log password on request such:

AUTH_PASSWORD, Tries to authenticate the user using password.

SSHPAM_RESPOND, Tries to authenticate the user with PAM (Pluggable authentication modules).

Pisa. July 21, 2019

Exfiltration methods

Once credentials are stealed, attackers need to exfiltrate them:

Exfiltration by local file

Easy method: credentials are stored inside a file in the server,

hidden in filesystem (e.g.: .SO in /USR/BIN or .H in /USR/LOCAL/INCLUDE).

The Dark Side of the ForSSHe

Problem: attackers needs to have a way back into the system.

Exfiltration by C&C server

Complex method: send credentials over the network instead of local file.

Problem: network communications are logged.

Some backdoor encrypt communication with a symmetric key.

Exfiltration by email

In some rare cases credentials are sent by email.

Problem: hardcode email address in the binary.



Backdoor mode

Permanent Method to connect back to the compromised machine,


with the following features:

Hardcoded password, compare client password with a hardcoded password.

Configuration and log, change daemon configuration to permit full access and disable logging features in order to not leave traces on the system.

Environment variables, change environment variables such as HISTFILE.

Hooked functions, modify all functions for loggin and debugging.




Backdoor password verification

Part III

Backdoors families



OpenSSH backdoors galaxy



Planet circumference
Proportional to the numbers of hashes seen

Code complexity

Not sophisticated

Somewhat sophisticated

Highly sophisticated



OpenSSH backdoor families according to ESET research

OpenSSH backdoors summary (1/2)

Family	Network exfiltration	Local exfiltration	Backdoor mode	Source Code available	Documented	Anti-logging	Obfuscations used
Abafar	-	②	②	0	Ø	Ø	-
Alderaan	-	②	②	0	-	-	-
Anoat	-	②	②	-	-	Ø	String-stacking
Akiva	-	②	②	0	-	-	-
Ando	SMTP	0	0	0	-	Ø	-
Atollon	-	encrypted	•	-	-	•	Encrypted strings and string-stacking
Batuu	-	encoded	-	-	•	-	-
Bespin	-	Ø	-	-	-	Ø	-
Bonadan	UDP	②	•	-	-	-	Ma-
Borleias	UDP	0	-	-	-	-	3

Pisa, July 21, 2019

OpenSSH backdoors summary (2/2)

Family	Network exfiltration	Local exfiltration	Backdoor mode	Source Code available	Documented	Anti-logging	Obfuscations used
Chandrila	UDP	Ø	•	-	-	-	Encrypted strings
Crait	UDP	Ø	•	-	-	0	Encrypted strings
Coruscant	HTTP	②	②	-	-	②	-
Endor	SMTP	Ø	•	Ø	-	0	UPX (Some variants only)
Jakku	HTTP	-	•	-	-	0	Encrypted strings
Kamino	HTTP	-	•	-	•	•	Encrypted strings
Kessel	HTTP, TCP, DNS	encrypted	-	-	-	0	Encrypted strings
Mimban	TCP	-	•	-	-	0	Encrypted strings
Onderon	-	②	Ø	②	-	0	- EMA
Polis Massa	SMTP	encoded	•	Ø	-	0	30
Quarren	-	Ø	•	-	-	•	3

The Dark Side of the ForSSHe

Chandrila

Save authentication method, username and password base64-encoded.

Exfiltration of credentials via local file or sent via UDP to a C&C server.

Distinctive feature: **can receive commands through the SSH password**. Two password are hardcoded in the backdoor: one to login in the server and another to execute commands, by appending data to the password.

In particular:

C0011455OpenSSHd backdoor password for command line.

C001145SOpenSSHd\${**CMD**} execute CMD on server.

Powerful backdoor mode as attacker can execute command without a shell.

Pisa, July 21, 2019

Bonadan

Backdoor fork a new thread inside the main function: this thread periodically calls two functions and pause for five minutes.

First function check if there is any **cryptocurrency miner** installed on the system and removes it.

Second function connect to the C&C server and send several information about the host over UDP (such as current username, OS version, external IP address, CPU and RAM models, speed of the miner).

Backdoor receive an answer from the C&C server that can containing a specific command like: create a shell, execute a command on machine, updates the configuration, launch a cryptocurrency mining module.

The Dark Side of the ForSSHe

The backdoor mines the Monero cryptocurrency as part of a mining pool.

Problem: need to store wallet information inside the server.

Kessel

Most advanced and recent between all the families. This backdoor includes two main features: a bot functionality and credentials stealing.

Bot feature: a bot is launched at the beginning of the OpenSSH main function, generate an ID based on MAC address, collect system information then starts two threads.

First thread periodically send encrypted information to a C&C server and eventually create a reverse shell with a specified machine. Second thread repeatedly queries a TXT records in a custom DNS server to get commands.

Credential stealing: reimplements two malicious functions in SSH daemon (SSH_LOGIN and USER_AUTH_PUBKEY) to steal remote host/username, password and local username (or private/public key). Exfiltrate stolen credentials using HTTP, TCP or DNS protocol.



DNS exfiltration schema




Kamino

First variant of this backdoor already seen in 2013 (as documented in [3]).

Used together with an Apache module called DarkLeech to redirect internet traffic.

After few years the same backdoor was used again to attack Russian Banks by a group known as Carbanak, resulting in $\sim\!900$ million dollars.







4 0 > 4 70 > 4 75 > 4

Part IV

Honeypot



Pisa, July 21, 2019

Definition and goals

A honeypot is a **ICT resource** used for monitoring, detecting and analyzing attacks. It has no production value, no real sensible data.

Can be classified by its implementation (virtual or physical), purpose (prevention, detection, research) and level of interaction (low, middle, high) in particular:

Low-interaction honeypots simulate only some aspects of the system, while limiting the ability of the attacker. More easy to deploy but can get a limited amount of information.

High-interaction honeypots based on a real OS, the attackers gets full access to the system and can be compromised completely (with an higher risk). Harder to deply and manage but can get a full overview of the attackers.

Many OpenSSH honeypot solutions exists but due to their popularity they are easy to detect.


An high-interaction custom honeypot was chosen in order to maximize the information gathered from the attackers.

Honeypot structure and strategy

Credentials are leaked in two different ways.

For a client backdoor is enough to log into the honeypot server.

More complicate is for a daemon backdoor, one solution is to **install the daemon backdoor** on the honeypot server, log in with a safe client and remove the backdoor, in order to simulate the backdoor detection in the attacker eyes.







4 0 > 4 70 > 4 3 >

Observed interaction: Minban

Both client and server backdoors were available, of course the backdoor client was used to leak the credentials.

The attackers behing the backdoor logged in to the honeypot after few hours of leaking and thanks to the architecture the commands executed on the server were logged.

```
# First connection from 31,184,196[,]57 (MU),
ps aux
meser MISTFILE
cd /Nar/Move
15 -1a html/
caff
# Second connection from 31,184,196[,]57 (MU),
meser MISTFILE
15 -1a
16 -1a
16
```

Attackers command captured for Minban

Attackers logged in manually from a Russian IP address, try to clean command history, check some files and finally check the version of OpenSSH binary.

4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 5 + 4 - 5

Observed interaction: Borleias

More interesting the results of the Borleias backdoor: the attackers first logged into the honeypot in less than 24 hours and repeat for $more\ than\ 10\ times$ withing four days.

- The attackers used **Tor** to login, in order to not leave trace.
- A classic version of the OpenSSH client was used to connect.
- They managed to get the credential leaked in the Mimban operations: this
 means that there is a connection between the two backdoors (maybe
 same operators or the credentials were sold somewhere).
- Some basic checks at beginning then more interesting operations in the few days later, in particular they drop a new version of the backdoor.
- Gather all the information about the server, exfiltrate them and clean all the command history.
- Meticulous attention to details (check of running process, timestamps of files, logged-in users between the execution of each command, ...)

Part V

Mitigation



Preventing compromise of SSH servers

Very difficult to determine the infection vector used to install these OpenSSH backdoors.

In the operation Windigo two different vector was used:

An attack of the website **kernel.org** (the official repository of the linux kernel source code) to inject malicious code.

An attack to *cpanel.net*, the most famous software to manage websites and hosting.

Obvious but important recommendation is to install software only from trusted sources, e.g. checks sources in /ETC/APT/SOURCES.LIST for debian-derived linux distribution or install only trusted package from the AUR (Arch User Repository) for ArchLinux distribution.

Pisa, July 21, 2019

Correct OpenSSH configuration



Check logs

Enable logs for every critical service.

Periodically backup logs in an external server/device.

Check for log files in server.

```
informateci sshd[1707]: Failed password for root from 37.187.19.222 port 37893 ssh2
```

Failed attempt of login in a server



Pisa. July 21, 2019

Analyze network traffic



Detect compromised SSH tools



Conclusion

- A compromised server can compromise other servers and milions of users (see Carbanak operation).
 - Install software only from trusted origin.
- Keep system up-to-date (upgrade always to the last stable version).
- Correct configure software.
- Prefer public/private key login instead of password login.
- Setup a multi factor authentication.
- Check logs periodically for strange activities.
- Keep track of external connections and setup correctly a firewall.



References

[1] The Dark Side of the ForSSHe, ESET

https://www.welivesecurity.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/ESET-The Dark Side of the ForSSHe.pdf

[2] The Dark Side of the ForSSHe, ESET

https://www.welivesecurity.com/2018/12/05/dark-side-of-the-forsshe/

[3] Linux/SSHDoor.A Backdoored SSH daemon that steals passwords, ESET

https://www.welivesecurity.com/2013/01/24/ linux-sshdoor-a-backdoored-ssh-daemon-that-steals-passwords/

[4] Operation Windigo, ESET

https://www.welivesecurity.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/operation_windigo.pdf

[5] ESET discovers 12 previously undetected Linux backdoors, ESET

https://www.eset.com/int/about/newsroom/press-releases/research/ eset-discovers-12-previously-undetected-linux-backdoors/

[6] Openssh backdoor used on compromised Linux servers, randhome

https://www.randhome.io/blog/2016/08/01/ openssh-backdoor-used-on-compromised-linux-servers/

[7] Carbanak APT: The great bank robbery, Kaspersky

https://media.kasperskycontenthub.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/43/2018/03/ 08064518/Carbanak_APT_eng.pdf

The Dark Side of the ForSSHe