

The Sidelnikov-Shestakov's Attack applied to the Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem

Sylvain Colin & Gaspard Férey

March 13, 2014

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Our Work	1
2	Preliminaries	2
2.1	A cryptosystem based on Reed-Solomon codes	2
2.2	Equivalence between Reed-Solomon codes	2
3	The Sidelnikov-Shestakov Attack	4
4	Application to the Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem	5
4.1	Notations	5
4.2	The Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem	5
4.2.1	Link with Reed-Solomon codes	5
4.3	A First Attack using Reed-Solomon codes	6
4.3.1	Small powers of g	7
4.3.2	Wider set of acceptable generators	7
5	Vaudenay attack	8
5.1	Generating more rows...	8
6	Simulation and expected complexity	9
6.1	A first example	9
6.2	Number of linearly independent polynomials	10
6.2.1	Postulate	11
6.3	Optimum choice for r	11
7	Conclusions	12

Abstract

In this article, we discuss about the Sidelnikov-Shestakov attack on cryptosystems based on Reed-Solomon codes. Then we describe how this algorithm can be used to improve the attack to the Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem proposed by Vaudenay [\[5\]](#).

1 Introduction

Niederreiter and McEliece cryptosystem [3] was one of the first to use randomization in the encryption process. Indeed, in such a scheme, the encrypter uses a random error to prevent attacks on the codeword. This codeword is then decrypted using an error-correcting Reed-Solomon code. This algorithm has never gained much acceptance in the cryptographic community, but is a candidate for "post-quantum cryptography".

In 1992, Sidelnikov and Shestakov suggest an attack [4] on the public key of this cryptosystem using equivalences between private keys.

The Chor-Rivest cryptosystem [1] is a public key knapsack system which has been broken. However, it took longer to break than most, and is a very elegant use of finite fields.

The first efficient attack for the proposed parameters (i.e., $p \simeq 200$, $h \simeq 24$) has been obtained by Vaudenay in 2001, assuming h has a small factor.

1.1 Our Work

In this article, we first describe the Niederreiter and McEliece cryptosystem and present the original Sidelnikov and Shestakov's attack. We use here the echelon form of the public key as suggested by Wieschebrink [6]. This gives an easy algorithm to attack such a scheme.

On a second part, we focus on the Chor-Rivest cryptosystem and show some similarities between the "known g_{p^r} attacks" introduced by Vaudenay and the Sidelnikov-Shestakov attack. This allow us to describe an algorithm for a "known g_{p^r} attacks" which doesn't require r to be as big as in Vaudenay's article. In particular the term $p^{\sqrt{h}}$ in Vaudenay's complexity corresponding to an exhaustive research can be reduced to $p^{\log p}$ assuming we have $h \simeq \frac{p}{\log p}$ as suggested in Chor and Rivest's article and h has very small factors (around $\log p$). That last point should be verified since the scheme can only be used efficiently when h has a lot of divisors in order to be able to compute discrete logarithms for the public key.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 A cryptosystem based on Reed-Solomon codes

We study here the public-key cryptosystem introduced by Niederreiter and McEliece [3] applied to the generalized Reed-Solomon codes. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with $q = p^h$ elements and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$, where ∞ has usual properties ($1/\infty = 0$, etc). We call \mathfrak{A} the following matrix:

$$\mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) := \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \alpha_1^0 & z_2 \alpha_2^0 & \cdots & z_n \alpha_n^0 \\ z_1 \alpha_1^1 & z_2 \alpha_2^1 & \cdots & z_n \alpha_n^1 \\ & & \ddots & \\ z_1 \alpha_1^{k-1} & z_2 \alpha_2^{k-1} & \cdots & z_n \alpha_n^{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(k, n)$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}$ and $z_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Note that, if $\alpha_i = \infty$, we replace the i^{th} column by the vector $z_i(0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$, so that all the coefficients of the matrix are finite.

In the considered cryptosystem, the secret key consists of

- The set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$;
- The set $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$;
- A random nonsingular $k \times k$ -matrix H over \mathbb{F}_q .

The public key is

- The representation of the field \mathbb{F}_q , that is the polynomial used to define \mathbb{F}_q over \mathbb{F}_p ;
- The two integers k and n such that $0 < k < n \leq q$.
- $M := H \cdot \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$.

The codewords are then the vectors $c = b.M$ where $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$. So, the different codewords have necessarily the following form :

$$c = (z_i f_c(\alpha_i))_{1 \leq i \leq n}$$

where f_c is a polynomial whose degree is at most $k - 1$.

Thus, given a message to send, which is actually a vector b of \mathbb{F}_q^k , one will have to transmit the vector $b.M + e$ where e is a random vector of \mathbb{F}_q^n with Hamming weight at most $t = \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$. So, since a GRS code correct at most $t = \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$ error, the original message can be recovered by computing $b' = b.M$, finding the closest codeword from the received message, and then computing $b'M^{-1}$. However, the original message can not be easily recovered when not knowing the GRS code used in the secret key.

2.2 Equivalence between Reed-Solomon codes

Sidelnikov and Shestakov show [4] that for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, there exists $H_1, H_2, H_3 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(k, k)$ invertible such that

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 \mathfrak{A}(a \cdot \alpha_1 + b, \dots, a \cdot \alpha_n + b, c_1 z_1, \dots, c_n z_n) &= \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ H_2 \mathfrak{A}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\alpha_n}, d_1 z_1, \dots, d_n z_n\right) &= \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ H_3 \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, a \cdot z_1, \dots, a \cdot z_n) &= \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) \end{aligned}$$

This means that for any cryptosystem $M = H\mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$, for any birational transformation

$$\phi : x \mapsto \frac{ax + b}{cx + d}$$

$M = H_\phi \mathfrak{A}(\phi(\alpha_1), \dots, \phi(\alpha_n), z'_1, \dots, z'_n)$ and by using the unique transformation ϕ that maps $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ to $(0, 1, \infty)$, we get that for any cryptosystem $M = H\mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$, M can be uniquely written

$$M = H'\mathfrak{A}(0, 1, \infty, \alpha'_4, \dots, \alpha'_n, z'_1, \dots, z'_n)$$

with H' nonsingular, $z'_i \neq 0$ and α_i distinct elements of $\mathbb{F}_q - \{0, 1, \infty\}$.

So, when M is given, it is impossible to compute the original matrices \mathfrak{A} and H since many pairs of such matrices lead to the same public matrix M . However, computing an equivalent pair is sufficient since it will allow to decipher the messages as well as the original secret pair of matrices. So, the attack will consist of finding H and $\mathfrak{A}(0, 1, \infty, \alpha'_4, \dots, \alpha'_n, z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n)$, equivalent to the original pair. We can also assume that $z'_1 = 1$. Indeed, if we multiply all the elements z'_i by a factor $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and all the elements of the matrix H by a^{-1} , the resulting matrix M will be the same.

3 The Sidelnikov-Shestakov Attack

The attack of Sidelnikov-Shestakov consists of the following steps.

First we assume that the public key is as described in the previous section :

$$M = H' \mathfrak{A}(0, 1, \infty, \alpha'_4, \dots, \alpha'_n, 1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n)$$

We compute then the echelon form of M .

$$E(M) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_{1,k+1} & \cdots & b_{1,n} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & b_{2,k+1} & \cdots & b_{2,n} \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & b_{k,k+1} & \cdots & b_{k,n} \end{pmatrix} = H'' \cdot M$$

Since the echelon form can be computed only with left multiplication of the matrix M , the k lines of $E(M)$ are codewords. As a consequence, if we call f_i the polynomial associated to the i^{th} line, we have :

- $\forall 1 \leq i \leq k, f_i(\alpha_i) = 1$
- $\forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k, f_i(\alpha_j) = 0$
- $\forall 1 \leq i \leq k \forall k+1 \leq j \leq n, f_i(\alpha_j) = b_{i,j}$

So, since all the α_i are different, the polynomial f_i has $k-1$ simple roots. As a consequence, $b_{i,j} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $k+1 \leq j \leq n$. Moreover, we know the general form of the polynomial f_i :

$$f_i(X) = c_i \cdot \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k, i \neq j} (X - \alpha_j)$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{F} \setminus 0$.

For $2 \leq k \leq n-2$, this attack works with a complexity of ...

4 Application to the Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem

4.1 Notations

From now on, p is a power of a prime and $q := p^h$ with h integer. We call $\mathbb{F}_n := \text{GF}(n)$ the finite field of order n . We often refer in this article to \mathbb{F}_p and \mathbb{F}_{p^r} (with r a divisor of h) both sub-fields of \mathbb{F}_q . The elements of \mathbb{F}_p are $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{p-1}\}$.

When $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the weight of n in base p as follow

$$w_p : u = \sum_{i=0}^d u_i p^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^d u_i \quad \text{where } 0 \leq u_i \leq p-1$$

4.2 The Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem

The Chor-Rivest cryptosystem is a public key knapsack scheme using the

The secret key consist of

- an element $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with algebraic degree h .
- a generator g of \mathbb{F}_q^* .
- an integer $0 \leq d < q$.
- a permutation π of $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$.

Public keys consist of all

$$c_i := d + \log_g(t + \alpha_{\pi(i)}) \mod q-1$$

The message consists in a bitstring $m = [m_0 \dots m_{p-1}]$ of length p and weight $h : \sum_i m_i = h$. The ciphertext is

$$E(M) := \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} m_i c_i \mod q-1$$

To decipher this message, we compute

$$g^{E(M)-hd} = \prod_i (t + \alpha_{\pi(i)})^{m_i}$$

whose factorization leads to the message m .

4.2.1 Link with Reed-Solomon codes

Trying to attack this cryptosystem show some relations between this problem and the previous one studied in section [2](#). In particular we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $2 \leq k \leq p-2$. Suppose there exists $(Q_i)_{0 \leq i \leq k-1}$ k polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ linearly independent with degree smaller than $k-1$. Suppose the evaluations $m_{i,j} := Q_i(\alpha_{\pi(j)})$ is known for all i and j . Then the permutation π can be recovered in polynomial time using a Sidelnikov-Shestakov attack on the matrix $M = (m_{i,j})_{i,j} \in \mathcal{M}_{k,p}(\mathbb{F}_p)$.*

Proof. We suppose here that one of the Q_i has a degree exactly k . Then we write the square non singular matrix $H = (h_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of the coefficients of the Q_i

$$Q_i(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} h_{i,j} X^j$$

If we still consider

$$\mathfrak{A}_k := (\alpha_{\pi(j)}^i)_{0 \leq i < k, 0 \leq j \leq p-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{k,p}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

We have the equality

$$H \cdot \mathfrak{A}_k = M$$

with H non singular and since $k \leq p-2$, this is exactly the public key of a cryptosystem based on the Reed-Solomon codes described in [section 2](#). \square

So a possible way to attack the Chor-Rivest cryptosystem would be to find the evaluations of enough small degree polynomials in the $\alpha_{\pi(i)}$.

4.3 A First Attack using Reed-Solomon codes

When we attack this cryptosystem, we can consider a generator $g_0 = g^u$ with u unknown and $\gcd(u, q-1) = 1$ we then have

$$g_0^{c_i} = (g^d (t + \alpha_{\pi(i)}))^u = (A + \alpha_{\pi(i)} \cdot B)^u$$

We can then consider that the secret key is

- $A \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
- $B \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $t = A \cdot B^{-1}$ has algebraic degree h .
- $0 < u < q-1$ prime with $q-1$.
- the permutation π of $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$.

and public key consists in all the

$$d_i := (A + \alpha_{\pi(i)} \cdot B)^u \in \mathbb{F}_q$$

The ciphertext becomes

$$E'(M) := \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} d_i^{m_i} = g^{uE(M)} = B^{uh} \left(\prod_i (t + \alpha_{\pi(i)})^{c_i} \right)^u$$

Knowing u , B and h , it is easy to compute from $E'(M)$, the following quantity

$$\prod_i (t + \alpha_{\pi(i)})^{c_i}$$

which allow us to retrieve all the c_i .

We have for all j

$$g^{c_j} = g^d \cdot (t + \alpha_{\pi(j)}) = A + \alpha_{\pi(j)} \cdot B$$

where $\alpha_{\pi(j)} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and A and B are elements of \mathbb{F}_{p^h} .

A naive attack would be then to try to guess at random the generator g . We will see that although finding the precise g is very unlikely, there is a family of generators that can still allow us to retrieve π .

4.3.1 Small powers of g

As an attempt to guess g , we can choose a random generator g_0 of \mathbb{F}_q^* . We have $g_0 = g^u$ and

$$g_0^{c_j} = (A + \alpha_{\pi(j)} \cdot B)^u$$

if we write this quantity in a certain base $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq h}$ of \mathbb{F}_{p^h} , we notice that each coordinate is a polynomial Q_i in the $\alpha_{\pi(j)}$.

$$g_0^{c_j} = \sum_{i=1}^h Q_i(\alpha_{\pi(j)}) e_i$$

where Q_i has its coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p . Q_i depends on A , B , u and obviously on i . However, Q_i does not depend on j .

Besides, we have

- $\deg Q_i \leq u$
- $\deg Q_i < p$ since $\alpha_{\pi(j)}^p = \alpha_{\pi(j)}$.

This means that we have access to the evaluations in the $\alpha_{\pi(j)}$ of h polynomials of degree smaller than u . According to Theorem [4.1](#), a sufficient condition for this attack to work is $u \leq h-1 \leq p-3$. The last inequality is always true for a big enough instance of the cryptosystem since h is supposed to be chosen close to $p/\log p$. However there are only $h-1$ different elements of \mathbb{F}_{p^h} fulfilling the first inequality.

Considering all these acceptable generators only slightly improves the exhaustive research of g by a factor of h .

4.3.2 Wider set of acceptable generators

We can notice that if $u = u'p$,

$$g_0^{c_j} = (A + \alpha_{\pi(j)} \cdot B)^{u'p} = (A^p + \alpha_{\pi(j)} \cdot B^p)^{u'}$$

and the coordinates of this quantity are polynomials of degree u' in $\alpha_{\pi(j)}$. This also means that if u is written $u = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} u_i p^i$ in base p , then

$$g_0^{c_j} = \prod_{i=0}^{h-1} (A^{p^i} + \alpha_{\pi(j)} \cdot B^{p^i})^{u_i}$$

whose coordinates are a polynomial of degree $w_p(u) := \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} u_i$ in the $\alpha_{\pi(j)}$.

This mean that all u such that $w_p(u) < h$ allow to retrieve the permutation and break the cryptosystem. The number of such u is

$$\binom{\binom{h+1}{h-1}}{h-1} = \binom{2h}{h-1} = \Theta(4^h \sqrt{h})$$

This is a drastic improvement in the exhaustive research of g . However this remains quite small compared to the number $\phi(p^h - 1)$ of different generators in \mathbb{F}_{p^h} which is comparable to p^h .

5 Vaudenay attack

The previous attack requires to find a generator of \mathbb{F}_q among the elements that can be written g^u with $w_p(u) < h$. Since there are very few of such elements compared to the $\phi(q-1)$ different generators of \mathbb{F}_q , Vaudenay suggests [5] to consider a generator g_{p^r} of the subfield $\mathbb{F}_{p^r} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^h}$. He introduces the following theorem

Theorem 2. *For any factor r of h , there exists a generator g_{p^r} of the multiplicative group of the subfield \mathbb{F}_{p^r} of \mathbb{F}_q and a polynomial Q with degree h/r whose coefficients are in \mathbb{F}_{p^r} and such that $-t$ is a root and for all j , we have $Q(\alpha_{\pi(j)}) = g_{p^r}^{c_j}$.*

Proof. The expression of such a polynomial is given by

$$Q(X) = g_{p^r}^d \prod_{i=0}^{h/r-1} (X + t^{p^{ri}})$$

where $g_{p^r} = g_{p^{r-1}}^{\frac{q-1}{p^r-1}}$. □

If we chose a base $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ of \mathbb{F}_{p^r} (seen as a \mathbb{F}_p -vector space), we can write the coefficients of $g_{p^r}^{c_j}$ in this base as polynomials Q_i in $\alpha_{\pi(j)}$. We get

$$g_{p^r}^{c_j} = \sum_{i=1}^r Q_i(\alpha_{\pi(j)}) e_i$$

with $\deg Q_i \leq h/r$. We get the evaluation of r polynomials of degree smaller than h/r .

This means that instead of searching a generator among the approximately p^h generators of \mathbb{F}_q , we could search only within \mathbb{F}_{p^r} with r as small as possible. We notice that to be able to apply Theorem 2, we must have $h/r < r$. This yields the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *When $r > \sqrt{h}$, there exists a polynomial "known g_{p^r} " attack on the Chor-Rivest cryptosystem.*

This theorem is basically the main result from Vaudenay's article [5]. It states that to attack the Chor-Rivest cryptosystem, one can only search for the generator g_{p^r} among $\phi(p^r - 1)$ (which is about p^r) possible choices instead of the exhaustive research for g (around p^h choices).

However the "known g_{p^r} attack" suggested in Vaudenay's article can be improved in two ways.

- First it is only polynomial when $r > \sqrt{h}$. We will see that using a Reed-Solomon attack, we can still retrieve π in polynomial time provided we manage to get enough linearly independent polynomials in the $\alpha_{\pi(j)}$ which is possible even for small values of r using $g_{p^r}^u$ with u small enough.
- Besides, the Reed-Solomon attack doesn't require the knowledge of all the c_j . Only $O(h)$ (or a little more when considering the attack for r small) of them. So actually only a small proportion of them is enough. This makes this attack strong.

5.1 Generating more rows...

When we find g_{p^r} such that $g_{p^r}^{c_j} = Q(\alpha_{\pi(j)})$ where $\deg Q \leq h/r$, we only have r polynomials corresponding to the r different coordinates of $g_{p^r}^{c_j}$ in a certain base of \mathbb{F}_{p^r} . Being able to generate more polynomials would allow to chose a lower r and improve drastically the attack.

We could consider now the coordinates in the equation $Q^u(\alpha_{\pi(j)}) = g_{p^r}^{uc_j}$ for $u \in [1, p^r - 1]$. This yields again r polynomials of degree smaller than uh/r . Actually, since $P \mapsto P^p$ let the degree invariant we know that the degree of Q^u is actually at most $w_p(u)h/r$.

To generate more polynomials, we could then decide to consider all the equations $Q^u(\alpha_{\pi(j)}) = g_{p^r}^{uc_j}$ for all $u \in U_w^{(r)} := \{u \in [1, p^r - 1] | w_p(u) \leq w\}$. This yields $r \cdot |U_w^{(r)}|$ polynomials of degree at most wh/r .

Besides, assuming $w \gg r$, the number of such polynomials can be up to

$$|U_w^{(r)}| = \left(\binom{r+1}{w} \right) = \binom{r+w+1}{w} = \binom{r+w+1}{r+1} = \Theta \left(\frac{w^{r+1}}{(r+1)!} \right).$$

This means that we can choose r far smaller than \sqrt{h} . Indeed, even if we consider polynomials of higher degree (wh/r), the number of such polynomials we can get is far greater than r and we can hope that if we choose w high enough, we can get enough different polynomials to use a Sidelnikov-Shestakov attack.

Unfortunately, these polynomials are strongly linearly dependent. For example, the coordinates of $g_{p^r}^{pc_j}$ are linearly dependent on the coordinates of $g_{p^r}^{c_j}$. Indeed, when decomposed in a normal base of \mathbb{F}_{p^r} , these two sets of vectors of coordinates only differs by a rotation.

6 Simulation and expected complexity

Simul

6.1 A first example

In order to demonstrate how the algorithm presented in Section [5](#), we run a simulation of the exhaustive search of g_{p^r} with the following parameters

- $p = 197$, $h = 24$, $r = 3 < \sqrt{h}$.
- We define \mathbb{F}_q as the quotient of $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ by the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} X^{24} &+ 192X^{23} + 152X^{22} + 25X^{21} + 75X^{20} + 67X^{19} + 92X^{18} + 23X^{17} + 45X^{16} + 97X^{15} \\ &+ 2X^{14} + 21X^{13} + 106X^{12} + 130X^{11} + 128X^{10} + 136X^9 + 195X^8 + 95X^7 + 155X^6 \\ &+ 34X^5 + 51X^4 + 180X^3 + 97X^2 + 23X + 87 \end{aligned}$$

- We choose $g := X + 2$ the private multiplicative generator.
- We compute

$$\begin{aligned} g_{p^r} &= g^{\frac{p^h-1}{p^r-1}} \\ &= 153X^{23} + 168X^{22} + 167X^{21} + 45X^{20} + 128X^{19} + 68X^{18} + 103X^{17} + 11X^{16} \\ &\quad + 139X^{15} + 190X^{14} + 75X^{13} + 73X^{12} + 190X^{11} + 64X^{10} + 173X^9 + 34X^8 \\ &\quad + 88X^7 + 30X^6 + 139X^5 + 146X^4 + 111X^3 + 80X^2 + 136X + 48 \end{aligned}$$

- We choose different values for d , t and π , the results remain the same.
- We choose the base $(g_{p^r}, g_{p^r}^p, g_{p^r}^{p^2})$ for the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space \mathbb{F}_{p^r} (but this is of no influence on the results).

- We choose $(u_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 11} = (1, 2, p+1, 3, 2p+1, p+2, 4, p+3, 3p+1, 2p+2, 2p^2+p+1)$
We have $w_p(u_i) \leq 4$ so the coordinates of $g_{p^r}^{u_i c_j}$ are polynomials of degree smaller than $4h/r + 1 = 33$ in the $\alpha_{\pi(j)}$.

As a result, we obtain $11 \times 3 = 33$ lines of coordinates linearly independent (the simulation is the verification of this independence). This would allow the attack to retrieve the permutation π using the attack on the cryptosystem based on Reed-Solomon codes.

This proves that it is possible to duplicate the number of lines at the expense of the degree of the polynomials considered. Therefore the condition $r \geq \sqrt{h}$ is not absolutely necessary and we can hope to get a (far) smaller lower bound on r .

On the following tabular, we present the results of several simulations for different value of p , h . Each time, we choose the smallest value for r that allow an attack on the system. We display here the maximum weight w chosen for the exponents u_i of g_{p^r} and the number of linearly independent lines these $g_{p^r}^{u_i}$ allow to generate (should be $w \cdot \frac{h}{r} + 1$).

p	h	r	$w_p(u_i)$	Number of linearly independent lines
197	24	2	w	$\frac{w(w+3)}{2} < 12w + 1$ Attack impossible.
197	24	3	4	33
197	24	4	2	13
197	24	$r \geq 6$	1	$1 + h/r$
193	36	2	w	$\frac{w(w+3)}{2} < 18w + 1$ Attack impossible.
193	36	3	6	73
193	36	4	3	28
193	36	6	2	13
193	36	$r \geq 9$	1	$1 + h/r$
251	60	2	w	$\frac{w(w+3)}{2} < 30w + 1$ Attack impossible.
251	60	3	8	161
251	60	4	4	61
251	60	5	3	37
251	60	6	2	21
251	60	$r \geq 10$	1	$1 + h/r$

When we choose, $r = 2$ and $w_p(u_i) \leq w$, the number of linearly independent lines is $\frac{w(w+3)}{2}$. This does not allow to attack the cryptosystem since to have $\frac{w(w+3)}{2} \geq 12w + 1$, we would need $w \geq 22$ and if $w_p(u_i) = 2$, then the degree of the corresponding polynomials is $22 \times 12 + 1 = 265 > 197$.

Regarding that last set of examples, the Chor-Rivest attack would require to do an exhaustive research on approximately 251^{10} different possible generators of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{10}}$. With our approach, the cryptosystem can be attacked using the right generator g_{p^3} of \mathbb{F}_{p^3} . The research is clearly a lot faster.

6.2 Number of linearly independent polynomials

Let r be a divisor of h , we call u_w the number of linearly independent rows of coordinates we can get using the coordinates of $g_{p^r}^{u_i c_j}$ for all u such that $w_p(u) \leq w$.

We have $u_0 = 1$ obviously because using $g_{p^r}^0$, we only get one row filled with 1.

We also have $u_1 = r + 1$ because we can only get r more lines using g_{p^r} corresponding to the r different coordinates of $g_{p^r}^{c_j}$ in \mathbb{F}_{p^r} . The coordinates given by the generator $g_{p^r}^{p^i}$ are the same as those given by g_{p^r} in a normal base. This mean that whatever the base chosen, they are at least linearly dependent from each other and so using g_{p^r} doesn't allow to generate more useful polynomials.

6.2.1 Postulate

We define $v(w)$ as

$$v(w) := \begin{cases} \binom{w+r}{r} & \text{if } w \leq h/r \\ v\left(\frac{h}{r}\right) + n\frac{h}{r} & \text{if } w = h/r + n \end{cases}$$

We notice experimentally the following behavior of u_w

$$u_w = \min\left(v(w), w\frac{h}{r} + 1, p\right)$$

This behavior has been observed for the following range of parameters :

r	w	h/r
2	1-8	1-8
3	1-17	1-17, 30
4	1-17	1-17, 30

6.3 Optimum choice for r

We suppose that we have found r allowing to attack a Chor-Rivest cryptosystem. Being able to perform a Sidelnikov-Sjestakov attack imposes that there exists w such that

$$\begin{aligned} wh/r + 1 &\leq p - 2 \\ wh/r + 1 &\leq u_w \end{aligned}$$

We choose the biggest w verifying the first condition to have the weakest condition on r : $w = \lfloor r\frac{p-3}{h} \rfloor$. Besides we know that h is suppose to be chosen around $\frac{p}{\log p}$. This means that we have $w = \Theta(r \log p) \geq Cr \log p$.

We suppose that we remain in the domain $w \leq h/r$ and that the postulate in the Section [6](#) ^{[sec:Simul](#)} is true. The second condition is then easily equivalent to

$$v(w) = \binom{w+r}{r} \geq w\frac{h}{r} + 1$$

and since

$$\binom{w+r}{r} \geq \frac{w^r}{r!} \quad \text{and} \quad p \geq w\frac{h}{r} + 1$$

a sufficient condition would be

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{w^r}{r!} &\geq p \\ Cr^r(\log p)^r &\geq p \cdot r! \\ \Leftrightarrow (e \log p)^r &\geq \frac{p}{C\sqrt{2\pi r}} \geq C'p \\ \Leftrightarrow r &\geq \frac{\log p + C''}{\log \log p + 1} \sim \frac{\log p}{\log \log p} \end{aligned}$$

This mean that the exhaustive research can be done in time $O\left(p^{\frac{\log p}{\log \log p}}\right)$, far faster than $O\left(p^{\sqrt{\frac{p}{\log p}}}\right)$.

The condition $rw \leq h$ yields an upper bound on the r .

$$C'r^2 \leq \frac{p}{\log^2 p}$$

which is asymptotically always verified given the upper bound on r found.

7 Conclusions

We can notice that this attack on Chor-Rivest cryptosystem is only effective when h possesses a small factor. For example, L. Hernandez Encinas *et alii* [2] suggest to use h prime. Such a cryptosystem remains however useless nowadays essentially because of the complexity of the discrete logarithm problem.

References

- Riv88 [1] B. Chor and R. L. Rivest. A knapsack-type public key cryptosystem based on arithmetic in finite fields. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 34(5):901–909, 1988.
- Enc04 [2] J. M. M. L. Hernandez Encinas and A. Q. Dios. Safer parameters for the chor-rivest cryptosystem. Applied Physics Institute, CSIC, C/ Serrano 144, 28006-Madrid, Spain, 2004.
- erH86 [3] H. Niederreiter. Knapsack-type cryptosystems and algebraic coding theory. *Probl. Control and Inform. Theory*, 15:19–34, 1986.
- hes92 [4] V. M. Sidelnikov and S. O. Shestakov. On insecurity of cryptosystems based on generalized reed-solomon codes. *Discrete Math. Appl.*, 2(4):439–444, 1992.
- Vau01 [5] S. Vaudenay. Cryptanalysis of the chor–rivest cryptosystem. *Journal of Cryptology*, 14:87–100, 2001.
- iesch [6] C. Wieschebrink. Cryptanalysis of the niederreiter public key scheme based on grs subcodes. Federal Office for Information Security (BSI), Godesberger Allee 185-189, 53175 Bonn, Germany.