

# The Sidelnikov-Shestakov's Attack applied to the Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem

Sylvain Colin & Gaspard Férey

March 3, 2014

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Our Work . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	A cryptosystem based on Reed-Solomon codes . . . . .	1
2.2	Equivalence between Reed-Solomon codes . . . . .	1
<b>3</b>	<b>Attack of Sidelnikov-Shestakov</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Application to the Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem</b>	<b>2</b>
4.1	The Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem . . . . .	2
4.2	A First Attack using Reed-Solomon codes . . . . .	3
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>4</b>

### **Abstract**

In this article, we discuss about the Sidelnikov-Shestakov Attack on cryptosystems based on Reed-Solomon codes. Then we describe how this algorithm can be used to attack the Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem.

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Our Work

# 2 Preliminaries

## 2.1 A cryptosystem based on Reed-Solomon codes

We study here the public-key cryptosystem introduced by Niederreiter [?] applied to the generalized Reed-Solomon codes. Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field with  $q = p^h$  elements and  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\infty$  has natural properties ( $1/\infty = 0$ , etc). We call  $\mathfrak{A}$  the following matrix:

$$\mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) := \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \alpha_1^0 & z_2 \alpha_2^0 & \cdots & z_n \alpha_n^0 \\ z_1 \alpha_1^1 & z_2 \alpha_2^1 & \cdots & z_n \alpha_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_1 \alpha_1^{k-1} & z_2 \alpha_2^{k-1} & \cdots & z_n \alpha_n^{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(k, n)$$

In the considered cryptosystem, the secret key consists of

- $(\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  distinct elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .
- $(z_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q - \{0\}$ .
- a random nonsingular  $k \times k$ -matrix  $H$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

The public key is

- the representation of the field  $\mathbb{F}$ . In particular the polynomial used to define  $\mathbb{F}$  is public.
- The two integers  $k$  and  $n$  such that  $0 < k < n \leq q$ .
- $M := H \cdot \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ .

## 2.2 Equivalence between Reed-Solomon codes

Sidelnikov and Shestakov show [?] that for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q - \{0\}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , there exists  $H_1, H_2, H_3 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(k, k)$  invertible such that

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 \mathfrak{A}(a \cdot \alpha_1 + b, \dots, a \cdot \alpha_n + b, c_1 z_1, \dots, c_n z_n) &= \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ H_2 \mathfrak{A}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\alpha_n}, d_1 z_1, \dots, d_n z_n\right) &= \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ H_3 \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, a \cdot z_1, \dots, a \cdot z_n) &= \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) \end{aligned}$$

This means that for any cryptosystem  $M = H \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ , for any birational transformation

$$\phi : x \mapsto \frac{ax + b}{cx + d}$$

$M = H_\phi \mathfrak{A}(\phi(\alpha_1), \dots, \phi(\alpha_n), z'_1, \dots, z'_n)$  and by using the unique transformation  $\phi$  that maps  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  to  $(0, 1, \infty)$ , we get that for any cryptosystem  $M = H \mathfrak{A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ ,  $M$  can be uniquely written

$$M = H' \mathfrak{A}(0, 1, \infty, \alpha'_4, \dots, \alpha'_n, 1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n)$$

with  $H'$  nonsingular,  $z'_i \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_i$  distincts elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ .

### 3 Attack of Sidelnikov-Shestakov

The attack of Sidelnikov-Shestakov consist of the following steps.

First we realize that the public key can be uniquely written as in the previous section.

$$M = H' \mathfrak{A}(0, 1, \infty, \alpha'_4, \dots, \alpha'_n, 1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n)$$

We compute then the echelon form of  $M$ .

$$E(M) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_{1,k+1} & \cdots & b_{1,n} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & b_{2,k+1} & \cdots & b_{2,n} \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & b_{k,k+1} & \cdots & b_{k,n} \end{pmatrix} = H'' \cdot M$$

For  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$ , this attack works with a complexity of ...

## 4 Application to the Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem

### 4.1 The Chor-Rivest Cryptosystem

Secret keys consist of

- an element  $t \in \text{GF}(q)$  with algebraic degree  $h$
- a generator  $g$  of  $\text{GF}(q)^*$
- an integer  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$
- a permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$ .

Public keys consist of all

$$c_i = d + \log_g(t + \alpha_{\pi(i)}) \pmod{q-1}$$

The message consists in a bitstring  $m = [m_0 \dots m_{p-1}]$  of length  $p$  such that  $\sum_i m_i = h$ . The ciphertext is

$$E(M) := \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} m_i c_i$$

To decipher this message, we compute

$$g^{E(M)-hd} = \prod_i (t + \alpha_{\pi(i)})^{c_i}$$

When we attack this cryptosystem, we can consider a generator  $g_0 = g^u$  with  $u$  unknown and  $\gcd(u, q-1) = 1$  we then have

$$g_0^{c_i} = (g^d (t + \alpha_{\pi(i)}))^u = (A + \alpha_{\pi(i)} \cdot B)^u$$

We can then consider that the secret key is

- $A \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .
- $B \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $t = A \cdot B^{-1}$  has algebraic degree  $h$ .

- $0 < u < q - 1$  prime with  $q - 1$ .
- the permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{0, \dots, p - 1\}$ .

and public key consists in all the

$$d_i := (A + \alpha_{\pi(i)} \cdot B)^u \in \mathbb{F}_q$$

The ciphertext becomes

$$E'(M) := \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} d_i^{m_i} = g^{uE(M)} = B^{uh} \left( \prod_i (t + \alpha_{\pi(i)})^{c_i} \right)^u$$

Knowing  $u$ ,  $B$  and  $h$ , it is easy to compute from  $E'(M)$ , the following quantity

$$\prod_i (t + \alpha_{\pi(i)})^{c_i}$$

which allow us to retrieve all the  $c_i$ .

## 4.2 A First Attack using Reed-Solomon codes

We have for all  $j$

$$g^{c_j} = g^d \cdot (t + \alpha_{\pi(j)}) = A + \alpha_{\pi(j)} \cdot B$$

where  $\alpha_{\pi(j)} \in \text{GF}(p)$  and  $A$  and  $B$  are elements of  $\text{GF}(p^h) \subset \text{GF}(p)[X]$  and can be seen as polynomials of the variable  $X$  with coefficients in  $\text{GF}(p)$ . Then if we consider an other generator  $g_0$  of  $\text{GF}(q)$ , we have  $g_0 = g^u$  and

$$g_0^{c_j} = (A(X) + \alpha_{\pi(j)} \cdot B(X))^u \mod \mu(X)$$

where  $\mu$  is the polynomial of degree  $h$  defining the field  $\text{GF}(q)$ .

As an attempt to guess  $g$ , we can choose a random generator  $g_0$  and compute the quantities

$$g_0^{c_j} = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} P_i(\alpha_{\pi(j)}) X^i$$

where  $P_i$  is a polynomial with coefficients in  $\text{GF}(p)$ .  $P_i$  depends on  $A(X)$ ,  $B(X)$ ,  $u$  and obviously on  $i$ . However,  $P_i$  does not depend on  $j$ .

Besides, we have

- $\deg P_i \leq u$  since the coefficients of  $(A(X) + \alpha_{\pi(j)} \cdot B(X))^u$  seen in  $\text{GF}(p)[X]$  are polynomials of degree smaller than  $u$  in  $\alpha_{\pi(j)}$ .

When we compute the remain in the division of this polynomial by  $\mu(X)$ , these coefficients remain polynomials of degree smaller than  $u$  in  $\alpha_{\pi(j)}$ .

- $\deg P_i < p$  since  $\alpha_{\pi(j)}^p = \alpha_{\pi(j)}$ .

We now consider the matrix

$$\mathfrak{A} := (\alpha_{\pi(j)}^i)_{0 \leq i, j \leq p-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(p, p)$$

We call

- $P_i[j] \in \text{GF}(p)$  the  $j$ -th coefficient of the polynomial  $P_i$ .

- $H = (P_i[j])_{i,j} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(h, p)$
- $M = (P_i(\alpha_{\pi(j)}))_{i,j} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(h, p)$ .

$$H \cdot \mathfrak{A} = M$$

We suppose now that we try to guess the private generator  $g$  but only find a generator  $g_0$  such that  $g_0 = g^u$  with  $u < h$ .

We can compute the elements  $g_0^{c_j} \in \text{GF}(q)$ , the coefficients  $P_i(\alpha_{\pi(j)}) \in \text{GF}(p)$  and eventually the matrix  $M$ .

Since  $\deg P_i \leq u$ , we know that only the  $u$  first columns of the matrix  $H$  are non zero. Therefore we consider now the matrix  $H'$  build from the  $u$  first columns of  $H$  (the other columns being equal to 0) and  $\mathfrak{A}'$  the  $u$  first rows of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We get

$$H' \cdot \mathfrak{A}' = M$$

We suppose now that the first  $u$  rows of  $M$  are linearly independent. This allow us to consider only the first  $u$  lines of the matrices  $H'$  and  $M$  ( $H''$  and  $M''$ ) which gives us

$$H'' \cdot \mathfrak{A}' = M''$$

with

- $H'' \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(u, u)$
- $\mathfrak{A}' \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(u, p)$
- $M'' \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(u, p)$

We use then the attack described in the first section to compute  $\mathfrak{A}'$  which yields the permutation  $\pi$ .

#### 4.2.1 Problem

It seems quite unlikely that  $g_0 = g^u$  with a small  $u$ . Indeed, there are  $\phi(p^h - 1)$  generators which is comparable to  $p^h$  and the order of  $h$  is only (in the suggested parameters) around 24.

This could be solved if we had a way to rapidly check whether one generator is a small power of an other.

#### 4.2.2 Further...

If  $u$  is a small multiple of  $p$ , the previous arguments still apply since then  $u = pu'$  with  $u' < h$  and we get

$$g_0^{c_j} = ((A(X) + \alpha_{\pi(i)}B(X))^p)^{u'} = (A^p(X) + \alpha_{\pi(i)}^p B^p(X))^{u'} = (A'(X) + \alpha_{\pi(i)}B'(X))^{u'}$$

This only changes the polynomials  $A$  and  $B$  but still allow to compute the permutation  $\pi(i)$  on these conditions.

We actually also have this conclusion if  $u$  is a small multiple of  $p^r$  for all  $0 \leq r < h$ . In fact a condition for the previous to work is that when  $u$  is written in base  $p$ , the sum of its digits does not exceed  $h$ .

Remains to see how many different  $u$  this methods allows to check... Is it reasonable to try this method with several value for  $g_0$  until we find  $g$  ? I guess not...

Besides, as explained in Sidelnikov and Shestakov's article, if the previous reasoning excludes a set of candidates  $u_i$ , it also excludes  $p \cdot u_i$  and even  $p^r \cdot u_i$  for all  $0 \leq r < h$ . Actually, this doesn't excludes any more candidate since the writing of  $p \cdot u_i$  and  $u_i$  modulo  $p^h - 1$  in base  $p$  are just rotated.

## 5 Conclusions