# Translating PVS to C

SRI International

Gaspard Férey

Ecole Polytechnique

September 1st, 2014

### Table of Contents

- Context
- Static analysis
  - The update issue
  - Reference tracking
  - Our implementation
- The PVS Translator
  - Architecture
  - Implementation
  - Examples
- Conclusion
  - Demonstration

### Table of Contents

- Context
- Static analysis
  - The update issue
  - Reference tracking
  - Our implementation
- The PVS Translator
  - Architecture
  - Implementation
  - Examples
- 4 Conclusion
  - Demonstration

### What is PVS?

- A specification language
  - Typed expression definition
  - ► Theories, datatypes, ...
- A semi automated theorem prover
  - Higher order logic
  - Type system, judgments, ...
  - ▶ Theorems, properties, ...
  - ► SMT solvers integrated, tools, ...
- A functional programming language (?)

# Why translate PVS?

- To be able to execute PVS
  - Testing
  - Debugging
- To integrate PVS code into systems

### Table of Contents

- Context
- Static analysis
  - The update issue
  - Reference tracking
  - Our implementation
- The PVS Translator
  - Architecture
  - Implementation
  - Examples
- 4 Conclusion
  - Demonstration

# Update expression

• In functional programming languages,

$$E := A \text{ WITH } [(x) := y]$$

refers 
$$i \mapsto \begin{cases} A(i) & \text{if } i \neq x \\ y & \text{if } i = x \end{cases}$$

- In imperative languages
  - ▶ A[x := y] a non destructive update using a copy of A.
  - ► A[x <- y] a destructive, in-place update of the aggregate structure representing A.

# Two dangers

Unsafe occurrence

The array represented by A is used later in the code.

Trapped reference

LET 
$$A = B(0)$$
 IN  $f(A WITH [(0) := 0], B(0))$ 

B is affected by a destructive update of the array represented by A.

# Previous analysis

Shankar's analysis relies on sets of variables

- Av active variables
- Ov output variables
- Fv free variables
- Lv live variables in an update context

Cerny and Shankar's analysis adds flow analysis.

# The intermediate language

### Syntax

- Integers, nil pointer
- Variables (X, x, y, ...)
- newArray(x, y)
- X[(x) := y]
- X[(x) <- y]
- X[x]
- let x = a in e
- if(x)  $e_1$  else  $e_2$
- $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$

#### Memory state representation:

- Variables space Var
- ullet Reference space  ${\cal R}$
- ullet Value space  $\mathcal{V}:=\mathbb{N}\cup\mathcal{R}$
- Store  $R: \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$
- Stack  $S: Var \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$

#### Evaluation context

- hole {}
- let x = {} in e
- $E_1\{E_2\}$

# The intermediate language

#### Operational semantics

Simple reduction rules:

$$\langle x|R,S> \rightarrow \langle S(x)|R,S> \\ \langle x[y]|R,S> \rightarrow \langle R(S(x))(S(y))|R,S> \\ \langle \text{if(x) a else } b|R,S> \rightarrow \begin{cases} \langle b|R,S> & \text{if } S(x)=0 \\ \langle a|R,S> & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Introducing variables

$$< f(x_1, ..., x_n) | R, S > \rightarrow < \text{pop}([f]) | R, \left( \biguplus_{1 \le i \le n} f_i \mapsto s(x_i) \right) :: S >$$
 $< \text{let} x = v \text{ in } e | R, S > \rightarrow < \text{pop}(e) | R, (x \mapsto v) :: S >$ 
 $< \text{pop}(v) | R, S > \rightarrow < v | R, pop(S) >$ 

## The intermediate language

#### Operational semantics

### Modifying the store

$$< \operatorname{newArray}(x,y) | R,S> \to < r | R \uplus \left(r \mapsto (0)_{0 \leq i < S(x)}\right), S> \\ \text{where} \quad r \text{ is a fresh pointer} \\ < X \llbracket (x) := y \rrbracket | R,S> \to < r | R',S> \\ \text{where} \quad r \text{ fresh pointer} \\ \text{and} \quad R' := R \uplus (r \mapsto A) \\ \text{and} \quad A := R(S(X)) \uplus (S(x) \mapsto S(y)) \\ < X \llbracket (x) < - y \rrbracket | R,S> \to < X | R',S> \\ \text{where} \quad R' := R \uplus (S(X)) \uplus (s(x) \mapsto S(y)) \\ \text{and} \quad A := R(S(X)) \uplus (s(x) \mapsto S(y))$$

## Reference graph

In the body of a function [example] we can define the reference graph [definition]

## Reference graph

We can keep track of it [ two columns example column 1: PVS code column 2: Tree + live variable ] The condition to destructively update is empty intersection

# The flags

We implement an approximation of the analysis using flags

#### mutable

- Variable: Every other variable that may point to that variable is not live.
- Argument: Variables passed as this argument must be flagged mutable and safe.
- ▶ Function: The result of a call to that function is "fresh".

#### dupl

- Argument: Possible output variable of a call to this function
- Expression: May be aliased to the return value.

#### safe

▶ Last of occurrence of a variable

### The rules

mutable flag:

0

dupl flag:

•

# A reference counting GC

We complete the static analysis with a reference counting garbage collector (GC)

### Table of Contents

- Context
- Static analysis
  - The update issue
  - Reference tracking
  - Our implementation
- The PVS Translator
  - Architecture
  - Implementation
  - Examples
- 4 Conclusion
  - Demonstration

## Translation steps

- Typechecking: PVS typechecker
  - ▶ TCCs are generated
- Lexical and syntactic analysis: PVS lexer and parser
  - ▶ PVS → CLOS representation
- Translation:
  - ► CLOS representation intermediate language

# Translation steps (2)

- Static analysis:
  - destructive updates added
- Optimizations: Several passes
  - Choosing C types
  - Declaring and freeing variables
- Code generation:
  - lacktriangle intermediate language  $\longrightarrow$  compilable C code

# Implementation details

- In Common Lisp
- Directly integrated to PVS (soon)
- Require the GMP library to run C code

# Example

### Table of Contents

- Context
- Static analysis
  - The update issue
  - Reference tracking
  - Our implementation
- The PVS Translator
  - Architecture
  - Implementation
  - Examples
- Conclusion
  - Demonstration

## Conclusion

# Questions?



### Demonstration



# Questions?

