



## RAPPORT DE STAGE D'OPTION SCIENTIFIQUE

# Titre

NON CONFIDENTIEL

Option :	INFORMATIQUE
Champ de l'option :	Math-Informatique
Directeur de l'option :	Olivier Bournez
Directeur de stage :	Olivier Bournez
Dates du stage :	7 avril - 22 août 2014
Nom et adresse de l'organisme :	SRI International Computer Science Laboratory (CSL) 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025-3493 United States

June 17, 2014

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>PVS</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Translating PVS to C</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Parsing and typechecking PVS</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>PVS Syntax</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Types</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Translating types</b>	<b>4</b>
7.1	Translating PVS syntax . . . . .	5
7.2	Difficulties . . . . .	5
<b>8</b>	<b>Other works at SRI</b>	<b>5</b>

## 1 Introduction

## 2 PVS

## 3 Translating PVS to C

## 4 Parsing and typechecking PVS

These two task we leave to PVS native parser and typechecker.

The parser generates objects representing the expressions of the theory.

We only convert a subset of PVS. This subset is defined by a subset of expression objects we can translate. The objective is, of course, to be able to translate the maximum of (if not all) PVS expression objects.

## 5 PVS Syntax

We describe here the syntax of PVS and the objects system used to represent them in Lisp. Some slots of the classes are voluntarily omitted. For a full description of PVS parser representation, refer to [\[1\]](#).

```

Expr      ::=  Number
              |  Name
              |  Expr Arguments
              |  Expr Binop Expr
              |  Unaryop Expr
              |  Expr ‘ { Id | Number }
              |  ( Expr+ )
              |  ( # Assignment+ , # )
              |  IfExpr
              |  LET LetBinding+ IN Expr
              |  Expr WHERE LetBinding+
              |  Expr WITH [ Assignment+ , ]

Number     ::=  Digit+

Id         ::=  Letter IdChar+

IdChar     ::=  Letter | Digit

Letter     ::=  A | ... | Z

Digit      ::=  0 | ... | 9

Arguments  ::=  ( Expr+ , )

IfExpr     ::=  IF Expr THEN Expr
               { ELIF Expr THEN Expr } * ELSE Expr ENDIF

Name       ::=  true | false | number_field_pred | real_pred
               | integer_pred | integer? | rational_pred
               | floor | ceiling | rem | ndiv | even? | odd?
               | cons | car | cdr | cons? | null | null?
               | restrict | length | member | nth | append | reverse

Binop      ::=  = | \= | OR | \ / | AND | & | /\
               | IMPLIES | => | WHEN | IFF | <=>
               | + | - | * | / | < | <= | > | >=

Unaryop     ::=  NOT | -

Assignment ::=  AssignArg+ { := | |-> } Expr

AssignArg  ::=  ( Expr+ , )
               | ‘ Id
               | ‘ Number

LetBinding ::=  { LetBind | ( LetBind+ , ) } = Expr

LetBind    ::=  Id [ : TypeExpr ]

```

## 6 Types

A PVS theory can be typechecked using the emacs interface `M-x typecheck` or with Lisp function `(tc name-theory)`. This first runs the PVS parser on the code and generates CLOS objects to represent it. Then, the PVS typechecker is run on this internal representation of the theory and tries to give a type to all expressions generating TCC when needed.

Here we describe how PVS types are represented in Lisp. The syntax of PVS we allow

$TypeExpr ::= Name$   
 $\quad \quad \quad | EnumerationType$   
 $\quad \quad \quad | Subtype$   
 $\quad \quad \quad | TypeApplication$   
 $\quad \quad \quad | FunctionType$   
 $\quad \quad \quad | TupleType$   
 $\quad \quad \quad | CotupleType$   
 $\quad \quad \quad | RecordType$   
  
 $EnumerationType ::= \{ IdOps \}$   
 $Subtype ::= \{ SetBindings \mid Expr \}$   
 $\quad \quad \quad | ( Expr )$   
  
 $TypeApplication ::= Name \ Arguments$   
  
 $FunctionType ::= [FUNCTION \mid ARRAY]$   
 $\quad \quad \quad [ - [ IdOp : ] TypeExpr^+ \rightarrow TypeExpr ]$   
  
 $TupleType ::= [ - [ IdOp : ] TypeExpr^+ ]$   
  
 $CotupleType ::= [ - [ IdOp : ] TypeExpr^+ ]$   
  
 $RecordType ::= [ \# FieldDecls^+ \# ]$   
  
 $FieldDecls ::= Ids : TypeExpr$

type-expr $\subset$ <b>syntax</b>	[abstract class]
.....	
type-name $\subset$ <b>type-expr name</b>	[class]
adt	
.....	
subtype $\subset$ <b>type-expr</b>	[class]
supertype	
predicate	
.....	
funtype $\subset$ <b>type-expr</b>	[class]
domain	
range.	
.....	
tupletype $\subset$ <b>type-expr</b>	[class]
types	
.....	
recordtype $\subset$ <b>type-expr</b>	[class]
fields	
.....	

## 7 Translating types

PVS types:boolean, number, number\_field, real, rational, integer,  $A \rightarrow B$ , restricted types below(10) :=  $\{x : \text{int} \mid 0 \leq x < 10\}$ ) enum datatype

C types:[unsigned] char, int, long, double boolean arrays strings enum struct and others: short int, float, union, size\_t, ...

We can only translate a subset of all PVS types. What's missing ?

## 7.1 Translating PVS syntax

We can only translate a subset of PVS syntax. What's missing ?

## 7.2 Difficulties

if-expr update-expr

## 8 Other works at SRI

Discovering PVS : Translating Coq proofs to PVS PVS library for basic linear algebra

Robin project, HACMS Contest week-end 14-15 June Summer School Parsing Lisp code -i  
generate HTML architecture fileCorrecting translator PVS to SMT-LIB

## References

- [1] N. Shankar and S. Owre. *PVS API Reference*. Computer Science Laboratory, SRI International, Menlo Park, CA, September 2003.