ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE
PROMOTION X2011
Férey Gaspard



RAPPORT DE STAGE D'OPTION SCIENTIFIQUE

Titre

NON CONFIDENTIEL

Option :	INFORMATIQUE
Champ de l'option :	Math-Informatique
Directeur de l'option :	Olivier Bournez
Directeur de stage :	Olivier Bournez
Dates du stage :	7 avril - 22 aout 2014
Nom et adresse de l'organisme :	SRI International Computer Science Laboratory (CSL) 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025-3493 United States

July 10, 2014

Contents

1 Introduction

2 SRI

2.1 The HACMS Project

2.2 PVS

2.3 Translating PVS

2.3.1 Parsing and typechecking PVS

These two task we leave to PVS native parser and typechecker.

The parser generates objects representing the expressions of the theory.

We only convert a subset of PVS. This subset is defined by a subset of expression objects we can translate. The objective is, of course, to be able to translate the maximum of (if not all) PVS expression objects.

2.3.2 Other translator

- Common Lisp (native) - Clean - Yices

3 Translating PVS Syntax

3.1 PVS Syntax

We describe here the syntax of PVS and the objects system used to represent them in Lisp. Some slots of the classes are voluntarily omitted. For a full description of PVS parser representation, refer to [**?**].

3.2 Translator architecture

Describe here the Lisp functions and data structures

Skeleton

Expected input

Output objects

Assertions that we (try to) maintain

Main steps :

- Typechecking: The PVS typechecker perform a type analysis on the PVS code to associate a PVS type to each expression. This might generates some proof obligations (TCC).
- Lexical and syntactic analysis: The PVS parser transforms PVS code into a Lisp internal representation.
- Translation: The translator generates a different representation from PVS expressions and functions declarations. Typically, an expression e is translated into a tuple of four elements (t, n, i, d), where t represents a C type used to describe the expression, n is a string representing the expression, i is a list of instructions supposed to be executed prior to using n (initialisation of n) and d is a list of instructions to be executed when n isn't needed anymore (destruction of n).
- Optimizations

Expr ::= *Number*
| *Name*
| *Expr Arguments*
| *Expr Binop Expr*
| *Unaryop Expr*
| *Expr* ‘ { *Id* | *Number* }
| (*Expr*$^{+}_{,}$)
| (# *Assignment*$^{+}_{;}$ #)
| *IfExpr*
| LET *LetBinding*$^{+}_{,}$ IN *Expr*
| *Expr* WHERE *LetBinding*$^{+}_{,}$
| *Expr* WITH [*Assignment*$^{+}_{;}$]
|

Number ::= *Digit*$^{+}$

Id ::= *Letter IdChar*$^{+}$

IdChar ::= *Letter* | *Digit*

Letter ::= A | ... | Z

Digit ::= 0 | ... | 9

Arguments ::= (*Expr*$^{+}_{,}$)

IfExpr ::= IF *Expr* THEN *Expr*
{ELSIF *Expr* THEN *Expr*}* ELSE *Expr* ENDIF

Name ::= true | false | number_field_pred | real_pred
| integer_pred | integer? | rational_pred
| floor | ceiling | rem | ndiv | even? | odd?
| cons | car | cdr | cons? | null | null?
| restrict | length | member | nth | append | reverse

Binop ::= = | \= | OR | \/ | AND | & | /\
| IMPLIES | => | WHEN | IFF | <=>
| + | - | * | / | < | <= | > | >=

Unaryop ::= NOT | -

Assignment ::= *AssignArg*$^{+}$ { := | |-> } *Expr*

AssignArg ::= (*Expr*$^{+}_{,}$)
| ‘ *Id*
| ‘ *Number*

LetBinding ::= { *LetBind* | (*LetBind*$^{+}_{,}$) } = *Expr*

LetBind ::= *Id* [: *TypeExpr*]

Figure 1: Syntax of the PVS subset of the translator

`expr` ⊂ `syntax` [*abstract class*]

type the type of the expression

`name` ⊂ `syntax` [*mixin class*]

id ..... the identifier

actuals .... a list of actual parameters

resolutions singleton

This is a mixin for names, i.e., `name-exprs`, `type-names`, etc.

`name-expr` ⊂ `name` `expr` [*class*]

`number-expr` ⊂ `expr` [*class*]

number a nonnegative integer

`tuple-expr` ⊂ `expr` [*class*]

exprs a list of expressions

`application` ⊂ `expr` [*class*]

operator an expr

argument an expr (maybe a tuple-expr)

`field-application` ⊂ `expr` [*class*]

id..... identifier

actuals. a list of actuals

argument the argument

A field application is the internal representation for record extraction, e.g., `r‘a`

`record-expr` ⊂ `expr` [*class*]

assignments a list of assignments

`lambda-expr` ⊂ `binding-expr` [*class*]

This is the subclass of `binding-expr` used for LAMBDA expressions.

`if-expr` ⊂ `application` [*class*]

When an application has an operator that resolves to the `if_def` it is changed to this class.

`update-expr` ⊂ `expr` [*class*]

expression. an expr

assignments a list of assignments

An update expression of the form `e WITH [x := 1, y := 2]`, maps to an `update-expr` instance, where the `expression` is `e`, and the `assignments` slot is set to the list of generated `assigment` instances.

`assignment` ⊂ `syntax` [*class*]

arguments. the list of arguments

expression the value expression

Assignments occur in both record-exprs and update-exprs.

The `arguments` form is a list of lists. For example, given the assignment `‘a(x, y)‘1 := 0`, the `arguments` are `((a) (x y) (1))` and the `expression` is `0`.

Figure 2: (Partial) CLOS representation of PVS expressions

- Code generation: C code is generated.

We first define a function T to translate an expression e.

$$T(e) = (\ T^t(e)\ ,\ T^n(e)\ ,\ T^i(e)\ ,\ T^d(e)\ )$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(2) &= (\ \texttt{int}, \text{"2"}, [], [])\\
T(4294967296) &= (\ \texttt{mpz_t},\ ?\ ,\\
&\quad [\texttt{mpz_init(?);}\ |\\
&\quad\ \texttt{mpz_set_str(?, "4294967296");}],\\
&\quad [\texttt{mpz_clear(?);}])\\
T(\texttt{lambda(x:below(10)):x}) &= (\ \texttt{int*},\ ?\ ,\\
&\quad [\texttt{?\ \ = malloc(10 * sizeof(int));}\ |\\
&\quad\ \texttt{int i;}|\\
&\quad\ \texttt{for(i = 0; i < 10; i++)}\\
&\quad\ \ \texttt{?[i] = i;}]\\
&\quad [\texttt{free(?);}])
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 3: Translation examples: number expressions

It may occur that $T^n(e) =?$. In that case, the symbol ? appearing in $T^i(e)$ and $T^d(e)$ needs to be replaced by a proper variable name.

We then define two other operators:

- R wich take an expression and a type and may add an extra conversion in the instructions to make sure its result has the expected type. Also the result of this function has a proper name.
- S which take an expression, a type and a name. It makes sure that the given variable (type + name) is set to a value representing the expression.

3.3 A few translation rules

Translation rules :

```
number-expr "2"
(C-int, "2", [], [])

number-expr "12315468453213"
(C-mpz, nil,
        [mpz_t ~a; | mpz_t_init("12315468453213"); ],
        [mpz_clear ~a;])

application "f(e1, e2)"
(C-mpz, nil,
       [ instr(e1) | instr(e2)
                   | mpz(~a); | f(~a, e1, e2) ]
       [mpz_clear(~a);]
```

TypeExpr ::= *Name*
| *EnumerationType*
| *Subtype*
| *TypeApplication*
| *FunctionType*
| *TupleType*
| *CotupleType*
| *RecordType*

EnumerationType ::= `{` *IdOps* `}`

Subtype ::= `{` *SetBindings* `|` *Expr* `}`
| `(` *Expr* `)`

TypeApplication ::= *Name Arguments*

FunctionType ::= `[FUNCTION | ARRAY]`
`[` `-[` *IdOp* `:]` *TypeExpr* $\overset{+}{,}$ `->` *TypeExpr* `]`

TupleType ::= `[` `-[` *IdOp* `:]` *TypeExpr* $\overset{+}{,}$ `]`

CotupleType ::= `[` `-[` *IdOp* `:]` *TypeExpr* $\overset{+}{+}$ `]`

RecordType ::= `[#` *FieldDecls* $\overset{+}{,}$ `#]`

FieldDecls ::= *Ids* `:` *TypeExpr*

Figure 4: Fragment of the PVS type system

4 Types

4.1 PVS Types

A PVS theory can be typechecked using the emacs interface `M-x typecheck` or with Lisp function `(tc name-theory)`. This first runs the PVS parser on the code and generates CLOS objects to represent it. Then, the PVS typechecker is run on this internal representation of the theory and tries to give a type to all expressions generating TCC when needed.

Here we describe how PVS types are represented in Lisp.

4.2 Translating types

PVS types:boolean, number, number_field, real, rational, integer, $A \to B$, restricted types below(10) := $\{x : \text{int} | 0 \leq x < 10\}$) enum datatype

This requires a type analysis to decide on the type of a PVS expression. For example the PVS `int` type can be represented by the `int`, `unsigned long` or `mpz_t` C types. In that case, we study the range of the expression to decide which types are allowed to represent it. Then we take the context in which the expression appears to decide. For instance in

```
incr(x:below(10)):int = x+1
```

the `x` expression, result of the function `incr` can always be represented by an `int` or `unsigned long` in C but we choose here to represent it using a `mpz_t`.

Auxiliary type system : C-type with a flag : mutable (meaning that the expression it describes only has one pointer pointing to it.

```
int a = 2;      a : int[mutable]
int* a = malloc( 10 * sizeof(int*) );
```

destructive addition:

Figure 5: (Partial) CLOS representation of PVS types

```
d_add(*mpz_t res, mpz_t[mutable] a, long b) {
  mpz_add(a, a, b);
  (*res) = a;
}
```

Rq : `d_add` is given a mutable `mpz_t`, meaning that it can modify it and is responsible for freeing it. It is also responsible for allocating memory for the result. Here it uses the memory to assign res.

Use an auxiliary language :

```
( expr, C-type[mutable] )
```

Conversions and copies create mutables types (at a cost) : `a[mutable]_from_b`
[?]
C types:[?]

```
// integer and floating point types
[unsigned] char, int, long, double
type*  //arrays
char*  // strings
struct types  // structures with fields
enum types
short int, float, union, size_t // etc...
```

Listing 1: C types

Translation rules :
We can only translate a subset of all PVS types. What's missing ?

4.3 Translating PVS syntax

We can only translate a subset of PVS syntax. What's missing ?

subrange(a, b)	`int` // if small enough `unsigned long` // if too big or needed for function call `mpz_t` // else
int	`mpz_t`
rat	`mpq_t`
[below(a) -> Type]	`(Ctype)*`

T : TYPE = [# x_i : t_i #]	`struct CT {`
	`...`
	`Ct_i x_i;`
	`...`
	`}; // These types must be declared`

[Range -> Domain]	C closure parameterized by the `Domain` return type.

Figure 6: Translation rules for PVS types

5 Difficulties and successes

5.1 `if` expressions

Represented by `if-expr`

5.2 Integer, rationnals

In PVS, the `integer` represent the whole set $\mathbb{Z}$ of all relative numbers (and `rational` also describe $\mathbb{Q}$). In C, we have finite types int, long, ...

We need the GMP library which introduces the types `mpz_t`and `mpq_t`. These types are arrays and should be used just as integer (not as pointers except they still need to be freed).

5.3 Garbage collection

We implement a very simple "Reference Counting Garbage Collector" as described in [**?**].

We maintain a hashtable of pointer counters. Each pointer in the code is a key in the hastable to which we associate an int counter as value.

Pointers only occurs in arrays or struct.

Arrays are created in the code.

```
T* a = b;
```

becomes

```
T* a = GC( b ); // Should not happen often...

t[0] = b;  // with b of type T*
```

becomes

```
GC_free( t[0] );
t[0] = (T*) GC( b );
```

Examples

```
int* f() {
  int* res;
  res = (int*) GC_alloc( 10 * sizeof(int) );
  [... init res...]
  return res; // pointer count = 1
}
```

```
void main() {
   int* a = f();  // pointer counter of a = 1
   int** b = (int**) GC_alloc( sizeof( int*) );
   GC_free( b[0] );  // useless
   b[0] = (int*) GC( a );  // pointer counter of a = 2
   printf("f(0) = %s", b[0][0]);
   GC_free(b); // frees b, pointer count of a = 1
   GC_free(a); // frees a
}
```

5.4 Performance

5.5 Update expressions

Update expressions are represented by PVS as `update-expr` objects.

$$E := \texttt{ t with [e1 := e2]}$$

Problem : `t` is an expression typed as a function. Therefore it might be represented in C as an array (if domain type is `below(`n`)`. We want to know if we can update `t` in place to obtain a C object representing `E` or if we have to make a copy of `t`.

We consider three solutions to this problem.

5.5.1 Pointer counting

We keep track of the number of pointer pointing to an array or a struct.

This requires to build our own C struct (heavy)

```
struct array_int {
   int pointer_count = 1;
   int *data;
};
```

When we update the struct, if the pointer is 0, we update in place.

Besides every update require now to read the structure and make a test (small compared to a copy but no so small compared to a single in place update)

Besides, the creation / destruction gets more complicated

Passing argument to function :

```
array_int f(array_int arg) {
   arg.pointer_count++;  \\ Since now f also have a pointer to the struct
   if (arg.pointer_count == 1) {
      arg.data[0] = 0;
      return arg;
   } else {
      array_int res;
      res.data = malloc( 10 * sizeof(int*) );
      copy(res, arg);  // Very long...
      res.pointer_count --; // This function is about to lose its pointer to res
      return res;
   }
}

void main() {
   array_int t;
   init(t);  // somehow...

   t.pointer_counter --;  // We assure we won't use the pointer "t" to the array anymore
```

```
    array_int r = f(t);
    t = null; // This way we garantee the variable "t" won't be used later in the code

    [...]
}
```

This add quite some code compared to the simple :

```
array_int f(array_int arg) {
    arg[0] = 0;
    return arg;
}

void main() {
    array_int t;
    init(t);  // somehow...
    array_int r = f(t);

    [...]
}
```

5.5.2 Using a different data structure

PVS uses arrays in a very particular way, we might then represent them with an other structure than just only a C array. For example :

```
struct r_list_int {
    int key;
    int value;
    r_list_int tl;
};
struct array_int {
    int *data;
    r_list_int replacement_list;
};
```

Each structure represent the array `data` with the modifications contained in the linked list `r_list_int`

Problems : Just as the previous solution : - add some extra code - add some extra computation (runtime tests, reading the replacement list) - require to create as many structures and associated functions as there are range types fo the manipulated arrays - Very dependent on the GC

5.5.3 Flow analysis on the PVS code

An other optimization would be to perform a analysis on the PVS variables to make sure an udate Pavol [**?**] suggests three analysis

5.5.4 Analysis of the C code

Trying to avoid copying arrays by analyzing the C code generated.

2 different functions (destructive and non destructive)

Algorithm :

Always have a "non destructive" version of any function. A "cautious" version that never modify the arguments in place and always make copies when necessary (when a "mutable" version of an array is necessary (for instance updates)).

In destructive versions of all functions : Flag all array arguments to "mutable". Then for each of these arguments : - If it never occurs destructively, then remove flag (function just read the arg) - If it occurs destructively, it can never occur at all AFTER. -¿ Need to define the order of

evaluation of expression (easy rules on simple expressions) -¿ Need to be able to detect occurences of a name-expr -¿ Otherwise, unflag the arg

A variable V of type array is created in these cases:

- V `=` λ`x.e(x)` : V has bang type
- `update(`V`,` T`, key, value)` : V has bang type because this is basically a copy and a destructive update.
- `f(`V`,...)` `=` `...` : type of V depends on `f`.

In these case, it has always bang type.Or it can be set to an other referenced object.

- V `=` $T \rightarrow V$ (should have bang type iff T has !type too and never occurs afterwards). Happens in
- V `=` T`[i]` $\rightarrow$ depends on the target type of T.
- V `=` T`.field` $\rightarrow$ depends on the type of the field.

At first all updates are non destructive.

First pass : All array variables (actuals and local variables) found in the code are flagged. Local variables are flagged according to the previous rules and actuals are flagged **mutable** in destructive version and **not mutable** in non-destructive versions. In functions returning an array (or record type), the variable result is also flagged.

Second pass : Reading the code backwards, for every occurrence T of a variable:

- If it is found in a V `=` T instruction, then we give bang type to V and remove bang type from T so that previous occurrences of T won't assume the uniqueness of the reference.
- If it is used in a V `=` `copy(`T`)` instruction, then we replace it with a V `=` T instruction and do as previous.
- If it is found in an `update(`V`,` T`, i, e`, then if T is bang, then turn that into V `=` T`;` `destr_update(`V`, i, e)` .

What is a destructive occurence :

$$E := \texttt{f(t with [e1 := e2] , t(0))}$$

order of eval : e1 and e2 (t can occur non destr) t (expression of an update : destr) t(0) (occurence of t (even non destr))

`f(x:Arr):int = g( h(t), t)` is destructively translated to

```
1 int f_d(int* t) {    // t has type ! since this is destructive f
2   int* arg1 = h(t); // h can't be called destructively because
3                     // even though t is !, it appears later (line 4)
4   int* arg2 = t;    // t is ! and never appears later => arg2 is !
5   return g( arg1, arg2); // arg2 is ! but g can only be called
6 }                        // destructively if arg1 is
```

Listing 2: Example

if g has type `[Array!  -> ?]` then t can't be destructive
if g has type `[Array -> ?]` then t can be destructive
First algorithm:
Need multiple passes as the flags disappear

6 Conclusion

6.1 What's left to be done ?

Use a C structure to represent a closure

```
struct r_list_int {
   int (*body)(void* env, void* args);
   void* env;
   void* args;
};
```

6.2 My stay at SRI

Besides the conception and implementation of the PVS to C compiler, my stay at SRI International was rich in ??? events.

The first days of my stay were the occasion to discover PVS anc Coq as I started working on a translator Coq to PVS. With Robin, we also wrote as an exercise a basic linear algebra library.

I discovered Lisp the hard way while learning how the back end of PVS worked. I've wrote a Lisp parser to help me see clear in the huge code (classes definitions, inheritances and organization, function dependances, ...)

I'v had the chance to attend to many interesting seminars. The SRI also organized a Summer School to which we were allowed to attend and which was very interesting.

Shankar never hesitated to include us in many project

I've been included in the HACMS project which was very interesting. With other: Correcting translator PVS to SMT-LIB

Draft

Discovering PVS : Translating Coq proofs to PVS PVS library for basic linear algebra

Robin project, HACMS Contest week-end 14-15 June Summer School Parsing Lisp code -¿ generate HTML architecture fileCorrecting translator PVS to SMT-LIB [**?**]