

# RAPPORT DE STAGE D'OPTION SCIENTIFIQUE

# Titre

#### NON CONFIDENTIEL

Option : INFORMATIQUE
Champ de l'option : Math-Informatique
Directeur de l'option : Olivier Bournez
Directeur de stage : Olivier Bournez
Dates du stage : 7 avril - 22 aout 2014

Nom et adresse de l'organisme : RI International

Computer Science Laboratory (CSL)

333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025-3493

United States

# Contents

1	Introduction	
2	SRI	
	2.1 The HACMS Project	
	2.2 PVS	
	2.3 Translating PVS	
	2.3.1 Parsing and typechecking PVS	
	2.3.2 Other translator	
3	Translating PVS Syntax	
	3.1 PVS Syntax	
	3.2 Translator architecture	
	3.3 A few translation rule	
4	Types	
	4.1 PVS Types	
	4.2 Translating types	
	4.3 Translating PVS syntax	
5	Difficulties and successes	
	5.1 if expressions	
	5.2 Integer, rationnals	
	5.3 Garbage collection	
	5.4 Update expressions	
	5.5 Closures	
6	Conclusion	1
	6.1 What's left to be done?	_
	6.2 My stay at SRI	

# 1 Introduction

- 2 SRI
- 2.1 The HACMS Project
- 2.2 PVS
- 2.3 Translating PVS
- 2.3.1 Parsing and typechecking PVS

These two task we leave to PVS native parser and typechecker.

The parser generates objects representing the expressions of the theory.

We only convert a subset of PVS. This subset is defined by a subset of expression objects we can translate. The objective is, of course, to be able to translate the maximum of (if not all) PVS expression objects.

#### 2.3.2 Other translator

- Common Lisp (native) - Clean - Yices

# 3 Translating PVS Syntax

#### 3.1 PVS Syntax

We describe here the syntax of PVS and the objects system used to represent them in Lisp. Some slots of the classes are voluntarily omitted. For a full description of PVS parser representation, refer to [? ].

```
Name
                    Expr Arguments
                    Expr Binop Expr
                    Unaryop Expr
                    Expr ' { Id | Number }
                    ( Expr^+ )
                    (# Assignment + #)
                    IfExpr
                    LET LetBinding + IN Expr
                    Expr WHERE LetBinding+
                    Expr WITH [ Assignment + ]
   Number
               ::= Digit^+
   Id
               ::= Letter\ IdChar^+
   IdChar
               ::= Letter \mid Digit
   Letter
               := A | \dots | Z
               ::= 0 | ... | 9
   Digit
               ::= (Expr^+)
   Arguments
   IfExpr
               ::= IF Expr THEN Expr
                    \{ ELSIF \; Expr \; THEN \; Expr \} * ELSE \; Expr \; ENDIF
               ::= true | false | number_field_pred | real_pred
   Name
                    integer_pred | integer? | rational_pred
                    floor | ceiling | rem | ndiv | even? | odd?
                    cons | car | cdr | cons? | null | null?
                    restrict | length | member | nth | append | reverse
               ::= = | \= | OR | \/ | AND | & | /\
   Binop
                    IMPLIES | => | WHEN | IFF | <=>
                    + | - | * | / | < | <= | > | >=
               ::= NOT | -
   Unaryop
   Assignment ::= AssignArg^+ \{ := | | -> \} Expr
               ::= (Expr^+)
   AssignArg
                    ' Id
                    ' Number
               := \{ LetBind \mid (LetBind^+) \} = Expr
   LetBinding
               ::= Id [: TypeExpr]
   LetBind
expr \subset syntax
                                                                           [abstract class]
 type the type of the expression
.............
name \subset syntax
                                                                             [mixin class]
               the identifier
 id\dots\dots
 actuals .... a list of actual parameters
 resolutions singleton
                                ......
This is a mixin for names, i.e., name-exprs, type-names, etc.
```

Expr

Number

```
[class]
name-expr ⊂ name expr
.....
number-expr ⊂ expr
                                                                     [class]
number a nonnegative integer
.....
tuple-expr ⊂ expr
exprs a list of expressions
.....
application \subset expr
                                                                     |class|
operator an expr
argument an expr (maybe a tuple-expr)
field-application ⊂ expr
                                                                     [class]
id..... identifier
actuals. a list of actuals
argument the argument
A field application is the internal representation for record extraction, e.g., r'a
record-expr ⊂ expr
                                                                     [class]
assignments a list of assignments
.....
lambda-expr ⊂ binding-expr
This is the subclass of binding-expr used for LAMBDA expressions.
if-expr \subset application
.....
When an application has an operator that resolves to the if_def it is changed to this class.
update-expr ⊂ expr
                                                                     [class]
expression.
            an expr
{\it assignments} \quad {\rm a \ list \ of \ assignments}
An update expression of the form e WITH [x := 1, y := 2], maps to an update-expr instance,
where the expression is e, and the assignments slot is set to the list of generated assignment
instances. Note that these are very succinct representations, but correspondingly difficult to
typecheck or to translate to other systems (e.g., decision procedures). See the description of the
function translate-update-to-if! for more details.
assignment \subset syntax
                                                                     [class]
            the list of arguments
arguments.
expression the value expression
Assignments occur in both record-exprs and update-exprs. The arguments form is a list of lists.
For example, given the assignment 'a(x, y)'1 := 0, the arguments are ((a) (x y) (1)) and
the expression is 0.
```

#### 3.2 Translator architecture

Describe here the Lisp functions and data structures Skeleton Expected input Output objects Assertions that we (try to) maintain

#### 3.3 A few translation rule

```
Translation rules:
```

### 4 Types

#### 4.1 PVS Types

A PVS theory can be typechecked using the emacs interface M-x typecheck or with Lisp function (tc name-theory). This first runs the PVS parser on the code and generates CLOS objects to represent it. Then, the PVS typechecker is run on this internal representation of the theory and tries to give a type to all expressions generating TCC when needed.

Here we describe how PVS types are represented in Lisp. The syntax of PVS we allow

```
TypeExpr
                       Name
                       Enumeration Type
                       Subtype
                        TypeApplication
                       Function\,Type
                        Tuple Type
                        Cotuple Type
                       Record Type
Enumeration Type
                 ::=
                       { IdOps }
Subtype
                       { SetBindings | Expr }
                       (Expr)
                   Name Arguments
TypeApplication
                  ::=
                  ::=
                       [FUNCTION | ARRAY]
Function Type
                       [ -[ IdOp : ] TypeExpr "+ -> TypeExpr ]
                      [-[IdOp:] TypeExpr"+]
Tuple Type
                  ::= [-[IdOp:] TypeExpr"^+_{\perp}]
Cotuple Type
                  ::= [# FieldDecls^+ #]
Record Type
FieldDecls
                  ::= Ids : TypeExpr
```

```
\texttt{type-expr} \subset \texttt{syntax} \qquad \qquad [abstract\ class]
```

```
[class]
type-name ⊂ type-expr name
 adt
subtype \subset type-expr
                                                                                         |class|
 supertype
 predicate
funtype \subset type-expr
                                                                                         [class]
 domain
 range.
tupletype ⊂ type-expr
                                                                                         [class]
 types
recordtype ⊂ type-expr
                                                                                         |class|
 fields
```

#### 4.2 Translating types

PVS types:boolean, number\_field, real, rational, integer,  $A \to B$ , restricted types below(10) :=  $\{x : \text{int} | 0 \le x < 10\}$ ) enum datatype

Auxiliary type system: C-type with a flag: mutable (meaning that the expression it describes only has one pointer pointing to it.

```
int a = 2;    a : int[mutable]
int* a = malloc( 10 * sizeof(int*) );
    destructive addition:
d_add(*mpz_t res, mpz_t[mutable] a, long b) {
    mpz_add(a, a, b);
    (*res) = a;
}
```

Rq: d\_add is given a mutable mpz\_t, meaning that it can modify it and is responsible for freeing it. It is also responsible for allocating memory for the result. Here it uses the memory to assign res.

Use an auxiliary language:

```
( expr, C-type[mutable] )
```

Conversions and copies create mutables types (at a cost): a [mutable] \_from\_b

C types:[unsigned] char, int, long, double boolean arrays strings enum struct and others: short int, float, union, size\_t, ...

We can only translate a subset of all PVS types. What's missing?

### 4.3 Translating PVS syntax

We can only translate a subset of PVS syntax. What's missing?

### 5 Difficulties and successes

#### 5.1 if expressions

Represented by if-expr

#### 5.2 Integer, rationnals

In PVS, the integer represent the whole set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of all relative numbers (and rational also describe  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). In C, we have finite types int, long, ...

We need the GMP library which introduces the types mpz\_tand mpq\_t. These types are arrays and should be used just as integer (not as pointers except they still need to be freed).

#### 5.3 Garbage collection

We implement a very simple "Reference Counting Garbage Collector" as described in [?].

We maintain a hashtable of pointer counters. Each pointer in the code is a key in the hastable to which we associate an int counter as value.

Pointers only occurs in arrays or struct.

Arrays are created in the code.

```
T* a = b:
becomes
T* a = GC(b); // Should not happen often...
t[0] = b; // with b of type T*
becomes
GC_{\text{free}}(t[0]);
t[0] = (T*) GC(b);
  Examples
int* f() {
  int* res;
  res = (int*) GC_alloc(10 * sizeof(int));
  [\dots init res...]
  return res; // pointer count = 1
}
void main() {
   int* a = f(); // pointer counter of a = 1
   int** b = (int**) GC_alloc(sizeof(int*));
   GC_{free}(b[0]); // useless
   b[0] = (int*) GC(a); // pointer counter of a = 2
   printf("f(0) == %s", b[0][0]);
   GC_{free}(b); // frees b, pointer count of a = 1
   GC_free(a); // frees a
}
```

#### 5.4 Update expressions

Update expressions are represented by PVS as update-expr objects.

```
E := t \text{ with } [e1 := e2]
```

Problem: t is an expression typed as a function. Therefore it might be represented in C as an array (if domain type is below(n)). We want to know if we can update t in place to obtain a C object representing E or if we have to make a copy of t.

Three solutions:

- Pointer counting:

We keep track of the number of pointer pointing to an array or a struct.

This requires to build our own C struct (heavy)

```
struct array_int {
   int pointer_count = 1;
   int *data;
};
```

When we update the struct, if the pointer is 0, we update in place.

Besides every update require now to read the structure and make a test (small compared to a copy but no so small compared to a single in place update)

Besides, the creation / destruction gets more complicated

Passing argument to function:

array\_int f(array\_int arg) {

arg[0] = 0;

```
array_int f(array_int arg) {
   arg.pointer_count++; \\ Since now f also have a pointer to the struct
   if (arg.pointer_count == 1) {
      arg.data[0] = 0;
      return arg;
   } else {
      array_int res;
      res.data = malloc( 10 * sizeof(int*) );
      copy(res, arg); // Very long...
      res.pointer_count --; // This function is about to lose its pointer to re
      return res;
   }
}
void main() {
   array_int t;
   init(t); // somehow...
   t.pointer_counter --; // We assure we won't use the pointer "t" to the arra
   array_int r = f(t);
   t = null; // This way we garantee the variable "t" won't be used later in the
   [\ldots]
}
  This add quite some code compared to the simple:
```

```
return arg;
}

void main() {
    array_int t;
    init(t); // somehow...
    array_int r = f(t);

[...]
}
```

- Using a different data structure

PVS uses arrays in a very particular way, we might then represent them with an other structure than just only a C array. For example :

```
struct r_list_int {
   int k;
   int v;
   r_list_int tl;
};

struct array_int {
   int *data;
   r_list_int replacement_list;
};
```

Each structure represent the array data with the modifications contained in the linked list r\_list\_int

Problems: Just as the previous solution: - add some extra code - add some extra computation (runtime tests) - require to create as many structures and associated functions as there are range type fo the manipulated arrays

- Third solution:

Trying to avoid copying arrays by analyzing the code. 2 different functions (destructive and non destructive)

Algorithm:

Always have a "non destructive" version of any function. A "cautious" version that never modify the arguments in place and always make copies when necessary (when a "mutable" version of an array is necessary (for instance updates)).

In destructive versions of all functions: Flag all array arguments to "mutable". Then for each of these arguments: - If it never occurs destructively, then remove flag (function just observe the arg) - If it occurs destructively, it can never occur at all AFTER. -; Need to define the order of evaluation of expression (easy rules on simple expressions) -; Need to be able to detect occurences of a name-expr -; Otherwise, unflag the arg

What is a destructive occurrence:

```
E := f(t with [e1 := e2], t(0))
```

order of eval: e1 and e2 (t can occur non destr) t (expression of an update: destr) t(0) (occurence of t (even non destr))

f(g(t), t) if g has type [Array! -; ?] then t can't be destructive if g has type [Array -; ?] then t can be destructive

need multiple passes as the flags disappear

### 5.5 Closures

Nothing now

## 6 Conclusion

### 6.1 What's left to be done?

### 6.2 My stay at SRI

Discovering PVS : Translating Coq proofs to PVS PVS library for basic linear algebra Robin project, HACMS Contest week-end 14-15 June Summer School Parsing Lisp code -; generate HTML architecture fileCorrecting translator PVS to SMT-LIB