1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Classification of surfaces is an important task. It appears in many areas.

You can determine surfaces in many different ways - optical, probing etc. Optical: Recent advancements in neural networks. Probing: One may also measure directly onto a surface directly through a probing approach. Interfering: Induced surface vibrations generating sound which can be detected and classified [2].

It is often desirable to to this without direct contact i.e. probing

Radar has successfully been used to for example locate salt in the ground

These radars commonly have wavelenghts of something something

If we however want to classify surface materials using a much shorter wavelength, the task changes dramatically as apsorption is near-instant.

Something about radar wavelenght categories

Furthermore, radar sensors are commonly used in an array setting which permits beamforming and extraction of spatial information.

If one on the other hand only has a singular radar sensor this is not possible and you must resort to other means.

Probably want something about IQ demodulation somewhere in here.

1.2 Problem formulation

We develop a pipeline for effectively solving this problem.

We present modelling options with high accuracy and efficacy.

We present results based on a 60GHz Acconeer micro radar.

In this paper we introduce means of surface identification based on the output from a single 60 GHz radar sensor moving across a surface with constant

height.

1.3 Thesis outline

Chapter 2: We present a radar systems overview and introduce some crucial concepts for understanding the radar output used in this work.

Chapter 3: Feature selection and preprocessing is considered. Signal structure is discussed primarily on an intuitive level.

Chapter 4: Classification schemes. Moving from simple to advanced, some classifiers of special interest are investigated. Results with regards to these classifiers are presented.

Chapter 5: Disucssion.

Chapter 6: Conclusion.

2 Chapter 2: Radar system overview

In this chapter we introduce three fundamental radar concepts which will be important for understanding future feature selection discussions.

The radar equation.

The matched filter.

The IQ demodulation scheme.

The radar system used for this project is a 60 GHz radar developed by Acconeer AB.

An antenna transmits a wavelet signal towards an object of interest. After a brief period of time a second wavelet signal is generated and *mixed* with data from a recieving antenna. This procedure is repeated, every time slightly delaying the generation of the second wavelet and thus mixing with a different section of the incoming pulse.

Through this methodology we can effectively produce

2.1 The radar principle

The radar principle is at its core simple. A wavelet pulse $x_T(t)$ with some carrier frequency Ω is transmitted towards an object of interest. etc etc..

2.2 Matched filter

something something desired frequency response of the recieving antenna.

In any radar system a good Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) is a highly desired property. Finding a reciever frequency response which maximizes SNR is thus an important topic. Denoting the reciever output as y(t) and the incoming waveform as x(t) the output spectrum will be a convolution of x(t) and the system impulse response h(t), or conversely a multiplication in the frequency domain $Y(\Omega) = X(\Omega)H(\Omega)$. If we seek to maximize SNR at some arbitrary point in time T_M the power at that very instant is

$$|y(T_M)|^2 = \left|\frac{1}{2\pi} \int X(\Omega)H(\Omega)e^{j\Omega T_M}d\Omega\right|^2. \tag{1}$$

Now we consider interference in the form of spectrally flat noise with power spectral density σ^2 W/Hz. The SNR ξ measured at time T_M can then be described as the ratio between the total signal power and the total noise power

$$\xi = \frac{|y(T_M)|^2}{(1/2\pi)\int |\sigma H(\Omega)|^2 d\Omega} = \frac{|(1/2\pi)\int X(\Omega)H(\Omega)e^{j\Omega T_M} d\Omega|^2}{(\sigma^2/2\pi)\int |H(\Omega)|^2 d\Omega}$$
(2)

which clearly depends on which reciever response is used. It can from above expression be shown [reference] that the maximum ξ is obtained when

$$H(\Omega) = \alpha X * (\Omega) e^{j\Omega T_M}, \text{ or}$$
 (3)

$$h(t) = \alpha x^* (T_M - t) \tag{4}$$

where α is an arbitrary constant which has no impact on the resulting SNR. Examining h(t) above we see that the optimal filter for maximizing SNR is when the coefficients consist of the transmitted waveform conjugated and time-reversed. This filter is called a *matched filter* due to the symmetrical relationship between waveform and impulse response.

One way of interpreting the matched filter is by viewing the filtering as a correlation. If we denote $\bar{x}(t)$ as the sum of both target and noise components the output y(t) is given by

$$y(t) = \int \bar{x}(s)h(t-s)ds = \alpha \int \bar{x}(s)x^*(s+T_M-t)ds$$
 (5)

which is recognized as the cross-correlation between noisy signal $\bar{x}(t)$ and transmitted waveform x(t) evaluated at lag $T_M - t$. By shifting the constant lag T_M we then can obtain the full cross-correlation

2.3 IQ demodulation

IQ "amplifies" phase information

Phase information is more detailed over time

We sample really often

That might be good for us

IQ splits into two channels, interpreted as real and complex

A common type of data when working with radar signal processing is IQ-data (in- and quadrature phase). This type of data is useful in that it contains information not only about amplitude, but about the phase of the radar signal as well. In particular, this is good for situations where small changes in the radar signal need to be detected, (Mention a case such as recording over grass...) as the phase is more sensitive to changes than the amplitude. Due to the high phase sensitivity, a high sampling rate is required or the recorded phase data will just appear to be fluctuating randomly over time.

IQ-data is derived through a process commonly known as IQ- or quadrature-demodulation. To describe the process mathematically, the RF-signal received from the radar is described as $s(t) = A(t)\sin(2\pi ft + \phi(t))$. To be able to uniquely determine $\phi(t)$ later, the signal is multiplied separately by two sinusoids shifted 90 degrees in phase relative to each other, yielding

$$s_1(t) = \sin(2\pi f t + \phi(t))A(t)\sin(2\pi f t + \phi(t)) \tag{6}$$

$$s_2(t) = \cos(2\pi f t + \phi(t))A(t)\sin(2\pi f t + \phi(t)).$$
 (7)

$$\cos(\alpha + \beta) = \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta) - \sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta) \tag{8}$$

By means of low pass filtering, the following signal is then obtained

$$s_{lp}(t) = A(t)\cos(\phi) - A(t)\sin(\phi). \tag{9}$$

From this expression, the in-phase and quadrature phase (I and Q) are defined as $I(t) = A(t)\cos(\phi)$ and $Q(t) = A(t)\sin(\phi)$. Henceforth in this report, IQ-data will refer to complex numbers of the form I + iQ. Note that taking the absolute value of such a complex number yields the amplitude, A.

The above result is implemented in hardware according to figure (....). The RF signal is split up in two channels. In each of the channels it is mixed with a wave generated by an oscillator. In one of the channels, the generated wave is shifted 90 degrees. A low pass filter then shapes the final I- and Q-components

3 Chapter 3: Feature selection

In order to make sense of data one must impose some reasonable assumptions. One idea is to view each measurement, taken at time instance t at range r for material m, as drawn from some complex distribution specific for that combination of r and s but independent of time of sampling t. Yada yada there is two combatting ideas here

3.1 Preprocessing

3.1.1 Downsampling

Since the correlation between neighboring range samples is very high we can downsample without significant loss of information. The downsampling process essentially require three hyperparameters: A starting range R_{start} and an end range R_{end} where we begin and end our downsampling process respectively, as well as a downsampling factor D.

$$x_D(n,t) = x(R_{start} + nD, t)$$
 for $n = 1...\frac{R_{end} - R_{start}}{D}$ (10)

3.1.2 Using multiple sweeps for feature selection

In the radar system multiple sources of noise are introduced.

List some noise sources.

In the beginning section of this chapter we introduced two conflicting interpretations of the radar signal. In the first the incomming wavelet is drawn from a complex distribution, and each sweep is independent from the rest. In the second we instead considered that there may be some significant correlations in time to take into account; surface shapes and objects passing by the sensor are detected multiple times in a perhaps predictable and useful manner.

The first suggest that signal averaging over a number of sweeps should yield a more and more accurate estimate. Thus the first approach greatly benefit from using multiple sweeps to find an average over some predefined number of sweeps. In order to utilize the time correlations assumed in the second interpretation processing in time is of course demanded, and a number of sweeps need to be analyzed at once to extract features related to this way of viewing the radar response.

Thus a predefined number is chosen as the number of sweeps used to extract each feature. While each feature may be extracted with higher accuracy, it is done at the price of a lower number of classifications per second. The relation between classification rate F_c , sampling speed F_s and sweeps per feature T_s is

$$F_c = \frac{F_s}{T_s} \tag{11}$$

3.2 Used features

Fast time and slow time features

3.2.1 Average sweep energy

$$P(t_m) = \frac{1}{NT} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} x(n, t_m + t) x^*(n, t_m + t)$$
 (12)

3.2.2 Expected signal

$$s_i(t_m) = \mathbb{E}\{X_{i,t_m}\} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} x(i, t_m + t)$$
(13)

3.2.3 Variance

Include a discussion about the biased/unbiased estimate

Assume x(n,t) are samples from a complex normal distribution $X_{n,t}$. Variance $v_i(t_m)$ at range i at time t_m can then be approximated using

$$v_i(t_m) = \mathbb{E}\{(X_{i,t_m} - \mathbb{E}\{X_{i,t_m}\})^2\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (x(i,t_m+t) - s_i(t_m))^* (x(i,t_m+t) - s_i(t_m))$$
(14)

3.2.4 Autocovariance in slow time

For some stochastic process P_t we can define the autocovariance γ as

$$\gamma(t,s) = \mathbb{E}\left\{ (P_t - \mu_t)(P_s - \mu_s) \right\} \tag{15}$$

If X_t is a weakly stationary process the first moment (mean) and autocovariance do not vary over time. The autocovariance then only depends on the difference between s and t, making it possible to rewrite as

$$\gamma(\tau) = \mathbb{E}\left\{ (P_t - \mu)(P_{t+\tau} - \mu) \right\} \tag{16}$$

Assuming weak stationarity over a few rangebins we can estimate the autocovariance in slow time for each selected range.

$$\gamma_{i}(t_{m},\tau) = \mathbb{E}\left\{ (X_{i,t_{m}} - \mathbb{E}\{X_{i,t_{m}}\})^{*}(X_{i,t_{m}+\tau} - \mathbb{E}\{X_{i,t_{m}+\tau}\}) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1-\tau} (x(i,t_{m}+t) - s_{i}(t_{m}))^{*}(x(i,t_{m}+t+\tau) - s_{i}(t_{m}+\tau))$$
(17)

We can drop the τ in the final expectation term, as $s_i(t)$ are estimated in batch from t_m to $t_m + T$ yielding

$$\gamma_i(t_m, \tau) = \frac{1}{T - 1} \sum_{t=0}^{T - 1 - \tau} (x(i, t_m + t) - s_i(t_m))^* (x(i, t_m + t + \tau) - s_i(t_m))$$
(18)

as our expression for autocovariance. Note that the variance in 14 collapses to the autocovariance at 0 lag.

4 Chapter 4: Classification schemes

5 Chapter 5: Discussion

5.1 Moisture

One particularly challenging aspect of adequately classifying surfaces is the ever-changing environmental conditions surronding the sensor. Of particu-

lar interest is the moisture content in the surfaces of interest. Greater soil moisture implies higher dielectric constant, which in turn increases radar wave scattering [1]. Thus a single surface may very well change its scattering properties over time.

5.2 Surface variances

Such effects is difficult to account for when selecting data

5.3 Individual sensor performance

References

- [1] Rappaport, C. (2016). Soil Moisture and Surface Roughness Effects in Ground Penetrating Radar Detection of Land Mines. In: MTT-S International Microwave Symposium Digest. IEEE MTT-S, pp.1-5.
- [2] Strese, M., Schuwerk, C., Iepure, A. and Steinbach, E. (2017). Multimodal Feature-Based Surface Material Classification. IEEE Transactions on Haptics, 10(2), pp.226-239.