Homework 1 (due Jan. 22)

MATH 110 | Introduction to Number Theory | Winter 2023

Whenever you use a result or claim a statement, provide a **justification** or a **proof**, unless it has been covered in the class. In the later case, provide a **citation** (such as "by the *2-out-of-3* principle" or "by Coro. 0.31 in the textbook").

You are encouraged to *discuss* the problems with your peers. However, you must write the homework **by yourself** using your words and **acknowledge your collaborators**.

Problem 1. This problem is a 3-varibales analogy of the material covered in lectures.

(a) (5pts) Prove that there exists no integer solution (x, y, z) to the equation

$$18x - 27y + 39z = 4.$$

- (b) (5pts) Find an integer solution (x, y, z) to the equation 18x 27y + 39z = 6.
- (*c). (optional, with extra credit up to 5pts) Find all the integer solutions (x, y, z) to the equation 18x 27y + 39z = 6. Your answer should give explicit formulae for x, y, z in terms of two free independent integer parameters m and n.

Remark. Can you work out a general algorithm?

Problem 2. Let a, b, c be three integers, and let $g = \gcd(a, \gcd(b, c))$.

- (a) (8pts) Prove that g satisfies the following properties:
 - (i) g is a common divisor of a, b and c, in other words, we have $g \mid a$, $g \mid b$ and $g \mid c$.
 - (ii) If d is any common divisor of a, b and c, then $d \mid g$.
- (b) (2pts) Prove that g is the unique natural number satisfying both (i) and (ii).

Optional (with extra credit up to 2pts). During your proof, try to only use the following facts: 1, the definition of $gcd(\cdot, \cdot)$, 2, the transitivity $\cdot | \cdot$, and 3, the reflexivity of $\cdot | \cdot$.

Hint. Compare this problem with the fact that $\max\{a, b, c\} = \max\{a, \max\{b, c\}\}$.

The properties (i) and (ii) together are called the *defining property* of the notion of the greatest common divisor of a, b and c.

We will use gcd(a, b, c) to denote the greatest common divisor of a, b and c. Then problem 2.(a) says that gcd(a, gcd(b, c)) gives an *implementation* of gcd(a, b, c). Namely, it gives a way to compute the gcd(a, b, c) from the given integers a, b, c: first compute gcd(b, c), and then plug it in gcd(a, gcd(b, c)), the final result would be the answer.

Problem 3 (5 pts). Treat $gcd(\cdot, \cdot)$ as a binary operation on \mathbb{Z} . Show that it is associative:

$$\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \gcd(a, \gcd(b, c)) = \gcd(\gcd(a, b), c).$$

Remark. By symmetry, the same results as in problems 2 and 3 holds for $lcm(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Problem 4. Let a_1, \dots, a_n be n integers.

- (a) (2pts) Mimicking problem 2, give the defining properties of the notion of the greatest common divisor of a_1, \dots, a_n . (In other words, give a reasonable definition of this notion involving two properties mimicking (i) and (ii))
 - Then give an *implementation* of such a notion in terms of $gcd(\cdot, \cdot)$. (In other words, give a way to compute the greatest common divisor of a_1, \dots, a_n using only the two variable version $gcd(\cdot, \cdot)$.)
 - *Remark.* We will use the notation $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ or $\gcd_{1 \le i \le n} a_i$ to denote this notion.
- (b) (2pts) Give the defining properties of the notion of the least common multiple of a_1, \dots, a_n . Then give an implementation of such a notion in terms of lcm(\cdot, \cdot).
 - *Remark.* We will use the notation $lcm(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ or $lcm_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i$ to denote this notion.
- (c) (6pts) Mimicking the proof of the attached proposition, show that:

For any matrix $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ of integers, we have

$$\lim_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \gcd_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m} a_{ij} \mid \gcd_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m} \lim_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} a_{ij}.$$

Hint. What facts are used in the proof?

Proposition. Let $(x_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ be a matrix of real numbers, then we have

$$\max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} \min_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m} x_{ij} \leqslant \min_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m} \max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} x_{ij}.$$

Proof. Define f(i) $(1 \le i \le n)$ to be $\min_{1 \le j \le m} x_{ij}$. Then we have

$$f(i) \leqslant x_{ij}$$
 for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$.

Therefore, we have

$$\max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} f(i) \leqslant \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} x_{ij} \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m.$$

In particular, we have

$$\max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} f(i) \leqslant \min_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m} \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} x_{ij}$$

as desired.