Assignment 3 - Insertion Sort Using Dafny

Gaurang Tandon

April 27, 2020

Contents

| 1 | Ins | Insertion sort definition | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--------|
| 2 | Transition System Definition Insertion sort Program | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | Conditions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | |
| | $4.1 \\ 4.2$ | | tions (requires) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 5 |
| 5 | Just | ification | of Hoare logic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 |
| | 5.1 | Definition | ıs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 |
| | | 5.1.1 or | dered(x, y) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 |
| | | 5.1.2 so | rted(sequence) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| | 5.2 | Lemmas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| | | 5.2.1 Su | ıbarray sorted . | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| | | 5.2.2 La | arger subarray so | rted | l . | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| | 5.3 | Notation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| | 5.4 | Inner loo | p | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| | | 5.4.1 D | escription | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| | | 5.4.2 Pa | artial correctness | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| | | | otal correctness | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 |
| | 5.5 | | р | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 |
| | | | escription | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 |
| | | | artial correctness | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 |
| | | 5.5.3 To | otal correctness | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10 |

1 Insertion sort definition

- 1. In insertion sort, the base case is that the subarrray of size 1 in front of the array is considered sorted by default.
- 2. Now, assuming subarray (1,i) is sorted, we take the (i+1)-th element and insert it into its correct position in the sorted subarray (1,i). Either it will remain at its position or get inserted somewhere in the middle.
- 3. Step 2 will result in subarray (1, i + 1) being sorted.
- 4. After having proved base case and inductive step, we can now say that eventually insertion sort will sort the whole array, the subarray (1, arr.Length)
- 5. Regarding its termination, we note that step 2 cannot take more than n steps, and if we repeat that step n times, we have a complexity of at most $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$, therefore we are guaranteed that the program terminates.
- 6. We shall attempt to prove this formally in below.

2 Transition System Definition

- 1. $S_{ins} = \langle X, X^o, U, \rightarrow, Y, h \rangle$
- 2. The state space of the system $X=\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}\times\mathbb{N}$ (integer array of natural length)
- 3. We define a function $\rho: \mathbb{N} \to X$, which converts the input space of the problem to the state space of the system
- 4. $\rho(n)=({\rm arr},1)$ is the case for the initial state. Hence, $X^o=\rho(n)=({\rm arr},1).$
- 5. $U = \{next\}$
- 6. Transition Relation:
 - (arr, i) $\xrightarrow[\text{next}]{\text{sort-pass}}$ (arr', i + 1), such that the i+1-th element gets sorted in this pass. By "element gets sorted", we mean that the i+1-th element gets inserted in its correct (sorted) position in the subarray from first position to i+1-th position.

- (arr, n) is terminal state where n = arr.Length
- 7. We define a transition function $t: X \to X$, and t^n as the n^{th} iteration of function t, where $n \in \mathbb{Z} \land n > 0$ defined by $t^0 = t, t^1 = t \circ t, t^n = t \circ t....(n-1)times.... \circ t = t \circ t^{n-1}$
- 8. Let X_f be the final state of the system, defined as $X_f = t^n(\operatorname{arr}, 1)$ such that arr is sorted. Now t^0 corresponds to X^o , and likewise t^n corresponds to X_f . Which means $X^o \stackrel{*}{\to} X_f = t^n$
- 9. $Y = \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$, as the view space of the system is equal to the output space of the problem.
- 10. $h: X \to Y$, where $h: X \to \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$

3 Insertion sort Program

```
datatype StateSpace = StateSpace(arr: array<int>, pass: int)
predicate ordered(x: int, y: int) {
x <= y
}
predicate sorted(arr: array<int>, start: nat, end: nat)
reads arr
requires 0 <= start <= end < arr.Length
forall x :: start < x <= end ==> ordered(arr[x - 1], arr[x])
}
method InsertionSortStateTransition(state: StateSpace) returns (finalState: StateSpace
modifies state.arr
requires state.arr.Length >= 1
requires state.pass == 1
ensures state.arr.Length == finalState.arr.Length
ensures sorted(finalState.arr, 0, finalState.arr.Length - 1)
ensures finalState.pass == finalState.arr.Length
var arr := state.arr;
var n := arr.Length;
var i := 1;
```

```
while i < n
invariant i <= arr.Length;</pre>
invariant sorted(arr, 0, i - 1)
modifies arr {
var j := i;
while j \ge 1 \&\& arr[j] < arr[j - 1]
// ensure that left and right half of
// the arrays are themselves sorted
invariant j \ge 1 \Longrightarrow sorted(arr, 0, j - 1)
invariant j < i ==> sorted(arr, j + 1, i)
// now that our array is split into three parts
// values to left of j, value at j, values to right of j
// establish ordering relations between them
invariant j < i ==> ordered(arr[j], arr[j + 1])
invariant 1 \le j \le i \Longrightarrow \operatorname{ordered}(\operatorname{arr}[j-1], \operatorname{arr}[j+1])
modifies arr {
arr[j], arr[j - 1] := arr[j - 1], arr[j];
j := j - 1;
i := i + 1;
finalState := StateSpace(arr, arr.Length);
}
function method rho(arr: array<int>) : StateSpace {
StateSpace(arr, 1)
}
function method pi(st: StateSpace) : array<int> {
st.arr
}
method Main(){
var arr := new int[5];
arr[0], arr[1], arr[2], arr[3], arr[4] := 2, 1, 3, 4, 5;
```

```
var sts := rho(arr);
var sts2 := InsertionSortStateTransition(sts);
var sortedArr := pi(sts2);

var i := 0;

while i < sortedArr.Length {
  print sortedArr[i];
  i := i + 1;
}

assert sorted(sortedArr, 0, sortedArr.Length - 1);
}</pre>
```

4 Conditions

4.1 Pre conditions (requires)

• arr.Length ≥ 1

4.2 Post conditions (ensures)

- initialState.arr.Length == finalState.arr.Length
- finalState.arr = sorted result of initialState.arr

5 Justification of Hoare logic

5.1 Definitions

5.1.1 ordered(x, y)

ordered is a comparator over two elements x and y, and it is true iff both the following are true:

- 1. they are comparable with this comparator
- 2. this comparator would place x before y

5.1.2 sorted(sequence)

A sequence arr (of length n) is sorted iff one of the following is true:

- 1. it is empty
- 2. it has one element
- 3. $\forall i \text{ such that } 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ ordered(arr[i], arr[i + 1]) holds for some transitive comparator ordered, as defined in 5.1.1.$

5.2 Lemmas

5.2.1 Subarray sorted

If a sequence of elements $arr = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is sorted, such that $n \geq 1$, then the sequence (a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}) is also sorted with the same comparator. *Proof:*

- 1. $\forall i$ such that $0 \le i \le n-1$, ordered(arr[i], arr[i + 1]) holds for some transitive comparator ordered, because:
 - 5.1.2 (point 3)
- 2. $\forall i \text{ such that } 0 \leq i \leq n-2, \text{ ordered(arr[i], arr[i + 1]) holds for same transitive comparator, because:}$
 - point 1 above
- 3. sequence of elements $(a_0, \ldots a_{n-1})$ is sorted, because
 - 5.1.2
 - point 2 above

5.2.2 Larger subarray sorted

If a sequence of elements $arr = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is sorted, such that $n \geq 0$, then the sequence (x, a_0, \ldots, a_n) is also sorted with the same comparator, given ordered(x, arr[0]) for any element x.

Proof:

1. $\forall i$ such that $0 \le i \le n-1$, ordered(arr[i], arr[i + 1]) holds for some transitive comparator ordered, because:

- 5.1.2
- 2. sequence of elements $(x, a_0, \dots a_n)$ is sorted, because
 - 5.1.2
 - given ordered(x, arr[0]) holds

5.3 Notation

Notation is same as in Hoare Logic Rules PDF shared on Microsoft Teams. We define:

- 1. P as the precondition
- 2. S as a single statement
- 3. Q as the loop invariant
- 4. n is the length of the array.

5.4 Inner loop

5.4.1 Description

Given an index j, one iteration of the inner loop moves the element at index j one step left, as long as remains unsorted. Described in detail in 1.

5.4.2 Partial correctness

We define the following terms:

- 1. left array: subarray to the left of j-th element, i.e., arr[0..j-1], denoted as $a_0, \ldots a_p$
- 2. right array: subarray to the right of j-th element, i.e., arr[j+1..n-1], denoted as $b_0, \ldots b_q$
- 3. $P: j \geq 1$ and the index j has an inversion (i.e., $arr[j] \leq a_p$)
- 4. S: swap values in array at index j and j-1, and decrement j by one
- 5. Q: the logical and of four conditions:
 - (a) Q_1 the left array is sorted

- (b) Q_2 the right array is sorted
- (c) Q_3 ordered (a_p, b_0)
- (d) Q_4 ordered(arr[j], b_0)

We need to show that after one execution of S, the invariant continues to hold.

Let us execute one step of S. Now, the left array is $a_0, \ldots a_{p-1}$ and right array is $a_p, b_0 \ldots b_q$. And j has decremented by 1.

Now, we check all four invariant conditions:

- 1. Q'_1 holds because $Q_1 \Rightarrow \text{Lemma 5.2.1}$
- 2. Q_2' holds, by combining Q_3 and Q_2 with Lemma 5.2.2
- 3. Q_3' holds, since ordered($\mathbf{a}_{\text{p-1}}$, \mathbf{a}_{p}) (: sequence a is sorted)
- 4. Q_4' holds, since P

Therefore, since we have proved that $\{P \land Q\}S\{Q\}$ holds, hence $\{Q\}(WHILE P DO S)\{P^c \land Q\}$ holds by using the **while rule for partial correctness**

5.4.3 Total correctness

To prove: total correctness ($[P \land Q]$), i.e., the condition does not remain true forever if we execute statement S.

Proof by contradiction

Assumption: P remains true forever.

Proof:

- 1. S will execute forever, because:
 - assumption
 - Q remains true since it is loop invariant
 - \bullet above two points imply P \wedge Q always true, which is the entry condition for S
- 2. j will decrease forever, because:
 - S will execute forever, by point 1 above
 - \bullet every execution of S decreases j by one

- 3. $j \ge 1$ holds forever, because:
 - splitting up assumption

Contradiction: both point 2 and 3 cannot hold since j is a finite integer. **Conclusion**: false assumption, P does not remain true forever. Hence, we conclude that [Q](WHILE P DO S)[P^c \wedge Q] holds considering [P \wedge Q]S[Q], given by while rule for total correctness.

5.5 Outer loop

5.5.1 Description

One iteration of the outer loop increases the size of the sorted subaray, from (0, p) to (0, p + 1), where p is the pass number.

5.5.2 Partial correctnesss

We define the following terms:

- 1. P: i < n
- 2. S: execute the inner loop, setting j = i, and then increment i by one
- 3. Q: the logical and of two conditions:
 - (a) $Q_1 i \leq n$
 - (b) Q_2 the sequence (arr[0], ..., arr[i 1]) is sorted

We need to show that after one execution of S, the invariant continues to hold.

Let us execute one step of S.

Now, we check the two invariant conditions:

- 1. Q'_1 holds, since i < n before execution of S (guaranteed by P), and one step of S increases i by only one.
- 2. Q_2' holds, since even when i is increased by one, execution of S guarantees that the subarray (0,i) remains sorted (proved in earlier section).

Therefore, since we have proved that $\{P \land Q\}S\{Q\}$ holds, hence $\{Q\}(WHILE P DO S)\{P^c \land Q\}$ holds by using the **while rule for partial correctness**

5.5.3 Total correctness

To prove: total correctness ($[P \land Q]$), i.e., the condition does not remain true forever if we execute statement S.

Proof by contradiction

Assumption: P remains true forever.

Proof:

- 1. S will execute forever, because:
 - assumption
 - Q remains true since it is loop invariant
 - \bullet above two points imply P \wedge Q always true, which is the entry condition for S
- 2. i will increase forever, because:
 - S will execute forever, by point 1 above
 - \bullet every execution of S increases i by one
- 3. i < n holds forever, because:
 - splitting up assumption

Contradiction: both point 2 and 3 cannot hold since n is a finite integer. **Conclusion**: false assumption, P does not remain true forever. Hence, we conclude that [Q](WHILE P DO S)[P^c \wedge Q] holds considering [P \wedge Q]S[Q], given by while rule for total correctness.