### ECE345 HW1

Homework Group #85 Yanni Alan Alevras | yanni.<br/>alevras@mail.utoronto.ca | 1009330706 Evan Banerjee | evan.banerjee@mail.utoronto.ca | 1009682309 Gautam | |

Total Pages: 7

Thursday 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2024

## 1 Question 1 Examples of this is a second test

| a. |                                                         |  |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------|--|
|    | Theorem. Some theorem here.                             |  |
|    | Proof. Proof by induction                               |  |
|    | <b>Base Step</b> : When $n = 1$ , is true.              |  |
|    | Induction Hypothesis: Suppose is true                   |  |
|    | Induction Step: Consider when                           |  |
| b. | Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$                       |  |
|    | <i>Proof.</i> ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume by Contradiction, |  |
|    | $(\Rightarrow)$                                         |  |

### 2 Question 2 Example Pseudocode

```
1: function Gale-Shapley(E, S)
2:
       initialize all employers in E and students in S to unmatched
       while an unmatched employer with at least one student on its preference list remains do
3:
 4:
           choose such an employer e \in E
           make offer to next student s \in S on e's preference list
5:
           if s is unmatched then
6:
              Match e with s
 7:
                                                                                             \triangleright s accepts e's offer
           else if s prefers e to their current employer e' then
8:
              Unmatch s and e'
9:
                                                                                                    \triangleright s rejects e'
              Match e with s
10:
                                                                                             \triangleright s accepts e's offer
           end if
11:
           cross s off e's preference list
12:
       end while
13:
       report the set of matched pairs as the final matching
14:
15: end function
```

# 3 Question 3

Figure 1: Example image

This figure shows xxx

# 4 Question 4 Induction

 $(\Rightarrow)$ 

| a. |                                                         |  |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------|--|
|    | Theorem. Some theorem here.                             |  |
|    | Proof. Proof by induction                               |  |
|    | <b>Base Step</b> : When $n = 1$ , is true.              |  |
|    | Induction Hypothesis: Suppose is true                   |  |
|    | Induction Step: Consider when                           |  |
| b. | Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$                       |  |
|    | <i>Proof.</i> ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume by Contradiction, |  |

# 5 Question 5 Probability

 $(\Rightarrow)$ 

| a. |                                                         |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------|
|    | <b>Theorem.</b> Some theorem here.                      |
|    | Proof. Proof by induction                               |
|    | <b>Base Step</b> : When $n = 1$ , is true.              |
|    | Induction Hypothesis: Suppose is true                   |
|    | Induction Step: Consider when                           |
| b. | Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$                       |
|    | <i>Proof.</i> ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume by Contradiction, |

#### 6 Question 6 Graphs, Proof by Contradiction

*Proof.* Assume by Contradiction,

Assume d(u, w) + d(w, v) < d(u, v).

This implies that there is a path from u to v via w whose total distance is less than the direct distance between u and v.

However, by the definition of d(u, v), it is the shortest distance between u and v. Therefore, no other path, including one through w, can have a smaller distance.

This contradiction arises from our assumption, so the assumption must be false. Hence, we conclude that  $d(u, w) + d(w, v) \ge d(u, v)$ .

### 7 Question 7 Trees, Proof by induction

**Definition:** A stable parent is defined as a node with two leaves. Let:

- n be the number of nodes,
- l be the number of leaves,
- $\bullet$  p be the number of stable parents.

**Proof.** Proof by induction.

Assume the inductive hypothesis:

$$l_n = p_n + 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad l_{n+1} = p_{n+1} + 1$$

We will now consider two cases:

Case 1: Add a child to a leaf.

- Since the leaf gains a child, while a new leaf is added, we have  $l_{n+1} = l_n$ .
- Since no node with 1 child gains another child,  $p_{n+1} = p_n$ .
- Therefore,  $l_{n+1} = l_n = p_n + 1 = p_{n+1} + 1$ .

Case 2: Add a child to a node with 1 child already.

- Since no leaf stops being a leaf, you have simply added a leaf, so  $l_{n+1} = l_n + 1$ .
- Since the node with 1 child gains another child, a new stable parent is formed, so  $p_{n+1} = p_n + 1$ .
- Therefore,  $l_{n+1} = l_n + 1 = p_{n+1} + 1 = p_n + 1 + 1$ .

**Base case:** For n = 1, we have:

- 1 node implies 1 leaf and 0 stable parents.
- Thus,  $l_1 = 1$  and  $p_1 = 0$ .
- Therefore, 1 = 0 + 1, which satisfies  $l_1 = p_1 + 1$ .

Q.E.D.