

Feasibility Study for a Small-Scale MRF + Organic Waste Processing Facility for the Campus (Revised)

1. Estimated Waste Generation on Campus

1.1 Residential Households

- **50 households × 3.6 members ≈ 180 residents**
 - **Per-household weekly waste:**
 - **Biowaste: 3.6 kg/week**
 - **Dry waste: 0.5 kg/week**
 - **Total weekly waste = $50 \times (3.6 + 0.5) = 205 \text{ kg/week}$**
 - **Daily generation ≈ 29 kg/day**
-

1.2 Hostels (Updated)

- **Kitchen biowaste: 47.5 kg/week**
 - **Dry waste: 11 kg/week**
 - **Total weekly waste = 58.5 kg/week**
 - **Daily generation = $58.5 \div 7 \approx 8.4 \text{ kg/day}$**
-

1.3 Academic Areas + Students + Grounds

Using a conservative estimate:

- **Students enrolled: ~3,959**
- **Daily presence ≈ 2,000**
- **Per-student mixed waste = 0.1 kg/day**
→ **200 kg/day**

Additional staff waste + garden waste:

→ **20–50 kg/day**

1.4 Total Estimated Waste Generation

Source	Daily Waste (kg/day)
Residential	~29
Hostels (updated)	~8.4
Students + Campus	200–250
Total	≈ 237–287 kg/day (≈ 0.24–0.29 TPD)

So the revised generation is approximately 0.24–0.29 tonnes per day, still well within the range for a small modular waste facility.

2. Suitable Waste-Processing Facility Types

Because the campus generates <1 TPD, a compact, low-cost modular system is ideal.

2.1 Material Recovery Facility (MRF)

A manual or semi-mechanized MRF is adequate.

Key components:

- Sorting tables or small conveyor
 - Magnetic separator
 - Storage for recyclables
 - Optional baler (only if recyclable volumes justify)
-

2.2 Organic Waste Processing

Biowaste (residential + hostels + campus organics): approx. 150–240 kg/day

Suitable technologies:

1. Compost pits / windrow composting

- Low cost, simple
- Requires moderate land

2. Vermicomposting

- High-quality compost

- Sensitive to weather

3. In-vessel composting (IVC)

- Compact, odour-controlled
- Higher CAPEX

4. Anaerobic Digester (Biogas)

- Only advisable with steady feedstock and trained operator
 - Higher investment + maintenance
-

2.3 Support Infrastructure

- Incoming waste receiving area
 - Leachate drainage and collection
 - Dry waste storage zone
 - PPE and safety equipment
 - Weighing scale (optional but recommended)
 - Data monitoring system
-

3. Is the Waste Volume Sufficient for an MRF?

Yes—although campus waste (~0.24–0.29 TPD) is small:

- A small manually operated MRF is fully feasible
- Operating costs per kg are higher, but acceptable for campus sustainability objectives
- Industrial-scale mechanization is NOT required at this stage

To reach a 1 TPD facility, waste volume would need to quadruple, which is only possible by including nearby communities.

4. Recommended Minimum-Viable Facility

Component	Recommended Specification
Receiving Area	250–300 kg/day capacity; roofed

Component	Recommended Specification
MRF Line	2–3 sorting stations + sorting tables
Organic Processing	Compost pits initially OR in-vessel composter
Recyclable Storage	Segregated bins; baler if volume grows
Staffing	1–2 workers + student volunteers
Leachate Management	Leachate tank + drainage
Monitoring	Track daily waste and recovery efficiency

5. Scaling Criteria

Scale up when:

- Waste exceeds 0.5–0.7 TPD
 - Segregation levels stabilize
 - Compost quality is consistent
 - Recyclable volume justifies mechanization (baler, conveyor)
 - Campus population or catchment increases
-

6. Key Risks & Challenges

1. Poor source segregation → contamination
 2. Operational cost maintenance
 3. Compliance with MSW Rules (2016 & amendments)
 4. Space limitations, especially for composting
 5. Community participation and behaviour change
-

7. Implementation Strategy

Phase 1 – Pilot (6–12 months)

- Manual MRF + compost pits
- Collect accurate baseline data

- Quality testing of compost
- Awareness activities for students/residents

Phase 2 – Expansion

- Add baler + conveyor belt
 - Upgrade to in-vessel composting or vermicomposting
 - Evaluate feasibility for biogas plant
 - Explore partnerships with municipality, NGOs, recyclers
-

Conclusion

This quantity is fully adequate for a small-scale MRF + organic processing system.

A modular, low-cost setup is recommended initially, with room to expand as waste generation and campus participation increase.
