Quantitative Management Modelling Assignment 3

Sai Gautham Sabhavathu

2022-10-17

1) Formulating Transportation using R

```
library(lpSolve)

## Warning: package 'lpSolve' was built under R version 4.1.3

library(lpSolveAPI)

## Warning: package 'lpSolveAPI' was built under R version 4.1.3

library(tinytex)
```

Converting the data into a table format:

```
## Warehouse_1 Warehouse_2 Warehouse_3 ProductionCost Production Capacity
## Plant_A "22" "14" "30" "600" "100"
## Plant_B "16" "20" "24" "625" "120"
## Demand "80" "60" "70" "-" "-"
```

The Objective function is to Minimize the TC

Min
$$TC = 622x_{11} + 614x_{12} + 630x_{13} + 0x_{14} + 641x_{21} + 645x_{22} + 649x_{23} + 0x_{24}$$

Subject to the following constraints: Supply

$$X_{11} + X_{12} + X_{13} + X_{14} \le 100$$

$$X_{21} + X_{22} + X_{23} + X_{24} \le 120$$

Subject to the following constraints: Demand

$$X_{11} + X_{21} >= 80$$

$$X_{12} + X_{22} >= 60$$

 $X_{13} + X_{23} >= 70$
 $X_{14} + X_{24} >= 10$

Non-Negativity Constraints

$$X_{ij} >= 0$$

Where i = 1,2 and j = 1,2,3,4

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,] 622 614 630 0
## [2,] 641 645 649 0
```

```
#Defining names for the rows and columns
colnames(trans.costs) <- c("Warehouse_1","Warehouse_2","Warehouse_3","Dummy")
rownames(trans.costs) <- c("Plant_1", "Plant_2")
trans.costs</pre>
```

```
## Warehouse_1 Warehouse_2 Warehouse_3 Dummy
## Plant_1 622 614 630 0
## Plant_2 641 645 649 0
```

```
#setting up constraint signs and right-hand sides(supply side)
row.signs <- rep("<=",2)
row.rhs <- c(100,120)
#Supply function cannot be greater than the specified units

#Demand side constraints#
col.signs <- rep(">=",4)
col.rhs <- c(80,60,70,10)
#Demand function can be greater than the specified units</pre>
```

```
#solve the model
lptrans <- lp.transport(trans.costs,"min",row.signs,row.rhs,col.signs,col.rhs)</pre>
```

lptrans\$solution

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,] 0 60 40 0
## [2,] 80 0 30 10
```

80 AEDs in Plant 2 - Warehouse_1 60 AEDs in Plant 1 - Warehouse_2 40 AEDs in Plant 1 - Warehouse_3 30 AEDs in Plant 2 - Warehouse_3 The above mentioned should be the production in each plant and distribution to the three wholesaler warehouses to minimize the overall cost of production as well as shipping

lptrans\$objval

[1] 132790

The combined cost of production and shipping for the defibrilators is \$132,790

lptrans\$duals

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,] 0 0 0 0
## [2,] 0 0 0 0
```

2) Formulate the dual of the transportation problem

Since the primal was to minimize the transportation cost the dual of it would be to maximize the value added(VA). u and v will be the variables for the dual.

Max
$$VA = 100P_1 + 120P_2 + 80W_1 + 60W_2 + 70W_3$$

Subject to the following constraints Total Profit Constraints

$$W_1 - P_1 >= 622$$

 $W_2 - P_1 >= 614$
 $W_3 - P_1 >= 630$
 $W_1 - P_2 >= 641$
 $W_2 - P_2 >= 645$
 $W_3 - P_2 >= 649$

Where W1 = Warehouse_1 W2 = Warehouse_2 W3 = Warehouse_3 P1 = Plant_1 P2 = Plant_2 All of these are derived from the transposed matrix of the primal of the LP. These are unrestricted where

$$u_k, v_l$$

where u=1,2 and v=1,2,3

```
#Objective function
f.obj <- c(100,120,80,60,70)
#transposed from the constraints matrix in the primal
f.con <- matrix(c(1,0,1,0,0,</pre>
```

Success: the objective function is 139120

```
lp("max",f.obj,f.con,f.dir,f.rhs)$solution
```

[1] 614 633 8 0 16

Z=139,120 and variables are:

$$u_1 = 614$$

$$u_2 = 633$$

$$v_1 = 8$$

$$v_3 = 16$$

3) Economic Interpretation of the dual From the above we can interpret that the Minimal which is the primal = 132790 and the maximum which is the dual = 139120. We can also interpret that we should not be shipping from Plant(A/B) to all the three warehouses. We should be shipping from :

$$60X_{12}$$

which is 60 Units from Plant A to Warehouse 2.

$$40X_{13}$$

which is 40 Units from Plant A to Warehouse 3.

$$80X_{13}$$

which is 60 Units from Plant B to Warehouse 1.

$$30X_{13}$$

which is 60 Units from Plant B to Warehouse 3. We will Max the profit from each distribution to the respective capacity.

We have the following:

$$u_1^0 - v_1^0 \le 622$$

then we subtract

 v_1^0

to the other side to get

$$u_1^0 \le 622 - v_1^0$$

To compute it would be $$614 \le (-8+622)$ which is correct. we would continue to evaluate these equations:

$$u_1 \le 622 - v_1 \implies 614 \le 622 - 8 = 614 \implies correct$$

 $u_1 \le 614 - v_2 \implies 614 \le 614 - 0 = 614 \implies correct$
 $u_1 \le 630 - v_3 \implies 614 \le 630 - 16 = 614 \implies correct$
 $u_2 \le 641 - v_1 \implies 633 \le 614 - 8 = 633 \implies correct$
 $u_2 \le 645 - v_2 \implies 633 \le 645 - 0 = 645 \implies Incorrect$
 $u_2 \le 649 - v_3 \implies 633 \le 649 - 16 = 633 \implies correct$

Now from the Duality and Sensitivity we can test the shadow price.

Change 100 to 101 and 120 to 121 in our LP Transport.

```
row.rhs1 <- c(101,120)
row.signs1 <- rep("<=",2)
col.rhs1 <- c(80,60,70,10)
col.signs1 <- rep(">=",4)
row.rhs2 <- c(100,121)
row.signs2 <- rep("<=",2)
col.rhs2 <- c(80,60,70,10)
col.signs2 <- rep(">=",4)
lp.transport(trans.costs,"min",row.signs,row.rhs,col.signs,col.rhs)
```

Success: the objective function is 132790

```
lp.transport(trans.costs,"min",row.signs1,row.rhs1,col.signs1,col.rhs1)
```

Success: the objective function is 132771

```
lp.transport(trans.costs,"min",row.signs2,row.rhs2,col.signs2,col.rhs2)
```

Success: the objective function is 132790

We are taking the min of each specific function and observing the number go down by 19. We can determine that the shadow price is 19. We found the shadow prices from the primal and addig 1 to each plants. PLant B does not have a shadow price. From the dual variable

 v_1

where Marginal Revenue <= Marginal Cost. The equation was

$$u_2 \le 645 - v_2 = 5633 \le 645 - 0 = 645 = Incorrect$$

and this was found by using

$$u_1^0 - v_1^0 \le 622$$

then we subtract

$$v_1^0$$

to the other side to get

$$u_1^0 \le 622 - v_1^0$$

The economic interpretation of the dual follows the universal rule of profit maximization i.e. MR >= MC where "MR" is the Marginal Revenue and "MC" is the Marginal Cost.

lp("max", f.obj,f.con, f.dir,f.rhs)\$solution

[1] 614 633 8 0 16

Warehouse $1 \ge Plant 1 + 621$ i.e. $MR1 \ge MC1$

The Marginal Revenue should always be greater than or equal to the revenue generated for each additional unit distributed to Warehouse 1.

 $60X_{12}$

which is 60 Units from Plant A to Warehouse 2.

 $40X_{13}$

which is 40 Units from Plant A to Warehouse 3.

 $80X_{13}$

which is 60 Units from Plant B to Warehouse 1.

 $30X_{13}$

which is 60 Units from Plant B to Warehouse 3. from the dual

It is always ideal if we have MR=MC. Out of six five of them had MR <= MC. Plant B to Warehouse_2 does not satisfy the requirement. Hence, there will not be any AED device shipment.