



Moreover, it is easy to see that hly) = 1/8/1/2 (cauchy- Schwarz). so the dual of (RLS) is: (=) $\begin{cases} max & \lambda^T b - \frac{1}{4} \|\lambda\|_{2}^{2} \\ 5.1. & \|A^T \lambda\|_{2} \le 1 \end{cases}$ 1 max -2x 1 1 2 12 + 276 S.I. ILATAIL & 1 (w, z) (i) it exists). Then T (sep. 1) is equalent to:) min 1 2 2 + I llulli (*).) s.t. z; = L(w,x; y;) (= max(0, 1-y (w x;)))

Then problem (+) solves problem (sep. 2). Indeed, let (w,z) be an optimal solution of (Sep. 2). Since (w, z) is 1 1 z + 1 | will is strictly increasing in the second von:able (:) the following sense: \du, Z1 < Z2 =) folia, Z1) \(folia, Z2) \), we know that the optimal z satisfies: Vi, z:= max (0, 1-y:(wix:)). (otherwise we could find i and ero such that follow(z=e) < follow, z) and (w|z=e) souble for (Sep. 2): contradiction). @ The dual of (Sep. 2) is given by: max in (1 1 z - 1 ||w|| 2 + Ξλ: (1-y; (w x;)-z;) - π z) $= 2^{T}\lambda - \lambda^{T}z - u^{T}\widetilde{x} \quad w.th \ \widetilde{x} : \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}y_{i} \times x_{i}$ = ind (1 1 - > - IT) Z + ind (1 ||w||2 - w x) + 1 x $= - \sup_{w} \left(w + \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_{L}^{2} \right)$ = 1/2 ||2 (see (ID)) s.t. 120, TX0 (=) $\begin{cases} \max_{\lambda} 1^{T_{\lambda}} - \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{x}\|_{2}^{2} \text{ with } \tilde{x} = \tilde{\Sigma} \lambda_{3} \tilde{x} \\ \lambda \end{cases}$ Show $\lambda \in \lambda \in \Delta_{1} = \Delta_{1}$