1. To show that  $\not\cong_L$  is an equivalence relation I must prove it is

Reflexive: aRa for all  $a \in X$ 

Symmetric: aRb implies bRa for all a,b,  $\in X$ 

Transitive: aRb and bRc imply aRc for all a,b,c  $\in X$ 

 $a \not\cong_L a$ 

If az∈L iff az∈L is true because az cannot both be in the language and not

 $a \not\cong_L b$  and  $b \not\cong_L a$ 

If  $az \in L$  iff  $bz \in L$  then  $bz \in L$  iff  $az \in L$ , this is true because in the first case if there is no string z that can be concatenated to make a=b then there would still not be any sting z that can make b=a

 $a \not\cong_L b$  and  $b \not\cong_L c$  implies  $a \not\cong_L c$ 

If  $az1 \in L$  iff  $bz1 \in L$  and  $bz2 \in L$  iff  $cz2 \in L$ , because there is no string z1 that can make  $bz1 \notin L$ , and no z2  $bz2 \notin L$  than there is no string z3 that can make  $az3 \in NL$  and  $cz3 \in L$ , so  $a \not\cong_L c$ 

Example: is we have a Language L of 0,1 that only accepts strings that start with a 1.

If we have string a = 101 then  $a \not\cong_L a$  is reflexive

If we have string b = 1 then  $a \not\cong_L b$  implies  $b \not\cong_L a$  is true because there is no string z to make az and bz not start with 1.

If we have string c = 1111  $a \not\cong_L b$  and  $b \not\cong_L c$  implies  $a \not\cong_L c$  because if there is no string z to make a and b not in language L, and no sting z to make b and c not in language L. Then there can't be any z to make a and c not in language L.

2. If there is a finite number of strings k, then there must be a limit to a length of a string I will call that H.

Let x and y be strings  $\leq H$ , and let L be any language of strings  $\leq H$ . We say that x and y are differentiable by L if some string  $z\leq H$ , exists whereby exactly one of the strings xz and yz is a member of L. Otherwise, for every string  $z\leq H$ ,  $z\neq L$  iff  $y\neq L$  and we say that x and y are in differentiable by L.

## HW1

Gavin Grob

October 29, 2014