

Gavin Grob
CS 510 Automata Theory
Homework 7

1. Let's define a *useless state* in a Turing Machine as a state that is never entered on any input string. Consider the problem of determining whether a Turing Machine has any useless states. Formulate this problem as a language and show that it is undecidable by using a reduction.

$$TM_{useless} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM} \}$$

$$TM_{accept} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } w \text{ is a string.} \}$$

We will assume $TM_{useless}$ exists, and use it to create TM_{accept} .

We run TM_{accept} on input M , we then create $TM_{transform}$ which hard codes M and w so that w is on the input tape and $TM_{transform}$ states are equal to M states. If M accepts then $TM_{transform}$ manually goes through all of its states. If M does not accept (either spins forever or not in the language) then $TM_{transform}$ doesn't go into an accepting state so it has useless states.

So when $TM_{transform}$ is feed to $TM_{useless}$ it will accept when M did not accept, so reject. $TM_{useless}$ will reject when M did accept, so accept.

- TM_{accept} = on input (M, w)

- 1. Construct $TM_{transform}$ by modifying M on w
 - a. copy w into the input tape (this is what is read when running)
 - b. copy all the state of M into $TM_{transform}$
 - c. add a case when M goes into the accepting state, $TM_{transform}$ enters every state.
- 2. run $TM_{useless}$ on $TM_{transform}$
- 3. if $TM_{useless}$ rejects then accept, otherwise reject.

However we know that TM_{accept} is undecidable, so then $TM_{useless}$ cannot exist, making it undecidable.

2. Consider the problem of determining whether a two-tape TM M ever writes a blank symbol over a non-blank symbol on its second tape during the course of its computation on any input string. Formulate this problem as a language and show that it is undecidable by using a reduction.

$$TM_{ttbs} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM} \}$$

$$TM_{accept} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } w \text{ is a string} \}$$

We will assume TM_{ttbs} exists, and use it to create a TM_{accept} . We run TM_{accept} on input M , w we then feed M, w to a $TM_{transform}$.

We then create $TM_{transform}$ which hard codes M and w so that w is on the input tape and $TM_{transform}$ states are equal to M states, besides when M was going to write a blank on tape 2, instead it writes a character not in the language of M , ill call it \hat{o} . Whenever $TM_{transform}$ reads the character \hat{o} off the tape, it treats it as a blank symbol. Then when it goes into a accepting state it writes a non-blank symbol on the second tape, then write a blank over that same space (incase tape 2 is empty).

So when $TM_{transform}$, has a non-blank written over with a blank in the second tape, w was accepted by M .

So when $TM_{transform}$ is feed to TM_{ttbs} it will accept when M accepted, so accept. TM_{ttbs} will reject when M rejected, so reject.

- TM_{accept} = on input (M, w)

- 1. Construct $TM_{transform}$ by modifying M on w
 - a. copy w into the input tape (this is what is read when running)
 - b. copy all the state of M into $TM_{transform}$
 - c. when M was going to write a blank on tape 2, $TM_{transform}$ writes a \hat{o} instead
 - d. when \hat{o} is read off the tape, $TM_{transform}$ treats it as a blank symbol
 - e. when $TM_{transform}$ goes into a accepting state, $TM_{transform}$ writes a non-blank symbol on the second tape, then write a blank over that same space
- 2. run TM_{ttbs} on $TM_{transform}$
- 3. if TM_{ttbs} accept then accept, otherwise reject.

However we know that TM_{accept} is undecidable, so then $TM_{useless}$ cannot exist, making it undecidable.