



University of Colombo School of Computing
SCS 1308 - Foundations of Algorithms
Take-home 03

Instructions

- Try the following questions and upload your answer script as a zip file to the given link in the UGVLE on/before 15th December at 6 pm.
- Note: Rename your zip file with your index number and name. (i.e: indexNo_Name.zip).

01. Construct a graph for the following 3-SAT formula and determine the clique size:

1. $(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_3 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee \neg x_4)$
- ii. Represent literals as vertices.
- iii. Add edges between non-conflicting literals.
- iv. Find a clique of size 3.

02. The Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) is a well-known optimization problem. Answer the following questions to explore how it can be transformed into a decision problem and analyzed for NP-completeness:

- a. Explain how TSP (Decision Version) is related to the Hamiltonian Cycle problem. Discuss:
 - i. What constraints make TSP equivalent to finding a Hamiltonian cycle?
 - ii. Why this transformation shows that TSP is NP-complete.

03. Explain why the linear search algorithm has a time complexity of $O(n)$.

- a. What would be the worst-case time complexity for a list of size $n=10$ and $n=100$?
- b. Which algorithm ($O(n^3)$ or $(O(2^n))$) scales better as n increases? Justify your answer with a comparison of their growth rates.

04. Given the 3-SAT formula:

$$(x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \neg x_4) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_3 \vee x_4),$$

perform the following tasks:

- i. Represent each literal in a clause as a vertex
- ii. Add edges between vertices of different clauses if their literals are non-conflicting.
- iii. Draw the graph to visualize the relationships.
- iv. Determine the size of the largest clique in the graph.
- v. If the graph contains a clique of size equal to the number of clauses, explain what this implies about the satisfiability of the formula.
- vi. If no such clique exists, explain why the formula is unsatisfiable.