

## ***MediCouncil: Multi-Agent LLM Council for Symptom Triage***

**Done by:**

Bhavatharini C (71762233004)

Gayathri SK (71762233014)

## Abstract

MediCouncil is an AI-based decision support system that performs symptom triage by combining outputs from a council of specialized Large Language Models (LLMs). The system accepts free-text symptom descriptions along with basic patient context (age, sex, chronic conditions, red-flag indicators) and produces a structured triage output: risk level (Low/Medium/High/Critical), urgency recommendation (self-care, routine visit, urgent care, emergency), confidence score, and explainable reasoning. The key innovation is using a heterogeneous “LLM Council” approach with safety-first consensus rules to reduce single-model hallucinations and under-triage risk while keeping the system reproducible and modular for academic implementation and evaluation.

## Problem Statement

Patients and healthcare support systems often struggle to determine the correct urgency of symptoms based on natural-language descriptions. Current digital symptom-checkers and single-model AI triage approaches can be inconsistent and may over-triage non-urgent cases or under-triage severe cases, creating safety and resource-allocation risks. Therefore, a reliable decision-support tool is needed that can analyze symptom descriptions with context, prioritize safety in critical cases, quantify uncertainty, and provide transparent reasoning for triage recommendations.

## Objectives

- Build a multi-agent LLM council for symptom triage with three specialized roles (emergency risk, primary-care severity, guideline-based triage).
- Design a safety-first consensus mechanism with emergency override and weighted aggregation for non-emergency cases.
- Provide explainable outputs (agent-wise reasoning summary + key symptom drivers + final rationale).
- Implement explicit confidence scoring using inter-agent agreement and consistency checks.
- Benchmark the council against classical ML baselines (Naive Bayes, Logistic Regression, Random Forest) and a single-LLM baseline.
- Create an end-to-end web application with logging, reproducible configuration, and evaluation reports.

## Literature Survey with Identified Research GAPS:

### 1) TRIAGEAGENT: Heterogeneous Multi-Agent Framework for Clinical Triage (EMNLP Findings, 2024).

Link: <https://aclanthology.org/2024.findings-emnlp.329.pdf>

This paper introduces TRIAGEAGENT, a heterogeneous multi-agent LLM framework designed specifically for clinical triage. The system employs role-playing agents, each focusing on different aspects of triage, and integrates confidence scoring and early-stopping mechanisms to reduce unnecessary computation. Experimental results show TRIAGEAGENT outperforms single-LLM approaches and, in some cases, even experienced healthcare professionals on standardized triage datasets.

#### Identified Research Gap:

- Primarily evaluated on benchmark triage datasets and not designed for end-user, patient-facing symptom input.
- Limited emphasis on explainability of individual agent reasoning for non-expert users.
- Does not explicitly incorporate safety-first escalation logic suitable for public-facing tools.

#### Gap Addressed in Proposed Work:

The proposed LLM Council for Symptom Triage extends this idea by supporting free-text patient symptom descriptions, generating human-readable explanations, and applying a safety-first consensus mechanism suitable for real-world decision support.

### 2) LLM-Driven Multi-Agent CDSS for Emergency Department Triage & Treatment Planning (arXiv, 2024).

Link: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.07531>

This study presents a multi-agent Clinical Decision Support System (CDSS) using Llama-3-70B, with agents representing clinical roles such as a triage nurse, emergency physician, pharmacist, and ED coordinator. The system is orchestrated using frameworks like LangChain and CrewAI, demonstrating improved coordination and decision quality in emergency department workflows.

#### Identified Research Gap:

- Designed primarily for hospital and clinician use, not for pre-hospital or patient-initiated triage.
- Requires large proprietary models and infrastructure, limiting reproducibility.
- Lacks lightweight deployment suitable for academic or low-resource environments.

#### **Gap Addressed in Proposed Work:**

The proposed system adapts the multi-agent concept into a lightweight, reproducible, patient-facing decision support tool, focusing on symptom-based risk assessment before hospital arrival.

### **3) Large Language Model Symptom Identification from Clinical Text (JMIR, 2025).**

Link: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12313083/>

This study evaluates LLMs for symptom extraction from unstructured clinical text and compares them against traditional ICD-10 coding systems. Results show LLMs (especially GPT-4) achieve F1-scores above 94%, significantly outperforming rule-based coding approaches.

#### **Identified Research Gap:**

- Focuses only on symptom extraction, not on triage decision-making or urgency classification.
- Does not address risk stratification or care recommendation.

#### **Gap Addressed in Proposed Work:**

The proposed system builds on accurate symptom extraction and extends it to risk categorization, urgency assessment, and actionable triage guidance.

### **4) LLMs in Clinical Triage: Opportunities and Challenges (arXiv, 2024).**

Link: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.16273>

This paper evaluates LLMs on emergency department triage datasets such as MIMIC. While LLMs outperform traditional ML models, the study identifies a critical issue: under-triage of severe cases, which poses safety risks.

#### **Identified Research Gap:**

- Does not propose a concrete architectural solution to mitigate under-triage.
- Lacks a formal safety-first decision logic.

**Gap Addressed in Proposed Work:**

The proposed LLM Council explicitly introduces emergency override logic and risk-weighted consensus, ensuring severe cases are escalated even if only one agent flags danger.

**5) Survey: LLM-Based Multi-Agent Systems in Medicine (TechRxiv, 2025).**

Link: <https://www.techrxiv.org/doi/full/10.36227/techrxiv.176089343.36199495/v1>

This survey reviews multi-agent LLM systems across various medical domains. It concludes that council-style architectures improve reliability, reduce hallucinations, and increase decision confidence through debate and aggregation.

**Identified Research Gap:**

- Primarily a survey paper; does not provide an implementable triage framework.
- Lacks experimental validation in symptom triage scenarios.

**Gap Addressed in Proposed Work:**

The proposed project operationalizes these survey insights into a working, evaluated symptom triage system.

**6) LLMs for Healthcare Decision Support (PMC, 2025).**

Link: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12189880/>

This review highlights the effectiveness of LLMs in healthcare decision support when used in assistive roles with human oversight, emphasizing explainability and ethical deployment.

**Identified Research Gap:**

- Does not propose a specific architectural design for triage.
- Lacks concrete implementation details.

**Gap Addressed in Proposed Work:**

The proposed system implements these principles via explainable outputs, disclaimers, and decision-support framing.

**7) Comparing LLMs vs Clinicians in Diagnosis (PMC, 2025).**

Link: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12047852/>

This study compares LLM diagnostic accuracy with clinicians across multiple specialties, reporting 80%+ accuracy, with multi-model ensembles outperforming single models.

**Identified Research Gap:**

- Focuses on diagnosis, not triage or urgency classification.
- Limited emphasis on safety-critical decision support.

**Gap Addressed in Proposed Work:**

The proposed work applies ensemble benefits specifically to triage and risk assessment, not diagnosis.

**8) LLM Workflows for Clinical Decision Support (PMC, 2025).**

Link : <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12064692/>

This paper shows that multi-LLM workflows improve specialty referral accuracy and symptom severity assessment.

**Identified Research Gap:**

- Does not integrate a single unified triage risk output.
- Limited focus on patient-facing systems.

**Gap Addressed in Proposed Work:**

The proposed system outputs a single, clear risk level and recommended action suitable for patient-facing use.

**9) Optimizing Disease Classification through Language Model Analysis of Symptoms (Nature Scientific Reports, 2024).**

Link: [https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-51615-5?utm\\_source=chatgpt.com](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-51615-5?utm_source=chatgpt.com)

This study demonstrates that NLP-based symptom analysis significantly improves disease classification accuracy.

**Identified Research Gap:**

- Focused on disease classification, not care urgency or triage.

**Gap Addressed in Proposed Work:**

The proposed system extends from classification to risk-based triage decisions with urgency outputs.

**10) Diagnostic and Triage Accuracy of GPT-3 (PMC, 2023).**

Link: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9915829/>

This study evaluates GPT-3's diagnostic and triage performance, showing promising results but warning against autonomous use.

**Identified Research Gap:**

- Relies on single-model inference.
- Limited safety mechanisms.

**Gap Addressed in Proposed Work:**

The proposed system replaces single-model dependence with a multi-agent, safety-first LLM council including consensus and escalation logic.

**Tools and techniques to be used:****LLMs (Council Members)**

- DeepSeek-R1 (Emergency/Red-Flag Agent): Strong long-context reasoning, used to detect high-severity patterns and red flags.
- GPT-OSS-120B (Primary Care Agent): Used for general severity assessment and common-condition reasoning.
- GLM-4.5V (Guideline/Triage Agent): Used to map case patterns to triage bands and urgency timeframes (can be text-only for this project).

**Algorithms and decision logic**

- Safety Override Rule: If any agent strongly indicates emergency/high-risk → escalate to High/Critical.
- Weighted Consensus Scoring: Combine normalized agent scores, e.g., Emergency (0.5), Guideline (0.3), Primary care (0.2).

- Confidence Scoring: Agreement-rate based confidence (how aligned the agents are) + conflict detection (flag low-confidence cases for human review).

### **Classical ML baselines (for benchmarking)**

- Naive Bayes: Simple, fast baseline for text/symptom features.
- Logistic Regression: Interpretable linear baseline; good for calibrated probabilities.
- Random Forest: Non-linear baseline for structured symptom flags + demographics.

### **Statistical tools & evaluation methods**

- Confusion Matrix: Error breakdown across triage classes.
- Precision / Recall / F1-score (per class): Especially focus on recall for High/Critical to avoid false negatives.
- ROC-AUC / PR-AUC (if binary emergency vs non-emergency evaluation is used).
- Inter-agent agreement metrics: agreement rate; optionally Cohen's Kappa / Krippendorff's Alpha for consistency reporting.
- Significance test (optional): McNemar's test to compare council vs baseline on paired predictions.

### **Modules:**

1. User Interface Module: symptom input form + display of risk/urgency/confidence/explanation + disclaimer.
2. Input Validation & Preprocessing Module: normalize text, validate fields, construct standardized case JSON.
3. LLM Council Orchestrator Module: call three LLM agents (parallel/asynchronous), enforce JSON output schema.
4. Consensus & Safety Engine Module: emergency override + weighted scoring + final triage mapping.
5. Explainability Module: summarise key reasons from each agent + final combined explanation.



6. Baseline ML Module: classical ML models training/inference for benchmarking.
7. Evaluation & Reporting Module: metrics computation, plots/tables, error analysis.
8. Logging & Audit Module: store inputs, agent outputs, final decision, timestamps, and evaluation logs.

## **Methodology:**

1. Dataset preparation: collect public symptom datasets; create a labeled triage dataset (or map symptom patterns to triage labels) and generate additional synthetic cases for coverage of emergency and non-emergency scenarios.
2. Feature engineering (for baselines): represent symptoms via TF-IDF or bag-of-words; combine with structured flags (age group, comorbidities, red flags).
3. Council prompting: design three role prompts with strict JSON schema outputs; test prompts on a small validation set and refine for consistent formatting.
4. Inference pipeline: for each case, run three agents → parse outputs → apply safety override → compute weighted consensus → compute confidence → generate explanation.
5. Benchmarking: run council, single-LLM baseline, and ML baselines on same test set; compute metrics and compare.
6. Deployment: implement web UI + FastAPI backend; add logging, rate limiting, and clear disclaimers.

## **Testing Plan / Performance Metrics:**

### **Testing plan**

- Unit testing: JSON output parsing, scoring functions, override logic, confidence computation.
- Integration testing: end-to-end request → council → consensus → response.
- Robustness testing: incomplete inputs, contradictory symptoms, long text, spelling variations.

- Safety testing: curated emergency cases (chest pain + radiation, stroke signs, severe breathing difficulty, meningitis signs) to ensure escalation triggers correctly.

### **Performance metrics (report these)**

- Emergency Recall (Critical metric): fraction of true emergency/high-risk cases correctly escalated.
- Macro F1 / Weighted F1: overall classification quality across classes.
- False Negative Rate for High/Critical: must be minimized.
- Agent Agreement Rate: used as a confidence indicator.
- Latency per request: average response time (important due to multi-model calls).

### **Final Outcomes and Output:**

#### **Final outcomes**

- A working decision-support web application implementing a multi-LLM council for triage.
- A reproducible evaluation report comparing council vs single-LLM vs classical ML baselines.
- A safe-by-design consensus mechanism with explicit emergency escalation and uncertainty handling.

#### **Output format (example)**

- Risk Level: Low / Medium / High / Critical
- Urgency Recommendation: self-care / routine visit / urgent care (2–4h) / emergency now
- Confidence: High/Medium/Low (based on agreement)
- Explanation: short combined rationale + agent-wise key reasons
- Disclaimer: “Decision-support only; consult medical professional.”

## Identified Dataset:

Use a combination of public datasets and curated synthetic cases for robust coverage:

- Disease Symptoms and Patient Profile dataset (Kaggle) – symptoms + demographics for case creation.
- Disease and Symptoms dataset (Kaggle) – broad symptom-condition coverage to generate varied symptom combinations.
- Hospital Triage and Patient History dataset (Kaggle/public) – reference for triage-style labels and distributions.
- MIMIC-IV-ED (credentialed access) – gold-standard ED triage dataset for advanced evaluation if available.