

# Demonstration: Equitable and Non-equitable Distribution among Categories

Youssoupha Gaye<sup>1</sup>[0009-0003-6101-9995], Maissa Mbaye<sup>1</sup>[0000-0002-5748-446X],  
Dame Diongue<sup>1</sup>[0000-0002-3452-4174], Ousmane Dieng<sup>2</sup>[0000-0003-3320-7887],  
Emmanuel Adetiba<sup>3,4</sup>, and Joke A. Badejo<sup>3</sup>[0000-0002-5646-7385]

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire d'Analyse Numerique et Informatique/LANI, CEA-MITIC, Gaston  
Berger University, Saint-Louis PB 234, Senegal

{gaye.youssoupha,maissa.mbaye,dame.diongue}@ugb.edu.sn

<sup>2</sup> Power-management and Real-Time Systems Lab, University of Pittsburgh,  
Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA  
oud5@pitt.edu

<sup>3</sup> Covenant Applied Informatics and Communication African Center of Excellence,  
Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria.

{emmanuel.adetiba,joke.badejo}@covenantuniversity.edu.ng

<sup>4</sup> HRA, Institute for Systems Science, Durban University of Technology, Durban  
1334, South Africa

## 1 The stabilizer factor

The stabilized factor adjusts the value of  $H$  in order to distinguish identifier and evenly distributed categories for a given attribute.

**Definition 1.** Let  $p$  be the relative frequency that represents the probability that a value is in category  $C(i)$ . The stabilizer factor  $P$  is defined as follows :

$$P = \prod_{i=1}^s p(x = C(i)), \text{ where } p(x = C(i)) = \frac{n_i}{N} \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^S n_i = N. \quad (1)$$

### 1.1 Case 1: Equitable distribution among categories

– if  $S = N$  then  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_S = 1$

$$P = \frac{n_1}{N} \times \frac{n_2}{N} \times \frac{n_3}{N} \times \dots \times \frac{n_S}{N} = \frac{1}{N^N}$$

– if  $S \in ]1, N[$  then  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = \dots = n_S = a > 1$

$$P = \frac{n_1}{N} \times \frac{n_2}{N} \times \frac{n_3}{N} \times \dots \times \frac{n_S}{N} = \left(\frac{a}{N}\right)^S$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two equitable distributions of the same attribute  $C(i)$  with, respectively,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  as a number of categories so that  $S_1 < S_2$ .  $S_1, S_2, N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .  $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_{S_1} = a_1$ ;  $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_{S_2} = a_2$*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{S_1} n_i = \sum_{m=1}^{S_2} m_i = N ; P_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{S_1} \frac{n_i}{N} = \left(\frac{a_1}{N}\right)^{S_1} ; P_2 = \prod_{i=1}^{S_2} \frac{m_i}{N} = \left(\frac{a_2}{N}\right)^{S_2}$$

$$S_1 < S_2 \Rightarrow P_1 > P_2 \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* Let  $S_1, S_2, N \in \mathbb{N}^*$  ;  $S_1 < S_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_1}{P_2} &= \frac{\left(\frac{a_1}{N}\right)^{S_1}}{\left(\frac{a_2}{N}\right)^{S_2}} \text{ however } N = a_1 S_1 = a_2 S_2, \text{ then} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{a_1}{a_1 S_1}\right)^{S_1}}{\left(\frac{a_2}{a_2 S_2}\right)^{S_2}} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{S_1}\right)^{S_1}}{\left(\frac{1}{S_2}\right)^{S_2}} = \frac{S_2^{S_2}}{S_1^{S_1}} > 1 \\ \frac{P_1}{P_2} &> 1, \text{ then } P_1 > P_2 \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: This mathematical proof demonstrates that when it comes to equitable distribution, the more categories there are, the lower the stabilizing factor's value becomes.

## 1.2 Case 2: Non-equitable distribution among categories

This is the case when there are at least two categories that do not have the same frequency.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two non-equitable distributions of the same attribute  $C(i)$  with, respectively,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  as the number of categories so that  $S_1 < S_2$ .*

$S_1, S_2, N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .  $(n_{1,i})_{i=1}^{S_1}$  and  $(n_{2,i})_{i=1}^{S_2}$  with  $\begin{cases} n_{j,i} \in \mathbb{N}^*; j \in \{1, 2\}; i \in [1, S_j] \\ \sum_{i=1}^{S_j} n_{j,i} = N \end{cases}$

$$P_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{S_1} \frac{n_{1,i}}{N} ; P_2 = \prod_{i=1}^{S_2} \frac{n_{2,i}}{N}$$

$$S_1 < S_2 \Rightarrow P_1 > P_2 \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* It is established by the recurrence

- **Assumption:**  $S_1 < S_2 \Rightarrow P_1 > P_2$   
To prove this, we use the following lemma:

- **Lemma (To be proved):** Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $k < N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

$$\text{If } (n_{k,i})_{i=1}^k \text{ and } (n_{k+1,i})_{i=1}^{k+1} : \begin{cases} n_{k,i}, n_{k+1,i} \in N^* \\ \sum_{i=1}^k n_{k,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_{k+1,i} = N \end{cases}$$

$$\text{then } P_k > P_{k+1} \text{ with } P_k = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{n_{k,i}}{N} ; P_{k+1} = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{n_{k+1,i}}{N}$$

- **Proof of the lemma (By recurrence on k)**  
Initialization:  $k = 1$

$$k = 1 ; n_{1,1} = N \Rightarrow P_1 = \frac{n_{1,1}}{N} = \frac{N}{N} = 1$$

$$\text{and } k + 1 = 2 ; n_{2,1} + n_{2,2} = N \Rightarrow P_2 = \frac{n_{2,1}}{N} \times \frac{n_{2,2}}{N} < 1 \\ \Rightarrow P_1 > P_2$$

Heredity:  $k < N$

It is assumed that for all sequences:

$$(n'_{k,i})_{i=1}^k \text{ and } (n'_{k+1,i})_{i=1}^{k+1} : \sum_{i=1}^k n'_{k,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n'_{k+1,i} = N \\ \Rightarrow P'_k > P'_{k+1}$$

Let us show that for

$$(n_{k+1,i})_{i=1}^{k+1} \text{ and } (n_{k+2,i})_{i=1}^{k+2} : \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_{k+1,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} n_{k+2,i} = N \\ \Rightarrow P_{k+1} > P_{k+2} \text{ (for } k < N - 1)$$

$$\text{Let } (n_{k+1,i})_{i=1}^{k+1} \text{ and } (n_{k+2,i})_{i=1}^{k+2} : \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_{k+1,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} n_{k+2,i} = N$$

Since we are comparing the product of all the terms in the two sequences, we can arbitrarily assume that  $(n_{k+1,i})_{i=1}^{k+1}$  is increasing and  $(n_{k+2,i})_{i=1}^{k+2}$  is decreasing. (Because the product of integers is commutative)

- (i) Let's show that:

$$\exists(l, p) \in [1, k + 1] \times [1, k + 1] : n_{k+1,l} > n_{k+2,p}$$

By absurdity, we assume that:

$$\forall(l, p) \in [1, k + 1] \times [1, k + 1], n_{k+1,l} \leq n_{k+2,p}$$

then for  $l = p = i \in [1, k+1]$ ,  $n_{k+1,i} \leq n_{k+2,i}$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_{k+1,i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_{k+2,i}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{however } \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_{k+1,i} &= N \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_{k+2,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} n_{k+2,i} - n_{k+2,k+2} \\ &= N - n_{k+2,k+2} \end{aligned}$$

then  $N \leq N - n_{k+2,k+2}$  (Absurd because  $n_{k+2,k+2} \in \mathbb{N}^*$ )

So  $\exists(l, p) \in [1, k+1] \times [1, k+1] : n_{k+1,l} > n_{k+2,p}$

(ii) Let's show that

$$n_{k+1,k} > n_{k+2,k+1}$$

Since it  $\exists(l, p) \in [1, k+1]^2 : n_{k+1,l} > n_{k+2,p}$

however  $n_{k+1,k} \geq n_{k+1,l}$  because  $(n_{k+1,i})_{i=1}^{k+1}$  is increasing

$n_{k+2,k} \leq n_{k+2,p}$  because  $(n_{k+2,i})_{i=1}^{k+2}$  is decreasing

$$\Rightarrow n_{k+1,k} \geq n_{k+1,l} > n_{k+2,p} \geq n_{k+2,k+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow n_{k+1,k} > n_{k+2,k+1}$$

(iii) Let's build

$$(n'_{k,i})_{i=1}^k \text{ and } (n'_{k+1,i})_{i=1}^{k+1} : \sum_{i=1}^k n'_{k,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n'_{k+1,i} = N$$

$$\text{Let's set } n'_{k,i} : \begin{cases} n'_{k,i} = n_{k+1,i} \text{ for } i \in [1, k-1] \\ n'_{k,k} = n_{k+1,k} + n_{k+1,k+1} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \sum_{i=1}^k n'_{k,i} &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n'_{k,i} + n'_{k,k} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{k+1,i} + (n_{k+1,k} + n_{k+1,k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_{k+1,i} \\ &= N \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } n'_{k+1,i} : \begin{cases} n'_{k+1,i} = n_{k+2,i} \text{ for } i \in [1, k] \\ n'_{k+1,k+1} = n_{k+2,k+1} + n_{k+2,k+2} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{then } \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n'_{k+1,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} n_{k+2,i} = N$$

$$(n'_{k,i})_{i=1}^k \text{ and } (n'_{k+1,i})_{i=1}^{k+1} : \sum_{i=1}^k n'_{k,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n'_{k+1,i} = N$$

then  $P'_k > P'_{k+1}$  (by heredity hypothesis)

$$\text{however } P'_k = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{n'_{k,i}}{N} = \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{n'_{k,i}}{N}}_A \times \overbrace{\frac{n'_{k,k}}{N}}^B$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{moreover } A &= \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{n'_{k,i}}{N} = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{n_{k+1,i}}{N} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{n_{k+1,i}}{N} \times \frac{\frac{n_{k+1,k}}{N} \times \frac{n_{k+1,k+1}}{N}}{\frac{n_{k+1,k}}{N} \times \frac{n_{k+1,k+1}}{N}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{n_{k+1,i}}{N} \times \frac{1}{\frac{n_{k+1,k} \times n_{k+1,k+1}}{N^2}} \text{ however } P_{k+1} = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{n_{k+1,i}}{N} \end{aligned}$$

$$A = P_{k+1} \times \frac{N^2}{n_{k+1,k} \times n_{k+1,k+1}}$$

$$B = \frac{n'_{k,k}}{N} = \frac{n_{k+1,k} + n_{k+1,k+1}}{N}$$

then  $P'_k = A \times B$

$$\begin{aligned} &= P_{k+1} \times \frac{N^2}{n_{k+1,k} \times n_{k+1,k+1}} \times \frac{n_{k+1,k} + n_{k+1,k+1}}{N} \\ &= P_{k+1} \times N \times \frac{n_{k+1,k} + n_{k+1,k+1}}{n_{k+1,k} \times n_{k+1,k+1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$P'_{k+1} = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{n'_{k+1,i}}{N} = \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{n'_{k+1,i}}{N}}_{A'} \times \overbrace{\frac{n'_{k+1,k+1}}{N}}^{B'}$$

$$A' = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{n'_{k+1,i}}{N} = P_{k+2} \times \frac{N^2}{n_{k+2,k+1} \times n_{k+2,k+2}}$$

$$B' = \frac{n'_{k+1,k+1}}{N} = \frac{n_{k+2,k+1} + n_{k+2,k+2}}{N}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P'_{k+1} &= A' \times B' \\ &= P_{k+2} \times N \times \frac{n_{k+2,k+1} + n_{k+2,k+2}}{n_{k+2,k+1} \times n_{k+2,k+2}} \end{aligned}$$

However, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P'_k > P'_{k+1} &\Rightarrow \frac{P'_k}{P'_{k+1}} > 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{P_{k+1} \times N \times \frac{n_{k+1,k} + n_{k+1,k+1}}{n_{k+1,k} \times n_{k+1,k+1}}}{P_{k+2} \times N \times \frac{n_{k+2,k+1} + n_{k+2,k+2}}{n_{k+2,k+1} \times n_{k+2,k+2}}} > 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{P_{k+1}}{P_{k+2}} > \frac{\frac{n_{k+2,k+1} + n_{k+2,k+2}}{n_{k+2,k+1} \times n_{k+2,k+2}}}{\frac{n_{k+1,k} + n_{k+1,k+1}}{n_{k+1,k} \times n_{k+1,k+1}}} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{P_{k+1}}{P_{k+2}} > \frac{n_{k+2,k+1} + n_{k+2,k+2}}{n_{k+2,k+1} \times n_{k+2,k+2}} \times \frac{n_{k+1,k} \times n_{k+1,k+1}}{n_{k+1,k} + n_{k+1,k+1}} \end{aligned}$$

- $n_{k+2,k+1} + n_{k+2,k+2} \geq 2n_{k+2,k+2}$  because  $(n_{k+2,i})_{i=1}^{k+2}$  is decreasing

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{n_{k+2,k+1} + n_{k+2,k+2}}{n_{k+2,k+1} \times n_{k+2,k+2}} &\geq \frac{2n_{k+2,k+2}}{n_{k+2,k+1} \times n_{k+2,k+2}} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{n_{k+2,k+1}} \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

- $n_{k+1,k} + n_{k+1,k+1} \leq 2n_{k+1,k+1}$  because  $(n_{k+1,i})_{i=1}^{k+1}$  is increasing

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{n_{k+1,k} + n_{k+1,k+1}}{n_{k+1,k} \times n_{k+1,k+1}} &\geq \frac{2n_{k+1,k+1}}{n_{k+1,k} \times n_{k+1,k+1}} = \frac{2}{n_{k+1,k}} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{n_{k+1,k} \times n_{k+1,k+1}}{n_{k+1,k} + n_{k+1,k+1}} \leq \frac{n_{k+1,k}}{2} \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \text{ and } (2) &\Rightarrow \frac{P_{k+1}}{P_{k+2}} > \frac{2}{n_{k+2,k+1}} \times \frac{n_{k+1,k}}{2} \\
 &> \frac{n_{k+1,k}}{n_{k+2,k+1}} \text{ however } n_{k+1,k} \geq n_{k+2,k+1} \\
 &\Rightarrow \frac{P_{k+1}}{P_{k+2}} > 1 \\
 &\Rightarrow P_{k+1} > P_{k+2}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Conclusion:**  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $k < N \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$(n_{k,i})_{i=1}^k \text{ and } (n_{k+1,i})_{i=1}^{k+1} : \begin{cases} n_{k,i}, n_{k+1,i} \in N^* \\ \sum_{i=1}^k n_{k,i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_{k+1,i} = N \end{cases}$$

$$\text{then } P_k > P_{k+1} \text{ with } P_k = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{n_{k,i}}{N} \text{ and } P_{k+1} = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{n_{k+1,i}}{N}$$

We have thus proved the lemma.

– **Proof (Assumption)**

$$S_1 < S_2 \Rightarrow S_2 = S_1 + m \text{ (with } m = S_2 - S_1 \text{)}$$

From the lemma

$$P_{S_1} > P_{S_1+1} > P_{S_1+2} > \dots > P_{S_1+m}$$

$$\text{however } P_{S_1} = P_1 \text{ and } P_{S_1+m} = P_{S_2} = P_2$$

$$\text{then } P_1 > P_2$$